organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone

Zhaoyang Li and Osamu Sato*

Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University, 6-1 Kasugakoen, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580, Japan Correspondence e-mail: sato@cm.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Received 31 March 2013; accepted 1 April 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 123 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.042; wR factor = 0.114; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.0.

In the title Schiff base compound, $C_{10}H_{12}BrN_3OS$, the C-N-N-C torsion angle is 172.07 (11)°. An intramolecular hydrogen bond exists between the hydroxy H atom and the azomethine N atom. In the crystal, pairs of hydrogen bonds involving the imino H atom and the S atom give rise to supramolecular dimers.

Related literature

For the isostructural compound 5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone, see: Lo *et al.* (2011)



Experimental

Crystal data

C10H12BrN3OS	
$M_r = 302.20$	
Monoclinic, C2/c	

a = 22.040 (4) Åb = 11.844 (2) Åc = 9.5102 (19) Å $\beta = 101.69 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 2431.1 (8) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 8Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn70 diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2008) $T_{\rm min} = 0.661, T_{\rm max} = 0.838$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.114$ S = 0.952331 reflections 155 parameters 3 restraints $\mu = 3.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 123 K $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4201 measured reflections 2331 independent reflections 1760 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.032$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.70 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -1.01 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	<i>D</i> -H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{l} \text{O1-H1} A \cdots \text{N1} \\ \text{N2-H2} A \cdots \text{S1}^{\text{i}} \\ \text{N3-H3} A \cdots \text{S1}^{\text{ii}} \end{array}$	0.84 (3) 0.88 (3) 0.87 (3)	2.00 (2) 2.47 (3) 2.75 (3)	2.674 (3) 3.316 (3) 3.510 (3)	137 (3) 161 (2) 146 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2008); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors would like to thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG5322).

References

Lo, K. M. & Ng, S. W. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 01453. Rigaku (2008). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122. Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o762 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536813008787]

5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone

Zhaoyang Li and Osamu Sato

S1. Comment

A Schiff ligand was synthesized through one-pot reaction with high yield using 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde and 4ethyl-3-thiosemicarbazide (Fig. 1). The title compound can be used as tridentate chelating ligand to construct spincrossover complexes. Isostructural 5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde-4-ethylthiosemicarbazone was reported previously (Lo *et al.*, 2011).

In the title compound, a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond O—H…N is observed. An intermolecular N—H…S hydrogen bond connects two molecules into a supramolecular dimer as shown in Figure 2.

S2. Experimental

5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (4.02 g, 20 mmol) in 50 ml ethanol and 4-ethyl-3-thiosemicarbazide (2.38 g, 20 mmol) were reacted for 6 h at 350 K. Slow evaporation of the yellow solution gave large colorless crystals.

S3. Refinement

Carbon-bound H-atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H 0.95, 0.98 and 0.99 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for the others. The hydroxy and amino H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map, and were refined with distance restraints of O —H 0.85±0.01 and N—H 0.88±0.01 Å; with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N \text{ and } O)$.



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the title compound, with atom numbering of structurally unique non-H atoms and the H atoms.



Figure 2

The packing diagram of the title compound, with H atoms omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 4-ethylthiosemicarbazone

Crystal data

C₁₀H₁₂BrN₃OS $M_r = 302.20$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 22.040 (4) Å b = 11.844 (2) Å c = 9.5102 (19) Å $\beta = 101.69$ (3)° V = 2431.1 (8) Å³ Z = 8

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn70 diffractometer Radiation source: Rotating Anode Confocal monochromator Detector resolution: 28.5714 pixels mm⁻¹ dtprofit.ref scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2008) $T_{\min} = 0.661, T_{\max} = 0.838$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.114$ S = 0.952331 reflections 155 parameters 3 restraints F(000) = 1216 $D_x = 1.651 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.710747 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3650 reflections $\theta = 3.1-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 123 KBlock, colourless $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4201 measured reflections 2331 independent reflections 1760 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.032$ $\theta_{max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.1^\circ$ $h = -27 \rightarrow 20$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0752P)^2]$	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.70 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.01 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 ,

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates	and isotropic o	r equivalent i	sotropic d	lisplacement	parameters	$(Å^2)$
	1	1	1	1 .	1	\ /

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Br1	0.484097 (17)	0.67444 (4)	1.01744 (4)	0.04059 (19)
C1	0.69767 (15)	0.6276 (2)	1.0597 (3)	0.0180 (7)
C2	0.67216 (16)	0.6364 (3)	1.1818 (3)	0.0197 (7)
H2	0.6985	0.6352	1.2742	0.024*
C3	0.60893 (17)	0.6470 (3)	1.1699 (4)	0.0227 (7)
H3	0.5919	0.6537	1.2536	0.027*
C4	0.57021 (16)	0.6476 (3)	1.0343 (4)	0.0222 (7)
C5	0.59471 (16)	0.6361 (3)	0.9125 (3)	0.0198 (7)
H5	0.5678	0.6343	0.8208	0.024*
C6	0.65841 (15)	0.6271 (3)	0.9228 (3)	0.0164 (7)
C7	0.68243 (15)	0.6269 (3)	0.7906 (3)	0.0179 (7)
H7	0.6542	0.6340	0.7012	0.021*
C8	0.81461 (14)	0.6124 (2)	0.6421 (3)	0.0150 (6)
C9	0.91521 (15)	0.5238 (3)	0.7381 (3)	0.0216 (7)
H9A	0.9408	0.5182	0.8363	0.026*
H9B	0.9339	0.5821	0.6855	0.026*
C10	0.91576 (17)	0.4111 (3)	0.6625 (4)	0.0270 (8)
H10A	0.9008	0.3519	0.7190	0.040*
H10B	0.9581	0.3935	0.6524	0.040*
H10C	0.8887	0.4152	0.5672	0.040*
H1A	0.7730 (17)	0.634 (3)	1.002 (2)	0.032*
H2A	0.7325 (16)	0.680 (2)	0.600 (3)	0.032*
H3A	0.8346 (17)	0.525 (3)	0.810 (3)	0.032*
N1	0.74029 (12)	0.6174 (2)	0.7914 (3)	0.0169 (6)
N2	0.75628 (13)	0.6333 (2)	0.6594 (3)	0.0177 (6)
N3	0.85244 (13)	0.5580 (2)	0.7468 (3)	0.0172 (6)
01	0.75977 (11)	0.62315 (19)	1.0781 (2)	0.0207 (5)
S1	0.83506 (4)	0.65794 (7)	0.48834 (9)	0.0201 (2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0165 (2)	0.0815 (4)	0.0261 (2)	0.00156 (19)	0.00976 (16)	0.00158 (19)
C1	0.0186 (18)	0.0130 (14)	0.0228 (17)	0.0006 (13)	0.0052 (14)	-0.0009 (13)
C2	0.0227 (19)	0.0187 (15)	0.0172 (16)	0.0008 (13)	0.0031 (14)	0.0002 (13)
C3	0.026 (2)	0.0234 (16)	0.0223 (16)	-0.0019 (14)	0.0134 (15)	0.0023 (14)
C4	0.0145 (18)	0.0314 (18)	0.0221 (17)	-0.0023 (14)	0.0066 (14)	-0.0001 (14)
C5	0.0163 (17)	0.0239 (16)	0.0180 (16)	-0.0019 (13)	0.0010 (13)	0.0013 (13)
C6	0.0173 (17)	0.0151 (14)	0.0180 (16)	0.0018 (13)	0.0065 (13)	0.0018 (13)
C7	0.0176 (17)	0.0187 (15)	0.0172 (15)	0.0003 (13)	0.0032 (13)	0.0011 (13)
C8	0.0166 (17)	0.0131 (14)	0.0162 (15)	0.0007 (12)	0.0056 (13)	-0.0023 (13)
C9	0.0152 (17)	0.0291 (17)	0.0198 (16)	0.0029 (14)	0.0017 (13)	0.0026 (14)
C10	0.021 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.0274 (18)	0.0060 (15)	0.0064 (15)	-0.0024 (15)
N1	0.0195 (15)	0.0169 (12)	0.0158 (13)	-0.0005 (11)	0.0073 (11)	0.0006 (11)
N2	0.0166 (15)	0.0213 (13)	0.0164 (13)	0.0046 (11)	0.0060 (11)	0.0034 (11)
N3	0.0145 (14)	0.0227 (14)	0.0145 (13)	0.0024 (11)	0.0036 (11)	0.0030 (11)
01	0.0153 (13)	0.0259 (12)	0.0205 (12)	0.0017 (10)	0.0031 (10)	0.0040 (10)
S 1	0.0192 (5)	0.0253 (4)	0.0175 (4)	0.0038 (3)	0.0079 (3)	0.0032 (3)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C4	1.899 (4)	C8—N3	1.329 (4)	
C101	1.345 (4)	C8—N2	1.351 (4)	
C1—C2	1.393 (5)	C8—S1	1.703 (3)	
C1—C6	1.410 (5)	C9—N3	1.460 (4)	
C2—C3	1.381 (5)	C9—C10	1.517 (5)	
С2—Н2	0.9500	С9—Н9А	0.9900	
C3—C4	1.395 (5)	С9—Н9В	0.9900	
С3—Н3	0.9500	C10—H10A	0.9800	
C4—C5	1.380 (5)	C10—H10B	0.9800	
С5—С6	1.391 (4)	C10—H10C	0.9800	
С5—Н5	0.9500	N1—N2	1.384 (3)	
С6—С7	1.460 (4)	N2—H2A	0.879 (10)	
C7—N1	1.278 (4)	N3—H3A	0.876 (10)	
С7—Н7	0.9500	O1—H1A	0.846 (10)	
O1—C1—C2	117.8 (3)	N3—C8—S1	124.2 (2)	
O1—C1—C6	122.5 (3)	N2-C8-S1	118.0 (2)	
C2—C1—C6	119.6 (3)	N3-C9-C10	111.7 (3)	
C3—C2—C1	120.6 (3)	N3—C9—H9A	109.3	
С3—С2—Н2	119.7	С10—С9—Н9А	109.3	
С1—С2—Н2	119.7	N3—C9—H9B	109.3	
C2—C3—C4	119.7 (3)	C10—C9—H9B	109.3	
С2—С3—Н3	120.2	H9A—C9—H9B	107.9	
С4—С3—Н3	120.2	C9—C10—H10A	109.5	
C5—C4—C3	120.4 (3)	C9—C10—H10B	109.5	
C5-C4-Br1	120.0 (3)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5	

supporting information

C3—C4—Br1	119.5 (3)	C9—C10—H10C	109.5	
C4—C5—C6	120.6 (3)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5	
С4—С5—Н5	119.7	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5	
С6—С5—Н5	119.7	C7—N1—N2	114.8 (3)	
C5—C6—C1	119.1 (3)	C8—N2—N1	120.6 (3)	
С5—С6—С7	118.4 (3)	C8—N2—H2A	120 (3)	
C1—C6—C7	122.2 (3)	N1—N2—H2A	116 (3)	
N1—C7—C6	122.0 (3)	C8—N3—C9	123.4 (3)	
N1—C7—H7	119.0	C8—N3—H3A	115 (3)	
С6—С7—Н7	119.0	C9—N3—H3A	119 (3)	
N3—C8—N2	117.8 (3)	C1—O1—H1A	114 (3)	

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
01—H1A…N1	0.84 (3)	2.00 (2)	2.674 (3)	137 (3)
N2— $H2A$ ···S1 ⁱ	0.88 (3)	2.47 (3)	3.316 (3)	161 (2)
N3—H3A····S1 ⁱⁱ	0.87 (3)	2.75 (3)	3.510 (3)	146 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, -y+3/2, -z+1; (ii) x, -y+1, z+1/2.