metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis(1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) di-µ-chlorido-bis[tetrachloridoantimonate(III)] dihydrate

Tarek Ben Rhaiem, Habib Boughzala* and Ahmed Driss

Laboratoire de Matériaux et Cristallochimie, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Université de Tunis El Manar, 2092 Manar II Tunis, Tunisia Correspondence e-mail: habib.boughzala@ipein.rnu.tn

Received 29 April 2013; accepted 13 May 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; Hatom completeness 88%; R factor = 0.023; wR factor = 0.061; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.7.

The title salt, $(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[Sb_2Cl_{10}]\cdot 2H_2O$, was obtained by slow evaporation of an acidic solution of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane and SbCl₃. The crystal structure consists of $(C_6H_{14}N_2)^{2+}$ cations, $[Sb_2Cl_{10}]^{4-}$ double octahedra and lattice water molecules. All molecular components are situated on special positions. The cation and the lattice water molecule exhibit mirror symmetry, whereas the anion has site symmetry 2/m. The cations, anions and water molecules are alternately arranged into columns along [010]. Individual columns are joined into layers extending along (001). Intralayer $N-H\cdots O$ and interlayer $N-H\cdots Cl$ hydrogen-bonding interactions lead to the formation of a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For background to this class of compounds, see: Pietraszko *et al.* (2001); Feng *et al.* (2007); Bujak & Zaleski (1999); Knodler *et al.* (1988); Baker & Williams (1978). For a related structure, see: Qu & Sun (2005).



Experimental

Crystal data (C₆H₁₄N₂)₂[Sb₂Cl₁₀]·2H₂O

 $M_r = 862.46$

Orthorhombic, *Pnnm* a = 9.162 (1) Å b = 20.869 (7) Å c = 7.566 (2) Å V = 1446.8 (7) Å³

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	1700 independent reflections
diffractometer	1488 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.029$
(North et al., 1968)	2 standard reflections every 120 min
$T_{\min} = 0.334, T_{\max} = 0.431$	intensity decay: 1%
2797 measured reflections	

Z = 2

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.50 \times 0.43 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$

 $\mu = 2.81 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 298 K

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$	82 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.061$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.08	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.59 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1700 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H1···Cl2	0.91	2.59	3.340 (4)	140
N1-H1···Cl3	0.91	2.77	3.390 (3)	126
$N1 - H1 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl3^i$	0.91	2.77	3.390 (3)	126
$N2-H2\cdots O$	0.91	2.00	2.780 (4)	143

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, -z + 1.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Duisenberg, 1992); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: WM2740).

References

Baker, W. A. & Williams, D. E. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1111-1116.

Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Bujak, M. & Zaleski, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1775–1778.

- Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 92-96.
- Feng, W.-J., Wang, H.-B., Ma, X.-J., Li, H.-Y. & Jin, Z.-M. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m1786–m1787.

Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. Knodler, R., Ensinger, U., Schwarz, W. & Schmidt, A. (1988). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 557, 208–218.

North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.

Pietraszko, A., Bednarska-Bolek, B., Jakubas, R. & Zielinski, P. (2001). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 13, 6471–6488.

Qu, Y. & Sun, X.-M. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m2121-m2123.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, m330 [doi:10.1107/S160053681301307X]

Bis(1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) di-µ-chlorido-bis-[tetrachloridoantimonate(III)] dihydrate

Tarek Ben Rhaiem, Habib Boughzala and Ahmed Driss

S1. Comment

Halogenidoantimonates(III) and halogenidobismuthates(III) with organic cations defined by the general formula $R_aM_bX_{3b+a}$ (where *R* is an organic cation; *M* is Sb^{III} or/and Bi^{III} and *X* is Cl, Br or/and I) are an interesting group of compounds due to their ferroelectric properties (Pietraszko *et al.*, 2001). Halogenidoantimonates(III) constitute a group of salts in which a number of compounds have a similar structural arrangement (Feng *et al.*, 2007; Bujak & Zaleski, 1999; Knodler *et al.*, 1988; Baker & Williams, 1978). Recently, the new chloridoantimonate(III), $(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[Sb_2Cl_{10}]$ ·2H₂O, has been synthesized in our laboratory. The synthesis and the structure determination are presented here.

The crystal structure of the title compound is formed by an alterning packing of layers along [001] (Fig. 1). Each layer spreads parallel to (001) and is located at x = 0 and x = 0.5 and consists of columns extending along [010] of alternating cations, anions and water molecules (Fig. 2).

The $[Sb_2Cl_{10}]^4$ anion has site symmetry 2/*m* and is composed of two distorted, edge-sharing SbCl₆ octahedra. The *cis* Sb–Cl–Sb angles vary from 81.11 (2) to 104.42 (1)°, whereas the *trans* angles are between 164.64 (4) and 173.84 (1)°. The longest Sb1–Cl4 bond length (2.9107 (8) Å) corresponds to the bridging chlorine atom while the shortest one, Sb1–Cl3 (2.4904 (7) Å) is terminal and located in opposite direction to the bridging one (Fig. 2). The anionic charge is balanced by organic (C₆H₁₄N₂)²⁺ (DABCO) cations that exhibit mirror symmetry. Bond lengths and angles in the (C₆H₁₄N₂)²⁺ cation are within normal ranges and are comparable with those observed in a related structure (Qu & Sun, 2005).

The cohesion of the layers is ensured by N—H…O and N—H…Cl hydrogen bonds between organic cations, inorganic anions and the water molecules (Fig. 2, Table 1).

S2. Experimental

A mixture of $SbCl_3$ (0.23 g, 1 mmol) and DABCO (0.11 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in an aqueous solution of hydrochloric and stirred for several minutes at room temperature. Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature over 2 weeks.

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen positions of the water molecule could not be located reliably and were eventually omitted from refinement. The C—H and N—H hydrogen atom positions were placed geometrically. They were included in the refinement using the riding-model approximation, with distance constraints of C—H = 0.97 Å, N—H = 0.91 and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$.



Figure 1

Three-dimensional view of $(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[Sb_2Cl_{10}]\cdot 2H_2O$ showing the crystal packing. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding (dashed lines) have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2

An *ORTEP* plot of the molecular entities of $(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[Sb_2Cl_{10}]$ ²H₂O, showing the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radius. [Symmetry code: (i) *x*, *y*, 1 - *z*; (ii) 1 - *x*, 1 - *y*, *z*; (iii) 1 - *x*, 1 - *y*, 1 - *z*.]

Bis(1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) di-µ-chlorido-bis[tetrachloridoantimonate(III)] dihydrate

Crystal data	
$(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[Sb_2Cl_{10}]\cdot 2H_2O$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.980 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 862.46$	Melting point: 571 K
Orthorhombic, Pnnm	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2 2n	Cell parameters from 1700 reflections
a = 9.162 (1) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 27.0^{\circ}$
b = 20.869 (7) Å	$\mu = 2.81 \ { m mm^{-1}}$
c = 7.566 (2) Å	T = 298 K
V = 1446.8 (7) Å ³	Prism, colourless
Z = 2	$0.50 \times 0.43 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$
F(000) = 840	

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	1700 independent reflections
diffractometer	1488 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.029$
Graphite monochromator	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^\circ, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^\circ$
non–profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -1 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$k = -26 \rightarrow 1$
(North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$l = -9 \longrightarrow 3$
$T_{\min} = 0.334, \ T_{\max} = 0.431$	2 standard reflections every 120 min
2797 measured reflections	intensity decay: 1%
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Laget gauges matrix, full	noighbouring sitos

Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ $wR(F^2) = 0.061$ S = 1.081700 reflections 82 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0268P)^2 + 1.1425P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.59 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.0099 (5)

Special details

Experimental. Number of psi-scan sets used was 5 Theta correction was applied. Averaged transmission function was used. No Fourier smoothing was applied.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Sb	0.40193 (3)	0.407356 (11)	0.5000	0.03327 (11)	
Cl1	0.16885 (14)	0.46510 (7)	0.5000	0.0678 (4)	
Cl2	0.62462 (11)	0.31027 (6)	0.5000	0.0471 (3)	
C13	0.30372 (8)	0.33776 (3)	0.26053 (10)	0.04669 (19)	
Cl4	0.5000	0.5000	0.23812 (14)	0.0534 (3)	
N1	0.3554 (4)	0.20234 (16)	0.5000	0.0406 (8)	
H1	0.3861	0.2438	0.5000	0.049*	
N2	0.2710 (4)	0.09024 (15)	0.5000	0.0443 (8)	
H2	0.2394	0.0489	0.5000	0.053*	
C1	0.1931 (4)	0.20125 (18)	0.5000	0.0433 (9)	
H1A	0.1563	0.2231	0.3961	0.052*	0.50
H1B	0.1563	0.2231	0.6039	0.052*	0.50

supporting information

C2	0.1428 (5)	0.1332 (2)	0.5000	0.0537 (12)		
H2A	0.0836	0.1251	0.6039	0.064*	0.50	
H2B	0.0836	0.1251	0.3961	0.064*	0.50	
C3	0.4100 (3)	0.17034 (16)	0.6617 (5)	0.0535 (8)		
H3A	0.3730	0.1921	0.7657	0.064*		
H3B	0.5158	0.1720	0.6646	0.064*		
C4	0.3595 (4)	0.10141 (15)	0.6615 (5)	0.0541 (8)		
H4A	0.4432	0.0729	0.6626	0.065*		
H4B	0.3013	0.0929	0.7660	0.065*		
0	0.3071 (4)	-0.04203 (13)	0.5000	0.0522 (8)		

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Sb	0.03290 (16)	0.02973 (15)	0.03718 (16)	-0.00263 (10)	0.000	0.000
Cl1	0.0468 (6)	0.0716 (8)	0.0850 (9)	0.0239 (6)	0.000	0.000
Cl2	0.0379 (5)	0.0543 (6)	0.0492 (6)	0.0080 (4)	0.000	0.000
C13	0.0517 (4)	0.0401 (4)	0.0483 (4)	-0.0104 (3)	-0.0131 (3)	0.0003 (3)
Cl4	0.0639 (7)	0.0548 (6)	0.0414 (5)	0.0027 (5)	0.000	0.000
N1	0.0392 (17)	0.0292 (15)	0.053 (2)	-0.0064 (13)	0.000	0.000
N2	0.050 (2)	0.0268 (15)	0.056 (2)	0.0011 (14)	0.000	0.000
C1	0.036 (2)	0.0315 (18)	0.062 (3)	0.0061 (16)	0.000	0.000
C2	0.0314 (19)	0.039 (2)	0.091 (4)	-0.0053 (18)	0.000	0.000
C3	0.0447 (16)	0.0601 (19)	0.0557 (19)	-0.0037 (14)	-0.0143 (15)	-0.0024 (16)
C4	0.0640 (19)	0.0475 (16)	0.0508 (18)	0.0084 (14)	-0.0059 (17)	0.0100 (15)
0	0.0487 (17)	0.0359 (15)	0.072 (2)	-0.0019 (13)	0.000	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sb—Cl1	2.4520 (12)	N2—H2	0.9100	
Sb—Cl3 ⁱ	2.4904 (7)	C1—C2	1.492 (6)	
Sb—Cl3	2.4904 (7)	C1—H1A	0.9700	
Sb—Cl2	2.8755 (11)	C1—H1B	0.9700	
Sb—Cl4	2.9107 (8)	C2—H2A	0.9700	
N1—C3	1.481 (4)	C2—H2B	0.9700	
N1-C3 ⁱ	1.481 (4)	C3—C4	1.511 (4)	
N1C1	1.487 (5)	С3—НЗА	0.9700	
N1—H1	0.9100	С3—Н3В	0.9700	
N2—C2	1.478 (5)	C4—H4A	0.9700	
N2-C4 ⁱ	1.485 (4)	C4—H4B	0.9700	
N2—C4	1.485 (4)			
Cl1—Sb—Cl3 ⁱ	88.39 (3)	N1—C1—H1A	109.9	
Cl1—Sb—Cl3	88.39 (3)	C2—C1—H1A	109.9	
Cl3 ⁱ —Sb—Cl3	93.37 (3)	N1—C1—H1B	109.9	
Cl1—Sb—Cl2	164.64 (4)	C2—C1—H1B	109.9	
Cl3 ⁱ —Sb—Cl2	81.11 (2)	H1A—C1—H1B	108.3	
Cl3—Sb—Cl2	81.11 (2)	N2-C2-C1	109.4 (3)	

Cl4—Sb—Cl3	90.19 (1)	N2—C2—H2A	109.8
Cl4—Sb—Cl3 ⁱ	173.84 (1)	C1—C2—H2A	109.8
Cl4—Sb—Cl1	86.69 (4)	N2—C2—H2B	109.8
Cl4—Sb—Cl2	104.42 (1)	C1—C2—H2B	109.8
C3—N1—C3 ⁱ	111.4 (3)	H2A—C2—H2B	108.2
C3—N1—C1	109.3 (2)	N1—C3—C4	109.0 (3)
C3 ⁱ —N1—C1	109.3 (2)	N1—C3—H3A	109.9
C3—N1—H1	108.9	С4—С3—НЗА	109.9
C3 ⁱ —N1—H1	108.9	N1—C3—H3B	109.9
C1—N1—H1	108.9	С4—С3—Н3В	109.9
$C2$ — $N2$ — $C4^{i}$	109.8 (2)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	108.3
C2—N2—C4	109.8 (2)	N2-C4-C3	108.5 (3)
C4 ⁱ —N2—C4	110.8 (4)	N2—C4—H4A	110.0
C2—N2—H2	108.8	C3—C4—H4A	110.0
C4 ⁱ —N2—H2	108.8	N2—C4—H4B	110.0
C4—N2—H2	108.8	C3—C4—H4B	110.0
N1—C1—C2	108.9 (3)	H4A—C4—H4B	108.4

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H…A
N1—H1…Cl2	0.91	2.59	3.340 (4)	140
N1—H1…Cl3	0.91	2.77	3.390 (3)	126
N1—H1···Cl3 ⁱ	0.91	2.77	3.390 (3)	126
N2—H2…O	0.91	2.00	2.780 (4)	143

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, -z+1.