

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# A co-crystal of 1,10-phenanthroline with boric acid: a novel aza-aromatic complex

Arsalan Mirjafari,<sup>a</sup> Lam Pham,<sup>b</sup> Philip J. Smith,<sup>b</sup> Richard E. Sykora<sup>b</sup> and James H. Davis Jr<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Florida Gulf Coast University, Department of Chemistry and Mathematics, Fort Myers, FL 33965-6565, USA, and <sup>b</sup>University of South Alabama, Department of Chemistry, Mobile, AL 36688-0002 Correspondence e-mail: jdavis@southalabama.edu

Received 29 May 2013; accepted 31 May 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.036; wR factor = 0.096; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0.

The title compound,  $C_{12}H_8N_2\cdot 2B(OH)_3$ , is best described as a host-guest complex in which the  $B(OH)_3$  molecules form a hydrogen-bonded cyclic network of layers parallel to the *ab* plane into which the 1,10-phenanthroline molecules are bound. An extensive network of hydrogen bonds are responsible for the crystal stability. No  $\pi$ -stacking interactions occur between the 1,10-phenanthroline molecules.

#### **Related literature**

For the design and synthesis of novel systems of non-covalent hosts involving hydrogen bonds, see: Pedireddi et al. (1997). In the field of supermolecular synthesis, recognition between the complementary functional groups is a main factor for the evaluation of influence of noncovalent interactions in the formation of specific architecture, see: Lehn (1990). The ability of the -B(OH)<sub>2</sub> functionality to form a variety of hydrogen bonds through different conformations makes it a very suitable moiety for the synthesis of novel molecular complexes, see: Lee et al. (2005). It is known to have an affinity for pyridyl N atoms, often forming O-H···N hydrogen bonds, as observed in some crystals of boronic acids with aza compounds (Talwelkar & Pedireddi, 2010). Non-covalent hosts are generally designed and synthesized by employing appropriate functional groups at required symmetry positions to form a cyclic network through the hydrogen bonds, see: Pedireddi (2001). This effect has been observed in simple molecular adducts such as 1,10-phenanthroline and water (Tian et al., 1995).



 $\gamma = 90.644 \ (13)^{\circ}$ 

Z = 2

V = 703.05 (19) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.35 \times 0.16 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$ 

& Reid (1995)]

 $T_{\min} = 0.956, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 

10473 measured reflections

2580 independent reflections

1972 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 295 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.023$ 

#### Experimental

Crvstal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{12}H_8N_2\cdot 2BH_3O_3\\ M_r = 303.87\\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1}\\ a = 7.1390 \ (13) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 9.6189 \ (13) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 10.4756 \ (15) \ \text{\AA}\\ \alpha = 93.767 \ (11)^\circ\\ \beta = 101.546 \ (14)^\circ \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

```
Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
[CrysAlis PRO (Oxford
Diffraction, 2011) based on Clark
```

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ 199 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.096$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.02 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.17$  e Å $^{-3}$ 2580 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.13$  e Å $^{-3}$ 

#### Table 1

```
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).
```

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O1-H1···N2	0.85	1.90	2.7360 (16)	169
$O2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	0.85	1.88	2.7132 (17)	167
$O3-H3 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{i}$	0.85	1.86	2.7076 (15)	177
$O4-H4\cdots O3^{i}$	0.85	1.89	2.7286 (16)	16
O5−H5···O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	1.89	2.7355 (18)	179
$O6-H6\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.85	1.95	2.7946 (17)	172

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iii) x, y - 1, z.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2011); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors acknowledge the National Science Foundation for their generous support (NSF-CAREER grant to RES, CHE-0846680).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: EZ2287).

#### References

- Clark, R. C. & Reid, J. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 887-897.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.

- Lee, S. O., Kariuki, B. M. & Harris, K. D. M. (2005). New. J. Chem. 29, 1266–1271.
- Lehn, J. M. (1990). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 29, 1304-1319.
- Oxford Diffraction (2011). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Pedireddi, V. R. (2001). Cryst. Growth Des. 1, 383-385.
- Pedireddi, V. R., Chatterjee, S., Ranganathan, A. & Rao, C. N. R. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 10867–10868.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Talwelkar, M. & Pedireddi, V. R. (2010). Tetrahedron Lett. 51, 6901-6905.
- Tian, Y.-P., Duan, C.-Y., Xu, X.-X. & You, X.-Z. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2309– 2312.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o1067–o1068 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536813015134]

# A co-crystal of 1,10-phenanthroline with boric acid: a novel aza-aromatic complex

### Arsalan Mirjafari, Lam Pham, Philip J. Smith, Richard E. Sykora and James H. Davis

#### S1. Comment

The design and synthesis of novel systems of noncovalent hosts involving hydrogen bonds is a vast research area in both molecular and supermolecular chemistry, see Pedireddi *et al.* (1997). In the field of supermolecular synthesis, recognition between the complementary functional groups is a main factor for the evaluation of influence of noncovalent interaction in the formation of specific architecture, see: Lehn (1990). In recent times, boric acid derivatives have been well considered to be potential co-crystal formers. In fact, the ability of  $-B(OH)_2$  functionality to form a variety of hydrogen bonds through different conformations makes it a very suitable moiety for the synthesis of novel molecular complexes, see Lee *et al.* (2005). The  $-B(OH)_2$  moiety is known to have an affinity for pyridyl N-atoms, often forming O—H···N hydrogen bonds, as observed in some crystals of boronic acids with aza compounds, see Talwelkar & Pedireddi (2010).

Non-covalent hosts are generally designed and synthesized by employing appropriate functional groups at required symmetry positions to form a cyclic network through the hydrogen bonds, see Pedireddi (2001). This effect has been observed vividly in simple molecular adduct such as 1,10-phenanthroline and water, see Tian *et al.* (1995). In this complex, a water molecule interacts with a molecule of 1,10-phenanthroline through O–H…N hydrogen bonds and an unique aza-aromatic complex is formed. In the latter, 1,10-phenanthroline could be considered as a host. Herein, we report the crystal structure of boric acid with 1,10-phenanthroline as an aza-donor compound.

As seen in Figure 1, the phen molecule forms a H-bonded adduct *via* two B–O–H···N interacts from one of the included B(OH)<sub>3</sub> moieties. A strong network of hydrogen bonds among the B(OH)<sub>3</sub> units forms a layered structure with alternating B(OH)<sub>3</sub> and phen layers that reside in the *ab* planes (Figure 2). The B(OH)<sub>3</sub> layers alone can be described as a cyclic network formed by hydrogen bonding interactions as can be seen in Figure 3. There are not any significant  $\pi$ -stacking interactions between the phen molecules.

#### **S2.** Experimental

 $(CH_3)_3NBH_3$  (0.73 g, 10 mmol) and iodine (2.54 g, 5 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (4 ml) and stirred for 30 min. A solution of 1,10-phenanthroline (1.98 g, 10 mmol) in toluene (4 ml) was added, and the mixture refluxed overnight. The solution was cooled to room temperature, during which process orange-brown crystals were formed. The product was recrystallized twice from CH<sub>3</sub>CN to obtain analytically pure, red-brown crystalline product.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  9.22 (dd, *J* = 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 8.67 (dd, *J* = 6.3, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (s, 2H), 7.93 (q, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 6.62 (br, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta_{\rm C}$  151.67, 146.27, 139.09, 130.58, 128.77, 125.66.

#### **S3. Refinement**

H-atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and C—H distances of 0.93 Å for the aromatic H atoms and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  and O—H distances of 0.85 Å for

#### hydroxyl H atoms.



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of **I**, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level.







Figure 3

A representation of the two-dimensional B(OH)<sub>3</sub> layers formed via hydrogen bonding in the structure of I.

Boric acid-1,10-phenanthroline (2/1)

Crystal data

 $C_{12}H_8N_2 \cdot 2BH_3O_3$   $M_r = 303.87$ Triclinic, *P*1 Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.1390 (13) Å b = 9.6189 (13) Å c = 10.4756 (15) Å  $a = 93.767 (11)^{\circ}$   $\beta = 101.546 (14)^{\circ}$   $\gamma = 90.644 (13)^{\circ}$  $V = 703.05 (19) \text{ Å}^3$ 

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.0514 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> Z = 2 F(000) = 316  $D_x = 1.435 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3335 reflections  $\theta = 3.2-25.3^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 K Prism, brown  $0.35 \times 0.16 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan [*CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2011) based on Clark & Reid (1995)]  $T_{\min} = 0.956, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 

$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.2^{\circ}$
$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H-atom parameters constrained
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.044P)^2 + 0.1204P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
B1	0.0170 (3)	0.65229 (18)	0.64598 (18)	0.0421 (4)	
B2	0.2786 (3)	-0.00132 (19)	0.59856 (18)	0.0439 (4)	
C1	0.1542 (2)	0.83587 (17)	1.04155 (17)	0.0488 (4)	
H1A	0.1058	0.9001	0.9816	0.059*	
C2	0.1999 (3)	0.8822 (2)	1.17305 (19)	0.0573 (5)	
H2A	0.1815	0.9743	1.1999	0.069*	
C3	0.2718 (3)	0.7893 (2)	1.26068 (18)	0.0574 (5)	
H3A	0.3014	0.8168	1.3493	0.069*	
C4	0.3018 (2)	0.65145 (18)	1.21789 (15)	0.0464 (4)	
C5	0.2496 (2)	0.61314 (16)	1.08273 (14)	0.0369 (4)	
C6	0.3852 (3)	0.5520 (2)	1.30610 (17)	0.0587 (5)	
H6A	0.4195	0.5784	1.3948	0.070*	
C7	0.4149 (3)	0.4217 (2)	1.26368 (18)	0.0567 (5)	
H7	0.4714	0.3593	1.3231	0.068*	
C8	0.3612 (2)	0.37654 (17)	1.12795 (16)	0.0434 (4)	
C9	0.2778 (2)	0.47095 (15)	1.03670 (14)	0.0361 (3)	
C10	0.3890 (2)	0.23972 (17)	1.08186 (18)	0.0521 (5)	
H10	0.4451	0.1755	1.1396	0.062*	
C11	0.3337 (2)	0.20134 (17)	0.95254 (18)	0.0513 (4)	
H11	0.3496	0.1107	0.9206	0.062*	
C12	0.2522 (2)	0.30118 (16)	0.86861 (16)	0.0449 (4)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

# supporting information

0.2150	0 2741	0.7002	0.054*
0.2150	0.2/41	0.7802	0.054*
0.17492 (17)	0.70652 (13)	0.99611 (12)	0.0403 (3)
0.22495 (17)	0.43158 (12)	0.90711 (12)	0.0385 (3)
0.07961 (17)	0.52138 (11)	0.66548 (10)	0.0515 (3)
0.1261	0.5050	0.7441	0.077*
0.02929 (18)	0.75343 (11)	0.74334 (11)	0.0548 (3)
0.0796	0.7268	0.8180	0.082*
-0.06394 (19)	0.68679 (11)	0.52361 (11)	0.0583 (4)
-0.0642	0.6217	0.4646	0.087*
0.31472 (16)	0.10158 (11)	0.52210 (12)	0.0539 (3)
0.2251	0.1595	0.5081	0.081*
0.39825 (18)	-0.11040 (12)	0.61562 (12)	0.0587 (3)
0.4881	-0.1068	0.5734	0.088*
0.12843 (18)	0.00858 (12)	0.65864 (12)	0.0584 (3)
0.1101	-0.0702	0.6871	0.088*
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2150\\ 0.17492\ (17)\\ 0.22495\ (17)\\ 0.07961\ (17)\\ 0.1261\\ 0.02929\ (18)\\ 0.0796\\ -0.06394\ (19)\\ -0.0642\\ 0.31472\ (16)\\ 0.2251\\ 0.39825\ (18)\\ 0.4881\\ 0.12843\ (18)\\ 0.1101\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
B1	0.0496 (11)	0.0403 (10)	0.0372 (10)	0.0098 (8)	0.0080 (8)	0.0079 (8)
B2	0.0530 (12)	0.0390 (10)	0.0376 (10)	0.0063 (8)	0.0042 (9)	0.0014 (8)
C1	0.0501 (10)	0.0455 (10)	0.0492 (10)	0.0076 (7)	0.0074 (8)	-0.0006 (8)
C2	0.0585 (11)	0.0549 (11)	0.0557 (11)	0.0042 (9)	0.0099 (9)	-0.0129 (9)
C3	0.0567 (11)	0.0713 (13)	0.0406 (10)	-0.0023 (9)	0.0063 (8)	-0.0125 (9)
C4	0.0398 (9)	0.0612 (11)	0.0362 (9)	-0.0027 (8)	0.0036 (7)	0.0018 (8)
C5	0.0307 (8)	0.0468 (9)	0.0328 (8)	-0.0008 (6)	0.0049 (6)	0.0055 (7)
C6	0.0639 (12)	0.0747 (13)	0.0333 (9)	-0.0030 (10)	-0.0013 (8)	0.0078 (9)
C7	0.0568 (11)	0.0685 (13)	0.0422 (10)	0.0011 (9)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0214 (9)
C8	0.0355 (9)	0.0518 (10)	0.0430 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0045 (7)	0.0144 (7)
C9	0.0301 (8)	0.0432 (9)	0.0354 (8)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0056 (6)	0.0091 (7)
C10	0.0482 (10)	0.0480 (10)	0.0603 (12)	0.0058 (8)	0.0051 (9)	0.0234 (9)
C11	0.0540 (10)	0.0400 (9)	0.0611 (12)	0.0059 (7)	0.0121 (9)	0.0102 (8)
C12	0.0496 (10)	0.0401 (9)	0.0447 (9)	0.0034 (7)	0.0080 (8)	0.0046 (7)
N1	0.0409 (7)	0.0419 (7)	0.0380 (7)	0.0046 (6)	0.0071 (6)	0.0032 (6)
N2	0.0397 (7)	0.0395 (7)	0.0362 (7)	0.0021 (5)	0.0062 (6)	0.0064 (6)
01	0.0702 (8)	0.0450 (6)	0.0346 (6)	0.0199 (5)	-0.0025 (5)	0.0063 (5)
O2	0.0850 (9)	0.0415 (6)	0.0373 (6)	0.0096 (6)	0.0093 (6)	0.0062 (5)
O3	0.0905 (9)	0.0455 (7)	0.0360 (6)	0.0270 (6)	0.0032 (6)	0.0077 (5)
O4	0.0538 (7)	0.0472 (7)	0.0644 (8)	0.0162 (5)	0.0151 (6)	0.0198 (6)
O5	0.0678 (8)	0.0517 (7)	0.0620 (8)	0.0202 (6)	0.0188 (6)	0.0226 (6)
O6	0.0742 (9)	0.0463 (7)	0.0606 (8)	0.0099 (6)	0.0266 (7)	0.0060 (6)

### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

B1—O2	1.351 (2)	С6—Н6А	0.9300	
B1—01	1.355 (2)	C7—C8	1.433 (2)	
B1—O3	1.361 (2)	C7—H7	0.9300	
B2—O6	1.349 (2)	C8—C10	1.402 (2)	

# supporting information

B2—O5	1.359 (2)	C8—C9	1.411 (2)
B2—O4	1.367 (2)	C9—N2	1.3612 (19)
C1—N1	1.323 (2)	C10—C11	1.358 (2)
C1—C2	1.393 (2)	С10—Н10	0.9300
C1—H1A	0.9300	C11—C12	1.397 (2)
C2—C3	1.355 (3)	C11—H11	0.9300
C2—H2A	0.9300	C12—N2	1.3207 (19)
C3—C4	1.404 (2)	С12—Н12	0.9300
С3—НЗА	0.9300	O1—H1	0.8500
C4—C5	1.413 (2)	O2—H2	0.8501
C4—C6	1.425 (2)	O3—H3	0.8500
C5—N1	1.3559 (19)	O4—H4	0.8501
С5—С9	1.450 (2)	O5—H5	0.8501
C6—C7	1.336 (3)	O6—H6	0.8501
O2—B1—O1	123.27 (15)	С6—С7—Н7	119.5
O2—B1—O3	116.79 (14)	С8—С7—Н7	119.5
O1—B1—O3	119.94 (15)	C10—C8—C9	118.24 (15)
O6—B2—O5	121.00 (16)	C10—C8—C7	121.84 (15)
O6—B2—O4	119.75 (15)	C9—C8—C7	119.92 (16)
O5—B2—O4	119.23 (17)	N2	121.47 (14)
N1—C1—C2	124.43 (17)	N2—C9—C5	119.64 (13)
N1—C1—H1A	117.8	C8—C9—C5	118.88 (14)
C2—C1—H1A	117.8	C11—C10—C8	119.70 (15)
C3—C2—C1	118.03 (16)	C11—C10—H10	120.2
C3—C2—H2A	121.0	C8—C10—H10	120.2
C1—C2—H2A	121.0	C10-C11-C12	118.49 (16)
C2—C3—C4	120.11 (16)	C10—C11—H11	120.8
С2—С3—НЗА	119.9	C12—C11—H11	120.8
С4—С3—НЗА	119.9	N2-C12-C11	124.05 (15)
C3—C4—C5	118.01 (16)	N2—C12—H12	118.0
C3—C4—C6	121.94 (16)	C11—C12—H12	118.0
C5—C4—C6	120.05 (16)	C1—N1—C5	118.04 (13)
N1—C5—C4	121.35 (14)	C12—N2—C9	118.04 (13)
N1—C5—C9	119.78 (13)	B1	115.9
C4—C5—C9	118.86 (14)	B1—O2—H2	113.4
C7—C6—C4	121.23 (16)	B1—O3—H3	114.0
С7—С6—Н6А	119.4	B2—O4—H4	113.0
C4—C6—H6A	119.4	B2—O5—H5	113.6
C6—C7—C8	121.04 (16)	B2—O6—H6	108.1

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H1…N2	0.85	1.90	2.7360 (16)	168.9
O2—H2…N1	0.85	1.88	2.7132 (17)	167.4
O3—H3···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	1.86	2.7076 (15)	176.8
O4—H4···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	1.89	2.7286 (16)	169.1

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o1067–o1068

			supporting	supporting information		
O5—H5…O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85	1.89	2.7355 (18)	179.0		
O6—H6…O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.85	1.95	2.7946 (17)	171.8		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*.