

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## catena-Poly[bis(µ<sub>3</sub>-2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^{3}O,O':O$ )bis( $\mu_{2}$ -2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^2 O:O'$ )dicopper(II)(Cu—Cu)]

### Meriem Benslimane,<sup>a</sup>\* Yasmine Kheira Redjel,<sup>a</sup> Hocine Merazig<sup>a</sup> and Jean-Claude Daran<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Unité de Recherche de Chimie de l'Environnement et Moléculaire Structurale. Faculté des Sciences Exactes, Département de Chimie, Université de Constantine 1, 25000 Constantine, Algeria, and <sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, UPR-CNRS 8241, 205 route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France Correspondence e-mail: b\_meriem80@yahoo.fr

Received 16 May 2013; accepted 6 June 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 180 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.016 Å; R factor = 0.082; wR factor = 0.254; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5.

The title polymeric compound,  $[Cu_2(C_8H_7O_2)_4]_n$ , was synthesized by the reaction of copper acetate with aqueous phenylacetic acid. The unique Cu<sup>II</sup> atom is coordinated by five O atoms from the carboxylate groups of phenylacetate ligands, and the strongly distorted octahedral coordination environment is completed by a Cu - Cu bond of 2.581 (2) Å, at whose mid-point is located an inversion centre. The crystal structure consists of infinite polymeric linear chains of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions, running along [100], linked by bridging phenylacetate groups.

### **Related literature**

For the biological activity of divalent transition metals, see: Stem et al. (1990); Kimura (1994). For related compounds, see: Cui et al. (1999); Kong et al. (2005a,b).



4056 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$ 

2382 independent reflections

1927 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

## **Experimental**

### Crystal data

$[Cu_2(C_8H_7O_2)_4]$	V = 1382.4 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 667.62$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 5.1829 (6) Å	$\mu = 1.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 26.328 (4)  Å	$T = 180 { m K}$
c = 10.2279 (13)  Å	$0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.01 \ \mathrm{mm}$
$\beta = 97.892 \ (7)^{\circ}$	

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2008)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.552, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.745$ 

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.082$ 190 parameters  $wR(F^2) = 0.254$ H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 2.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^-$ S = 1.20 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 2382 reflections

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) and ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This work was supported by the University of Constantine 1.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LR2106).

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.
- Bruker (2008). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2012). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Cui, Y., Zheng, F. & Huang, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1067-1069.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Kimura, E. (1994). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 41, 443-491.
- Kong, L.-L., Huo, L.-H., Gao, S. & Zhao, J.-G. (2005a). Acta Cryst. E61, m2485-m2487.
- Kong, L.-L., Huo, L.-H., Gao, S. & Zhao, J.-G. (2005b). Acta Cryst. E61, m2289-m2290.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Stem, M. K., Bashkin, J. K. & Sail, E. D. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 5357-5359.

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2013). E69, m397 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S160053681301581X] *catena*-Poly[bis( $\mu_3$ -2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^3 O, O':O$ )bis( $\mu_2$ -2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^2 O:O'$ )dicopper(II)(*Cu*—*Cu*)]

## Meriem Benslimane, Yasmine Kheira Redjel, Hocine Merazig and Jean-Claude Daran

## S1. Comment

Carboxylate groups may interact as bridging ligands with divalent transition metals present in the biological environment, thereby altering the bioavailability of the drug. Moreover, it is well known that many complexes of divalent transition metals are capable of catalyzing the hydrolysis of RNA (Stem *et al.*, 1990; Kimura, 1994). The coordination chemistry of polynuclear Cu<sup>2+</sup> complexes bridged by phenylacetate has not been much reported. To date, we have found only two report of a dinuclear Co<sup>2+</sup> complexes, namely tetrakis(phenylacetato)bis[(quinoline-*N*)-cobalt(II)] (Cui *et al.*, 1999),  $\mu$ -Aqua- $\kappa^2 O:O$ -di- $\mu$ -phenylacetato- $\kappa^4 O:O'$ -bis[(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N,N'$ )(phenylacetato- $\kappa O$ )cobalt(II)](Kong *et al.*, 2005*a*) and dinuclear Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex, namely tetrakis(phenylacetato)bis-[(*N*,*N*-dimethylformamide)copper(II)] (Kong *et al.*, 2005*b*), in which all phenylacetate groups are in bidendate bridging modes. In this paper, we describe the crystal structure of new polymeric complex obtained by reaction of phenylacetic acid with copper(II) acetate.

The molecular geometry of the title compound is illustrated in Fig.1. Each  $Cu^{II}$  atom is six-coordinated by five O atoms from carboxylate groups of the phenylacetate and is completed by a Cu—Cu bond in a strongly distorted octahedral coordination, in which an inversion center is located at the mid-point of the Cu—Cu bond with a Cu…Cu distance is 2.581 (2) Å. The Cu—O bond length ranges from 1.944 (7) to 2.200 (6) Å. The two carboxylate groups [O3/C1/O1 and O2/C2/O4] are almost perpendicular to one another with a dihedral angle of 78.4 (16)°. The structure, consists of polymeric infinite linear chains running along [100](Fig.2). The chains are formed by  $Cu^{2+}$  ions linked with bridging phenylacetate groups.

## **S2. Experimental**

To a solution of  $Cu(CH_3CO_2)_2$ . $H_2O$  (0.049 g, 0.25 mmol) in methanol (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature was added solid phenylacetic acid (0.068 g, 0.5 mmol) in small portions under constant stirring. The mixture was then filtered and the filtrate allowed to stand for 10 days, after which small blue block-like crystals of the title complex were obtained.

## S3. Refinement

The C-bound hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atom positions with a C–H distances of 0.93 Å and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2Ueq(C)$ .



## Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.[Symmetry code: (i): 2 - x, -y, 1 - z].



Figure 2

A view of the crystal structure, showing chains along [100]. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

*catena*-Poly[bis( $\mu_3$ -2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^3O$ , O':O)bis( $\mu_2$ -2-phenylacetato- $\kappa^2O:O'$ )dicopper(II)(Cu—Cu)]

Crystal data

$[Cu_2(C_8H_7O_2)_4]$	$\beta = 97.892 \ (7)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 667.62$	V = 1382.4 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Z = 2
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	F(000) = 684
a = 5.1829 (6) Å	$D_{\rm x} = 1.604 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
b = 26.328 (4)  Å	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
c = 10.2279 (13)  Å	Cell parameters from 4583 reflections

 $\theta = 3.1 - 26.3^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 180 K

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2008)
$T_{\min} = 0.552, \ T_{\max} = 0.745$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	0 restraints
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.082$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.089P)^2 + 25.7899P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.254$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.20	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$
2382 reflections	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 2.24 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
190 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Special details	

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Box, blue

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$ 

 $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$  $k = -22 \rightarrow 31$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$ 

4056 measured reflections 2382 independent reflections 1927 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^{\circ}$ 

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.9678 (18)	0.0897 (3)	0.4136 (9)	0.017 (2)	
C2	0.8188 (19)	0.0341 (3)	0.7030 (10)	0.019 (2)	
C11	0.948 (2)	0.1445 (4)	0.3711 (10)	0.024 (2)	
H11A	0.8356	0.147	0.2874	0.028*	
H11B	1.1195	0.1567	0.3582	0.028*	
C12	0.840(2)	0.1779 (4)	0.4725 (10)	0.023 (2)	
C13	0.932 (2)	0.2267 (4)	0.4983 (12)	0.033 (3)	
H13	1.0631	0.2391	0.4536	0.04*	
C14	0.833 (3)	0.2573 (5)	0.5886 (14)	0.045 (3)	
H14	0.8991	0.2898	0.6054	0.054*	
C15	0.634 (3)	0.2395 (5)	0.6546 (12)	0.038 (3)	
H15	0.5646	0.26	0.715	0.046*	
C16	0.542 (2)	0.1914 (5)	0.6295 (12)	0.035 (3)	
H16	0.4109	0.1791	0.6745	0.042*	
C17	0.640(2)	0.1606 (4)	0.5389 (12)	0.030 (2)	
H17	0.5713	0.1282	0.5219	0.036*	

C21	0.7117 (19)	0.0600 (4)	0.8179 (9)	0.021 (2)
H21A	0.585	0.0854	0.7834	0.025*
H21B	0.6227	0.0349	0.865	0.025*
C22	0.9225 (19)	0.0851 (4)	0.9136 (10)	0.020(2)
C23	1.054 (2)	0.1279 (4)	0.8743 (10)	0.025 (2)
H23	0.9996	0.1426	0.7924	0.03*
C24	1.265 (2)	0.1486 (4)	0.9567 (11)	0.032 (3)
H24	1.3534	0.1765	0.9293	0.038*
C25	1.340 (2)	0.1274 (5)	1.0781 (11)	0.034 (3)
H25	1.4792	0.1414	1.1332	0.041*
C26	1.213 (2)	0.0860 (5)	1.1204 (11)	0.033 (3)
H26	1.2689	0.0717	1.2026	0.04*
C27	0.998 (2)	0.0652 (4)	1.0378 (10)	0.026 (2)
H27	0.9073	0.038	1.0672	0.031*
O1	1.1763 (13)	0.0758 (2)	0.4846 (7)	0.0213 (15)
O2	1.0628 (12)	0.0308 (3)	0.7092 (6)	0.0193 (15)
O3	1.2268 (13)	-0.0615 (3)	0.6233 (6)	0.0206 (15)
O4	1.3446 (11)	-0.0181 (2)	0.3911 (6)	0.0170 (14)
Cu1	1.2319 (2)	0.00810 (4)	0.56015 (11)	0.0143 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.016 (5)	0.023 (5)	0.014 (5)	-0.003 (4)	0.008 (4)	-0.001 (4)
C2	0.021 (5)	0.017 (5)	0.021 (5)	-0.003 (4)	0.008 (4)	-0.001 (4)
C11	0.023 (5)	0.028 (5)	0.020 (5)	0.001 (4)	0.002 (4)	0.003 (4)
C12	0.025 (6)	0.024 (5)	0.019 (5)	0.001 (4)	-0.002 (4)	0.002 (4)
C13	0.026 (6)	0.032 (6)	0.040 (7)	-0.003 (5)	-0.001 (5)	0.004 (5)
C14	0.049 (8)	0.027 (6)	0.059 (9)	-0.004 (6)	0.010 (7)	-0.013 (6)
C15	0.039 (7)	0.040 (7)	0.035 (7)	0.008 (5)	0.004 (6)	-0.011 (5)
C16	0.026 (6)	0.044 (7)	0.035 (7)	0.008 (5)	0.012 (5)	0.004 (5)
C17	0.027 (6)	0.022 (5)	0.041 (7)	-0.003 (4)	0.002 (5)	-0.003 (5)
C21	0.013 (5)	0.040 (6)	0.010 (5)	-0.001 (4)	0.003 (4)	-0.009(4)
C22	0.011 (5)	0.034 (5)	0.016 (5)	0.002 (4)	0.000 (4)	-0.008(4)
C23	0.023 (5)	0.040 (6)	0.013 (5)	0.000 (4)	0.001 (4)	-0.004(4)
C24	0.026 (6)	0.037 (6)	0.032 (6)	-0.011 (5)	0.001 (5)	-0.012 (5)
C25	0.030 (6)	0.050(7)	0.021 (6)	-0.002 (5)	-0.007(5)	-0.016 (5)
C26	0.035 (7)	0.047 (7)	0.013 (5)	0.005 (5)	-0.007(5)	-0.010 (5)
C27	0.028 (6)	0.036 (6)	0.015 (5)	-0.002 (5)	0.008 (5)	-0.004 (4)
01	0.014 (3)	0.023 (3)	0.027 (4)	0.000 (3)	0.001 (3)	0.001 (3)
O2	0.006 (3)	0.035 (4)	0.017 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.006(3)
O3	0.023 (4)	0.025 (4)	0.014 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.002 (3)
O4	0.005 (3)	0.030 (4)	0.016 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.002 (3)	-0.005 (3)
Cu1	0.0114 (6)	0.0186 (6)	0.0134 (6)	-0.0004 (4)	0.0033 (4)	-0.0023 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1-03 <sup>i</sup>	1.267 (11)	C21—H21B	0.97
C101	1.270 (12)	C22—C27	1.380 (15)
C1-C11	1.507 (13)	C22—C23	1.402 (15)
C2—O2	1.261 (12)	C23—C24	1.397 (14)
$C2-O4^i$	1.264 (12)	C23—H23	0.93
C2-C21	1.527 (13)	C24—C25	1.368 (16)
C11—C12	1.524 (14)	C24—H24	0.93
C11—H11A	0.97	C25—C26	1.371 (17)
C11—H11B	0.97	C25—H25	0.93
C12—C13	1.381 (15)	C26—C27	1.414 (15)
C12—C17	1.395 (15)	C26—H26	0.93
C13—C14	1.377 (17)	C27—H27	0.93
С13—Н13	0.93	O1—Cu1	1.948 (7)
C14—C15	1.388 (19)	O2—Cu1	1.954 (6)
C14—H14	0.93	O3—C1 <sup>i</sup>	1.267 (11)
C15—C16	1.365 (17)	O3—Cu1	1.944 (7)
С15—Н15	0.93	O4—C2 <sup>i</sup>	1.264 (12)
C16—C17	1.379 (16)	O4—Cu1	2.021 (6)
С16—Н16	0.93	O4—Cu1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.199 (6)
С17—Н17	0.93	Cu1—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	2.199 (6)
C21—C22	1.515 (13)	Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.581 (2)
C21—H21A	0.97		
03 <sup>i</sup> C1 O1	125.6 (0)	C23 C22 C21	120.0 (0)
03 - 01 - 01	123.0(9)	$C_{23} = C_{22} = C_{21}$	120.0(9) 120.7(10)
03-01-01	117.1(9) 117.2(8)	$C_{24} = C_{23} = C_{22}$	120.7 (10)
$O_1 - C_1 - C_{11}$	117.3(8) 125.3(0)	$C_{24} = C_{23} = H_{23}$	119.0
02 - 02 - 04	123.3(9) 117.4(0)	$C_{22} = C_{23} = \Pi_{23}$	119.0
$O_2 - C_2 - C_2 I$	117.4(9) 117.3(8)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = C_{23}$	119.5 (11)
$C_1 = C_2 = C_2 I$	117.3(8)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = H_{24}$	120.4
C1 = C11 = C12	111.9 (8)	$C_{23} = C_{24} = 1124$	120.4
C12 $C11$ $H11A$	109.2	$C_{24} = C_{25} = C_{20}$	121.5 (10)
C1 C11 H11P	109.2	$C_{24} = C_{23} = H_{23}$	119.5
C12 $C11$ $H11P$	109.2	$C_{20} = C_{23} = M_{23}$	119.5
	109.2	$C_{25} = C_{26} = C_{27}$	119.4 (10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	107.9	$C_{23} = C_{20} = H_{20}$	120.3
C13 - C12 - C17	110.0(10) 121.2(10)	$C_2 / - C_2 0 - H_2 0$	120.3
$C_{13}$ $C_{12}$ $C_{11}$	121.2(10) 120.7(0)	$C_{22} = C_{27} = C_{20}$	120.3 (10)
C1/-C12-C11	120.7(9)	$C_{22} = C_{27} = H_{27}$	119.9
C14 - C13 - C12	121.3 (11)	$C_{20} = C_{2} = H_{2}$	119.9
C12 - C12 - H13	119.5	C1 = O1 = Cu1	123.9(0) 122.4(6)
C12—C13—H15	119.5	$C_2 = O_2 = C_{u1}$	122.4 (6)
$C_{13} - C_{14} - C_{13}$	119.9 (11)	$C^{2i} = O4 = C^{-1}$	119.9 (0)
C15—C14—H14	120	$C^{2}$ $C^{4}$ $C^{1}$	121.0 (0)
C10-C14-H14	120	$C_{2} = 04 = C_{1}^{2}$	139.1 (6)
C10-C15-C14	119.0 (11)	Cul—O4—Cul"	99.3 (3)
C16-C15-H15	120.5	O3—Cu1—O1	170.4 (3)

C14—C15—H15	120.5	O3—Cu1—O2	90.1 (3)
C15—C16—C17	121.4 (11)	O1—Cu1—O2	88.4 (3)
C15—C16—H16	119.3	O3—Cu1—O4	88.9 (3)
C17—C16—H16	119.3	O1—Cu1—O4	91.0 (3)
C16—C17—C12	120.1 (10)	O2—Cu1—O4	170.2 (3)
C16—C17—H17	119.9	O3—Cu1—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	95.5 (3)
C12—C17—H17	119.9	O1—Cu1—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	93.9 (3)
C22—C21—C2	112.7 (8)	O2—Cu1—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	109.1 (3)
C22—C21—H21A	109.1	O4—Cu1—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	80.7 (3)
C2—C21—H21A	109.1	O3—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	87.0 (2)
C22—C21—H21B	109.1	O1—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	83.4 (2)
C2—C21—H21B	109.1	O2—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	86.24 (19)
H21A—C21—H21B	107.8	O4—Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	83.99 (18)
C27—C22—C23	118.8 (9)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> —Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	164.41 (18)
C27—C22—C21	121.1 (9)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+3, -*y*, -*z*+1.