organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis(1,3-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazolium) hexafluorosilicate methanol 0.33-solvate

Chong Tian. # Wanli Nie + and Maxim V. Borzov* +

College of Chemistry, Leshan Normal University, Binhe Rd 778, Leshan 614000, Sichuan Province, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: maxborzov@mail.ru

Received 7 June 2013; accepted 1 July 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.036; wR factor = 0.098; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.7.

The title compound, $6C_5H_9N_2^+\cdot 3SiF_6^{2-}\cdot CH_3OH$, (I), was prepared by recrystallization of the crude salt from methanol along with solvent-free $2C_5H_9N_2^+ \cdot SiF_6^{2-}$ (II). Crystals of these solvatomorphs can be separated manually. The solvate (I) crystallizes in a rare hexagonal space group P6/mcc. Its asymmetric unit comprises one half of an imidazolium cation bisected by the crystallographic *m*-plane, one-sixth and onetwelfth of two crystallographically independent SiF_6^{2-} dianions (Si atoms are located on the 3.2 and 6/m inversion centres), and one-twelfth of a methanol molecule (C atoms are situated on the 622 inversion centres, other atoms are disordered between general positions). In (I), all F atoms of 3.2-located SiF_6^{2-} dianions participate in the formation of symmetry-equivalent contacts to the H atoms of imidazolium fragments, thus forming rod-type ensembles positioned on the $\overline{6}$ axes. These 'pillar' rods are, in turn, $F \cdots H$ interlinked through SiF_6^{2-} dianions disordered around the 6/m centres. The twelvefold disordered methanol molecules are appended to this array by $O-H \cdots F$ hydrogen bonds to the 6/m located SiF_6^{2-} dianions. In terms of graph-set notation, the first and second level networks in (I) are $N_1 = C_2^2(7)[3R_4^4(14)]D_2^2(4)$ and $N_2 = D_2^2(5)$ (C-H···O hydrogen bonds are not considered). After locating all symmetrically independent atoms in the cation and anions, there remained a strong (> 3 e $Å^{-3}$) residual electron density peak located at the 622 inversion centre. Treatment of this pre-refined model with the SQUEEZE procedure in PLATON [Spek (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155] revealed two voids per unit cell, indicative of the presence of the solvent methanol molecule disordered about the 622 inversion centre.

‡ Previous address: Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Natural Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Material Science, the North-West University of Xi'an, Taibai Bei Avenue 229, Xi'an 710069, Province, People's Republic of China

Related literature

For solvatomorphs of (I), see: Light et al. (2007); Tian et al. (2013). For solvatomorphism of (1.3-dimethyl-1H-imidazolium) hexafluorophosphate, $C_5H_9N_2^+ \cdot PF_6^-$, see: Holbrey *et al.* (2003). For the practical utility of sterically non-hindered 1,3dialkyl-1*H*-imidazolium salts with BF_4^- and PF_6^- anions for the preparation of Arduengo carbene adducts with BF3 and PF₅, see: Tian et al. (2012). For graph-set notation, see: Etter et al. (1990); Bernstein et al. (1995); Grell et al. (1999). For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002). For the SQUEEZE procedure in PLATON, see: Spek (2009).



Z = 2

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.32 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

11115 measured reflections

804 independent reflections

623 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

independent and constrained

 $\mu = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-3}$

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.045$

refinement

Experimental

Crystal data

 $6C_5H_9N_2^+ \cdot 3SiF_6^{2-} \cdot CH_4O$ $M_r = 1041.10$ Hexagonal, P6/mcc a = 12.6577 (7) Å c = 16.8174 (18) Å V = 2333.5 (3) Å³

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.934, \ T_{\max} = 0.968$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ H atoms treated by a mixture of $wR(F^2) = 0.098$ S = 1.10 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 804 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41$ e Å⁻³ 75 parameters

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$D1 - H1A \cdots F1$	0.85	1.96 (1)	2.80 (3)	177 (13)
$C1 - H1 \cdots F1$	0.94 (3)	2.24 (3)	3.044 (3)	143 (2)
$C2 - H2 \cdots F2^{i}$	0.92 (2)	2.21 (2)	3.095 (2)	160.3 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, z.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009);

software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *OLEX2*.

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project Nos. 20702041 and 21072157) and the Shaanxi Province Administration of Foreign Experts Bureau Foundation (grant No. 20106100079) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are thankful to Mr Su Pengfei (Xi'an Modern Chemistry Research Institute) for his help in carrying out X-ray diffraction measurements.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IM2435).

References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256-262.
- Grell, J., Bernstein, J. & Tinhofer, G. (1999). Graph Set Analysis of Some Hydrogen Bond Patterns. Some Mathematical Concepts, edited by H. Wähling. München: Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Technische Universität München.
- Holbrey, J. D., Reichert, W. M. & Nieuwenhuyzen, M. (2003). Chem. Commun. pp. 476–477.
- Light, M. E., Bates, G. W. & Gale, P. A. (2007). Private communication (refcode NIQFAV). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tian, C., Nie, W. & Borzov, M. V. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1218-o1219.
- Tian, C., Nie, W., Borzov, M. V. & Su, P. (2012). Organometallics, 31, 1751– 1760.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o1216-o1217 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813018230]

Bis(1,3-dimethyl-1H-imidazolium) hexafluorosilicate methanol 0.33-solvate

Chong Tian, Wanli Nie and Maxim V. Borzov

S1. Comment

Thermolytic decomposition of sterically non-hindered 1,3-dialkyl-1*H*-imidazolium salts with [BF₄⁻] and [PF₆⁻] anions present an excellent direct route to Arduengo carbene adducts with BF₃ and PF₅ (Tian *et al.*, 2012). Being interested in expanding this reaction for the case of $[SiF_6^{2^-}]$, we analysed materials gained by re-crystallization of crude bis(1,3-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazolium) hexafluorosilicate, $[C_5H_9N_2^+]_2[SiF_6^{2^-}]$, from either methanol or ethanol media. The material obtained from methanol presented both { $[C_5H_9N_2^+]_2[SiF_6^{2^-}]$ }, (CH₃OH), (I), crystals of which grew at the bottom of a vessel under the layer of the mother liquor and the solvent-free salt, $[C_5H_9N_2^+]_2[SiF_6^{2^-}]$, (II) (crystals grew on the walls of a vessel above the solution surface during its gradual evaporation into air). Single crystals of (I) and (II) could be easily separated manually. Interestingly, crystallization from ethanol afforded only the solvent-free (II). Identity of the single crystals of (I) prepared from EtOH and MeOH was proved by the unit cell measurements. Details of the structural investigation of (II) can be found in a parallel publication (Tian *et al.*, 2013).

Adduct (I), crystallizes in a rare hexagonal space group *P6/mcc*. Analysis of the Cambridge Structural Database [CSD; version 5.32, release May 2013 (Allen, 2002)] reveals only 75 entries and 68 different compounds related to this space group. The asymmetric unit of (I) is depicted in Fig. 1. It comprises one half of the cationic moiety, a one-sixth of a $[SiF_6^{2-}]$ dianion (Si2 atom at the 3.2 inversion centre), a one-twelfth of another $[SiF_6^{2-}]$ dianion (Si1 atom at the 6/*m* inversion centre; the F1 atom site occupancy factor 1/2), and a solvent methanol molecule (C-atom at the 622 inversion centre, each of the other atoms is disordered over 12 general positions). A unit cell of (I) contains 12 $[C_5H_9N_2^+]$, 6 $[SiF_6^{2-}]$, and 2 CH₃OH moieties. The cation $[C_5H_9N_2^+]$ adopts $C_{2\nu}$ point group symmetry and is bisected with the *m*-plane of the crystal lattice. The methyl group of the cation exhibits a slight disorder between two positions [the site occupancy factor of the minor component 0.16 (3)].

In crystal lattice of (I), O—H···F hydrogen bonds and an extended three-dimensional-network of C—H···F contacts are both present (see Table 1). All F-atoms of a 3.2-located $[SiF_6^{2-}]$ dianion participate in C2—H2···F2^{xxii} and symmetryrelated contacts [symmetry code: (xxii) –x + 1, –y + 1, z]. These contacts are responsible for formation of rod-type ensembles positioned on the $\overline{6}$ axes which present the "pillar" elements of the entire lattice (see Fig. 2a). In their turn, these rods are F···H interlinked through $[SiF_6^{2-}]$ dianions disordered around the 6/*m* centres. Each 6/*m* located dianion links six different rod-type structures (see Fig. 2 b). The vacancies at the 622 inversion centres are occupied by twelvefold disordered methanol molecules which are appended to the entire array by O1—H1A···F1 H-bonds (see Fig. 2c). The entire packing diagram is provided in Fig. 3.

Among the closest analogues of (I) and (II), solvatomorphism was observed for (1,3-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazolium) hexafluorophosphate, $[C_5H_9N_2^+][PF_6^-]$, (III), and its semisolvate with benzene (IV) (Holbrey *et al.*, 2003). In that case, inclusion of the solvent molecule resulted not in an increase, but in a decrease of the crystal symmetry [from *Pbca* for (III) down to $P2_1/c$ for (IV)]. Interestingly, measurements of the single-crystal of (III) at different temperatures did not reveal any polymorphs (Holbrey *et al.*, 2003). Crystals of (I) exhibit remarkable stability in air. They do not loose methanol even if evacuated at ambient temperature during a prolonged time (296 K, 0.133 Pa, 5 h). However, when gradually heated in air in a microscopic melting point apparatus, crystals of (I) behave themselves unlike crystals of solvent-free (II) do [m.p. 550 K for (II); Tian *et al.* (2013)]. Within the temperature range of 463–466 K, crystals of (I) start to break down to a powder material which further melts at the same temperature as compound (II) does. These empirical observations explicitly point out the fact that interconversions between the solvatomorphs (I) and (II) are impossible without complete destruction of their crystal lattices.

S2. Experimental

Crude 1,3-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazolium hexafluorosilicate was prepared by a reaction of 1,3-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazolium iodide and disilver hexafluorosilicate (molar ratio 2:1) in distilled water. Concentrating of the filtrate till dryness followed by re-crystallization from methanol gave both (I) and (II) in an over-all almost quantitative yield. If ethanol is used for re-crystallization, only crystals of (II) are formed. A single crystal of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis was picked up directly from the material from the bottom of the crystallization vessel. Identity of the single crystals of (II) grown from EtOH and MeOH was proved by unit cell measurements. Melting point measurements were performed with a Microscopic Melting Point X4 apparatus (Beijing MAISIQI High-Tech Co., Ltd.)

S3. Refinement

The straightforward solution of the structure of (I) in an actual centrosymmetric space group P6/mcc suggested by XPREP failed. The structure was initially solved in a related chiral space group P6cc and then transferred to P6/mcc by a corresponding shift along the c-axis. After locating all symmetrically independent atoms in the cation and anions [C1, H1] (sof-s 1/2), C2, H2, N1, C3, H3A—C, Si1 (sof 0.08333), F1 (sof 0.5; disordered over the 6/m centre, assigned to PART -1), Si2 (sof 0.16667), and F2 (sof 1); all sof-s fixed], there still remained a strong (> 3 e Å⁻³) residual electron density peak (Q-peak) located at the 622 inversion centre. Treatment of this pre-refined model with the SQUEEZE procedure of the *PLATON* program package (Spek, 2009) revealed two voids per unit cell at (0, 0, 1/4) and (0, 0, 3/4) (each of 65 Å³ and 19 e; total electron count per unit cell 38 e) that was indicative of the presence of the solvent methanol molecule disordered around the 622 inversion centre. Thus, the strongest O-peak was assigned a C-type (C4: fixed sof 0.08333). The next refinement cycle retrieved a weaker Q-peak at a general position approximately 1.2 Å away from C4 which was further treated as an O-atom disordered between 12 symmetry equivalent positions (O1; fixed sof 0.08333). A Q-peak nearly located on the 6-fold inversion axis approximately 1.0 Å away from C4 was used as a basis for the calculation of the methanol molecule H-atoms positions (treated further as riding atoms with all sof-s fixed to 0.08333). Position of the remaining hydroxyl group H-atom was not evident from the difference Fourier synthesis (a disorder between 12 positions). However, a comparatively short O1...F1 distance [2.80 (3) Å] suggested a presence of an H-bond connecting these two atoms. This way, H-atom (H1A) was put 0.85 Å away from O1 on the line connecting O1 and F1 atoms and treated as a riding atom (AFIX 3 instruction) with sof fixed to 0.08333. Disordered O1, H4A—C, and H1A atoms were all assigned to PART -2. At the final step of the refinement, a noticeable disorder of the methyl group in the imidazolium moiety [minor component sof 0.16 (3)] was also taken into account. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. Atoms H1 and H2 were found from the difference Fourier synthesis and refined isotropically. The methyl groups H atoms were treated as riding atoms with distance C—H = 0.96 and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$. Hydroxyl group H-atom was treated as a riding atom with distance O—H = 0.85 and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(O)$.



Figure 1

Asymmetric unit of (I) along with equivalent atoms with labelling and thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. F1^{iiii,v,vi,ix}-atoms (*sof* 1/2) correspond to the second component of the disordered dianion and are depicted as small spheres surrounded with thermal ellipsoids as rims. Symmetry equivalents of the methanol molecule (disordered between 12 positions) are not depicted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, -z; (ii) -x + y, -x, -z; (iii) x-y, x, z; (iv) -x, -y, -z; (v) -y, x-y, -z; (vi) y, -x + y, z; (vii) -y, x-y, z; (viii) y, -x + y, -z; (ix) -x, -y, z; (x) -x + y, -x, z; (xi) x-y, x, -z; (xii) -x + y+1, y, -z + 1/2; (xiii) -y + 1, x-y, z; (xiv) x, x-y, -z + 1/2; (xv) -x + y+1, -x + 1, z; (xvi) -y + 1, -x + 1, -z + 1/2.



Figure 2

Different motives of C—H…F contacts and O—H…F H-bonds in (I) with labeling. H-atoms of methyl groups are omitted for clarity. C—H…F contacts and H-bonds are depicted as dotted lines. Symmetry codes: (iv) -x, -y, -z; (vii) -y, x-y, z; (viii) y, -x + y, -z; (x) -x + y, -x, z; (xi) x-y, x, -z; (xxii) -x + 1, -y + 1, z; (xxii) x-y, x, z; (xxiv) -x + 1, -x + y + 1, -z + 1/2; (xxv) x, x-y + 1, -z-1/2; (xxvi) x, x-y + 1, -z-1/2; (xxvi) x, x-y + 1, -z-1/2; (xxvi) x, x-y + 1, z-1/2. (*a*) The rod-type assembling of the imidazolium cations and the [SiF₆^{2–}] dianions located at the 3.2 inversion centres. A view along the *a*-axis. H…F contacts motif $C_2^2(7)[3R_4^4(14)]$. (*b*) Linking of the rod ensembles by the [SiF₆^{2–}] dianions located at the 6/*m* inversion centres. A view along the *c*-axis. Methanol molecules are omitted for clarity. Only one of two disordered [SiF₆^{2–}] dianions is depicted. All shown imidazolium cations belong to different rod-type aggregates. H…F contacts motif $D_2^2(4)$. (*c*) H-bonding between the twofold disordered [SiF₆^{2–}] dianions located at the 6/*m* inversion centres and the 12-fold disordered methanol molecules. A view along the (3, 1, 0) direction. Only crystallographically independent atoms are labeled. H-bonds motif either $D_2^2(4)$ or *D*.



Figure 3

Packing diagram for (II) viewed along the *c*-axis. H-atoms of methyl groups are omitted for clarity. H…F contacts are depicted as dotted lines. O—H…F H-bonds are eclipsed. The first and second level networks: $N_1=C_2^2(7)[3R_4^4(14)]D_2^2(4)$ and $N_2=D_2^2(5)$ (C—H…O H-bonds are not considered).

Bis(1,3-dimethyl-1H-imidazolium) hexafluorosilicate methanol 0.33-solvate

Crystal data	
$6C_5H_9N_2^+ \cdot 3SiF_6^{2-} \cdot CH_4O$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.482 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1041.10$	Melting point: 550 K
Hexagonal, P6/mcc	Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 6 2c	Cell parameters from 4399 reflections
a = 12.6577 (7) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 28.0^{\circ}$
c = 16.8174 (18) Å	$\mu=0.22~\mathrm{mm^{-1}}$
V = 2333.5 (3) Å ³	T = 296 K
Z = 2	Block, yellow
F(000) = 1080	$0.32 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEXII	11115 measured reflections
diffractometer	804 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	623 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.045$
Detector resolution: 8.333 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.9^{\circ}$
phi and ω scans	$h = -15 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -12 \rightarrow 15$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.934, \ T_{\max} = 0.968$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.098$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.10	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
804 reflections	and constrained refinement
75 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.056P)^2 + 0.3029P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 ,

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
N1	0.32338 (12)	0.39168 (13)	0.06402 (9)	0.0388 (4)	
C1	0.2983 (2)	0.3220 (2)	0.0000	0.0404 (6)	
H1	0.266 (2)	0.237 (3)	0.0000	0.051 (7)*	
C2	0.36659 (17)	0.51001 (18)	0.03979 (11)	0.0430 (4)	
H2	0.389 (2)	0.571 (2)	0.0769 (12)	0.056 (6)*	
C3	0.3094 (2)	0.3496 (2)	0.14668 (13)	0.0560 (6)	
H3BD	0.2418	0.2678	0.1504	0.084*	0.84 (3)
H3BE	0.2946	0.4023	0.1801	0.084*	0.84 (3)
H3BF	0.3825	0.3511	0.1636	0.084*	0.84 (3)
H3AA	0.2256	0.2899	0.1564	0.084*	0.16 (3)
H3AB	0.3337	0.4176	0.1819	0.084*	0.16 (3)
H3AC	0.3597	0.3139	0.1558	0.084*	0.16 (3)
Sil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0319 (4)	
F1	0.12523 (16)	0.0637 (2)	0.05780 (10)	0.0459 (5)	0.50
Si2	0.6667	0.3333	0.2500	0.0318 (3)	
F2	0.55741 (10)	0.33189 (10)	0.19222 (6)	0.0525 (4)	
C4	0.0000	0.0000	0.2500	0.115 (4)	
H4A	0.0030	0.0004	0.3070	0.138*	0.0833333
H4B	-0.0606	-0.0794	0.2318	0.138*	0.0833333
H4C	-0.0207	0.0595	0.2322	0.138*	0.0833333
01	0.102 (5)	0.025 (17)	0.2226 (9)	0.115 (19)	0.0833333
H1A	0.1086	0.0345	0.1725	0.138*	0.0833333

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0411 (8)	0.0412 (8)	0.0345 (8)	0.0209 (7)	0.0001 (6)	0.0030 (6)
C1	0.0377 (13)	0.0359 (14)	0.0443 (15)	0.0159 (11)	0.000	0.000
C2	0.0544 (11)	0.0407 (10)	0.0386 (9)	0.0273 (9)	-0.0032 (8)	-0.0029 (8)
C3	0.0683 (13)	0.0664 (13)	0.0373 (10)	0.0367 (11)	0.0040 (9)	0.0137 (9)
Si1	0.0316 (5)	0.0316 (5)	0.0324 (8)	0.0158 (3)	0.000	0.000
F1	0.0390 (10)	0.0499 (13)	0.0438 (11)	0.0184 (11)	-0.0091 (9)	-0.0027 (10)
Si2	0.0362 (4)	0.0362 (4)	0.0230 (5)	0.0181 (2)	0.000	0.000
F2	0.0504 (7)	0.0644 (7)	0.0466 (7)	0.0316 (6)	-0.0081 (5)	0.0106 (5)
C4	0.145 (7)	0.145 (7)	0.056 (7)	0.072 (4)	0.000	0.000
01	0.08 (2)	0.21 (5)	0.048 (10)	0.07 (4)	-0.005 (19)	-0.04(5)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1	1.326 (2)	С3—НЗАА	0.9600	
N1—C2	1.375 (2)	С3—НЗАВ	0.9600	
N1—C3	1.467 (2)	С3—НЗАС	0.9600	
C1—N1 ⁱ	1.326 (2)	Si1—F1	1.6821 (17)	
C1—H1	0.94 (3)	Si2—F2	1.6829 (10)	
C2C2 ⁱ	1.338 (4)	C4—O1	1.25 (2)	
C2—H2	0.92 (2)	C4—H4A	0.9600	
C3—H3BD	0.9600	C4—H4B	0.9600	
C3—H3BE	0.9600	C4—H4C	0.9600	
C3—H3BF	0.9600	O1—H1A	0.8498	
C1—N1—C2	108.46 (16)	O1 ^x C4O1 ^{ix}	55.4 (3)	
C1—N1—C3	125.63 (17)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—O1 ^{xvii}	55.4 (3)	
C2—N1—C3	125.90 (16)	O1 ^x C4O1 ^{xvii}	150 (10)	
N1 ⁱ —C1—N1	108.6 (2)	O1 ^{ix} C4O1 ^{xvii}	96 (10)	
N1 ⁱ —C1—H1	125.69 (12)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—O1 ^{xviii}	136.8 (15)	
N1—C1—H1	125.69 (12)	O1 ^x C4O1 ^{xviii}	51 (10)	
$C2^{i}$ — $C2$ — $N1$	107.25 (10)	O1 ^{ix} C4O1 ^{xviii}	53 (10)	
C2 ⁱ —C2—H2	132.8 (13)	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—O1 ^{xviii}	107.3 (8)	
N1—C2—H2	120.0 (13)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	51 (10)	
N1—C3—H3BD	109.5	O1 ^x C4O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	136.8 (15)	
N1—C3—H3BE	109.5	O1 ^{ix} —C4—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	107.3 (8)	
H3BD—C3—H3BE	109.5	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	53 (10)	
N1—C3—H3BF	109.5	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	154 (10)	
H3BD—C3—H3BF	109.5	$O1^{xiv}$ $C4$ $O1^{vi}$	100 (10)	
H3BE—C3—H3BF	109.5	O1 ^x —C4—O1 ^{vi}	55.4 (3)	
N1—C3—H3AA	109.5	$O1^{ix}$ —C4— $O1^{vi}$	107.3 (8)	
N1—C3—H3AB	109.5	$O1^{xvii}$ — $C4$ — $O1^{vi}$	154 (10)	
НЗАА—СЗ—НЗАВ	109.5	$O1^{xviii}$ —C4— $O1^{vi}$	96 (10)	
N1—C3—H3AC	109.5	$O1^{iii}$ —C4— $O1^{vi}$	107.3 (8)	
НЗАА—СЗ—НЗАС	109.5	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—O1 ^{xix}	55.4 (3)	
НЗАВ—СЗ—НЗАС	109.5	$O1^{x}$ —C4— $O1^{xix}$	100 (10)	

F1 ⁱⁱ —Si1—F1 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00 (19)	O1 ^{ix} —C4—O1 ^{xix}	154 (10)
$F1^{ii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{iv}$	48.16 (4)	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—O1 ^{xix}	107.3 (8)
F1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Si1—F1 ^{iv}	131.84 (4)	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—O1 ^{xix}	107.3 (8)
F1 ⁱⁱ —Si1—F1 ^v	89.94 (9)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —C4—O1 ^{xix}	96 (10)
$F1^{iii}$ —Si1—F1 ^v	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{vi} —C4—O1 ^{xix}	53 (10)
$F1^{iv}$ —Si1—F1 ^v	48.16 (4)	$O1^{xiv}$ C4 $O1^{xx}$	107.3 (8)
$F1^{ii}$ —Si1—F1 ^{vi}	90.06 (9)	01^{x} C4 01^{xx}	53 (10)
$F1^{iii}$ Si1 $F1^{vi}$	89.94 (9)	$O1^{ix} - C4 - O1^{xx}$	100(10)
$F1^{iv}$ Si1 $F1^{vi}$	131 84 (4)	01^{xvii} $C4$ 01^{xx}	136 8 (15)
$F1^{v}$ Si1 $F1^{vi}$	180.00(13)	01^{xviii} $C4$ 01^{xx}	554(3)
$F1^{ii}$ $Si1$ $F1^{vii}$	131 84 (4)	01^{iii} $C4$ 01^{xx}	150(10)
$F1^{iii}$ $Si1 F1^{vii}$	48 16 (4)	01^{vi} $C4$ 01^{xx}	51 (10)
$F1^{iv}$ Si1 $F1^{vii}$	40.10 (4) 00.06 (0)	$O1^{xix}$ $C4^{-}O1^{xx}$	51(10) 55 4 (3)
$F_{1} = S_{1} = F_{1}$	70.61 (12)	$O1 \xrightarrow{-} C4 \xrightarrow{-} O1$	1073(8)
$F_1 = S_1 = F_1$ $F_1 v_i = S_1 = F_1 v_i$	100.30(12)	$O_1^{x} = C_1^{x} = O_1^{xxi}$	107.3(8)
$FI^{*} \longrightarrow SII \longrightarrow FI^{*}$ $F1^{*} \longrightarrow SII \longrightarrow F1^{*}$	109.39(12)	$O_1 - C_4 - O_1$	90 (10) 51 (10)
$F I^{*} - S I I - F I^{*}$ $E I I I S I F I V I I I$	40.10(4)	$O1^{\text{m}} C4 O1^{\text{m}}$	51(10)
$F1^{m}$ $S11$ $F1^{m}$	131.84 (4)	$O1^{\text{min}} = C4 = O1^{\text{min}}$	55.4 (3)
$F1^{*}$ $S11$ $F1^{**}$	89.94 (9)	01^{xvm} $C4$ 01^{xvi}	55.4 (3)
$F1^{v}$ S11 $-F1^{vm}$	109.39 (12)		100 (10)
$F I^{v_1} = S I I = F I^{v_{11}}$	70.61 (12)	OI^{vi} C4 OI^{xxi}	150 (10)
$F1^{vn}$ $S11$ $F1^{vm}$	180.00 (13)	OI^{xxx} $C4 OI^{xxx}$	136.8 (15)
$F1^{n}$ — $S11$ — $F1^{nx}$	90.06 (9)	$O1^{xx}$ — $C4$ — $O1^{xxi}$	107.3 (8)
$F1^{III}$ — $S11$ — $F1^{IIX}$	89.94 (9)	$O1^{xiv}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	96 (10)
$F1^{iv}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{ix}$	70.61 (12)	$O1^{x}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	107.3 (8)
$F1^{v}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{ix}$	90.06 (9)	$O1^{ix}$ —C4—O 1^{vii}	55.4 (3)
$F1^{vi}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{ix}$	89.94 (9)	$O1^{xvii}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	51 (10)
F1 ^{vii} —Si1—F1 ^{ix}	48.16 (4)	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—O1 ^{vii}	100 (10)
$F1^{viii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{ix}$	131.84 (4)	$O1^{iii}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	55.4 (3)
$F1^{ii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{i}$	89.94 (9)	$O1^{vi}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	136.8 (15)
$F1^{iii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{i}$	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{xix} —C4—O1 ^{vii}	150 (10)
$F1^{iv}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{i}$	109.39 (12)	$O1^{xx}$ —C4— $O1^{vii}$	154 (10)
$F1^v$ — $Si1$ — $F1^i$	89.94 (9)	O1 ^{xxi} —C4—O1 ^{vii}	53 (10)
F1 ^{vi} —Si1—F1 ⁱ	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—O1	53 (10)
F1 ^{vii} —Si1—F1 ⁱ	131.84 (4)	O1 ^x C4O1	107.3 (8)
$F1^{viii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{i}$	48.16 (4)	O1 ^{ix} —C4—O1	136.8 (15)
F1 ^{ix} —Si1—F1 ⁱ	180.00 (14)	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—O1	100 (10)
F1 ⁱⁱ —Si1—F1 ^x	70.61 (12)	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—O1	150 (10)
F1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Si1—F1 ^x	109.39 (12)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —C4—O1	55.4 (3)
F1 ^{iv} —Si1—F1 ^x	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{vi} —C4—O1	55.4 (3)
$F1^{v}$ —Si1—F1 ^x	131.84 (4)	$O1^{xix}$ C4 O1	51 (10)
$F1^{vi}$ —Si1—F1 ^x	48.16 (4)	$O1^{xx} - C4 - O1$	96 (10)
$F1^{vii}$ Si1 $F1^{x}$	89 94 (9)	$O1^{xxi}$ $C4$ $O1$	154 (10)
$F1^{\text{viii}}$ Si1— $F1^{\text{x}}$	90.06 (9)	01^{vii} C4 01	107 3 (8)
$F1^{ix}$ Si1 $F1^{x}$	48 16 (4)	$O1^{xiv}$ $C4$ $H4A$	66 7
$F1^{i}$ Si1 $F1^{x}$	131 84 (4)	$O1^{x}$ $C4$ $H4A$	112.4
$F1^{ii}$ Si1 $F1^{xi}$	109.39(12)	$O1^{ix}$ $C4$ $H4A$	113.7
$F1^{iii}$ $Si1 F1^{xi}$	70.61 (12)	$O1^{xvii}$ $C4$ $H4A$	68 7
$F1^{iv}$ $Si1$ $F1^{xi}$	80 04 (0)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} I$	70.1
1 1 1 1	07.77 (7 <i>)</i>		/ 0.1

F1 ^v —Si1—F1 ^{xi}	48.16 (4)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —C4—H4A	110.7
$F1^{vi}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{xi}$	131.84 (4)	O1 ^{vi} —C4—H4A	110.3
F1 ^{vii} —Si1—F1 ^{xi}	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{xix} —C4—H4A	66.4
$F1^{viii}$ — $Si1$ — $F1^{xi}$	89.94 (9)	O1 ^{xx} —C4—H4A	68.1
F1 ^{ix} —Si1—F1 ^{xi}	131.84 (4)	O1 ^{xxi} —C4—H4A	70.4
F1 ⁱ —Si1—F1 ^{xi}	48.16 (4)	O1 ^{vii} —C4—H4A	112.9
F1 ^x —Si1—F1 ^{xi}	180.00 (19)	O1—C4—H4A	109.5
F1 ⁱⁱ —Si1—F1	131.84 (4)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—H4B	154.5
F1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Si1—F1	48.16 (4)	O1 ^x —C4—H4B	3.1
F1 ^{iv} —Si1—F1	180.0	O1 ^{ix} —C4—H4B	55.4
F1 ^v —Si1—F1	131.83 (4)	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—H4B	148.9
F1 ^{vi} —Si1—F1	48.17 (4)	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—H4B	48.1
F1 ^{vii} —Si1—F1	89.94 (9)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —C4—H4B	139.8
F1 ^{viii} —Si1—F1	90.06 (9)	O1 ^{vi} —C4—H4B	56.8
F1 ^{ix} —Si1—F1	109.39 (12)	O1 ^{xix} —C4—H4B	99.4
F1 ⁱ —Si1—F1	70.61 (12)	O1 ^{xx} —C4—H4B	51.6
F1 ^x —Si1—F1	89.95 (9)	O1 ^{xxi} —C4—H4B	94.1
F1 ^{xi} —Si1—F1	90.05 (9)	O1 ^{vii} —C4—H4B	108.2
F2 ^{xii} —Si2—F2 ^{xiii}	89.25 (7)	O1—C4—H4B	109.5
F2 ^{xii} —Si2—F2 ^{xiv}	89.99 (6)	H4A—C4—H4B	109.5
F2 ^{xiii} —Si2—F2 ^{xiv}	90.77 (8)	O1 ^{xiv} —C4—H4C	95.1
F2 ^{xii} —Si2—F2 ^{xv}	90.77 (8)	O1 ^x —C4—H4C	108.7
$F2^{xiii}$ — $Si2$ — $F2^{xv}$	89.99 (6)	O1 ^{ix} —C4—H4C	55.7
$F2^{xiv}$ — $Si2$ — $F2^{xv}$	178.93 (8)	O1 ^{xvii} —C4—H4C	48.5
F2 ^{xii} —Si2—F2 ^{xvi}	89.99 (6)	O1 ^{xviii} —C4—H4C	98.1
$F2^{xiii}$ — $Si2$ — $F2^{xvi}$	178.93 (8)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —C4—H4C	56.6
F2 ^{xiv} —Si2—F2 ^{xvi}	89.99 (6)	O1 ^{vi} —C4—H4C	140.2
F2 ^{xv} —Si2—F2 ^{xvi}	89.25 (7)	O1 ^{xix} —C4—H4C	150.0
F2 ^{xii} —Si2—F2	178.93 (8)	O1 ^{xx} —C4—H4C	153.2
F2 ^{xiii} —Si2—F2	89.99 (6)	O1 ^{xxi} —C4—H4C	50.5
F2 ^{xiv} —Si2—F2	89.25 (7)	O1 ^{vii} —C4—H4C	3.4
F2 ^{xv} —Si2—F2	89.99 (6)	O1—C4—H4C	109.5
F2 ^{xvi} —Si2—F2	90.77 (8)	H4A—C4—H4C	109.5
$O1^{xiv}$ —C4— $O1^{x}$	154 (10)	H4B—C4—H4C	109.5
$O1^{xiv}$ —C4— $O1^{ix}$	150 (10)	C4—O1—H1A	114.9
C2-N1-C1-N1 ⁱ	-0.3 (3)	C1-N1-C2-C2 ⁱ	0.18 (16)
$C3$ — $N1$ — $C1$ — $N1^i$	-179.32 (13)	$C3-N1-C2-C2^{i}$	179.20 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, -z; (ii) -x+y, -x, -z; (iii) x-y, x, z; (iv) -x, -y, -z; (v) -y, x-y, -z; (vi) y, -x+y, z; (vii) -y, x-y, z; (viii) y, -x+y, -z; (ix) -x, -y, z; (x) -x+y, -z; (ix) -x-y, z; (ix) -x-y, z; (ix) -x-y, z; (ix) -x+y+1, -x+1, z; (ix) -x+y+1, -x+1, -z+1/2; (ixi) -y, -z+1/2; (ixi) -x-y, z; (ix) -x+y+1, -x+1, -z+1/2; (ixi) -y, -x-y, z; (iv) -x+y+1, -x+1, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+y+1/2; (iv) -x+y+1/2; (iv) -x+y, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+y, -z

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O1—H1A…F1	0.85	1.96 (1)	2.80 (3)	177 (13)

supporting information

C1—H1…F1	0.94 (3)	2.24 (3)	3.044 (3)	143 (2)
C2—H2····F2 ^{xxii}	0.92 (2)	2.21 (2)	3.095 (2)	160.3 (17)

Symmetry code: (xxii) -x+1, -y+1, z.