

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Zinc mercury(II) tetrakis(selenocyanate)

Hai-Qing Sun,^a* Xin-Qiang Wang^b and Wei-Wei Zhang^a

^aSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, People's Republic of China, and ^bState Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials (Shandong University), Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: sunhaiqing@sina.com

Received 1 August 2013; accepted 10 August 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (N–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.053; data-to-parameter ratio = 37.7.

The title crystal, $[HgZn(NCSe)_4]_n$, a coordination polymer, has a diamond-like network. In the crystal, the metal ions, Zn^{2+} and Hg^{2+} , are both located on fourfold inversion axes and mimic the role of C atoms in the structure of diamond, and the linear selenocyanate bridges replace the C–C bonds. The C–N–Zn unit is almost linear and the C–Se–Hg unit is nearly a right angle. Thus, the HgZn₄ (or ZnHg₄) arrangement is midway between a tetrahedron and a square plane, with two types of Hg–Zn–Hg (or Zn–Hg–Zn) angles of 92.38 (6) and 156.45 (6)°.

Related literature

For background to coordination polymers, see: Batten *et al.* (2009). For diamond-like networks, see: Sun *et al.* (2006); Evans *et al.* (1999). For similar structures, see: Wang *et al.* (2001, 2007); Sun *et al.* (2005, 2006); Tian *et al.* (1999); Xu *et al.* (1999); Yan *et al.* (1999); Yuan *et al.* (1997).

Experimental

Crystal data

 $[HgZn(NCSe)_4]$ $M_r = 685.88$ $Tetragonal, <math>I\overline{4}$ a = 11.2716 (1) Åc = 4.6981 (1) Å $V = 596.89 (2) \text{ Å}^3$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (APEX2; Bruker, 2005) T_{min} = 0.127, T_{max} = 0.173 Z = 2Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 27.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K $0.13 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

2476 measured reflections 1244 independent reflections 1113 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.029$

Refinement

$$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.026 \\ wR(F^2) &= 0.053 \\ S &= 0.86 \\ 1244 \text{ reflections} \\ 33 \text{ parameters} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 1.35 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.81 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983),} \\ 467 \ {\rm Friedel \ pairs} \\ {\rm Absolute \ structure \ parameter: \ 0.026} \\ (10) \end{array}$

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

The authors thank the Open Project of the State Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials (grant No. KF0804) and the Excellent Mid-youthful Scientist Encouraging Foundation of Shandong province (grant No. BS2010CL037) for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR2230).

References

Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.

Batten, S. R., Neville, S. M. & Turner, D. R. (2009). In Coordination Polymers: Design, Analysis and Application. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry. Bruker (2005). APEX2and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin,

- USA. Evans, O. R., Xiong, R.-G., Wang, Z.-Y., Wong, G.-K. & Lin, W.-B. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 536–538.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849–854.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sun, H.-Q., Yu, W.-T., Yuan, D.-R., Wang, X.-Q. & Liu, L.-Q. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, i88–i90.
- Sun, H.-Q., Yu, W.-T., Yuan, D.-R., Wang, X.-Q. & Xue, G. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, i111–i112.
- Tian, Y.-P., Yu, W.-T., Fang, Q., Wang, X.-Q., Yuan, D.-R., Xu, D. & Jiang, M.-H. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1393–1395.

Wang, X.-Q., Xu, D., Lu, M.-K., Yuan, D.-R., Zhang, G.-H., Meng, F.-Q., Guo, S.-Y., Zhou, M., Liu, J.-R. & Li, X.-R. (2001). Cryst. Res. Technol. 36, 73–84.

Wang, X.-Q., Yu, W.-T., Xu, D., Sun, H.-Q. & Liu, W.-L. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, i45-i46.

Xu, D., Yu, W.-T., Wang, X.-Q., Yuan, D.-R., Lu, M.-K., Yang, P., Guo, S.-Y., Meng, F.-Q. & Jiang, M.-H. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1203–1205.

- Yan, Y.-X., Fang, Q., Yuan, D.-R., Tian, Y.-P., Liu, Z., Wang, X.-M., Jiang, M.-H., Williams, D., Siu, A. & Cai, Z.-G. (1999). *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 10, 257– 260.
- Yuan, D.-R., Xu, D., Fang, Q., Yu, W.-T. & Jiang, M.-H. (1997). Appl. Phys. Lett. 70, 544–546.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, i59 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813022502]

Zinc mercury(II) tetrakis(selenocyanate)

Hai-Qing Sun, Xin-Qiang Wang and Wei-Wei Zhang

S1. Comment

Coordination polymers, which contain ions linked by coordinated ligands into an infinite array, have extensive applications such as porosity, magnetism, non-linear optical activity, reactive networks, heterogenous catalysis and luminescence (Batten *et al.* 2009). [AB(SCN)₄]_n and [AB(SeCN)₄]_n (where A and B = Zn, Cd, Hg or Mn) are coordination polymers that have non-linear optical property (Wang *et al.*, 2007, 2001; Sun *et al.*, 2006, 2005; Yuan *et al.* 1997). [ZnHg(SeCN)₄]_n is a new member of this group.

All the $[AB(SCN)_4]_n$ and $[AB(SeCN)_4]_n$ have similar structure. The $[ZnHg(SeCN)_4]_n$ is of no exception. The Zn and Hg atoms are connected by SeCN⁻ ions, forming an infinite three-dimensional network (see Fig. 1). Each Zn or Hg node is 4-coordinated with Zn—N or Hg—Se bond. The ZnN₄ and HgSe₄ tetrahedra are slightly distorted from an ideal one. The Zn—N—C—Se is nearly linear, but the C—Se—Hg is bent. The whole structure might be defined as a diamond-like network with Zn and Hg nodes and bent bonds. The HgZn₄ (or ZnHg₄) tetrahedra have a significant distortion from an ideal one, with two types of Hg—Zn—Hg (or Zn—Hg—Zn) angles, 92.38 (6)° and 156.45 (6)°. Along the c-direction there are irregular octagon channels.

S2. Experimental

Sodium metasilicate nonahydrate (Na₂SiO₃.9H₂O), ZnCl₂ and KSeCN solution were mixed together with stirring for 1 h. Then the sol is put into a test tube. Glacial acetic acid was added to adjust pH to 3.1. The above solution was sealed and gelled on standing for 72 h. Then some HgCl₂ solution was added on top of the gel. Within 20 d the ZMSC crystal grew in the gel medium.

S3. Refinement

The unusually large residual electron density (1.347 e Å⁻³) is found near the Hg atoms.



Figure 1

Packing diagram of $[ZnHg(NCSe)_4]_n$ (viewed down the *c* axis), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

Zinc mercury(II) tetrakis(selenocyanate)

Crystal data [HgZn(NCSe)₄] $M_r = 685.88$ Tetragonal, *I*4 Hall symbol: I -4 a = 11.2716 (1) Å c = 4.6981 (1) Å V = 596.89 (2) Å³ Z = 2F(000) = 596

 $D_{\rm x} = 3.816 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1556 reflections $\theta = 2.6-34.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 27.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KPrism, colourless $0.13 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>APEX2</i> ; Bruker, 2005) $T_{min} = 0.127, T_{max} = 0.173$ Refinement	2476 measured reflections 1244 independent reflections 1113 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{max} = 38.1^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.6^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 19$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -6 \rightarrow 7$
Definement on E^2	Sacandamy stam site lasstion, differences Fourier
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: 101	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.053$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 0.86	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1244 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.81 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
33 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
0 restraints	2008), Fc [*] =kFc[1+0.001xFc ² λ^{3} /sin(2 θ)] ^{-1/4}
Primary atom site location: isomorphous	Extinction coefficient: 0.0047 (3)
structure methods	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 467 Friedel pairs
	Absolute structure parameter: 0.026 (10)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Hg1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.02909 (11)	
Se1	0.12160 (4)	0.15390 (4)	0.31572 (11)	0.03115 (13)	
Zn1	0.0000	0.5000	-0.2500	0.0289 (2)	
N1	0.0462 (4)	0.3643 (3)	-0.0118 (16)	0.0374 (9)	
C1	0.0756 (4)	0.2818 (4)	0.1106 (10)	0.0280 (9)	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
0.02405 (11)	0.02405 (11)	0.0392 (2)	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.0322 (2)	0.0250 (2)	0.0363 (3)	-0.00208 (18)	-0.0073 (2)	0.00031 (19)
0.0242 (3)	0.0242 (3)	0.0382 (6)	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.040 (2)	0.0242 (16)	0.048 (2)	0.0011 (14)	-0.004 (3)	-0.004 (2)
0.0241 (19)	0.0219 (18)	0.038 (2)	-0.0019 (15)	0.0023 (17)	-0.0046 (17)
	U ¹¹ 0.02405 (11) 0.0322 (2) 0.0242 (3) 0.040 (2) 0.0241 (19)	$\begin{array}{ccc} U^{11} & U^{22} \\ 0.02405(11) & 0.02405(11) \\ 0.0322(2) & 0.0250(2) \\ 0.0242(3) & 0.0242(3) \\ 0.040(2) & 0.0242(16) \\ 0.0241(19) & 0.0219(18) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} U^{11} & U^{22} & U^{33} \\ \hline 0.02405(11) & 0.02405(11) & 0.0392(2) \\ 0.0322(2) & 0.0250(2) & 0.0363(3) \\ 0.0242(3) & 0.0242(3) & 0.0382(6) \\ 0.040(2) & 0.0242(16) & 0.048(2) \\ 0.0241(19) & 0.0219(18) & 0.038(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Hg1—Se1	2.6623 (5)	Zn1—N1 ^{iv}	1.966 (6)	
Hg1—Se1 ⁱ	2.6623 (5)	Zn1—N1 ^v	1.966 (6)	
Hg1—Se1 ⁱⁱ	2.6623 (5)	$Zn1$ — $N1^{vi}$	1.966 (6)	
Hg1—Se1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.6623 (5)	Zn1—N1	1.966 (6)	
Sel—Cl	1.810 (5)	N1—C1	1.141 (7)	
Se1—Hg1—Se1 ⁱ	108.084 (11)	N1 ^{iv} —Zn1—N1 ^{vi}	110.6 (4)	
Se1—Hg1—Se1 ⁱⁱ	112.28 (2)	$N1^{v}$ — $Zn1$ — $N1^{vi}$	108.91 (18)	
Sel ⁱ —Hgl—Sel ⁱⁱ	108.084 (11)	$N1^{iv}$ —Zn1—N1	108.91 (18)	
Se1—Hg1—Se1 ⁱⁱⁱ	108.084 (11)	N1 ^v —Zn1—N1	110.6 (4)	
Sel ⁱ —Hgl—Sel ⁱⁱⁱ	112.28 (2)	N1 ^{vi} —Zn1—N1	108.91 (18)	
Sel ⁱⁱ —Hg1—Sel ⁱⁱⁱ	108.084 (11)	C1—N1—Zn1	175.5 (6)	
C1—Se1—Hg1	94.29 (14)	N1—C1—Se1	178.1 (5)	
$N1^{iv}$ — $Zn1$ — $N1^{v}$	108.91 (18)			
Sel ⁱ —Hgl—Sel—Cl	-14.76 (14)	N1 ^{iv} —Zn1—N1—C1	69 (5)	
Se1 ⁱⁱ —Hg1—Se1—C1	-133.89 (14)	$N1^{vi}$ — $Zn1$ — $N1$ — $C1$	-52 (5)	
Se1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Hg1—Se1—C1	106.99 (14)	Hg1—Se1—C1—N1	140 (12)	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Symmetry codes: (i) -y, x, -z; (ii) -x, -y, z; (iii) y, -x, -z; (iv) y-1/2, -x+1/2, -z-1/2; (v) -x, -y+1, z; (vi) -y+1/2, x+1/2, -z-1/2.