

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 2-Methylbenzene-1,3-diammonium dinitrate

Dhouha Ben Hassen,<sup>a</sup> Walid Rekik,<sup>a\*</sup> Houcine Naïli,<sup>a</sup> Tadeusz Lis<sup>b</sup> and Roman Grobelny<sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie de l'Etat Solide, Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 802, 3018 Sfax, Tunisia, <sup>b</sup>Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, 2 Okolna, 50-422 Wrocław, Poland, and <sup>c</sup>Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383, Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: w\_rekik@alinto.com


Received 31 December 2013; accepted 22 January 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.038;  $wR$  factor = 0.091; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.4.

In the crystal structure of the title salt,  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2^{2+} \cdot 2\text{NO}_3^-$ , the nitrate ions are located in the vicinity of the protonated amine groups, accepting strong  $\text{N}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$  hydrogen bonds. Each ammonium group is involved in a total of three such interactions with neighbouring nitrate ions, generating a three-dimensional network. In addition, there are  $\pi-\pi$  interactions between the aromatic rings of centrosymmetrically related diammonium moieties, with a centroid-centroid distance of 3.682 (1) Å.

## Related literature

For applications of amine salts, see: Jayaraman *et al.* (2002). For hydrogen bonding, see: Steiner (2002). For related structures, see: Garza Rodríguez *et al.* (2013); Gao & Ng (2012); Riahi *et al.* (2012). For comparable crystal packing arrangements, see: Abrahams *et al.* (2013); Glidewell *et al.* (2004).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2^{2+} \cdot 2\text{NO}_3^-$   
 $M_r = 248.21$   
 Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$   
 $a = 10.494$  (3) Å

$b = 7.417$  (2) Å  
 $c = 13.487$  (4) Å  
 $\beta = 91.46$  (5)°  
 $V = 1049.4$  (5) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 4$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.14$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K  
 $0.36 \times 0.30 \times 0.16$  mm

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: analytical  
 (de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.708$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.982$

11810 measured reflections  
 2400 independent reflections  
 1617 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.000$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.091$   
 $S = 0.84$   
 2400 reflections  
 179 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.28$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H11} \cdots \text{O5}$	0.91 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.746 (2)	168 (2)
$\text{N1}-\text{H12} \cdots \text{O1}^i$	0.93 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.845 (2)	168 (2)
$\text{N1}-\text{H13} \cdots \text{O3}^{ii}$	0.85 (2)	2.07 (2)	2.891 (2)	161 (2)
$\text{N2}-\text{H21} \cdots \text{O5}^i$	0.94 (2)	1.91 (2)	2.808 (2)	161 (2)
$\text{N2}-\text{H22} \cdots \text{O1}$	0.89 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.839 (2)	172 (2)
$\text{N2}-\text{H23} \cdots \text{O2}^{iii}$	0.91 (2)	2.05 (3)	2.958 (2)	174 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Berndt, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LR2121).

## References

- Abrahams, A., van Brecht, B. & Betz, R. (2013). *Acta Cryst.* **E69**, o661.  
 Brandenburg, K. & Berndt, M. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.  
 Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.  
 Gao, S. & Ng, S. W. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o2474.  
 Garza Rodríguez, L. Á., Elizondo Martínez, P., Bernès, S., Nájera Martínez, B. & Pérez Rodríguez, N. (2013). *Acta Cryst.* **E69**, o1643–o1644.  
 Glidewell, C., Low, J. N., Skakle, J. M. S. & Wardell, J. L. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **C60**, o273–o275.  
 Jayaraman, K., Choudhury, A. & Rao, C. N. R. (2002). *Solid State Sci.* **4**, 413–422.  
 Meulenaer, J. de & Tompa, H. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **19**, 1014–1018.  
 Nonius (1998). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.  
 Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A*, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp 307–326. New York: Academic Press.  
 Riahi, S., Mrad, M. L., Ferretti, V., Lefebvre, F. & Ben Nasr, C. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o1647.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
 Steiner, T. (2002). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **41**, 48–76.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2014). E70, o204 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814001561]

## 2-Methylbenzene-1,3-diammonium dinitrate

Dhouha Ben Hassen, Walid Rekik, Houcine Naili, Tadeusz Lis and Roman Grobelny

### S1. Experimental

#### S1.1. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound is resulting from a chemical reaction between three reagents: 2,6-diaminotoluene ( $C_7H_{10}N_2$ ), nitric acid  $HNO_3$  and nitrate zinc hexahydrate  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ . In the molar ratio 1:2:1, 0.12 g. The amine was dissolved in a little amount of distilled water, then 0.12 g of nitric acid and 0.29 g of zinc nitrate were added. The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes and the clear solution is allowed to stand at room temperature. The slow evaporation gives rise to the formation of dark brown crystals. The X-ray analysis investigation proves that the divalent metal is not part of the structure and that the obtained phase is  $(C_7H_{12}N_2) \cdot 2(NO_3)$

#### S1.2. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. H atoms bonded to N were found in a difference map and freely refined while H bonded to carbon atom were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atom, with  $C-H = 0.93 \text{ \AA}$ , and  $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for aromatic and  $C-H = 0.96 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for methyl.

### S2. Results and discussion

The amine salt family of compounds have attracted more attention due to their potential importance (Jayaraman *et al.*, 2002; Steiner *et al.*, 2002). In this paper, we report the synthesis and the crystal structure of a new amine nitrate salt  $(C_7H_{12}N_2)^{2+} 2(NO_3)^-$ , (I). The asymmetric unit of (I), represented in figure 1, contains one protonated diamine and two nitrate anions with general positions for all atoms. Both protonated nitrogen atoms of organic cation are engaged in hydrogen bonds with two crystallographic independent nitrate groups. So that, nitrogen atoms play the role of donor and oxygen atoms are acceptors in the model of hydrogen bond. Within these intermolecular hydrogen bonds, the  $D \cdots A$  distances vary from 2.745 (2) to 2.960 (2)  $\text{\AA}$ . Within the nitrate groups, the  $N-O$  distances and the  $O-N-O$  angles are in the normal ranges (Garza Rodríguez *et al.*, 2013; Riahi *et al.*, 2012; Gao & Ng, 2012). Indeed, the  $N-O$  bond lengths range from 1.235 (2) to 1.284 (2)  $\text{\AA}$  and the  $O-N-O$  bond angles are between 118.42 (16) and 122.46 (17)  $^\circ$ . These values show that each nitrate anion exhibits a slightly distorted  $C_{3h}$  geometry. Within the aromatic rings of the organic moiety, the  $C-C$  and  $C-C-C$  angles are in the ranges 1.384 (3)–1.403 (3)  $\text{\AA}$  and 115.68 (18)–123.15 (17)  $^\circ$ . These values are comparable with those seen in other amine salts where the used amine contains a similar aromatic system (Riahi *et al.*, 2012; Gao *et al.*, 2012). The shortest intercentroid distance between two aromatic systems is equal to 3.682 (1)  $\text{\AA}$ . This value proves the existence of  $p \cdots p$  interactions which contribute to the crystal stability. Comparable intercentroid distances and interplanar spacing between two parallel aromatic rings, have already been observed in the literature (Abrahams *et al.*, 2013; Glidewell *et al.* 2004). A perspective view of the structure shows an anionic stacking and a cationic one along the crystallographic  $b$  axis (figure 2). The obtained anionic and cationic layers, which are

parallel to  $(-1\ 0\ 1)$  plane and interlinked by  $\text{N}\cdots\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  bonds, alternate along  $[1\ 0\ -1]$  direction (figure 3).




Figure 1

Asymmetric unit of the title salt. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are presented at the 50% probability level.




Figure 2

A perspective view of the crystal structure of (I). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.




Figure 3

Projection of the structure of (I) along the  $b$  axis. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

### 2-Methylbenzene-1,3-diammonium dinitrate

#### Crystal data

$C_7H_{12}N_2^{2+} \cdot 2NO_3^-$

$M_r = 248.21$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$

Hall symbol:  $-P 2_1 n$

$a = 10.494$  (3) Å

$b = 7.417$  (2) Å

$c = 13.487$  (4) Å

$\beta = 91.46$  (5)°

$V = 1049.4$  (5) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 520$

$D_x = 1.571$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 11810 reflections

$\theta = 3.1$ – $27.5$ °

$\mu = 0.14$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K

Prism, brown

$0.36 \times 0.30 \times 0.16$  mm

#### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Horizontally mounted graphite crystal

monochromator

Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>

CCD rotation images, thick slices scans

Absorption correction: analytical  
(de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965)

$T_{\min} = 0.708$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.982$

11810 measured reflections

2400 independent reflections

1617 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.000$   
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.1^\circ$

$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$   
 $k = 0 \rightarrow 9$   
 $l = 0 \rightarrow 17$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.091$   
 $S = 0.84$   
 2400 reflections  
 179 parameters  
 0 restraints  
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
 map  
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
 neighbouring sites  
 H atoms treated by a mixture of independent  
 and constrained refinement  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.057P)^2]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

### Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
N1	0.32257 (14)	0.5382 (2)	0.04883 (13)	0.0161 (3)
H11	0.330 (2)	0.416 (3)	0.0529 (16)	0.034 (6)*
H12	0.3819 (19)	0.590 (3)	0.0923 (16)	0.029 (6)*
H13	0.338 (2)	0.573 (3)	-0.0094 (18)	0.033 (6)*
N2	-0.03954 (14)	0.5320 (2)	0.27455 (11)	0.0154 (3)
H21	0.0007 (18)	0.582 (3)	0.3308 (15)	0.021 (5)*
H22	-0.0346 (18)	0.413 (3)	0.2809 (14)	0.023 (5)*
H23	-0.124 (2)	0.558 (3)	0.2749 (15)	0.031 (5)*
C1	0.02007 (15)	0.5914 (2)	0.18309 (12)	0.0143 (3)
C2	-0.04968 (15)	0.7016 (2)	0.11933 (13)	0.0174 (4)
HC2	-0.1311	0.7390	0.1357	0.021*
C3	0.00273 (15)	0.7559 (2)	0.03071 (13)	0.0189 (4)
HC3	-0.0441	0.8288	-0.0129	0.023*
C4	0.12492 (15)	0.7017 (2)	0.00712 (13)	0.0173 (4)
HC4	0.1606	0.7373	-0.0522	0.021*
C5	0.19263 (14)	0.5937 (2)	0.07346 (12)	0.0142 (3)
C6	0.14394 (15)	0.5344 (2)	0.16332 (12)	0.0135 (3)
C7	0.21965 (15)	0.4140 (2)	0.23249 (12)	0.0160 (3)
H1	0.3076	0.4499	0.2331	0.024*
H2	0.2124	0.2913	0.2103	0.024*
H3	0.1873	0.4240	0.2982	0.024*

O1	0.00268 (10)	0.15667 (16)	0.30012 (10)	0.0216 (3)
O2	-0.18762 (11)	0.13185 (17)	0.23748 (9)	0.0237 (3)
O3	-0.11592 (13)	-0.06969 (16)	0.34182 (9)	0.0260 (3)
N3	-0.10232 (13)	0.07181 (18)	0.29321 (11)	0.0171 (3)
O4	0.37995 (10)	-0.08942 (15)	0.01918 (9)	0.0212 (3)
O5	0.37031 (11)	0.17901 (15)	0.08249 (9)	0.0203 (3)
O6	0.31797 (11)	0.13625 (18)	-0.07227 (9)	0.0257 (3)
N4	0.35592 (12)	0.07296 (19)	0.00802 (10)	0.0158 (3)

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
N1	0.0172 (7)	0.0150 (8)	0.0161 (8)	-0.0007 (6)	0.0037 (6)	0.0003 (6)
N2	0.0128 (7)	0.0164 (8)	0.0170 (8)	0.0001 (6)	0.0014 (6)	0.0007 (6)
C1	0.0162 (7)	0.0126 (7)	0.0141 (8)	-0.0036 (6)	-0.0002 (6)	-0.0014 (7)
C2	0.0130 (7)	0.0157 (8)	0.0234 (10)	0.0005 (6)	-0.0016 (7)	0.0001 (7)
C3	0.0192 (8)	0.0159 (8)	0.0213 (10)	0.0000 (6)	-0.0063 (7)	0.0031 (7)
C4	0.0225 (9)	0.0149 (8)	0.0143 (9)	-0.0036 (6)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0012 (7)
C5	0.0132 (7)	0.0118 (8)	0.0176 (9)	-0.0012 (6)	-0.0010 (6)	-0.0026 (7)
C6	0.0153 (8)	0.0098 (7)	0.0154 (9)	-0.0025 (6)	-0.0023 (6)	-0.0025 (6)
C7	0.0150 (7)	0.0155 (8)	0.0176 (9)	0.0008 (6)	0.0019 (6)	0.0007 (7)
O1	0.0135 (6)	0.0183 (6)	0.0329 (7)	-0.0023 (5)	-0.0030 (5)	0.0016 (5)
O2	0.0155 (6)	0.0332 (7)	0.0223 (7)	-0.0006 (5)	-0.0038 (5)	0.0015 (6)
O3	0.0429 (8)	0.0146 (6)	0.0209 (7)	-0.0057 (6)	0.0086 (6)	0.0019 (5)
N3	0.0184 (7)	0.0156 (7)	0.0172 (7)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0025 (6)	-0.0027 (6)
O4	0.0195 (6)	0.0116 (6)	0.0327 (7)	-0.0002 (5)	0.0041 (5)	-0.0021 (5)
O5	0.0292 (7)	0.0166 (6)	0.0151 (6)	0.0035 (5)	0.0002 (5)	-0.0037 (5)
O6	0.0246 (7)	0.0360 (8)	0.0163 (7)	0.0093 (6)	-0.0041 (5)	0.0017 (6)
N4	0.0124 (6)	0.0170 (7)	0.0181 (8)	0.0005 (5)	0.0014 (5)	-0.0009 (6)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

N1—C5	1.470 (2)	C4—C5	1.384 (2)
N1—H11	0.91 (2)	C4—HC4	0.9300
N1—H12	0.93 (2)	C5—C6	1.398 (2)
N1—H13	0.85 (2)	C6—C7	1.504 (2)
N2—C1	1.465 (2)	C7—H1	0.9600
N2—H21	0.94 (2)	C7—H2	0.9600
N2—H22	0.89 (2)	C7—H3	0.9600
N2—H23	0.91 (2)	O1—N3	1.2703 (17)
C1—C2	1.382 (2)	O2—N3	1.2371 (19)
C1—C6	1.399 (2)	O3—N3	1.2475 (19)
C2—C3	1.388 (2)	O4—N4	1.2388 (18)
C2—HC2	0.9300	O5—N4	1.2817 (18)
C3—C4	1.389 (2)	O6—N4	1.2367 (18)
C3—HC3	0.9300		
C5—N1—H11	110.0 (13)	C5—C4—C3	118.78 (15)

C5—N1—H12	110.8 (12)	C5—C4—HC4	120.6
H11—N1—H12	108.5 (19)	C3—C4—HC4	120.6
C5—N1—H13	109.0 (15)	C4—C5—C6	123.34 (14)
H11—N1—H13	110 (2)	C4—C5—N1	118.67 (15)
H12—N1—H13	108.4 (19)	C6—C5—N1	117.99 (15)
C1—N2—H21	111.6 (11)	C5—C6—C1	115.58 (15)
C1—N2—H22	110.8 (13)	C5—C6—C7	121.71 (14)
H21—N2—H22	107.1 (18)	C1—C6—C7	122.69 (14)
C1—N2—H23	112.2 (13)	C6—C7—H1	109.5
H21—N2—H23	109.3 (17)	C6—C7—H2	109.5
H22—N2—H23	105.5 (18)	H1—C7—H2	109.5
C2—C1—C6	122.68 (15)	C6—C7—H3	109.5
C2—C1—N2	118.10 (14)	H1—C7—H3	109.5
C6—C1—N2	119.22 (15)	H2—C7—H3	109.5
C1—C2—C3	119.49 (15)	O2—N3—O3	122.10 (14)
C1—C2—HC2	120.3	O2—N3—O1	118.62 (14)
C3—C2—HC2	120.3	O3—N3—O1	119.27 (14)
C2—C3—C4	120.11 (16)	O6—N4—O4	122.38 (14)
C2—C3—HC3	119.9	O6—N4—O5	118.82 (14)
C4—C3—HC3	119.9	O4—N4—O5	118.80 (14)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.5 (3)	N1—C5—C6—C1	179.86 (14)
N2—C1—C2—C3	-178.15 (15)	C4—C5—C6—C7	178.77 (15)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.8 (3)	N1—C5—C6—C7	-1.4 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.2 (2)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-1.1 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.6 (2)	N2—C1—C6—C5	178.57 (14)
C3—C4—C5—N1	-179.28 (15)	C2—C1—C6—C7	-179.79 (15)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.0 (2)	N2—C1—C6—C7	-0.2 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H11 $\cdots$ O5	0.91 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.746 (2)	168 (2)
N1—H12 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.93 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.845 (2)	168 (2)
N1—H13 $\cdots$ O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (2)	2.07 (2)	2.891 (2)	161 (2)
N2—H21 $\cdots$ O5 <sup>i</sup>	0.94 (2)	1.91 (2)	2.808 (2)	161 (2)
N2—H22 $\cdots$ O1	0.89 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.839 (2)	172 (2)
N2—H23 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.91 (2)	2.05 (3)	2.958 (2)	174 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $x+1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2$ ; (iii)  $-x-1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ .