

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Redetermination of [EuCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]Cl

#### Frank Tambornino, Philipp Bielec and Constantin Hoch\*

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstrasse 5-13, D-81377 München, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: constantin.hoch@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Received 13 March 2014; accepted 6 May 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma(Eu-O) = 0.002$  Å; R factor = 0.015; wR factor = 0.032; data-to-parameter ratio = 26.7.

The crystal structure of the title compound, hexaaquadichloridoeuropium(III) chloride, was redetermined with modern crystallographic methods. In comparison with the previous study [Lepert et al. (1983). Aust. J. Chem. 36, 477-482], it could be shown that the atomic coordinates of some O atoms had been confused and now were corrected. Moreover, it was possible to freely refine the positions of the H atoms and thus to improve the accurracy of the crystal structure. [EuCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]Cl crystallizes with the GdCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O structuretype, exhibiting discrete  $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]^+$  cations as the main building blocks. The main blocks are linked with isolated chloride anions via O-H···Cl hydrogen bonds into a threedimensional framework. The Eu<sup>3+</sup> cation is located on a twofold rotation axis and is coordinated in the form of a Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> square antiprism. One chloride anion coordinates directly to  $Eu^{3+}$ , whereas the other chloride anion, situated on a twofold rotation axis, is hydrogen bonded to six octahedrally arranged water molecules.

#### **Related literature**

For previous structure determinations of the title compound, see: Lepert et al. (1983); Bel'skii & Struchkov (1965). For the GdCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O structure type and isotypic compounds, see: Marezio et al. (1961); Bell & Smith (1990); Burns & Peterson (1971); Graeber et al. (1966); Habenschuss & Spedding (1980); Hoch & Simon (2008); Junk et al. (1999); Reuter et al. (1994). For related structures, see: Demvanets et al. (1974); Reuter et al. (1994). For standardization of crystal data, see: Gelato & Parthé (1987).

#### Experimental

Crystal data	
[EuCl <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>6</sub> ]Cl	c = 7.929 (3) Å
$M_r = 366.41$	$\beta = 93.653 \ (13)^{\circ}$
Monoclinic, $P2/n$	V = 498.4 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>
a = 9.6438 (12)  Å	Z = 2
b = 6.5322 (10)  Å	Ag $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\lambda = 0.56083$  Å  $\mu = 3.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

#### Data collection

Stoe IPDS I diffractometer	13401 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1762 independent reflections
(MulScanAbs in PLATON;	1653 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Spek, 2009)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.043$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.425, T_{\rm max} = 0.510$	

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.015$	66 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.032$	All H-atom parameters refined
S = 1.03	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1762 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.77 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Eu1-O1	2.4618 (15)	Eu1-O3	2.3078 (16)
Eu1-O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.4620 (18)	Eu1-Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.7690 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z - 1$ ; (ii) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$ .	ymmetry codes:	(i) $x, y, z -$	1; (ii) $-x +$	1, -y, -z + 1.	
---	----------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	--

#### Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O1-H1···Cl2 <sup>i</sup>	0.74 (4)	2.36 (4)	3.081 (2)	166.08
$O2-H2\cdots Cl1^{iii}$	0.81 (3)	2.54 (3)	3.351 (2)	174.97
$O2-H3 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl2^{iv}$	0.76 (4)	2.51 (4)	3.2234 (19)	157.37
$O3-H4\cdots Cl1^i$	0.72(4)	2.35 (4)	3.036 (2)	160.44
$O1 - H5^{v} \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1$	0.74(2)	2.36 (3)	3.095 (2)	173.89
$O3-H6\cdots Cl2^{vi}$	0.79 (4)	2.53 (4)	3.310 (2)	170.66
-		-		

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z - 1; (iii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iv) x, y - 1, z; (v)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2006); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-AREA; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Crystal Impact, 2007); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: WM5012).

#### References

- Bell, A. M. T. & Smith, A. J. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 960-962.
- Bel'skii, N. K. & Struchkov, Yu. T. (1965). Kristallografiya, 10, 21-28.
- Burns, J. H. & Peterson, J. R. (1971). Inorg. Chem. 10, 147-151.
- Crystal Impact (2007). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Demyanets, L. N., Bukin, V. I., Emelyanova, E. N. & Ivanov, V. I. (1974). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 18, 806-808.
- Gelato, L. M. & Parthé, E. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 139-143.
- Graeber, E. J., Conrad, G. H. & Duliere, S. F. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 1012-1013. Habenschuss, A. & Spedding, F. H. (1980). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 9, 71-75. Hoch, C. & Simon, A. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, i35.
- Junk, P. C., Semenova, L. I., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1999). Aust. J. Chem. 52, 531-538.
- Lepert, D. L., Patrick, J. M. & White, A. H. (1983). Aust. J. Chem. 36, 477-482. Marezio, M., Plettinger, H. A. & Zachariasen, W. H. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 234-236.

Reuter, G., Fink, H. & Seifert, H. J. (1994). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 620, 665-671. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

Stoe & Cie (2006). X-AREA. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.

 $0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

T = 293 K

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, i27 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814010307]

## Redetermination of [EuCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]Cl

## Frank Tambornino, Philipp Bielec and Constantin Hoch

### S1. Comment

 $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]Cl$  crystallizes with the GdCl<sub>3</sub>6H<sub>2</sub>O structure type (Marezio *et al.*, 1961), like many metal trichloride hexahydrates  $MCl_36H_2O$  with M = Y (Bell & Smith, 1990), Ce (Reuter *et al.*, 1994), Nd (Habenschuss & Spedding, 1980), Sm - Tm (Graeber *et al.*, 1966), Am, Bk (Burns & Peterson, 1971), and three bromide hexahydrates  $MBr_36H_2O$ with M = Pr, Dy (Junk *et al.*, 1999) and Eu (Hoch & Simon, 2008). The first structure determination of the title compound was performed on the basis of film data (Bel'skii & Struchkov, 1965) and without determination of the hydrogen atom positions. A first exact structure determination with all atomic positions was performed by Lepert *et al.* (1983). However, the published data contain errors in the atomic coordinates. We have thus redetermined the structure on the basis of modern area detector data.

The Eu<sup>3+</sup> cation in  $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]Cl$  is located on a twofold rotation axis and is coordinated in form of a distorted square antiprism defined by six water molecules and two chloride anions (Fig. 1, Table 1). Hydrogen bonds O—H···Cl connect the  $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]^+$  cations with the Cl<sup>-</sup> counter-anions to a three-dimensional framework (Fig 2). The complexing chloride anion Cl1 is surrounded by three, the isolated chloride anion Cl2 by six H atoms (Figs. 3, 4), forming hydrogen bonds with Cl···H distances between 2.36 (4) and 2.54 (3) Å (Table 2) and are in good agreement with those in other chloride hydrates. The Eu<sup>III</sup>—O distances in  $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]Cl$  range from 2.3078 (16) to 2.4620 (18) Å and are comparable with those in EuCl<sub>3</sub>'3H<sub>2</sub>O (2.39–2.40 Å; Reuter *et al.*, 1994), EuCl<sub>3</sub>'6H<sub>2</sub>O (2.39–2.43 Å; Graeber *et al.*, 1966), or EuCl(OH)<sub>2</sub> (2.35–2.44 Å; Demyanets *et al.*, 1974) and also with those in EuBr<sub>3</sub>'6H<sub>2</sub>O (Hoch & Simon, 2008).

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was obtained by adding small portions of commercially available  $Eu_2O_3$  (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%) into concentrated aqueous HCl solution at 353 K until only minor amounts of undissolved  $Eu_2O_3$  remained visible for several minutes. The surplus  $Eu_2O_3$  finally was dissolved by dropwise addition of concentrated HCl to the solution until a clear colourless solution was obtained. The solution was allowed to cool to 293 K, yielding colourless single-crystal blocks of  $[EuCl_2(H_2O)_6]Cl$ .

#### S3. Refinement

The positions of all hydrogen atoms were identified from the difference Fourier map and were freely refined, applying one common isotropic displacement parameter to all six H atoms.

For better comparability of our structure model with the previous model by Lepert *et al.* (1983) we haved used the same setting in space group P2/n. In the crystal structure description given by Lepert *et al.* (1983) several misspellings of the atomic positions were adopted into the databases. The published model leads to diverging refinements if taken as starting values. We have analysed the misspellings and give a conclusive assignment of the atomic positions. If standardized by the program *STRUCTURE-TIDY* (Gelato & Parthé, 1987), the comparison of our model with the one given by Lepert *et* 

*al.* (1983) shows, in addition to an origin shift of (0, 1/2, 0), that the *y* and *z* coordinates of atoms O1, O2 and O3 were permutated. In fact, *y*(O1) and *z*(O1) belong to *y*(O3) and *z*(O3), *y*(O2) and *z*(O2) belong to *y*(O1) and *z*(O1), and finally *y*(O3) and *z*(O3) belong to *y*(O2) and *z*(O2). If re-ordered in the given way, the refinement based on starting values from Lepert *et al.* (1983) lead to convergence in few cycles with satisfying results.



## Figure 1

The cationic  $[Eu(H_2O)_6Cl_2]^+$  unit in  $[Eu(H_2O)_6Cl_2]Cl$ . Ellipsoids are drawn at 75% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are drawn as small black spheres with arbitrary radius. [Symmetry code: (i) 3/2 - x, *y*, 1/2 - z; (ii) *x*, *y*, -1 + z; (iii) 3/2 - x, *y*, 3/2 - z; (iv) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (v) 1/2 + x, -y, -1/2 + z.]



## Figure 2

View along [010] on the crystal structure of  $[Eu(H_2O)_6Cl_2]Cl$ . Small black spheres represent H atoms, blue ellipsoids represent Eu atoms, olive ellipsoids represent Cl atoms, turquoise ellipsoids represent O atoms. Grey polyhedra represent the coordination of H atoms around Cl atoms.



## Figure 3

The coordination sphere of the coordinating Cl1 atom is a distorted tetrahedron built from three water molecules and one europium atom. The water molecules coordinate *via* hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) 1/2 + x, 1 - y, 1/2 + z; (iii) 3/2 - x, y 3/2 - z; (iv) x, y, 1 + z.]



#### Figure 4

The coordination sphere of the anionic Cl2 atom consists of six water molecules coordinating *via* their hydrogen atoms forming a distorted octahedron. [Symmetry codes: (i) 3/2 - x, y, 1/2 - z; (ii) x, y, 1 + z; (iii) 3/2 - x, 1 + y, 3/2 - z; (iv) x, 1 + y, z; (v) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (vi) 1/2 + x, 1 - y, 1/2 + z.]

#### Hexaaquadichloridoeuropium(III) chloride

Crystal data
[EuCl <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>6</sub> ]Cl
$M_r = 366.41$
Monoclinic, P2/n
Hall symbol: -P 2yac
<i>a</i> = 9.6438 (12) Å
<i>b</i> = 6.5322 (10) Å
<i>c</i> = 7.929 (3) Å
$\beta = 93.653 (13)^{\circ}$
$V = 498.4 (2) \text{ Å}^3$

Z = 2 F(000) = 348  $D_x = 2.441 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Ag K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.56083 \mathbf{A} Cell parameters from 13548 reflections  $\mu = 3.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 KStretched cuboid, clear colourless  $0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$  Data collection

Stoe IPDS I diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator $\varphi$ scan Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>MulScanAbs</i> in <i>PLATON</i> ; Spek, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.425, T_{max} = 0.510$ <i>Refinement</i>	13401 measured reflections 1762 independent reflections 1653 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.043$ $\theta_{max} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.0^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.015$ $wR(F^2) = 0.032$ S = 1.03 1762 reflections 66 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.015P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.63$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.77  {\rm e \ A^{-5}}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ ,

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Eu1	0.7500	0.150918 (18)	0.2500	0.01345 (3)	
Cl1	0.44156 (5)	0.16532 (7)	0.76010 (6)	0.02588 (9)	
Cl2	0.7500	0.62387 (11)	0.7500	0.02813 (13)	
01	0.85427 (18)	0.4256 (2)	0.0872 (2)	0.0275 (3)	
O2	0.78164 (18)	0.0484 (2)	0.9561 (2)	0.0263 (3)	
03	0.56055 (17)	0.3002 (2)	0.1060 (2)	0.0278 (3)	
H1	0.827 (4)	0.454 (6)	0.001 (5)	0.051 (4)*	
H2	0.846 (3)	0.084 (5)	0.902 (4)	0.035 (3)*	
Н3	0.766 (4)	-0.063 (7)	0.933 (5)	0.058 (5)*	
H4	0.551 (4)	0.265 (6)	0.020 (5)	0.052 (5)*	
Н5	0.881 (4)	0.520 (5)	0.129 (5)	0.040 (3)*	
H6	0.491 (4)	0.319 (6)	0.152 (5)	0.044 (4)*	

#### Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Eu1	0.01398 (5)	0.01346 (5)	0.01244 (6)	0.000	-0.00293 (3)	0.000

# supporting information

Cl1	0.02417 (18)	0.02396 (18)	0.0286(2)	-0.00652 (16)	-0.00541(16)	0.00198 (17)
Cl2		0.0305 (3)	0.0235(3)	0 000	-0.0033(2)	0 000
01	0.0368 (8)	0.0229 (6)	0.0214 (8)	-0.0100 (6)	-0.0085(2)	0.0038 (5)
O2	0.0336 (7)	0.0277 (7)	0.0175 (7)	-0.0047 (6)	-0.0001 (6)	-0.0039 (5)
O3	0.0250 (6)	0.0317 (7)	0.0250 (8)	0.0067 (5)	-0.0113 (6)	-0.0028 (5)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)* 

Eu1—O1	2.4618 (15)	O2—H3	0.76 (4)
Eu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.4618 (16)	O2—H2	0.81 (3)
Eu1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.4620 (18)	O3—H4	0.72 (4)
Eu1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	2.4620 (18)	O3—H6	0.79 (4)
Eu1—O3	2.3078 (16)	Cl1—H2	2.535 (4)
Eu1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.3078 (15)	Cl1—H4	2.3535 (4)
Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	2.7690 (12)	Cl1—H5 <sup>vi</sup>	2.36 (3)
Eu1—Cl1 <sup>v</sup>	2.7690 (12)	Cl2—H1 <sup>i</sup>	2.36 (4)
O1—H1	0.74 (4)	Cl2—H3 <sup>vii</sup>	2.5071 (4)
O1—H5	0.74 (4)	Cl2—H6 <sup>viii</sup>	2.53 (4)
Eu1—O1—H1	122 (3)	O1—Eu1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	67.83 (6)
Eu1—O1—H1	122 (3)	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	105.35 (5)
Eu1—O1—H5	121 (3)	O1—Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	145.35 (4)
Eu1—O1—H5	121 (3)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Eu1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	148.45 (8)
Eu1 <sup>ix</sup> —O2—H2	124 (3)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	83.83 (4)
Eu1 <sup>ix</sup> —O2—H2	124 (3)	O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	72.65 (4)
Eu1 <sup>ix</sup> —O2—H3	117 (3)	$O3^{i}$ —Eu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	76.70 (6)
Eu1 <sup>ix</sup> —O2—H3	117 (3)	O3—Eu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	67.31 (6)
Eu1—O3—H4	112 (3)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	116.15 (7)
Eu1—O3—H4	112 (3)	O3—Eu1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	77.82 (6)
Eu1—O3—H6	120 (3)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—O3	130.01 (8)
Eu1—O3—H6	120 (3)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	146.64 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—O1	86.43 (9)	O3—Eu1—Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	78.18 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Eu1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	140.68 (5)	Cl1 <sup>iv</sup> —Eu1—Cl1 <sup>v</sup>	83.51 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+3/2, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (iii) -*x*+3/2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (v) *x*+1/2, -*y*, *z*-1/2; (vi) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1, *z*+1/2; (vii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ix) *x*, *y*, *z*+1.

TT 1 1 1	18	
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(A,	9

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
01—H1···Cl2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.74 (4)	2.36 (4)	3.081 (2)	166.08
O2—H2···Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.81 (3)	2.54 (3)	3.351 (2)	174.97
O2—H3···Cl2 <sup>x</sup>	0.76 (4)	2.51 (4)	3.2234 (19)	157.37
O3—H4…Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.72 (4)	2.35 (4)	3.036 (2)	160.44
O1—H5 <sup>vi</sup> …Cl1	0.74 (2)	2.36 (3)	3.095 (2)	173.89
O3—H6····Cl2 <sup>viii</sup>	0.79 (4)	2.53 (4)	3.310 (2)	170.66

Symmetry codes: (ii) x, y, z-1; (iii) -x+3/2, y, -z+3/2; (vi) x-1/2, -y+1, z+1/2; (viii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (x) x, y-1, z.