Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

A cyclooctatrienone complex of diiron hexacarbonvl

Peter W. R. Corfield

Department of Chemistry, Fordham University, 441 East Fordham Road, Bronx, NY 10458, USA

Correspondence e-mail: pcorfield@fordham.edu

Received 24 May 2014; accepted 31 May 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.091; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.3.

In the title compound, $[\mu-(2,6,7-\eta:3,4,5-\eta)-\text{cycloocta}-2,4,6$ trienone]bis(tricarbonyliron)(Fe-Fe), [Fe₂(C₈H₈O)(CO)₆], the diiron hexacarbonyl moiety has a sawhorse arrangement, with the OC-Fe-Fe-CO fragment forming the horizontal bar of the horse, and the other four carbonyl groups the legs. The Fe-Fe distance is 2.795 (2) Å. Each Fe atom is also bonded to three C atoms of the cyclooctatrienone ring. One Fe atom forms a σ -bond with one ring C atom, with Fe-C = 2.109 (2) Å, and also a metal-olefin π -bond with two C atoms on the other side of the ring, with Fe-C distances of 2.238 (2) and 2.236 (3) Å. The second Fe atom forms a η^3 -allyl bond with three other ring atoms, with Fe-C bond lengths of 2.158 (2), 2.062 (2), and 2.123 (3) Å. Counting the π - and π allyl interactions as one bond, the coordinations of the Fe atoms can, respectively, be approximated as octahedral and trigonal bipyramidal.

Related literature

The title compound was synthesized as part of a study on reactions of various cyclooctatetraene iron carbonyls (Paquette et al., 1975). The first reported synthesis of the compound was by King (1963). The structure of the corresponding cyclooctatriene complex was reported by Cotton & Edwards (1969), and that of a closely related derivative by Kerber et al. (1984), who also review other related structures.



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Fe_2(C_8H_8O)(CO)_6]$	
$M_r = 399.90$	
Triclinic, P1	
a = 7.729 (8) Å	
b = 8.258 (8) Å	
c = 11.927 (11) Å	
$\alpha = 89.172 \ (16)^{\circ}$	
$\beta = 83.82 \ (3)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Picker four-circle diffractometer Absorption correction: integration (Busing & Levy, 1957) $T_{\min} = 0.48, T_{\max} = 0.58$ 4520 measured reflections 4227 independent reflections

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.091$ S = 1.114226 reflections

V = 729.4 (12) Å³ Z = 2Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$

 $\gamma = 74.54 \ (2)^{\circ}$

3687 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$ 18 standard reflections every 500 reflections intensity decay: 7.6(1)

CrossMark

208 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: Corfield (1972); cell refinement: Corfield (1972); data reduction: data reduction followed procedures in Corfield et al. (1973), with p = 0.06 [data were averaged with a local version of SORTAV (Blessing, 1989), and a four-dimensional scaling procedure (XABS2; Parkin et al., 1995) was applied]; program(s) used to solve structure: local superposition program (Corfield, 1972); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

I am grateful for the provision of a crystalline sample by Leo A. Paquette, as well as support from the National Science Foundation through equipment grant GP8534 awarded to the Ohio State University, where the experimental work was carried out.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PK2527).

References

- Blessing, R. H. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 396-397.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Busing, W. R. & Levy, H. A. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 180-182.
- Corfield, P. W. R. (1972). Local versions of standard programs, written at Ohio State University.
- Corfield, P. W. R., Dabrowiak, J. C. & Gore, E. S. (1973). Inorg. Chem. 12, 1734-1740.
- Cotton, F. A. & Edwards, W. T. (1969). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 843-847.
- Kerber, K. C., Glasser, D. & Luck, B. (1984). Organometallics, 3, 840-845.
- King, R. B. (1963). Inorg. Chem. 2, 907-810.
- Paquette, L. A., Ley, S. V., Maiorana, S., Schneider, D. F., Broadhurst, M. J. & Boggs, R. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 4658-4667.
- Parkin, S., Moezzi, B. & Hope, H. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 53-56.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, m254 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536814012690]

A cyclooctatrienone complex of diiron hexacarbonyl

Peter W. R. Corfield

S1. Experimental

S1.1. Synthesis and crystallization

Details of the synthesis of the title compound are given in Paquette *et al.* (1975), which describes how the structure relates to mechanistic studies on cycloadditions of substituted cyclooctatetraenes to iron carbonyl complexes. A previous synthesis by a different route is given by King (1963).

S1.2. Refinement

Each of the 18 standard reflections was measured 17-18 times during the 97 hours of data collection. Decay of individual standards during data collection was relatively isotropic, ranging from 6.9 (2)%-8.3 (5)%. Data were collected in two shells, θ =0-20° and θ =20-30°. The average decay during collection of data in the first shell was 0.8 (1)%, so that most of the decay occurred during collection of weaker intensities in the higher angle shell. No correction was made for the fall-off in standard intensities.

The original data reduction deleted 536 reflections with $I \le 2\sigma(I)$ out of a total of 4226 measurements, and their details are no longer available. Near the end of the final refinements, the missing reflections were reinserted into the data file, with F^2 values set equal to the $\sigma(F^2)$ found for reflections with $F^2 \le 3\sigma(F^2)$, averaged over ten ranges of theta values.

This report is based upon refinements that include the reinserted weaker reflections. The necessarily arbitrary assignment of F² values for these reflections with I $\leq 2\sigma(I)$ is the reason for the high K value for the weakest reflections in the final refinement. The structure was also refined without these weak reflections. The average Δ/σ for all parameters between refinements with and without the missing reflections was 0.21, with a maximum of 0.67 for U₁₁ for Fe1.

One reflection, (-2, 1, 3), was omitted from the final refinements, as the records clearly indicate an error during the scan. As noted by the checkCIF/ PLATON report, alert level B, there are two reflections which show large $\Delta(F^2)/\sigma$ values in the final refinements, (-2, 0, 2) and (0, 1, 5). The calculated F² values for these weaker reflections are near zero. However, as the chart record clearly shows peaks during these scans, there seemed no reason to delete them from the reflections file.

Positions of the two Fe atoms and the atoms of the six carbonyl groups were found by superposition methods.

H atoms were constrained to idealized positions with C—H distances of 0.98Å for the tertiary H atoms on C2—7 and 0.97Å for the secondary H atoms on C8. The U_{eq} values for all H atoms were fixed at 1.2 times the U_{iso} of their bonded C atoms.

Initial refinements with anisotropic temperature factors for Fe, O and C atoms and constrained hydrogen atom parameters converged smoothly, but a difference Fourier synthesis at this stage showed a pattern of peaks and holes 0.8-0.9 Å from the iron atoms, with maximum and minimum density values of 0.66 and -0.42 e/A³. The intensity data were smoothed by a 12 parameter model with *XABS2* (Parkin *et al.*, 1995), to allow for systematic anisotropies that might have existed in the data collection. After ensuing refinements, the maximum and minimum residual electron densities

were reduced to 0.34 and -0.49 e/A^3 .

S2. Comment

The title compound was synthesized as part of a study on the mechanism of cycloaddition of tetracyanoethylene to various cyclooctatetraene iron carbonyls. (Paquette *et al.*, 1975). Determination of this structure clearly showed that Fe1 was σ bonded to C2 and π -bonded to C6 and C7, distinguishing the compound from a possible isomer with Fe1 σ bonded to C7 and π -bonded to the other side of the ring.

The structure of the corresponding cyclooctatriene complex was reported by Cotton and Edwards (1969), and that of a related carboxylate derivative by reported by Kerber *et al.* (1984), who also review two other related structures involving a bicyclo arrangement at C8 and C1.

In the present compound, the di-iron hexacarbonyl moiety has a sawhorse arrangement, with the fragment O11—C11— Fe1—Fe2—C14—O14 forming the horizontal bar of the horse, and the other four carbonyl groups the legs. The Fe—Fe distance is 2.795 (2) Å, somewhat longer than in similar structures reviewed by Kerber *et al.* (1984), in which the Fe—Fe distances range from 2.764 (3)Å to 2.786 (2)Å.

Each Fe atom is also bonded to three of the cyclooctatrienone carbon atoms. Fe1 forms a σ -bond with C2, with Fe—C2 = 2.109 (2)Å, and a metal-olefin π -bond with C6 and C7, with Fe1—C6 = 2.238 (2) Å and Fe1—C7 = 2.236 (3) Å. Counting the π -coordination as one bond, Fe1 can be considered as octahedrally coordinated. The shorter σ -bond length

and the longer distances to the π -bonded C atoms are similar to those reported by Kerber *et al.* (1984).

Fe2 forms a *trihapto*allyl bond with atoms C3—C5, with bond distances Fe2—C3 = 2.158 (2) Å, Fe2—C4 = 2.062 (2) Å and Fe2—C5 = 2.123 (3) Å. Counting the π -allyl interaction as one bond, the coordination of Fe2 can be approximated as trigonal bipyramidal. The irregular pattern of distances lying in between those for the σ - and π -bonds is again similar to those found previously (Kerber *et al.*, 1984).

Four of the Fe—C carbonyl distances are clustered closely around a mean of 1.800 (2)Å. However, Fe1—C12 is somewhat longer, at 1.818 (2), and Fe1—C13 is somewhat shorter, at 1.783 (2)Å, perhaps reflecting the *trans* positions of these bonds to the Fe1—C2 σ bond and the Fe1—C6,C7 π bond, respectively.

The cyclooctatrienone ring is buckled in a complex way due to the trihapto bonding to each of the two Fe atoms. One might expect the six bonded C atoms to be held closer to the Fe atoms and the remaining two ring C atoms to be bent away from the Fe atoms, and this indeed appears to be the case. The bonded ring atoms C2—C7 form a rough plane, with rms deviation of 0.26 Å, and atoms C8 and C1 are displaced 1.543 (3)Å and 1.002 (3)Å respectively from this plane, away from the Fe atoms. Distances and angles for the ring are given in Table 1.

The shortest intermolecular contact is H4—H4(1-x,1-y,1-z), at 2.39Å. The shortest intermolecular distance between carbonyl groups is O4—O4 (2-x,-y,1-z) at 3.042 (4)Å. Contacts between hydrogen atoms and oxygen atoms range upwards from 2.81Å, for O14—H3(-x,1-y,-z).



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title molecule, with ellipsoids at the 50% level.



Figure 2

Packing of the title complex, viewed along the a^* axis, with ellipsoid outlines at 30% probability.

 $[\mu-(2,6,7-\eta:3,4,5-\eta)-Cycloocta-2,4,6-trienone]$ bis(tricarbonyldiiron)(Fe—Fe)

Crystal data

 $[Fe_{2}(C_{8}H_{8}O)(CO)_{6}]$ $M_{r} = 399.90$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.729 (8) Å b = 8.258 (8) Å c = 11.927 (11) Å a = 89.172 (16)° $\beta = 83.82$ (3)° $\gamma = 74.54$ (2)° V = 729.4 (12) Å³ Z = 2F(000) = 400

Data collection

Picker four-circle diffractometer Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube Oriented graphite 200 reflection monochromator $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: integration (Busing & Levy, 1957) $T_{min} = 0.48, T_{max} = 0.58$ 4520 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.091$ S = 1.114226 reflections 208 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: heavy-atom method

$D_{x} = 1.821 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{m} = 1.83 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{m} \text{ measured by flotation in bromobenzene/bromoform mixture Melting point: 428 K$ Mo*Ka* $radiation, <math>\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 12 reflections $\theta = 11.0-25.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 KBlock, red $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$

4227 independent reflections 3687 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.019$ $\theta_{max} = 29.9^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.6^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $I = 0 \rightarrow 16$ 18 standard reflections every 500 reflections intensity decay: 7.6(1)

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + 0.084P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.49$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.23879 (3)	0.27972 (3)	0.177172 (18)	0.03093 (8)
Fe2	0.53855 (3)	0.14576 (3)	0.29382 (2)	0.03417 (8)
C11	0.0206 (3)	0.3694 (2)	0.13055 (15)	0.0413 (4)
011	-0.1162 (2)	0.4219 (2)	0.09807 (15)	0.0624 (4)
C12	0.3569 (3)	0.2465 (2)	0.03568 (15)	0.0432 (4)
O12	0.4271 (3)	0.2256 (2)	-0.05374 (13)	0.0677 (5)
C13	0.2055 (3)	0.0741 (2)	0.18355 (15)	0.0394 (3)
O13	0.1684 (3)	-0.05060 (18)	0.18661 (14)	0.0580 (4)
C14	0.7292 (3)	0.0818 (2)	0.37346 (17)	0.0450 (4)
O14	0.8500 (2)	0.0358 (2)	0.42302 (17)	0.0682 (5)
C15	0.6776 (3)	0.1002 (3)	0.16087 (18)	0.0483 (4)
O15	0.7697 (3)	0.0716 (3)	0.07895 (15)	0.0752 (5)
C16	0.4823 (3)	-0.0514 (2)	0.31890 (17)	0.0442 (4)
O16	0.4551 (3)	-0.17724 (19)	0.33981 (16)	0.0674 (5)
C1	0.0240 (2)	0.4664 (2)	0.35754 (15)	0.0414 (4)
01	-0.13837 (19)	0.4908 (2)	0.38358 (13)	0.0571 (4)
C2	0.1512 (2)	0.2959 (2)	0.35132 (13)	0.0361 (3)
H2	0.0868	0.2108	0.3725	0.043*
C3	0.3129 (2)	0.2703 (2)	0.41251 (14)	0.0372 (3)
H3	0.3051	0.2147	0.4853	0.045*
C4	0.4485 (2)	0.3564 (2)	0.39688 (15)	0.0409 (4)
H4	0.5158	0.3642	0.4608	0.049*
C5	0.5108 (3)	0.4085 (2)	0.29046 (17)	0.0421 (4)
Н5	0.6234	0.4426	0.2868	0.051*
C6	0.4023 (3)	0.4619 (2)	0.19756 (16)	0.0404 (4)
H6	0.4715	0.4828	0.1277	0.049*
C7	0.2215 (3)	0.5506 (2)	0.20695 (17)	0.0437 (4)
H7	0.1860	0.6237	0.1432	0.052*
C8	0.1071 (3)	0.6065 (2)	0.31726 (19)	0.0508 (5)
H8A	0.0130	0.7082	0.3068	0.061*
H8B	0.1809	0.6296	0.3726	0.061*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.03534 (13)	0.02750 (12)	0.03108 (12)	-0.01090 (9)	-0.00212 (9)	0.00032 (8)
Fe2	0.03353 (13)	0.03090 (12)	0.03838 (13)	-0.00883 (9)	-0.00396 (9)	-0.00376 (9)
C11	0.0447 (9)	0.0389 (8)	0.0413 (8)	-0.0132 (7)	-0.0048 (7)	0.0058 (7)
011	0.0474 (8)	0.0741 (10)	0.0661 (10)	-0.0131 (8)	-0.0181 (7)	0.0176 (8)
C12	0.0504 (10)	0.0408 (9)	0.0391 (8)	-0.0149 (8)	-0.0010 (7)	-0.0007 (7)
012	0.0817 (12)	0.0784 (11)	0.0412 (8)	-0.0256 (10)	0.0131 (8)	-0.0061 (7)
C13	0.0477 (9)	0.0348 (8)	0.0381 (8)	-0.0141 (7)	-0.0076 (7)	0.0007 (6)
013	0.0793 (11)	0.0389 (7)	0.0653 (9)	-0.0293 (7)	-0.0165 (8)	0.0043 (6)
C14	0.0395 (9)	0.0419 (9)	0.0551 (10)	-0.0130 (7)	-0.0060 (8)	0.0007 (8)
O14	0.0513 (10)	0.0718 (10)	0.0879 (12)	-0.0195 (8)	-0.0297 (9)	0.0192 (9)

supporting information

C15	0.0438 (10)	0.0486 (10)	0.0507 (10)	-0.0101 (8)	-0.0020 (8)	-0.0077 (8)
O15	0.0625 (11)	0.0987 (14)	0.0587 (10)	-0.0190 (10)	0.0148 (8)	-0.0195 (9)
C16	0.0459 (10)	0.0375 (8)	0.0503 (10)	-0.0102 (7)	-0.0125 (8)	0.0004 (7)
O16	0.0865 (13)	0.0418 (8)	0.0838 (12)	-0.0274 (8)	-0.0280 (10)	0.0138 (8)
C1	0.0388 (9)	0.0446 (9)	0.0384 (8)	-0.0081 (7)	-0.0005 (7)	-0.0094 (7)
01	0.0356 (7)	0.0722 (10)	0.0586 (8)	-0.0081 (7)	0.0032 (6)	-0.0170 (7)
C2	0.0374 (8)	0.0370 (8)	0.0353 (7)	-0.0150 (6)	0.0027 (6)	-0.0035 (6)
C3	0.0437 (9)	0.0357 (7)	0.0316 (7)	-0.0105 (7)	-0.0006 (6)	-0.0048 (6)
C4	0.0435 (9)	0.0353 (8)	0.0448 (9)	-0.0098 (7)	-0.0085 (7)	-0.0113 (7)
C5	0.0401 (9)	0.0331 (8)	0.0571 (10)	-0.0175 (7)	-0.0025 (8)	-0.0062 (7)
C6	0.0472 (9)	0.0307 (7)	0.0469 (9)	-0.0193 (7)	0.0021 (7)	0.0014 (6)
C7	0.0512 (10)	0.0284 (7)	0.0532 (10)	-0.0138 (7)	-0.0057 (8)	0.0046 (7)
C8	0.0489 (11)	0.0313 (8)	0.0674 (12)	-0.0037 (7)	-0.0018 (9)	-0.0107 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—C13	1.783 (2)	C16—O16	1.133 (3)
Fe1—C11	1.796 (2)	C1—O1	1.223 (3)
Fe1—C12	1.818 (2)	C1—C2	1.484 (3)
Fe1—C2	2.109 (2)	C2—C3	1.480 (3)
Fe1—C7	2.236 (3)	C2—H2	0.9800
Fe1—C6	2.238 (2)	C3—C4	1.411 (3)
Fe1—Fe2	2.795 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9800
Fe2—C14	1.795 (2)	C4—C5	1.411 (3)
Fe2—C15	1.802 (3)	C4—H4	0.9800
Fe2—C16	1.807 (2)	C5—C6	1.454 (3)
Fe2—C4	2.062 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9800
Fe2—C5	2.123 (3)	C6—C7	1.387 (3)
Fe2—C3	2.158 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9800
C11-011	1.138 (3)	С7—С8	1.508 (3)
C12—O12	1.137 (3)	С7—Н7	0.9800
C13—O13	1.140 (2)	C8—H8A	0.9700
C14—O14	1.135 (3)	C8—H8B	0.9700
O14O14 ⁱ	3.042 (4)	C8—C1	1.515 (3)
C15—O15	1.133 (3)		
C13—Fe1—C11	92.47 (9)	O15—C15—Fe2	177.8 (2)
C13—Fe1—C12	93.48 (9)	O16—C16—Fe2	175.41 (18)
C11—Fe1—C12	94.65 (10)	O1—C1—C2	122.70 (18)
C13—Fe1—C2	85.60 (8)	O1—C1—C8	122.10 (18)
C11—Fe1—C2	96.41 (9)	C2—C1—C8	114.90 (17)
C12—Fe1—C2	168.93 (8)	C3—C2—C1	117.09 (15)
C13—Fe1—C7	164.71 (8)	C3—C2—Fe1	107.62 (12)
C11—Fe1—C7	81.66 (8)	C1—C2—Fe1	99.86 (11)
C12—Fe1—C7	101.03 (8)	C3—C2—H2	110.6
C2—Fe1—C7	81.08 (7)	C1—C2—H2	110.6
C13—Fe1—C6	152.39 (8)	Fe1—C2—H2	110.6
C11—Fe1—C6	115.14 (10)	C4—C3—C2	127.37 (16)

C12—Fe1—C6	84.91 (9)	C4—C3—Fe2	66.83 (11)
C2—Fe1—C6	90.79 (7)	C2—C3—Fe2	105.68 (12)
C7—Fe1—C6	36.13 (8)	C4—C3—H3	114.9
C13—Fe1—Fe2	85.85 (7)	С2—С3—Н3	114.9
C11—Fe1—Fe2	168.17 (6)	Fe2—C3—H3	114.9
C12—Fe1—Fe2	97.13 (9)	C5—C4—C3	123.23 (16)
C2—Fe1—Fe2	71.80 (7)	C5—C4—Fe2	72.62 (12)
C7—Fe1—Fe2	97.01 (6)	C3—C4—Fe2	74.20 (11)
C6—Fe1—Fe2	67.10 (8)	С5—С4—Н4	118.0
C14—Fe2—C15	92.80 (12)	С3—С4—Н4	118.0
C14—Fe2—C16	91.69 (9)	Fe2—C4—H4	118.0
C15—Fe2—C16	100.74 (10)	C4—C5—C6	125.64 (18)
C14—Fe2—C4	87.79 (9)	C4—C5—Fe2	68.01 (10)
C15—Fe2—C4	133.75 (9)	C6—C5—Fe2	102.46 (11)
C16—Fe2—C4	125.48 (10)	С4—С5—Н5	115.9
C14—Fe2—C5	99.31 (8)	С6—С5—Н5	115.9
C15—Fe2—C5	95.38 (9)	Fe2—C5—H5	115.9
C16—Fe2—C5	160.00 (9)	C7—C6—C5	126.10 (18)
C4—Fe2—C5	39.37 (8)	C7—C6—Fe1	71.86 (12)
C14—Fe2—C3	106.02 (11)	C5—C6—Fe1	108.79 (12)
C15—Fe2—C3	158.05 (9)	С7—С6—Н6	113.9
C16—Fe2—C3	90.10 (10)	С5—С6—Н6	113.9
C4—Fe2—C3	38.97 (7)	Fe1—C6—H6	113.9
C5—Fe2—C3	70.88 (8)	C6—C7—C8	124.40 (19)
C14—Fe2—Fe1	174.00 (6)	C6—C7—Fe1	72.01 (10)
C15—Fe2—Fe1	89.25 (10)	C8—C7—Fel	108.43 (12)
C16—Fe2—Fe1	93.46 (8)	С6—С7—Н7	114.6
C4—Fe2—Fe1	86.73 (7)	С8—С7—Н7	114.6
C5—Fe2—Fe1	74.87 (6)	Fe1—C7—H7	114.6
C3—Fe2—Fe1	70.93 (8)	C7—C8—C1	107.95 (15)
O11—C11—Fe1	177.54 (19)	С7—С8—Н8А	110.1
O12—C12—Fe1	178.4 (2)	C1—C8—H8A	110.1
O13—C13—Fe1	173.85 (19)	C7—C8—H8B	110.1
O14—C14—Fe2	177.66 (19)	C1—C8—H8B	110.1
C14—O14—O14 ⁱ	170.9 (2)	H8A—C8—H8B	108.4
C1—C2—C3—C4	-58.8 (2)	C6—C7—C8—C1	-88.8 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-36.3 (3)	C7—C8—C1—C2	46.3 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	32.9 (3)	C8—C1—C2—C3	57.0 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	34.9 (3)	O1—C1—C2—C3	-129.21 (19)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.0 (3)	O1—C1—C8—C7	-127.55 (19)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y, -z+1.