data reports



Andrey A. Tolmachev^c

sveta@xray.isc.kharkov.com

OPEN a ACCESS

CrossMark

2. Experimental

2.1. Crystal data

C ₉ H ₆ F ₃ NOS	V = 494.91 (7) Å ³
$M_r = 233.21$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.2116 (9) Å	$\mu = 0.34 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 5.5052 (4) Å	T = 293 K
c = 10.2279 (8) Å	$0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$
$\beta = 107.411 \ (9)^{\circ}$	

2.2. Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur3 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis RED; Agilent, 2012)
$T_{\min} = 0.935, T_{\max} = 0.983$

2.3. Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.108$ S = 1.122768 reflections 160 parameters 4 restraints All H-atom parameters refined α radiation 34 mm⁻ 3 K $0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4650 measured reflections 2768 independent reflections 2293 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$

$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
1199 Friedel pairs
Absolute structure parameter:
-0.03 (9)

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1O\cdots N1^i$	0.84 (4)	1.96 (4)	2.781 (2)	166 (4)
	. 1	_		

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 2.

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Agilent, 2012); cell refinement: CrysAlis CCD; data reduction: CrysAlis RED (Agilent, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5717).

References

Agilent (2012). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Kolodyazhnyi, O. I., Kolodyazhnaya, A. O. & Kukhar, V. P. (2006). Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 76, 1342-1343.

Omote, M., Ando, A., Sato, K. & Kumadaki, I. (2001). Tetrahedron, 57, 8085-8094.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Xu, Q., Zhou, H., Geng, X. & Chen, P. (2009). Tetrahedron, 65, 2232-2238.

Yamazaki, T., Mizutani, K. & Kitazume, T. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 4346-4359.

Received 26 June 2014; accepted 16 July 2014

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

In the title compound, $C_9H_6F_3NOS$, the 1,3-benzothiazole ring system is essentially planar, with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.006 Å. In the crystal, molecules are linked via O-H···N hydrogen bonds, forming zigzag chains along [010].

Crystal structure of (S)-1-(1,3-benzothia-

zol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol

61 Chervonotkatska St, Kyiv 02094. *Correspondence e-mail:

Svitlana V. Shishkina,^a* Olexandr V. Kucher,^b

Anastasiya O. Kolodiazhnaya,^b Oleg B. Smolii^b and

^aSTC "Institute for Single Crystals", National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 60 Lenina ave., Kharkiv 61001, Ukraine, ^bInstitute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, 1 Murmanska St, Kyiv

02904, Ukraine, and ^cChemBioCenter, Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University,

Keywords: crystal structure; 1,3-benzothiazole; 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1014380

1. Related literature

For the synthesis of 1-substituted 2,2,2-trifluoroethanols from ketones, see: Yamazaki et al. (1993). For the enzymatic kinetic resolution of 1-substituted 2,2,2-trifluoroethanols, see: Omote et al. (2001); Xu et al. (2009). For the utilization of cinchonidine as a chiral solvating reagent, see: Kolodyazhnyi et al. (2006).



supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o946 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814016547]

Crystal structure of (S)-1-(1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol

Svitlana V. Shishkina, Olexandr V. Kucher, Anastasiya O. Kolodiazhnaya, Oleg B. Smolii and Andrey A. Tolmachev

S1. Comment

2,2,2-Trifluoro-1-substituted ethanols attract attention as building blocks for introducing a chiral CF₃-containing motif into biologically active molecules and mimicking carboxylic groups. Among them, 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-heteroaryl ethanols, promising synthetic targets, have been poorly explored because of a lack of suitable procedures for obtaining the enantipure compounds from racemates. We have recently proposed a convenient procedure for enzyme-catalyzed kinetic resolution of racemic 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-heteroaryl ethanols on a series of 14 compounds. Herein, we report the crystal structure of (*S*)-1-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (I) (Fig. 1). The non-centrosymmetric space group clearly confirms the presence of one enantiomer in the crystal. The absolute configuration of the chiral center at atom C8 (Sconfiguration) is determined using the value of the Flack parameter (-0.03 (9)). The substituent on the bicyclic fragment is oriented in such way that the hydroxyl group has a conformation intermediate between *sp*- and *-sc*- relative to the N1— C7 endocyclic bond (the N1—C7—C8—O1 torsion angle is -30.8 (3) °). The trifluoromethyl group is oriented in such way that the C9—F2 bond is *anti*-periplanar to the C7—C8 bond (the N1—C7—C8—C9 and C7—C8—C9—F2 torsion angles are 88.5 (3) ° and 177.3 (2) °, respectively). In the crystal, molecules are linked via O—H…N hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2) forming zigzag chains along [0 1 0].

S2. Experimental

Synthesis of *rac*-1-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol: To a solution of 1-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanone (115.5 g, 0.5 mol) in methanol (500 ml) sodium borohydride (18.9 g, 0.5 mol) was added in small portions, maintaining the temperature of the reaction mixture below 303K. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure; to the crude was added 200 ml of water and the aqueous solution was extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 150 ml). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated yield the desired product. Yield: 114.2 g, 98%; white solid; m.p.: 377 K; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta_{\rm H} = 5.19$ (qd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm EH} = 7$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H} = 7$ Hz, CH), 6.98–7.08 (m, 2H, PhH), 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H} = 7$ Hz, OH), 7.56 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H} = 7.6$ Hz, PhH), 7.66 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H} = 8$ Hz, PhH); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, APT, CDCl₃): $\delta_{\rm C} = 69.4$ (q, ${}^{2}J_{\rm F,C} =$ 32 Hz, CH), 122.4 (PhH), 123.1 (PhH), 123.7 (q, ${}^{1}J_{\rm F,C} = 282$ Hz, CF₃), 125.7 (PhH), 126.4 (PhH), 134.4 (C Ar), 152.7 (C Ar), 167.7 (C Ar); MS (APCI) m/z calculated for C₉H₇F₃NOS 234.0 [*M*+H]⁺, found 234.0.

Kinetic resolution of *rac*-1-(benzo[*d*]thiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol with vinyl acetate and *Burkholderia cepacia lipase*: The racemic alcohol (11.4 g, 0.05 mol) and vinyl acetate (14.3 ml, 0.15 mol) were dissolved in TBME (250 ml) following by addition of *Burkholderia cepacia* lipase (6 g). The obtained mixture was incubated at 323 K, the progress of the reaction was monitored by the cinchonidine method (Kolodyazhnyi *et al.*, 2006). Then, the enzyme was filtered off, washed with TBME and the combined TBME fractions were evaporated. The unacylated (*S*)-alcohol was separated from the (*R*)-ester by column chromatography (SiO₂, eluent: AcOEt/hexanes gradually changed from 1:20 to 1:1 (v/v)). The

white needle-like crystals of the (S)-alcohol were formed after 1 week upon crystallization from chloroform.

S3. Refinement

The C—F bond lengths were constrained to 1.340 (1)Å. All hydrogen atoms were located in electron density difference maps and were refined with isotropic displacement parameters [C—H = 0.88 (4)–1.04 (3) Å and O—H = 0.84 (4)Å].



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

Part of the crystal structure with hydrogen bonds shown by dashed lines. Only H atoms involved in H-bonds are shown.

(S)-1-(1,3-Benzothiazol-2-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol

Crystal data

C₉H₆F₃NOS $M_r = 233.21$ Monoclinic, $P2_1$ Hall symbol: P 2yb a = 9.2116 (9) Å b = 5.5052 (4) Å c = 10.2279 (8) Å $\beta = 107.411$ (9)° V = 494.91 (7) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur3 diffractometer Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.1827 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis RED*; Agilent, 2012) $T_{\min} = 0.935$, $T_{\max} = 0.983$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.108$ S = 1.122768 reflections 160 parameters 4 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods F(000) = 236 $D_x = 1.565 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1591 reflections $\theta = 3.5-31.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.34 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KNeedle, colourless $0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4650 measured reflections 2768 independent reflections 2293 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.027$ $\theta_{max} = 30.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.6^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 14$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0494P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.21$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.20$ e Å⁻³ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1199 Friedel pairs Absolute structure parameter: -0.03 (9)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
F1	0.84725 (19)	0.5557 (5)	0.85264 (17)	0.0991 (7)	
F2	0.89881 (19)	0.5084 (6)	1.06943 (16)	0.1122 (8)	
F3	0.7640 (2)	0.2434 (2)	0.9323 (2)	0.0913 (6)	
S1	0.51635 (7)	0.81353 (17)	0.68465 (5)	0.05600 (17)	
N1	0.4284 (2)	0.4285 (3)	0.78357 (16)	0.0454 (4)	
01	0.5967 (2)	0.5338 (3)	1.05476 (15)	0.0604 (4)	
H1O	0.582 (4)	0.637 (7)	1.110 (3)	0.088 (11)*	
C1	0.3304 (2)	0.4556 (4)	0.65070 (19)	0.0434 (4)	
C2	0.2101 (3)	0.3050 (6)	0.5878 (2)	0.0579 (5)	
H2	0.189 (3)	0.176 (5)	0.643 (2)	0.046 (6)*	
C3	0.1234 (3)	0.3593 (6)	0.4559 (3)	0.0651 (7)	
H3	0.050 (4)	0.253 (7)	0.424 (3)	0.089 (11)*	
C4	0.1525 (3)	0.5594 (6)	0.3878 (2)	0.0634 (7)	
H4	0.093 (3)	0.582 (6)	0.293 (3)	0.067 (8)*	
C5	0.2713 (3)	0.7138 (5)	0.4479 (2)	0.0569 (6)	
H5	0.299 (3)	0.864 (7)	0.408 (3)	0.072 (9)*	
C6	0.3606 (3)	0.6586 (4)	0.5814 (2)	0.0463 (5)	
C7	0.5280 (3)	0.6011 (4)	0.81243 (18)	0.0440 (4)	
C8	0.6483 (3)	0.6243 (5)	0.9503 (2)	0.0511 (5)	
H8	0.689 (2)	0.799 (5)	0.976 (2)	0.044 (5)*	
C9	0.7885 (2)	0.4822 (3)	0.95081 (14)	0.0689 (8)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
0.0638 (10)	0.153 (2)	0.0853 (11)	-0.0105 (12)	0.0298 (9)	-0.0049 (12)
0.0743 (11)	0.165 (2)	0.0710 (10)	0.0026 (14)	-0.0187 (9)	-0.0216 (13)
0.0854 (12)	0.0762 (13)	0.1038 (13)	0.0216 (10)	0.0154 (10)	-0.0137 (9)
0.0703 (3)	0.0513 (3)	0.0463 (3)	-0.0111 (3)	0.0173 (2)	0.0057 (2)
0.0535 (10)	0.0439 (9)	0.0372 (8)	-0.0022 (8)	0.0111 (7)	0.0016 (6)
0.0912 (13)	0.0530 (10)	0.0380 (7)	-0.0013 (9)	0.0208 (7)	-0.0040 (7)
0.0462 (10)	0.0442 (10)	0.0392 (9)	0.0031 (8)	0.0120 (7)	0.0018 (8)
0.0510 (11)	0.0607 (13)	0.0571 (12)	-0.0075 (13)	0.0088 (9)	0.0033 (13)
0.0495 (13)	0.078 (2)	0.0591 (13)	0.0007 (13)	0.0028 (10)	-0.0055 (13)
0.0573 (13)	0.0851 (19)	0.0413 (11)	0.0148 (13)	0.0047 (9)	-0.0017 (11)
0.0678 (15)	0.0633 (14)	0.0416 (11)	0.0140 (12)	0.0195 (10)	0.0101 (10)
0.0545 (12)	0.0476 (11)	0.0392 (9)	0.0058 (9)	0.0177 (8)	0.0028 (8)
0.0532 (11)	0.0427 (10)	0.0363 (9)	-0.0023 (9)	0.0137 (8)	-0.0020(7)
0.0655 (14)	0.0476 (12)	0.0369 (10)	-0.0071 (10)	0.0102 (9)	-0.0073 (8)
0.0584 (15)	0.089 (2)	0.0496 (13)	-0.0059 (15)	0.0011 (10)	-0.0111 (13)
	$\begin{array}{c} U^{11} \\ \hline 0.0638 \ (10) \\ 0.0743 \ (11) \\ 0.0854 \ (12) \\ 0.0703 \ (3) \\ 0.0535 \ (10) \\ 0.0912 \ (13) \\ 0.0462 \ (10) \\ 0.0510 \ (11) \\ 0.0495 \ (13) \\ 0.0573 \ (13) \\ 0.0573 \ (13) \\ 0.0545 \ (12) \\ 0.0532 \ (11) \\ 0.0655 \ (14) \\ 0.0584 \ (15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} U^{11} & U^{22} \\ \hline 0.0638 (10) & 0.153 (2) \\ 0.0743 (11) & 0.165 (2) \\ 0.0854 (12) & 0.0762 (13) \\ 0.0703 (3) & 0.0513 (3) \\ 0.0535 (10) & 0.0439 (9) \\ 0.0912 (13) & 0.0530 (10) \\ 0.0462 (10) & 0.0442 (10) \\ 0.0510 (11) & 0.0607 (13) \\ 0.0495 (13) & 0.078 (2) \\ 0.0573 (13) & 0.0851 (19) \\ 0.0678 (15) & 0.0633 (14) \\ 0.0545 (12) & 0.0476 (11) \\ 0.0655 (14) & 0.0476 (12) \\ 0.0584 (15) & 0.089 (2) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1—C9	1.3382 (10)	C2—C3	1.379 (3)
F2—C9	1.3372 (10)	С2—Н2	0.96 (3)

F3—C9	1.3376 (10)	C3—C4	1.372 (4)
S1—C6	1.731 (2)	С3—Н3	0.88 (4)
S1—C7	1.733 (2)	C4—C5	1.377 (4)
N1—C7	1.292 (3)	C4—H4	0.96 (3)
N1—C1	1.396 (2)	C5—C6	1.400 (3)
O1—C8	1.385 (3)	С5—Н5	0.99 (4)
01—H10	0.84 (4)	С7—С8	1.516 (3)
C1—C2	1.379 (3)	C8—C9	1.509 (3)
C1—C6	1.395 (3)	C8—H8	1.04 (3)
C6—S1—C7	88.89 (10)	C1—C6—C5	121.4 (2)
C7—N1—C1	110.59 (17)	C1—C6—S1	109.85 (15)
C8—O1—H1O	116 (3)	C5—C6—S1	128.7 (2)
C2—C1—C6	119.94 (19)	N1—C7—C8	123.09 (18)
C2-C1-N1	125.8 (2)	N1—C7—S1	116.39 (14)
C6-C1-N1	114.27 (18)	C8—C7—S1	120.51 (17)
C1—C2—C3	118.3 (3)	O1—C8—C9	107.55 (18)
C1—C2—H2	116.4 (13)	01—C8—C7	111.31 (19)
С3—С2—Н2	125.0 (14)	C9—C8—C7	110.22 (16)
C4—C3—C2	121.8 (3)	O1—C8—H8	108.9 (11)
С4—С3—Н3	126 (2)	С9—С8—Н8	103.3 (13)
С2—С3—Н3	112 (2)	С7—С8—Н8	115.0 (12)
C3—C4—C5	121.3 (2)	F2—C9—F3	106.5 (2)
C3—C4—H4	118.2 (19)	F2—C9—F1	106.24 (18)
C5—C4—H4	120.3 (18)	F3—C9—F1	106.3 (2)
C4—C5—C6	117.2 (2)	F2—C9—C8	111.38 (19)
C4—C5—H5	127.0(15)	F3-C9-C8	113.65 (17)
С6—С5—Н5	115.7 (16)	F1-C9-C8	112.25 (18)
	(10)		112120 (10)
C7—N1—C1—C2	-179.2 (2)	C1—N1—C7—C8	178.7 (2)
C7—N1—C1—C6	-0.5 (3)	C1—N1—C7—S1	-0.2 (2)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.8 (4)	C6—S1—C7—N1	0.62 (18)
N1—C1—C2—C3	179.4 (2)	C6—S1—C7—C8	-178.34 (19)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.1 (4)	N1—C7—C8—O1	-30.8 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.9 (4)	S1—C7—C8—O1	148.13 (17)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.3 (4)	N1—C7—C8—C9	88.5 (3)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.2 (3)	S1—C7—C8—C9	-92.6 (2)
N1—C1—C6—C5	-179.1 (2)	O1—C8—C9—F2	-61.2(2)
C2-C1-C6-S1	179.74 (19)	C7—C8—C9—F2	177.3 (2)
N1—C1—C6—S1	0.9 (2)	O1—C8—C9—F3	59.1 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.0 (4)	C7—C8—C9—F3	-62.4 (2)
C4—C5—C6—S1	-180.0 (2)	O1—C8—C9—F1	179.82 (18)
C7—S1—C6—C1	-0.83 (16)	C7—C8—C9—F1	58.3 (2)
C7—S1—C6—C5	179.1 (2)		~ /
	× /		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
O1—H1O····N1 ⁱ	0.84 (4)	1.96 (4)	2.781 (2)	166 (4)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+2.