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## Crystal structure of 2-diazoimidazole-4,5-dicarbonitrile
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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$, all the atoms are approximately coplanar. In the crystal, molecules are packed with short contact distances of 2.885 (2) (between the diazo N atom connected to the ring and a cyano N atom on a neighboring molecule) and 3.012 (2) $\AA$ (between the terminal diazo N atom and an N atom of a neighboring imidazole ring).

Keywords: crystal structure; diazo; imidazole; carbonitrile.

CCDC reference: 1056377

## 1. Related literature

For synthesis of the title compound, see: Lu \& Just (2001); Sheppard \& Webster (1973). Few diazo-containing molecules have been isolated, and of these, only a small number have been examined by X-ray diffraction, see: Daidone et al. (2005); Dippold et al. (2012). The majority of these compounds are found as diazonium ions, rather than the neutral diazo species, see: Bugg et al. (1964).


## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \quad M_{r}=144.11$

Trigonal, $P 3_{2} 21$ 。
$a=8.0746$ (3) A
$c=16.7315(6) \AA$
$V=944.73(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=6$

> Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
> $\mu=0.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
> $T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
> $0.37 \times 0.30 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$

### 2.2. Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2008) $T_{\text {min }}=0.930, T_{\text {max }}=0.991$

### 2.3. Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.021$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.058$
$S=1.09$
1289 reflections

9178 measured reflections 1289 independent reflections 1269 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.019$

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2009) and XPREP (Bruker, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012) and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: CHEMDRAW Ultra (Cambridge Soft, 2014).
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## Crystal structure of 2-diazoimidazole-4,5-dicarbonitrile

Damon A. Parrish, Stephanie Kramer, G. Kenneth Windler, David E. Chavez and Philip W. Leonard

## S1. Comment

Diazo moieties are ubiquitious in organic chemistry due to their ability to act as as outstanding leaving groups in substitution reactions. This same reactivity also leads to their instability, and most are prone to decomposition, often violently. For this reason, few diazo-containing molecules have been isolated, and of these, only a small number have been examined by X-ray diffraction [Daidone et al. (2005), Dippold et al. (2012)]. The majority of these compounds are found as diazonium ions, rather than the neutral diazo species [Bugg et al. (1964)]. In contrast, the title compound has a neutral diazo moiety on C 2 and is unusual in that it contains only carbon and nitrogen.

## S2. Experimental

Caution! This compound can explode from slight friction, impact, or thermal shock. Although the explosion is not powerful, it is recommended that this material is only prepared in less than 5 gram quantities, handled wet, and not confined in any way.
The title compound was prepared by the literature method (Lu and Just, 2001). Crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of a dilute aqueous solution of the title compound.

## S3. Refinement

The Flack parameter initially refined to 0.3 (5). Before the final refinement cycle, this parameter was reset to zero and the Friedel pairs merged.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title compound (displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level).


Figure 2
A packing diagram of the title compound viewed along the $b$ axis. Close contacts are represented by dashed lines.

## 2-Diazoimidazole-4,5-dicarbonitrile

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$
$M_{r}=144.11$
Trigonal, $P 3_{2} 21$
$a=8.0746$ (3) $\AA$
$c=16.7315(6) \AA$
$V=944.73(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=6$
$F(000)=432$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine focus sealed tube $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2008)
$T_{\min }=0.930, T_{\max }=0.991$
9178 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.021$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.058$
$S=1.09$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.520 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Melting point: 413 K (expl.) K
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
$\mu=0.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, purple
$0.37 \times 0.30 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$

1289 independent reflections
1269 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.019$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.2^{\circ}$
$h=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$k=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$l=-20 \rightarrow 20$

1289 reflections
100 parameters
0 restraints
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0333 P)^{2}+0.1041 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$

```
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001\)
```

$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.14 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$

$$
\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.11 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.61645(16)$ | $0.00825(16)$ | $0.93835(6)$ | $0.0254(3)$ |
| C2 | $0.7396(2)$ | $0.16549(19)$ | $0.89882(7)$ | $0.0226(3)$ |
| N3 | $0.91448(16)$ | $0.28157(17)$ | $0.92732(6)$ | $0.0239(3)$ |
| C4 | $0.90565(19)$ | $0.18858(19)$ | $0.99570(7)$ | $0.0225(3)$ |
| C5 | $0.72502(19)$ | $0.02197(19)$ | $1.00215(7)$ | $0.0240(3)$ |
| N6 | $0.68567(16)$ | $0.20682(16)$ | $0.82574(6)$ | $0.0238(3)$ |
| N7 | $0.6461(2)$ | $0.23873(19)$ | $0.76756(7)$ | $0.0329(3)$ |
| C8 | $1.0629(2)$ | $0.2582(2)$ | $1.05016(7)$ | $0.0258(3)$ |
| N9 | $1.18839(19)$ | $0.3094(2)$ | $1.09341(7)$ | $0.0351(3)$ |
| C10 | $0.6572(2)$ | $-0.1202(2)$ | $1.06366(8)$ | $0.0299(3)$ |
| N11 | $0.6055(2)$ | $-0.2345(2)$ | $1.11254(8)$ | $0.0441(4)$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.0235(6)$ | $0.0266(6)$ | $0.0250(5)$ | $0.0117(5)$ | $-0.0016(4)$ | $-0.0005(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.0241(6)$ | $0.0255(6)$ | $0.0200(5)$ | $0.0138(5)$ | $-0.0021(5)$ | $-0.0011(5)$ |
| N3 | $0.0234(6)$ | $0.0258(6)$ | $0.0226(5)$ | $0.0125(5)$ | $-0.0006(4)$ | $-0.0012(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.0223(6)$ | $0.0251(6)$ | $0.0223(6)$ | $0.0135(5)$ | $-0.0002(5)$ | $-0.0022(5)$ |
| C5 | $0.0237(6)$ | $0.0266(6)$ | $0.0237(6)$ | $0.0140(6)$ | $0.0002(4)$ | $-0.0005(5)$ |
| N6 | $0.0231(6)$ | $0.0256(6)$ | $0.0244(5)$ | $0.0136(5)$ | $-0.0005(4)$ | $-0.0020(4)$ |
| N7 | $0.0370(7)$ | $0.0425(8)$ | $0.0282(6)$ | $0.0265(6)$ | $-0.0027(5)$ | $-0.0003(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.0266(7)$ | $0.0298(7)$ | $0.0239(6)$ | $0.0161(6)$ | $0.0024(5)$ | $-0.0005(5)$ |
| N9 | $0.0310(7)$ | $0.0467(8)$ | $0.0306(6)$ | $0.0216(6)$ | $-0.0070(5)$ | $-0.0064(5)$ |
| C10 | $0.0240(7)$ | $0.0324(7)$ | $0.0314(7)$ | $0.0127(6)$ | $-0.0020(5)$ | $0.0025(6)$ |
| N11 | $0.0362(7)$ | $0.0456(8)$ | $0.0451(8)$ | $0.0164(7)$ | $-0.0007(6)$ | $0.0179(6)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.3327(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.4297(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.3502(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.4314(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.3325(18)$ | $\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{N} 7$ | $1.0946(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $1.3936(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 9$ | $1.1415(19)$ |

N3-C4
C4-C5

C2-N1-C5
N3-C2-N1
N3-C2-N6
N1—C2-N6
C2-N3-C4
N3-C4-C5
N3-C4-C8

C5-N1-C2-N3
C5—N1-C2-N6
N1-C2-N3-C4
N6-C2-N3-C4
C2-N3-C4-C5
C2-N3-C4-C8
1.3507 (17)
1.4094 (18)
99.75 (11)
121.08 (11)
119.59 (12)
119.33 (12)
99.74 (11)
109.70 (11)
122.12 (13)
-0.01 (16)
178.72 (11)
-0.34 (16)
-179.07 (11)
0.53 (14)
-179.64 (12)

C10—N11


N1-C5-C4
N1-C5-C10
C4-C5-C10
N7-N6-C2
N9-C8-C4
N11-C10-C5
$\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$
C2-N1-C5-C10
N3-C4-C5-N1
C8-C4-C5-N1
N3-C4-C5-C10
C8-C4-C5-C10
1.144 (2)
128.18 (12)
109.72 (11)
122.03 (12)
128.23 (12)
178.50 (12)
178.23 (16)
178.82 (17)
0.36 (14)
-178.37 (13)
-0.61 (16)
179.57 (12)
178.02 (13)
-1.8 (2)

