

Z = 4

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\mu = 4.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 K



CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC

OPEN d ACCESS

### Crystal structure of 3-bromoacetyl-6chloro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

#### Ramanaiah Chennuru,<sup>a</sup> Balaji Maddimsetti,<sup>a</sup> Suman Gundlapalli,<sup>b</sup> R. Ravi Chandra Babu<sup>b</sup> and Sudarshan Mahapatra<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Dr Reddys Laboratory, Innovation Plaza, IPDO, Bachupally, Hyderabad 500 090, India, and <sup>b</sup>GITAM University, Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Vishakapatnam, Andhrapradesh, India. \*Correspondence e-mail: sudiisc@gmail.com

Received 17 June 2015; accepted 6 July 2015

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

In the title compound,  $C_{11}H_6BrClO_3$ , the benzopyran ring system is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.036 (2) Å for the O atom. The Cl and Br atoms are displaced by -0.0526 (8) and 0.6698 (3) Å, respectively, from the mean plane of this ring system. In the crystal, two pairs of weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds to the same acceptor O atom link molecules into inversion dimers.

Keywords: crystal structure; coumarin; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 739322

#### **1. Related literature**

For applications of coumarins, see: Kale & Patwardhan (2014); Eid et al. (1994); Hsieh (2015); Ballazhi et al. (2015); Wang (2015); Lanoot et al. (2002); Morris & Russell (1971); Hooper et al. (1982); Khalfan et al. (1987). For related structures, see: Munshi et al. (2004); Munshi & Guru Row (2006); Chopra et al. (2006, 2007a,b).



2. Experimental

2.1. Crystal data C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>6</sub>BrClO<sub>3</sub>

 $M_r = 301.51$ 

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 12.5770 (2) Å b = 5.7977 (1) Åc = 14.8390(3) Å  $\beta = 94.679 \ (2)^{\circ}$ V = 1078.42 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>

2.2. Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	20627 measured reflections
diffractometer	2113 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1532 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$
$T_{\min} = 0.295, T_{\max} = 0.712$	

2.3. Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	145 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.076$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 0.95	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.43 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2113 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
C3-H3···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.93	2.44	3.268 (3)	148
$C5-H5\cdots O3^{i}$	0.93	2.54	3.337 (3)	144

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Window (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor T. N. Guru Row, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, for scientific discussions and the data collection.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5773).

#### References

- Ballazhi, L., Popovski, E., Jashari, A., Imeri, F., Ibrahimi, I., Mikhova, B. & Mladenovska, K. (2015). Acta Pharm. 65, 53-63.
- Bruker (1998). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chopra, D., Venugopala, K. N. & Rao, G. K. (2007a). Acta Cryst. E63, 04872.
- Chopra, D., Venugopala, K. N., Rao, G. K. & Guru Row, T. N. (2007b). Acta Cryst. E63, 02826
- Chopra, D., Venugopal, K. N., Jayashree, B. S. & Row, T. N. G. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, o2310-o2312.
- Eid, A. I., Ragab, F. A., El-Ansary, S. L., El-Gazayerly, S. M. & Mourad, F. E. (1994). Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 327, 211-213.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Hooper, D. C., Wolfson, J. S., McHugh, G. L., Winters, M. B. & Swartz, M. N. (1982). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 22, 662-671.
- Hsieh, C. (2015). Int. J. Oncol. 46, 2, 555-562.
- Kale, M. & Patwardhan, K. (2014). Curr. Pharm. Res.4, 1150-1158.

- Khalfan, H., Abuknesha, R., Rond-Weaver, M., Price, R. G. & Robinson, R. (1987). Chem. Abstr. 106, 63932.
- Lanoot, B., Vancanneyt, M., Cleenwerck, I., Wang, L., Li, W., Liu, Z. & Swings, J. (2002). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52, 823-829.
- Morris, A. & Russell, A. D. (1971). Prog. Med. Chem. 8, 39-59.
- Munshi, P. & Guru Row, T. N. (2006). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 708-718.
- Munshi, P., Venugopala, K. N., Jayashree, B. S. & Guru Row, T. N. (2004). Cryst. Growth Des. 4, 1105–1107.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *ShiDribs*: Oniversity of C
   Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A64, 112–122.
   Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* D65, 148–155.
- Wang, R. (2015). CN Patent CN 104557831 A.

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, o615–o616 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989015012955]

Crystal structure of 3-bromoacetyl-6-chloro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

# Ramanaiah Chennuru, Balaji Maddimsetti, Suman Gundlapalli, R. Ravi Chandra Babu and Sudarshan Mahapatra

#### **S1. Structural commentary**

Coumarins have wide application in the pharmaceutical industry for their antiviral activity (Kale *et al.*, 2014) and antimicrobial activity (Eid *et al.*, 1994). Recently antibacterial activity of the coumarin derivative chloro-chromen-2-one was studied by Lulzime *et al.*, 2015. The coumarin family can also inhibit breast cancer-mediated osteoclastogenesis and this was recently studied (Hsieh *et al.*, 2015; Ballazhi *et al.*, 2015). Further applications of coumarin derivatives for fever, inflammation and pain has been evaluated (Wang *et al.*, 2015). The well known antibiotic Novobiocin (Lanoot *et al.*, 2002; Morris *et al.*, 1971) belongs to coumarin family. The title compound belongs to the 3-acetyl coumarin family. This coumarin family has potential application in the pharmaceutical field, dye industry and developing LASER dyes (Hooper *et al.*, 1982; Khalfan *et al.*, 1987). The crystal structure of the title coumarin derivative is reported herein.

There are two polymorphic forms of 3-acetyl coumarin reported (Munshi *et al.*, 2004; Munshi *et al.*, 2006). In both cases the structure directing interactions are weak C—H···O hydrogen bonds. In one form (Munshi *et al.*, 2004), a sheet-like structure is formed with two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit and in other form (Munshi *et al.*, 2006) the supramolecular assembly is formed via inter-penetrating sheets with one molecule in the asymmetric unit and contains inversion dimer units connected through weak C—H···O interactions. With the substitution of bromine and chlorine (Chopra *et al.*, 2006;2007a,b) in 3-acetyl coumarin there is no significant differnce in the packing and type of weak interactions. In the crystal of the title compound, pairs of bifurcated  $-(C-H)_2$ ···O hydrogen bonds form inversion dimers. The molecular structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1.

#### S2. Synthesis and crystallization

**Synthesis of 3-Bromoacetyl-6-chloro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one :** To a solution of 3-acetyl-6-chloro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one (222mg, 1mmol) in alcohol free chloroform (5ml), bromine (173.8 mg, 1.1 mmol) in chloroform (2ml) was added with intermittent shaking and warming. The mixture was heated for fifteen minutes on a water bath, cooled and filtered. The solid was washed with ether and crystallized from glacial acetic acid to yield 3-bromoacetyl-6-chloro-2H-1-benzo-pyran-2-one. Needle shape crystals were obtained by dissolving the title compound in glacial acetic acid and warming for a few minutes in a 10ml beaker. The beaker was covered with paraffin film with few holes in it and left till crystals appeared.

#### **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding-model approximation with C—H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

The reaction scheme.

3-Bromoacetyl-6-chloro-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

Crystal data

C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>6</sub> BrClO <sub>3</sub> $M_r = 301.51$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 12.5770 (2) Å b = 5.7977 (1) Å c = 14.8390 (3) Å $\beta = 94.679$ (2)° V = 1078.42 (3) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 4	F(000) = 592 $D_x = 1.857 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2113 reflections $\theta = 3.1-26.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 4.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293  K Needle, yellow $0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$
Data collection Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) Twis = 0.295, Twis = 0.712	20627 measured reflections 2113 independent reflections 1532 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{max} = 26.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.1^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $I = -18 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.076$	neighbouring sites
S = 0.95	H-atom parameters constrained
2113 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0424P)^2 + 0.3438P]$
145 parameters	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.43 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta  ho_{\min} = -0.60 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. Bond distances, angles *etc*. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Br1	0.82908 (2)	0.43513 (6)	0.08551 (2)	0.0724 (1)
Cl1	0.03785 (6)	-0.08546 (14)	0.11305 (5)	0.0667 (3)
01	0.36571 (16)	0.6170 (3)	0.19323 (11)	0.0522 (6)
O2	0.52243 (17)	0.7733 (3)	0.18198 (12)	0.0592 (7)
O3	0.62089 (15)	0.2132 (3)	0.03489 (14)	0.0665 (7)
C1	0.4668 (2)	0.6090 (4)	0.16473 (15)	0.0450 (9)
C2	0.4945 (2)	0.4025 (4)	0.11494 (14)	0.0380 (8)
C3	0.4205 (2)	0.2395 (4)	0.09438 (15)	0.0396 (8)
C4	0.3152 (2)	0.2556 (4)	0.12289 (15)	0.0403 (8)
C5	0.2359 (2)	0.0892 (4)	0.10347 (16)	0.0453 (8)
C6	0.1383 (2)	0.1173 (5)	0.13694 (17)	0.0507 (9)
C7	0.1178 (3)	0.3055 (6)	0.19074 (19)	0.0624 (10)
C8	0.1941 (3)	0.4691 (6)	0.21009 (19)	0.0616 (11)
C9	0.2920 (2)	0.4454 (4)	0.17544 (16)	0.0476 (8)
C10	0.6045 (2)	0.3676 (4)	0.08674 (15)	0.0422 (8)
C11	0.6926 (2)	0.5239 (5)	0.12365 (18)	0.0546 (9)
Н3	0.43848	0.11242	0.06054	0.0475*
Н5	0.24924	-0.03874	0.06832	0.0543*
H7	0.05146	0.32003	0.21373	0.0747*
H8	0.18033	0.59506	0.24616	0.0741*
H11A	0.67683	0.68051	0.10379	0.0655*
H11B	0.69582	0.52191	0.18918	0.0655*

-						
	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.0534 (2)	0.0854 (3)	0.0780 (2)	-0.0081 (2)	0.0030 (2)	-0.0066 (2)
Cl1	0.0485 (4)	0.0861 (6)	0.0667 (4)	0.0015 (4)	0.0129 (3)	0.0141 (4)
01	0.0676 (13)	0.0426 (10)	0.0454 (10)	0.0208 (9)	-0.0008 (9)	-0.0103 (8)
O2	0.0855 (15)	0.0367 (10)	0.0539 (11)	0.0024 (10)	-0.0027 (10)	-0.0138 (9)
O3	0.0569 (12)	0.0673 (13)	0.0782 (13)	-0.0123 (10)	0.0231 (10)	-0.0361 (12)
C1	0.0644 (18)	0.0372 (15)	0.0318 (12)	0.0127 (13)	-0.0050 (12)	0.0010 (11)
C2	0.0543 (15)	0.0308 (13)	0.0285 (11)	0.0060 (11)	0.0008 (10)	-0.0006 (10)
C3	0.0543 (15)	0.0338 (13)	0.0313 (12)	0.0110 (12)	0.0075 (11)	-0.0007 (10)
C4	0.0516 (15)	0.0379 (14)	0.0315 (12)	0.0154 (12)	0.0049 (10)	0.0030 (10)
C5	0.0509 (15)	0.0454 (15)	0.0405 (13)	0.0126 (13)	0.0096 (11)	0.0052 (11)
C6	0.0472 (16)	0.0639 (18)	0.0411 (14)	0.0130 (13)	0.0050 (12)	0.0120 (13)
C7	0.0523 (18)	0.083 (2)	0.0535 (16)	0.0289 (17)	0.0142 (14)	0.0042 (16)
C8	0.065 (2)	0.069 (2)	0.0515 (16)	0.0325 (17)	0.0086 (14)	-0.0107 (14)
C9	0.0573 (16)	0.0468 (15)	0.0383 (12)	0.0195 (14)	0.0013 (11)	0.0005 (12)
C10	0.0558 (16)	0.0361 (13)	0.0348 (12)	-0.0014 (11)	0.0036 (11)	-0.0017 (11)
C11	0.0595 (18)	0.0532 (16)	0.0497 (15)	-0.0032(13)	-0.0034(13)	-0.0071 (13)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C11	1.921 (3)	C5—C6	1.371 (4)
Cl1—C6	1.741 (3)	C6—C7	1.389 (4)
O1—C1	1.373 (3)	С7—С8	1.363 (5)
O1—C9	1.371 (3)	C8—C9	1.379 (4)
O2—C1	1.197 (3)	C10—C11	1.500 (4)
O3—C10	1.209 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9300
C1—C2	1.464 (3)	С5—Н5	0.9300
C2—C3	1.344 (3)	С7—Н7	0.9300
C2—C10	1.492 (4)	C8—H8	0.9300
C3—C4	1.426 (4)	C11—H11A	0.9700
C4—C5	1.401 (3)	C11—H11B	0.9700
C4—C9	1.393 (3)		
Br1···O3	2.9589 (19)	C2···C10 <sup>viii</sup>	3.417 (3)
$Br1 \cdots H8^{i}$	3.1900	C2···O3 <sup>viii</sup>	3.389 (3)
Cl1…C8 <sup>ii</sup>	3.485 (4)	C3···O2 <sup>i</sup>	3.343 (3)
Cl1…Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.5530 (11)	C3···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.220 (3)
Cl1…H7 <sup>iv</sup>	2.9400	C3···C10 <sup>viii</sup>	3.518 (3)
O1···C5 <sup>v</sup>	3.403 (3)	C3···O3 <sup>vii</sup>	3.268 (3)
O1…O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.992 (3)	C4···O2 <sup>i</sup>	3.406 (3)
O2···C3 <sup>v</sup>	3.220 (3)	C5…O3 <sup>vii</sup>	3.337 (3)
O2…C11	2.779 (3)	C5…O1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.403 (3)
O2…C4 <sup>vi</sup>	3.406 (3)	C8····Cl1 <sup>v</sup>	3.485 (4)
O2···C9 <sup>vi</sup>	3.180 (3)	C9····O2 <sup>i</sup>	3.180 (3)
O2…C2 <sup>vi</sup>	3.129 (3)	C10····C2 <sup>viii</sup>	3.417 (3)
O2…O1 <sup>vi</sup>	2.992 (3)	C10····C3 <sup>viii</sup>	3.518 (3)

00 011	2 000 (2)	611 02	0,000 (0)
02···C1 <sup>vi</sup>	2.988 (3)	C11····O2	2.779 (3)
O2…C3 <sup>v1</sup>	3.343 (3)	C1···H11B	2.9200
O3…Br1	2.9589 (19)	C1···H11A	2.8900
O3····C5 <sup>vii</sup>	3.337 (3)	H3····O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.8100
O3…C1 <sup>viii</sup>	3.244 (3)	Н3…О3	2.4300
O3…C2 <sup>viii</sup>	3.389 (3)	Н3…Н5	2.5500
O3····C3 <sup>vii</sup>	3.268 (3)	H3····O3 <sup>vii</sup>	2.4400
O2…H11A	2.4000	Н5…Н3	2.5500
02…H11B	2.6200	H5…O3 <sup>vii</sup>	2.5400
02H3 <sup>v</sup>	2 8100	H7···Cl1 <sup>ix</sup>	2 9400
O3H3	2.4300	H8Br1 <sup>vi</sup>	3 1900
03H3 <sup>vii</sup>	2.4300	$H_{11} \wedge \dots \wedge $	2 4000
	2.4400		2.4000
	2.3400		2.8900
	3.244 (3)	HIIB····O2	2.6200
	2.988 (3)	HIIB…CI	2.9200
$C2\cdots O2^{1}$	3.129 (3)		
$C_{1}$ $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$	123 02 (10)	$C_{4}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{8}$	121 A (2)
01 - 01 - 02	125.02(19)	$C_{1}^{-} C_{2}^{-} C_{3}^{-} C_{3}^{-}$	121.4(2)
01 - 01 - 02	110.0(2)	03 - C10 - C2	119.3(2)
01 = 01 = 02	110.0(2)		121.4 (2)
02-01-02	126.9 (2)		119.4 (2)
C1—C2—C3	120.1 (2)	BrI—CII—CI0	112.44 (18)
C1—C2—C10	121.1 (2)	C2—C3—H3	119.00
C3—C2—C10	118.8 (2)	С4—С3—Н3	119.00
C2—C3—C4	122.0 (2)	C4—C5—H5	120.00
C3—C4—C5	123.8 (2)	C6—C5—H5	120.00
C3—C4—C9	117.4 (2)	С6—С7—Н7	120.00
C5—C4—C9	118.8 (2)	С8—С7—Н7	120.00
C4—C5—C6	119.2 (2)	С7—С8—Н8	120.00
Cl1—C6—C5	120.2 (2)	С9—С8—Н8	120.00
Cl1—C6—C7	118.8 (2)	Br1—C11—H11A	109.00
C5—C6—C7	121.0 (3)	Br1—C11—H11B	109.00
C6-C7-C8	120.5 (3)	C10—C11—H11A	109.00
C7 - C8 - C9	1191(3)	C10-C11-H11B	109.00
01 - C9 - C4	120.8(2)		109.00
$O_1 = C_2 = C_4$	120.0(2) 117.8(2)		100.00
01-03-08	117.8 (2)		
C9—O1—C1—O2	177.6 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	177.6 (2)
C9—O1—C1—C2	-1.3(3)	C9—C4—C5—C6	-0.3 (3)
C1-01-C9-C4	-3.0(3)	C3—C4—C9—O1	4.5 (3)
C1 - O1 - C9 - C8	177.9(2)	$C_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{8}$	-1765(2)
01 - C1 - C2 - C3	41(3)	$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{9} - O_{1}$	-177.5(2)
01 - C1 - C2 - C10	-175 44 (10)	$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{9} - C_{8}$	16(4)
02 C1 C2 C3	-174.6(2)	$C_{4} = C_{5} = C_{6} = C_{11}$	170 /2 (10)
02 - 01 - 02 - 03	1/4.0(2)	$C_{4} = C_{5} = C_{6} = C_{7}$	-1 1 (4)
$C_1 = C_2 = C_1 = C_2 = C_1 = C_2 = C_1 = C_2 $	(4)	$C_{+} = C_{2} = C_{0} = C_{1}$	1.1(4)
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	-2.7(3)	$C_1 - C_0 - C_1 - C_0$	-1/9.3(2)
C10 - C2 - C3 - C4	1/6.9 (2)		1.2 (4)
C1—C2—C10—O3	-169.4 (2)	C6—C7—C8—C9	0.1 (4)

C1—C2—C10—C11	10.9 (3)	C7—C8—C9—O1	177.6 (3)
C3—C2—C10—O3	11.0 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C4	-1.4 (4)
C3—C2—C10—C11	-168.7 (2)	O3—C10—C11—Br1	-3.9 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-179.6 (2)	C2-C10-C11-Br1	175.83 (17)
C2—C3—C4—C9	-1.6 (3)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (iii) -*x*, -*y*, -*z*; (iv) -*x*, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (v) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (vi) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (ix) -*x*, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (vii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (viii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1/2; (viii) -*x*+1/2; (viii) -*x*+1/2

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H…A
	0.9300	2.4400	3.268 (3)	148.00
$C5-H5\cdots O3^{vn}$	0.9300	2.5400	3.337 (3)	144.00

Symmetry code: (vii) -x+1, -y, -z.