

Received 20 September 2015 Accepted 14 October 2015

Edited by A. Van der Lee, Université de Montpellier II, France

Keywords: crystal structure; bismuth iodide; [Bil₆] octahedron; hydrogen bonds; *p*-anisidinium; hybrid complex

CCDC reference: 1431306 **Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

Synthesis and structural studies of a new complex of *catena*-poly[*p*-anisidinium [[diiodidobismu-thate(III)]-di-*µ*-iodido] dihydrate]

CrossMark

Mohamed El Mehdi Touati,^a S. Elleuch^b and Habib Boughzala^a*

^aLaboratoire de Matériaux et Cristallochimie, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Université de Tunis El Manar, 2092 Manar II Tunis, Tunisia, and ^bLaboratoire de Physique appliquée, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, 3018 BP 802, Tunisia. *Correspondence e-mail: habib.boughzala@ipein.rnu.tn

A new organic–inorganic hybrid material, $\{(C_7H_{10}NO)[BiI_4]\cdot 2H_2O\}_n$, has been synthesized by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution at room temperature. The anionic sublattice of the crystal is built up by $[BiI_6]$ octahedra sharing edges. The resulting zigzag chains extend along the *a*-axis direction and are arranged in a distorted hexagonal rod packing. The *p*-anisidinium cations and the water molecules are located in the voids of the anionic sublattice. The cations are linked to each other through $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds with the water molecules, and also through weaker $N-H\cdots I$ interactions to the anionic inorganic layers.

1. Chemical context

Previous X-ray structural studies showed that halogenidobismuthate(III) complexes may contain an array of variously self-organized halobismuthate anions since different polynuclear species can be formed through oligomerization by halide bridging (Bowmaker *et al.*, 1998; Benetollo *et al.*, 1998; Alonzo *et al.*, 1999).

In general, the coordination sphere of bismuth appears to be dominated by an hexacoordination tendency with polybismuthate species arising from corner-, edge- or face-sharing $[BiX_6]$ distorted octahedra. If the anionic sublattice dimensionality is clearly determined by the counter-cations, the effects of their most evident properties such as charge, size and shape are not predictable. Organic cations resulting from protonated nitrogen functionalities may provide a rich family of salts where the factors cited above could be varied rationally. In addition, since the important contribution to the lattice stabilization in the crystalline state is due to hydrogenbonding interactions, it should be possible to influence the bismuth coordination geometry by changing the number and orientation of the hydrogen-bond donor sites of the cations. In an effort to increase the size of the $[BiX_6]$ octahedra, iodine was used in the chemical synthesis.



2. Structural commentary

The principal building blocks of the title compound are octahedral iodidobismuthate $[BiI_6]$ units, *p*-anisidinium



Figure 1

Representation of the structural units of $(C_7H_{10}NO)[BiI_4]\cdot 2H_2O$, with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (ii) 2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.]

cations and two water molecules (Fig. 1). The anionic sublattice of the crystal is built of one-dimensional zigzag chains extending along the *a*-axis direction and composed of $[BiI_6]$ octahedra sharing edges as shown in Fig. 2. The one-dimensional secondary building unit (SBU) topology observed in the described structure is one of the most common and stable ones (Billing & Lemmerer, 2006) in bismuth halide hybrids. The shortest Bi–Bi distance [4.590 (1) Å] observed is in agreement with homologous structures having the same onedimensional topology. The octahedral bismuth coordination is almost regular, proving the stereochemical inactivity of the Bi³⁺ 6s² electron lone pair. Furthermore, among the six octa-

Table 1			
Selected	bond	lengths	(Å).

Bi-I2	2.8938 (7)	Bi-I1 ⁱⁱ	3.1390 (8)
Bi-I3	2.9850 (7)	Bi-I1	3.1842 (8)
Bi–I4 ⁱ	3.0184 (8)	Bi-I4	3.3238 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Table 2

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N–HA···I3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.77	3.658 (10)	176
$N-HB\cdots OW1^{iv}$	0.89	1.97	2.762 (12)	147
$N-HC\cdots OW2$	0.89	1.88	2.704 (14)	154
$OW1 - HW1A \cdots I3$	0.85	2.77	3.604 (7)	167
$OW2-HW2A\cdots I1^{i}$	0.85	3.23	3.817 (10)	129
$OW2-HW2A\cdots I3$	0.85	3.20	3.850 (12)	135
$OW2-HW2B\cdots OW1^{v}$	0.85	2.32	2.925 (13)	129

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) x - 1, y, z.

hedral vertices, two are monocoordinated with short bond lengths (I2 and I3), while the four others (I4, I1 and symmetryrelated atoms) are bicoordinated exhibiting long bond lengths (Table 1).

In Fig. 3, it can be seen that each $[BiI_6]$ octahedron is linked to one *p*-anisidinium cation and a water molecule OW1 via $I3\cdots HA-N$ and $I3\cdots HW1A-OW1$ hydrogen bonds.

The *p*-anisidinium cation is adopting a quite planar configuration characterized by a slight r.m.s. deviation of 0.020 (9) Å. Each *p*-anisidinium cation interacts with one [BiI₆] octahedron *via* N-HA···I3ⁱ $(\frac{1}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$, with two water molecules by N-HB···OW1ⁱⁱ $(\frac{3}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ and N-HC···OW2 hydrogen bonds (Table 2), as shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 2

View of the anionic framework in the structure of $(C_7H_{10}NO)[BiI_4]$ -2H₂O, showing the zigzag chains running along the *a*-axis direction.



Figure 3

The environment of the [BiI₆] octahedron in the structure of (C₇H₁₀NO)[BiI₄]·2H₂O. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$]

research communications



Figure 4

The environment of the *p*-anisidinium cation in the structure of $(C_7H_{10}NO)[BiI_4]$ ·2H₂O. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$.]

3. Supramolecular features

The role of the water molecules is crucial in the crystal cohesion. In fact, OW1 is engaged in three hydrogen bonds to one organic cation, one [BiI₆] octahedra and one water mol- $OW1 \cdots HB^{i} - N^{i}$, $OW1 - HW1A \cdots I3$ ecule via and $OW1 \cdots HW2B^{ii} - OW2^{ii}$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 5 [symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) x + 1, y, z). The second water molecule OW2 is linked to OW1 by OW2-HW2B···OW1(-1 + x, y, z) and to the *p*-anisidinium cation by $N-HC \cdots OW2$ hydrogen bonds as shown in Fig. 6. The role of this water molecule can be seen better in Fig. 7 where molecular stacking along the *b* axis is observed, leaving an empty interlayer space where OW2 molecules are located, ensuring a strong link between organic and inorganic sheets.

There are two types of hydrogen bonds, the first one has nitrogen as the donor with iodine as an acceptor to form $N-H\cdots I$ bonds. The second type has nitrogen as the donor with oxygen as an acceptor to form $N-H\cdots O$ bonds. All these bonds are listed in Table 2. We have to note that HW2A is not involved in hydrogen bonding.

4. Database survey

A systematic search procedure in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.36; Groom & Allen, 2014) based on the *p*-anisidinium cation scheme gives a total of 25 hits. Only two are hybrid compounds: $(C_7H_{10}NO)_4^+$ [BiCl₆]³⁻·Cl⁻·H₂O (Liu,



Figure 5

The environment of the OW1 water molecule. [Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) x + 1, y, z.]



Figure 6 The environment of the OW2 water molecule. [Symmetry code: (i) -1 + x, y, z.]

2012) and $(C_7H_{10}NO)_{2n}^+[Pb_3I_8]_n^{2-} \cdot 2nH_2O$ (Prakash *et al.*, 2009).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was synthesized by dissolving stoichiometric amounts of bismuth(III) iodide in *p*-anisidine in a mixture of water and HI. The resulting solution was stirred well and kept at room temperature. Bright-red prismatic crystals were grown by slow evaporation in a couple of weeks. The purity of the synthesized compound was improved by successive recrystallization processes.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The hydrogen atoms were located





The molecular stacking along the b axis, showing the empty interlayer space where the OW2 water molecules are located.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$(C_7H_{10}NO)[BiI_4]\cdot 2H_2O$
M _r	876.77
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	293
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.779 (2), 12.747 (2), 18.252 (3)
β (°)	94.97 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	1803.0 (6)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	16.62
Crystal size (mm)	$0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
Absorption correction	ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)
T_{\min}, \hat{T}_{\max}	0.014, 0.036
No. of measured, independent and	5050, 3923, 3064
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.035
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.639
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.035, 0.080, 1.05
No. of reflections	3923
No. of parameters	147
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	1.68, -2.01

Computer programs: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf–Nonius, 1994), XCAD4 (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2008) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

in difference Fourier maps. Those attached to carbon were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.90-1.00 Å) while those attached to nitrogen were placed in experimental positions and their coordinates adjusted to give N-H = 0.89 Å. All were included as riding on their parent atoms with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2–1.5 times those of the parent atoms. Hydrogen positions for the water molecules were partly located from a Fourier difference map and partly placed based on geometrical considerations. They are not of sufficient precision to refine the hydrogen-atom positions for the water molecules with angle and distance restraints and they were therefore treated as riding on their parent oxygen atoms.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the members of the Laboratory of Applied Physics, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, for the synthesis of the title compound.

References

- Alonzo, G., Benetollo, F., Bertazzi, N. & Bombieri, G. (1999). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 29, 913–919.
- Benetollo, F., Bombieri, G., Alonzo, G., Bertazzi, N. & Casella, G. (1998). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 28, 791–796.
- Billing, D. G. & Lemmerer, A. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m269-m271.
- Bowmaker, G. A., Junk, P. C., Lee, A. M., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1998). Aust. J. Chem. 51, 293–309.
- Brandenburg, K. (2008). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 662–671.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Liu, M.-L. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, m652.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Prakash, G. V., Pradeesh, K., Ratnani, R., Saraswat, K., Light, M. E. & Baumberg, J. J. (2009). J. Appl. Phys. 42, 185405–185412.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 1352-1355 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989015019489]

Synthesis and structural studies of a new complex of *catena*-poly[*p*-anisidinium [[diiodidobismuthate(III)]-di-*µ*-iodido] dihydrate]

Mohamed El Mehdi Touati, S. Elleuch and Habib Boughzala

Computing details

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

catena-Poly[p-anisidinium [[diiodidobismuthate(III)]-di-µ-iodido]] dihydrate]

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C_7H_{10}NO})[{\rm BiI_4}]\cdot 2{\rm H_2O} \\ M_r = 876.77 \\ {\rm Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$} \\ a = 7.779 (2) {\rm \AA} \\ b = 12.747 (2) {\rm \AA} \\ c = 18.252 (3) {\rm \AA} \\ \beta = 94.97 (1)^{\circ} \\ V = 1803.0 (6) {\rm \AA}^3 \\ Z = 4 \end{array}$

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.014, T_{\max} = 0.036$ 5050 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$ $wR(F^2) = 0.080$ S = 1.053923 reflections 147 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 1528 $D_x = 3.230 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10-15^{\circ}$ $\mu = 16.62 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KPrism, red $0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$

3923 independent reflections 3064 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.035$ $\theta_{max} = 27.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.2^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 1$ $k = -1 \rightarrow 16$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 23$ 2 standard reflections every 120 min intensity decay: 1%

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0317P)^{2} + 8.0053P] \qquad \Delta \rho_{\max} = 1.68 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3 \qquad \Delta \rho_{\min} = -2.01 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Bi	0.73731 (4)	0.51922 (2)	0.43418 (2)	0.02320 (9)
I1	0.93941 (7)	0.62637 (5)	0.57383 (3)	0.03934 (16)
I2	0.86930 (9)	0.67240 (5)	0.33633 (4)	0.04831 (18)
I3	0.56183 (8)	0.38519 (5)	0.31619 (3)	0.04102 (16)
I4	0.58407 (7)	0.35207 (5)	0.55223 (3)	0.03656 (15)
Ν	0.2818 (12)	0.0413 (8)	0.2801 (5)	0.059 (2)
HA	0.1983	0.0059	0.2544	0.071*
HB	0.3839	0.0176	0.2689	0.071*
HC	0.2726	0.1092	0.2690	0.071*
C1	0.2662 (13)	0.0267 (9)	0.3590 (6)	0.048 (3)
C2	0.1996 (13)	-0.0648 (9)	0.3862 (6)	0.051 (3)
H2	0.1622	-0.1179	0.3536	0.061*
C3	0.1880 (13)	-0.0783 (8)	0.4581 (6)	0.047 (2)
H3	0.1400	-0.1396	0.4750	0.056*
C4	0.2466 (12)	-0.0020 (7)	0.5077 (6)	0.040 (2)
C5	0.3162 (13)	0.0920 (8)	0.4821 (6)	0.050 (3)
H5	0.3546	0.1446	0.5148	0.060*
C6	0.3261 (13)	0.1043 (8)	0.4071 (6)	0.051 (3)
H6	0.3734	0.1651	0.3892	0.061*
C7	0.1791 (15)	-0.1049 (9)	0.6110 (6)	0.062 (3)
H7A	0.0657	-0.1186	0.5879	0.093*
H7B	0.1738	-0.0991	0.6632	0.093*
H7C	0.2550	-0.1613	0.6006	0.093*
0	0.2425 (9)	-0.0092 (6)	0.5833 (4)	0.0522 (18)
OW1	0.9289 (10)	0.4148 (6)	0.2103 (4)	0.063 (2)
HW1A	0.8384	0.3981	0.2307	0.095*
HW1B	0.9222	0.3883	0.1675	0.095*
OW2	0.1501 (15)	0.2339 (8)	0.2484 (5)	0.110 (4)
HW2A	0.1889	0.2855	0.2745	0.166*
HW2B	0.0502	0.2499	0.2291	0.166*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U ³³	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Bi	0.02239 (16)	0.02297 (16)	0.02394 (14)	-0.00093(13)	0.00020 (11)	-0.00034(12)
11	0.0305(3)	0.0385(3)	0.0470(3)	0.0095(3)	-0.0082 (2)	-0.0207(3)

supporting information

I2	0.0548 (4)	0.0413 (4)	0.0509 (4)	-0.0060 (3)	0.0163 (3)	0.0164 (3)
I3	0.0403 (3)	0.0472 (4)	0.0342 (3)	-0.0063 (3)	-0.0044 (2)	-0.0136 (3)
I4	0.0313 (3)	0.0287 (3)	0.0509 (3)	0.0086 (2)	0.0110 (3)	0.0120 (3)
Ν	0.056 (6)	0.059 (6)	0.061 (6)	0.007 (5)	0.001 (5)	0.001 (5)
C1	0.034 (5)	0.045 (6)	0.066 (7)	0.008 (5)	0.001 (5)	-0.001 (5)
C2	0.050 (6)	0.040 (6)	0.060 (7)	0.002 (5)	-0.007 (5)	-0.012 (5)
C3	0.046 (6)	0.025 (5)	0.069 (7)	0.000 (4)	0.005 (5)	0.002 (5)
C4	0.027 (5)	0.030 (5)	0.063 (6)	0.005 (4)	-0.001 (4)	0.005 (4)
C5	0.045 (6)	0.028 (5)	0.073 (7)	-0.001 (5)	-0.010 (5)	-0.002 (5)
C6	0.045 (6)	0.034 (6)	0.073 (7)	-0.006 (5)	0.005 (5)	0.010 (5)
C7	0.060 (7)	0.052 (7)	0.071 (8)	-0.008 (6)	-0.010 (6)	0.018 (6)
0	0.051 (4)	0.042 (4)	0.062 (5)	-0.007 (3)	-0.006 (4)	0.000 (4)
OW1	0.063 (5)	0.068 (5)	0.061 (5)	-0.007 (4)	0.019 (4)	0.004 (4)
OW2	0.135 (9)	0.091 (8)	0.098 (8)	0.041 (7)	-0.029 (7)	-0.005 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Bi—I2	2.8938 (7)	C3—C4	1.379 (14)	
Bi—I3	2.9850 (7)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
Bi—I4 ⁱ	3.0184 (8)	C4—O	1.386 (13)	
Bi—I1 ⁱⁱ	3.1390 (8)	C4—C5	1.410 (14)	
Bi—I1	3.1842 (8)	C5—C6	1.387 (15)	
Bi—I4	3.3238 (7)	С5—Н5	0.9300	
I1—Bi ⁱⁱ	3.1390 (8)	С6—Н6	0.9300	
I4—Bi ⁱ	3.0184 (8)	С7—О	1.425 (12)	
N—C1	1.468 (14)	С7—Н7А	0.9600	
N—HA	0.8900	С7—Н7В	0.9600	
N—HB	0.8900	С7—Н7С	0.9600	
N—HC	0.8900	OW1—HW1A	0.8518	
C1—C6	1.376 (15)	OW1—HW1B	0.8479	
C1—C2	1.386 (15)	OW2—HW2A	0.8511	
С2—С3	1.336 (14)	OW2—HW2B	0.8499	
С2—Н2	0.9300			
I2—Bi—I3	96.05 (2)	C2—C1—N	121.5 (10)	
I2—Bi—I4 ⁱ	91.41 (2)	C3—C2—C1	121.3 (10)	
$I3 - Bi - I4^i$	92.30 (2)	C3—C2—H2	119.4	
I2—Bi—I1 ⁱⁱ	92.39 (2)	C1—C2—H2	119.4	
I3—Bi—I1 ⁱⁱ	86.92 (2)	C2—C3—C4	120.5 (10)	
I4 ⁱ —Bi—I1 ⁱⁱ	176.18 (2)	С2—С3—Н3	119.8	
I2—Bi—I1	91.58 (2)	С4—С3—Н3	119.8	
I3—Bi—I1	170.46 (2)	C3—C4—O	124.8 (9)	
I4 ⁱ —Bi—I1	93.21 (2)	C3—C4—C5	119.8 (10)	
I1 ⁱⁱ —Bi—I1	87.07 (2)	O—C4—C5	115.4 (9)	
I2—Bi—I4	177.35 (2)	C6—C5—C4	118.6 (10)	
I3—Bi—I4	86.19 (2)	С6—С5—Н5	120.7	
I4 ⁱ —Bi—I4	87.08 (2)	C4—C5—H5	120.7	
I1 ⁱⁱ —Bi—I4	89.14 (2)	C1—C6—C5	120.3 (10)	

supporting information

I1—Bi—I4	86.33 (2)	C1—C6—H6	119.9
Bi ⁱⁱ —I1—Bi	92.93 (2)	С5—С6—Н6	119.9
Bi ⁱ —I4—Bi	92.92 (2)	О—С7—Н7А	109.5
C1—N—HA	109.5	О—С7—Н7В	109.5
C1—N—HB	109.5	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
HA—N—HB	109.5	О—С7—Н7С	109.5
C1—N—HC	109.5	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
HA—N—HC	109.5	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
HB—N—HC	109.5	C4—O—C7	116.7 (8)
C6—C1—C2	119.6 (11)	HW1A—OW1—HW1B	108.5
C6—C1—N	118.9 (10)	HW2A—OW2—HW2B	108.4

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N—HA····I3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.77	3.658 (10)	176
N—H B ···O $W1^{iv}$	0.89	1.97	2.762 (12)	147
N—H <i>C</i> …O <i>W</i> 2	0.89	1.88	2.704 (14)	154
OW1—HW1A…I3	0.85	2.77	3.604 (7)	167
$OW2$ — $HW2A$ ···· $I1^{i}$	0.85	3.23	3.817 (10)	129
OW2—HW2A…I3	0.85	3.20	3.850 (12)	135
$OW2$ — $HW2B$ ···O $W1^{v}$	0.85	2.32	2.925 (13)	129

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iii) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iv) -*x*+3/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (v) *x*-1, *y*, *z*.