

Received 8 September 2015 Accepted 6 October 2015

Edited by G. Smith, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Keywords: barbiturates; crystal structure; hydrogen bonding; isostructurality; topology; XPac

CCDC reference: 1429681 **Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

Crystal structure of 5-hydroxy-5-propylbarbituric acid

Thomas Gelbrich* and Ulrich J. Griesser

University of Innsbruck, Institute of Pharmacy, Innrain 52, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. *Correspondence e-mail: thomas.gelbrich@uibk.ac.at

Molecules of the title compound, $C_7H_{10}N_2O_4$, systematic name 5-hydroxy-5propylpyrimidine-2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-trione, form a hydrogen-bonded framework which is based on three independent hydrogen bonds, $N-H\cdots O(carbonyl)$, $N-H\cdots O(hydroxy)$ and $O-H\cdots O(carbonyl)$. This framework has the topology of the 5-connected **nov** net. Each molecule is linked to five other molecules *via* six hydrogen bonds, and the descriptor of the hydrogen-bonded structure is $F6_5[4^4.6^6$ -**nov**]. The crystal packing is isostructural with that of the previously reported 5-hydroxy-5-ethyl analogue.

1. Chemical context

As part of a systematic investigation of solid-state properties of derivatives of barbituric acid (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2015; Zencirci *et al.*, 2014; Rossi *et al.*, 2012), we are studying the polymorphism of a group of 5-monosubstituted barbituric acids. The title compound is an oxidation product of 5-propylbarbituric acid, formed during a crystallization experiment and the structure is reported herein. The analogous oxidation product of 5-ethylbarbituric acid was previously reported by Gatehouse & Craven (1971).



2. Structural commentary

The molecule of the title compound (Fig. 1) displays a pyrimidine ring (N1/C2/N3/C4/C5/C6) in a C5-envelope conformation. The ring puckering parameters calculated with *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) are $\theta = 134.4$ (3), $\Phi = 52.2$ (5)° and Q = 0.2420 (14) Å. The distance of C5 from the mean plane defined by the other four ring atoms [maximum deviation: N3; -0.033 (1) Å] is -0.342 (2) Å. At ring atom C5 the propyl substituent adopts a *trans* conformation, and the corresponding torsion angle C5–C8–C9–C10 is -164.80 (13)°. The C5–C8–C9–C10 fragment is twisted significantly out of the plane defined by atoms C8, C5 and C2, which bisects the pyrimidinetrione fragment into two approximately symmetrical halves, resulting in a pseudo-torsion angle C2···C5–







Asymmetric unit with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50%

probability level and hydrogen atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary size.

C8-C9 of -125.69 (11)°. Closer inspection suggests that this

particular geometry may help to prevent unfavourably close

intramolecular contacts between the O7 hydroxy group and

the CH₂ group at C9, and may be also facilitate the partici-

pation of the hydroxy group in complex intermolecular

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1-H1···O7 ⁱ	0.87(1)	2.03(1)	2.8683 (17)	164 (2) 170 (2)
$N3 - H3 \cdots O4$ $O7 - H7 \cdots O2^{iii}$	0.86(1) 0.84(2)	2.00(1) 1.98(2)	2.8451 (16) 2.8055 (15)	170(2) 169(2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$, (ii) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

hydrogen bond to the C2 carbonyl group of another molecule related by glide symmetry $(O7-H7\cdots O2^{iii})$. Altogether, six hydrogen bonds connect each molecule to five other molecules. In addition to the aforementioned $R_2^2(8)$ rings, the resulting hydrogen-bonded framework structure also displays rings composed of four and six molecules (Fig. 2). This 5connected framework has the topology of the **nov** structure (Blatov *et al.*, 2004). Fig. 3 shows a graph of the hydrogenbonded structure (HBS) according to the methodology proposed by Hursthouse *et al.* (2015). The short descriptor according to Hursthouse *et al.* (2015) for this HBS is $F6_5[4^4.6^6-$ **nov**].

3. Supramolecular features

hydrogen-bonding interactions.

One NH group and one carbonyl group of the molecule are engaged in a centrosymmetric two-point interaction, N3– H3···O4ⁱⁱ (Table 1), resulting in an $R_2^2(8)$ ring (Etter *et al.*, 1990; Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). This kind of ring is a ubiquitous feature in crystal structures of barbiturates (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2011). The other NH group is bonded to the hydroxy group of a second molecule *via* a 2₁ operation, N1–H1···O7ⁱ, and this interaction is accompanied by a short O6···C4ⁱ contact [2.8654 (18) Å]. Additionally, the hydroxy group donates a



Figure 2

Layer fragment of the H-bonded framework which contains rings connecting four and six molecules in addition to $R_2^2(8)$ rings. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as dashed lines. H and O atoms engaged in hydrogen bonding are drawn as balls and all the other H atoms are omitted for clarity.

4. Database survey

The Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.36; Groom & Allen, 2014) contains the crystal structure of 5,5-dihydroxybarbituric acid (Singh, 1965; Harrowfield *et al.*, 1989; CSD refcode ALXANM01) and those of a monohydrate (Lewis & Tocher, 2004; PAGYUS), a trihydrate (Lewis & Tocher, 2004*b*; HBARBT01) and a 1,4-dioxane hemisolvate (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2010; NUQYII) of the same compound. Two-point



Figure 3

The N-H···O(carbonyl), N-H···O(hydroxy) and O-H···O(carbonyl) bonded $F6_5[4^4.6^6$ -**nov**] structure of title compound. Molecules are represented as nodes and their hydrogen-bond connections as links between them.

Table	2	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_7 H_{10} N_2 O_4$
$M_{ m r}$	186.17
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	10.7862 (8), 6.7093 (5), 11.7365 (6)
β (°)	98.632 (6)
$V(Å^3)$	839.72 (10)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.12
Crystal size (mm)	$0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku Saturn724+
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO;
1	Agilent, 2014)
Tmin Tmax	0.809, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	5354, 1724, 1354
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
Rint	0.034
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å ⁻¹)	0.625
(om offormax (r r)	01020
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] w R(F^2) S$	0.037 0.095 1.05
No of reflections	1724
No of parameters	138
No of restraints	2
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained
	refinement
$\Delta \rho = \Delta \rho + (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.29 - 0.20
$\rightarrow r \max$, $\rightarrow r \min (\gamma + \gamma)$	0.22, 0.20

Computer programs: CrystalClear-SM Expert (Rigaku, 2012), CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2014), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 20088) and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

connections based on N-H···O=C bonds which result in characteristic $R_2^2(8)$ rings are found in each of these compounds.

The title structure displays just one such interaction which involves the carbonyl group at ring position 4 (Fig. 4). One such connection, albeit *via* the C2 carbonyl group, also exists in the 5,5-dihydroxybarbituric acid structure. Here it forms part of the *C*-4 ladder motif which is known from 5,5-disubstituted derivatives of barbituric acid (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2011).

The monohydrate and 1,4-dioxane hemisolvate each contain two two-point N-H···O=C connections per molecule, in the first case via the topologically equivalent C4 and C6 carbonyl groups and in the second via the C4 and C2 carbonyl groups, resulting in the looped chain motifs C-2 and C-1 (Gelbrich et al., 2011), respectively, which are frequently encountered in barbiturates. C-2 chains are also found in the structure of the trihydrate. The molecular conformation of 5hydroxy-5-ethylbarbituric acid (Gatehouse & Craven, 1971; HEBARB) is similar to that of the title structure with respect to the pseudo-torsion angle of 124.3°, which is structurally analogous to the $C2 \cdot \cdot \cdot C5 - C8 - C9$ angle discussed above. A comparison with the program XPac (for details, see below) indicated that these two compounds are indeed isostructural. Geometrical differences between the two molecular packing arrangements are small (Fig. 4), which is reflected in a calculated XPac dissimilarity index of just 5.4. This close packing similarity is remarkable insofar as the substitution of a propyl with an ethyl group alters the molecular shape considerably and leads to an 11% decrease in the volume of the unit cell. The unit-cell parameters of the two isostructures correspond directly with one another. The *a* and *b* axes of the ethyl analogue (determined at room temperature) are 6.1% and 6.5% shorter than those of the title compound. Simultaneously, the c axis of the ethyl analogue is 1.5% longer and the β angle is enlarged by 1.0°.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

A glass slide with a sample of 5-propylbarbituric acid embedded in paraffin oil was placed on a hot bench. The sample was melted and left to crystallize. Within a few days, the original crystals had partially converted and cube-shaped single crystals of the title compound had formed.



Figure 4

An illustration of the similar packing of molecules in the title compound (left) and its ethyl analogue (right). Each structure is viewed along its [010] direction. H atoms in alkyl groups are omitted for clarity.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarised in Table 2. The data collection was carried out in the manner described by Coles & Gale (2012). All H atoms were identified in difference maps. Methyl H atoms were idealized and included as rigid groups allowed to rotate but not tip (C-H = 0.98 Å). H atoms bonded to secondary CH₂ carbon atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.99 Å). Hydrogen atoms bonded to N atoms were refined with restrained distances [N-H = 0.86 (1) Å]. The hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group was refined freely and the U_{iso} parameters of all hydrogen atoms were also refined freely.

7. Analysis of structural features

The topology of the HBS was determined and classified with the programs ADS and IsoTest of the TOPOS package (Blatov, 2006) in the manner described by Baburin & Blatov (2007). The topology graph for the HBS (Fig. 3) is based on a net drawn with the IsoCryst program of the TOPOS package. The HBS of the title structure was defined by the three interactions N-H···O(carbonyl), N-H···O(hydroxy) and $O-H \cdots O(\text{carbonvl})$ listed in Table 1. The molecular packing in the title compound and its ethyl analogue were compared using the program XPac (Gelbrich & Hursthouse, 2005). The underlying calculations were based on a comparison of sets of intermolecular geometrical parameters generated from all non-H atomic positions of the title compound, except for the methyl carbon atom, and all 12 non-H atomic positions of the ethyl analogue. A match of two complete clusters consisting of a central molecule and 17 coordinating molecules was obtained with a dissimilarity index (Gelbrich et al., 2012) of 5.4, indicating isostructurality of the two compounds with a high degree of packing similarity.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Simon Coles (Southampton) for access to the diffractometer used in this study.

References

- Agilent (2014). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.
- Baburin, I. A. & Blatov, V. A. (2007). Acta Cryst. B63, 791-802.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Blatov, V. A. (2006). IUCr Comput. Comm. Newsl. 7, 4-38.
- Blatov, V. A., Carlucci, L., Ciani, G. & Proserpio, D. M. (2004). *CrystEngComm*, **6**, 378–395.
- Coles, S. J. & Gale, P. A. (2012). Chem. Sci. 3, 683-689.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256–262.
- Gatehouse, B. M. & Craven, B. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1337-1344.
- Gelbrich, T. & Hursthouse, M. B. (2005). CrystEngComm, 7, 324-336.
- Gelbrich, T., Meischberger, I. & Griesser, U. J. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 204–210.
- Gelbrich, T., Rossi, D. & Griesser, U. J. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, 01219.
- Gelbrich, T., Rossi, D., Häfele, C. A. & Griesser, U. J. (2011). CrystEngComm, 13, 5502–5509.
- Gelbrich, T., Threlfall, T. L. & Hursthouse, M. B. (2012). CrystEngComm, 14, 5454–5464.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 662–671.
- Harrowfield, J. M., Skelton, B. W., Soudi, A. A. & White, A. H. (1989). Aust. J. Chem. 42, 1795–1798.
- Hursthouse, M. B., Hughes, D. S., Gelbrich, T. & Threlfall, T. L. (2015). Chem. Cent. J. 9, 1.
- Lewis, T. C. & Tocher, D. A. (2004a). Acta Cryst. E60, o1689-o1690.
- Lewis, T. C. & Tocher, D. A. (2004b). Acta Cryst. E60, o1748-o1750.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Rigaku (2012). CrystalClear-SM Expert. Rigaku/MSC Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Rossi, D., Gelbrich, T., Kahlenberg, V. & Griesser, U. J. (2012). *CrystEngComm*, **14**, 2494–2506.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Singh, C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 759-767.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zencirci, N., Griesser, U. J., Gelbrich, T., Kahlenberg, V., Jetti, R. K. R., Apperley, D. C. & Harris, R. K. (2014). *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **118**, 3267–3280.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 1311-1314 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989015018769]

Crystal structure of 5-hydroxy-5-propylbarbituric acid

Thomas Gelbrich and Ulrich J. Griesser

Computing details

Data collection: *CrystalClear-SM Expert* (Rigaku, 2012); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014*/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 20088) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

F(000) = 392

 $\theta = 2.4-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Cube, colourless

 $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ mm

T = 100 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.473 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 3013 reflections

5-Hydroxy-5-propylpyrimidine-2,4,6(1H,3H),5H)-trione

Crystal data

C₇H₁₀N₂O₄ $M_r = 186.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 10.7862 (8) Å b = 6.7093 (5) Å c = 11.7365 (6) Å $\beta = 98.632$ (6)° V = 839.72 (10) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn724+	5354 measured reflections
diffractometer	1724 independent reflections
Radiation source: Sealed Tube	1354 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite Monochromator monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$
Detector resolution: 28.5714 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^\circ$
profile data from ω -scans	$h = -12 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
(CrysAlisPro; Agilent, 2014)	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
$T_{\min} = 0.809, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.095$ S = 1.051724 reflections 138 parameters 2 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0498P)^2 + 0.1441P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.29$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.20$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	0.75066 (12)	0.60615 (19)	0.37252 (9)	0.0154 (3)
H1	0.8189 (12)	0.536 (3)	0.3792 (16)	0.033 (5)*
O2	0.78456 (10)	0.66277 (16)	0.56534 (8)	0.0201 (3)
C2	0.72112 (13)	0.6895 (2)	0.47207 (11)	0.0147 (3)
N3	0.61584 (11)	0.80699 (19)	0.46014 (9)	0.0150 (3)
Н3	0.5995 (14)	0.870 (2)	0.5200 (10)	0.020 (4)*
O4	0.47047 (9)	0.99725 (16)	0.35153 (8)	0.0180 (3)
C4	0.54700 (13)	0.8640 (2)	0.35743 (11)	0.0139 (3)
C5	0.56139 (13)	0.7360 (2)	0.25307 (11)	0.0140 (3)
O6	0.73523 (10)	0.58567 (18)	0.17883 (8)	0.0220 (3)
C6	0.68995 (13)	0.6406 (2)	0.26184 (11)	0.0153 (3)
07	0.54144 (10)	0.85117 (16)	0.15172 (8)	0.0161 (3)
H7	0.465 (2)	0.864 (3)	0.1270 (16)	0.040 (6)*
C8	0.46287 (14)	0.5674 (2)	0.25253 (11)	0.0158 (3)
H8A	0.4849	0.4858	0.3229	0.021 (4)*
H8B	0.3799	0.6284	0.2557	0.016 (4)*
C9	0.45248 (15)	0.4312 (2)	0.14778 (13)	0.0225 (4)
H9A	0.4526	0.5128	0.0775	0.035 (5)*
H9B	0.5262	0.3417	0.1553	0.033 (5)*
C10	0.33380 (15)	0.3070 (3)	0.13545 (13)	0.0247 (4)
H10A	0.3383	0.2139	0.2003	0.034 (5)*
H10B	0.3253	0.2319	0.0631	0.036 (5)*
H10C	0.2611	0.3948	0.1350	0.044 (6)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

3 (5)
3 (5)
) (4)
(5)
2 (5)
(4)
(5)
(5)
5 (5)
3 (5)
(4)
(6)
5(7)

supporting information

<u>C10</u>	0.0262 (10)	0.0202 (9)	0.0264 (8)	-0.0030 (7)	-0.0002 (6)	0.0003 (7)	
Geometr	ric parameters (Å, °)						
N1—C2		1.3754 (1	.8)	O6—C6		1.2110 (17)	-
N1-C6		1.3836 (1	.6)	O7—H7		0.84 (2)	
N1—H1		0.866 (9)		С8—С9		1.5226 (19)	
O2—C2		1.2141 (1	.6)	C8—H8A		0.9900	
C2—N3		1.3720 (1	.9)	C8—H8B		0.9900	
N3—C4		1.3719 (1	7)	C9—C10		1.516 (2)	
N3—H3	;	0.860 (9)	,	С9—Н9А		0.9900	
O4—C4		1.2117 (1	7)	С9—Н9В		0.9900	
C4—C5		1.5228 (1	9)	C10—H10A		0.9800	
C5—O7	,	1.4076 (1	.6)	C10—H10B		0.9800	
С5—С6		1.517 (2)	,	C10—H10C		0.9800	
C5—C8		1.551 (2)					
C2—N1	—C6	126.31 (1	.3)	N1—C6—C5		115.68 (12)	
C2—N1	—H1	116.4 (12	2)	С5—07—Н7		111.6 (14)	
C6—N1	—H1	116.8 (12		C9—C8—C5		114.10 (12)	
O2—C2	—N3	121.52 (1	3)	С9—С8—Н8А		108.7	
O2—C2	—N1	122.33 (1	.4)	С5—С8—Н8А		108.7	
N3—C2	—N1	116.15 (1	1)	C9—C8—H8B		108.7	
C2—N3	—C4	125.47 (1	2)	С5—С8—Н8В		108.7	
C2—N3	—Н3	117.8 (10	n)	H8A—C8—H8B		107.6	
C4—N3	—Н3	115.6 (11)	С10—С9—С8		111.45 (13)	
O4—C4	—N3	122.02 (1	2)	С10—С9—Н9А		109.3	
O4—C4	—C5	121.39 (1	.1)	С8—С9—Н9А		109.3	
N3—C4	—C5	116.26 (1	3)	С10—С9—Н9В		109.3	
07—C5	—С6	108.13 (1	.1)	С8—С9—Н9В		109.3	
07—C5	—C4	110.43 (1	2)	H9A—C9—H9B		108.0	
C6—C5	—C4	112.70 (1	1)	C9—C10—H10A		109.5	
07—C5	— <u>C</u> 8	112.27 (1	1)	C9—C10—H10B		109.5	
C6—C5	C8	108.15 (1	2)	H10A—C10—H10B		109.5	
C4—C5	C8	105.19 (1	.1)	C9—C10—H10C		109.5	
O6—C6	—N1	120.87 (1	.4)	H10A—C10—H10C		109.5	
O6—C6	—C5	123.30 (1	.2)	H10B—C10—H10C		109.5	
C6—N1	—C2—O2	-174.59	(14)	C2-N1-C6-C5		-16.4(2)	
C6—N1	-C2-N3	4.9 (2)	(11)	07 - C5 - C6 - 06		-34.5(2)	
$0^{2}-C^{2}$	-N3-C4	172.08 (1	4)	C4-C5-C6-06		-15687(14)	
N1-C2	-N3-C4	-74(2)	•)	$C_{8} - C_{5} - C_{6} - O_{6}$		87 29 (17)	
C2—N3		-165.40	(14)	07-C5-C6-N1		149.92 (12)	
C2 = N3		21 1 (2)		C4-C5-C6-N1		27.56 (18)	
04 - C4	-C5-07	35 46 (19))	C8 - C5 - C6 - N1		-88.28 (15)	
N3_C4	-C5-07	-151.01	, (12)	07 - C5 - C8 - C9		54.47 (16)	
04 - C4	-C5-C6	156 51 (1	4)	C6-C5-C8-C9		-64.75 (14)	
N3_C4		-29.96 (1	8)	C_{4} C_{5} C_{8} C_{9}		174 60 (12)	
113 -04		27.70 (1	,	01 00 00 00		1, 7.00 (12)	

supporting information

04—C4—C5—C8	-85.88 (16)	C5—C8—C9—C10	-164.80 (13)
N3—C4—C5—C8	87.64 (14)	C2—C5—C8—C9	-125.69 (11)
C2—N1—C6—O6	167.92 (14)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
0.87 (1)	2.03 (1)	2.8683 (17)	164 (2)
0.86(1)	2.00(1)	2.8451 (16)	170 (2)
0.84 (2)	1.98 (2)	2.8055 (15)	169 (2)
	<i>D</i> —H 0.87 (1) 0.86 (1) 0.84 (2)	D —H $H \cdots A$ 0.87 (1)2.03 (1)0.86 (1)2.00 (1)0.84 (2)1.98 (2)	D—H H···A D···A 0.87 (1) 2.03 (1) 2.8683 (17) 0.86 (1) 2.00 (1) 2.8451 (16) 0.84 (2) 1.98 (2) 2.8055 (15)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; (iii) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z-1/2.