

Received 8 November 2016 Accepted 26 November 2016

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

Keywords: crystal structure; oxicam; meloxicam; co-crystal; dicarboxylic acid; acetylenedicarboxylic acid; hydrogen bonds; drugs.

CCDC reference: 1506179

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e







## OPEN $\widehat{\ensuremath{\partial}}$ Access



## **Crystal structure of a 2:1 co-crystal of meloxicam with acetylendicarboxylic acid**

#### Christian Tantardini,<sup>a</sup>\* Sergey G. Arkhipov,<sup>a,b</sup>\* Ksenya A. Cherkashina,<sup>a,b</sup> Alexander S. Kil'met'ev<sup>a,c</sup> and Elena V. Boldyreva<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova str. 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russian Federation, <sup>b</sup>Institute of Solid State Chemistry and Mechanochemistry SB RAS, Kutateladze str. 18, Novosibirsk, 630128, Russian Federation, and <sup>c</sup>N. N. Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Lavrentiev str. 9, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russian Federation. \*Correspondence e-mail: christiantantardini@ymail.com, arksergey@gmail.com, eboldyreva@yahoo.com

The pharmaceutical 2:1 co-crystal of meloxicam [MXM; systematic name: 4-hydroxy-2-methyl-*N*-(5-methylthiazol-2-yl)-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazine-3-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide] with acetylenedicarboxylic acid (ACA; systematic name: but-2-ynedioic acid), crystallizes with one MXM molecule and half an ACA molecule in the asymmetric unit,  $C_{14}H_{13}N_3O_4S_2\cdot 0.5C_4H_2O_4$ . The mid-point of the triple bond of ACA is located on an inversion centre. In the crystal, the two stereoisomers of MXM with respect to the N atom of the sulfonamide group are related by the inversion centre. The carbonyl and hydroxy groups belonging to the MXM molecule are involved in an intramolecular  $O-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond. The structure-forming motif includes two MXM molecules linked *via* an ACA conformer through  $N-H \cdots O$  and  $O-H \cdots N$  hydrogen bonds, similar to MXM co-crystals with other dicarboxylic acids.

#### 1. Chemical context

In recent years, crystal engineering has focused on finding new crystalline forms based on the multi-component crystallization of an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) with a biologically inactive compound. These complexes are ultimately aimed at being employed in the pharmaceutical industry as tablets, suspensions, powders and any other solid forms for oral administration (Shakhtshneider et al., 2007a,b; Crowley & Zografi, 2002; Hancock & Parks, 2000; Shakhtshneider & Boldyrev, 1993; Willart & Descamps, 2008; Shakhtshneider et al., 2011; Stephenson et al., 2011). Coformers are typically chosen from among the dicarboxylic acids due to their favourable molecular shape and the presence of functional groups capable of forming multiple hydrogen bonds, combined with their affordability and availability. Meloxicam (MXM), 4-hydroxy-2-methyl-N-(5-methyl-2-thiazolyl)-2H-1,2-benzothiazine-3-carboxamide-1,1-dioxide, belongs to the oxicam family of APIs and is commonly used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (Myz et al., 2012; Tumanov et al., 2012; Weyna et al. 2012). MXM is known to cocrystallize with numerous aliphatic and aromatic dicarboxylic acids under various conditions (temperature, pressure, solvents). In particular, MXM is known to co-crystallize with dicarboxylic acids of C-C bond order 1 (succinic acid) and 2 (fumaric and maleic acids). The aim of this study was to obtain a co-crystal of MXM with a dicarboxylic acid of bond order 3: acetylenedicarboxylic acid (ACA).



Table 1

Geometrical parameters $(\text{\AA}, \circ)$ for the O-H···O (1), O-H···N (2) and
N-H···O (3) interactions in the MXM:ACA 2:1 co-crystal (see also
Fig. 3).

D-H···A	D-H	$H{\cdots}A$	D···A	D-H···A
$\begin{array}{c} O3-H3\cdots O4 \ (1) \\ O6-H6\cdots N3 \ (2) \\ N2-H2\cdots O5 \ (3) \\ O3-H3\cdots O4^{i} \end{array}$	0.82	1.91	2.622 (2)	145
	0.82	1.80	2.615 (3)	174
	0.86	2.09	2.922 (3)	164
	0.82	2.51	2.944 (2)	114

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The crystal structure of MXM:ACA 2:1 is triclinic with an asymmetric unit that contains one MXM molecule and half of an ACA molecule. The formula unit is generated by an inversion centre which is located at the midpoint of the triple bond of the ACA molecule (Fig. 1). The two stereoisomers of MXM, which differ with respect to the nitrogen atom of the sulfonamide group, are related by an inversion centre in the crystal structure. The dihedral angles between the mean planes of the thiazole and benzene rings of MXM form an almost planar arrangement in terms of the following torsion angles: S2-C11-N2-H2 =  $-174.0^{\circ}$ , S2-C11-N2-C10 =  $6.0 (3)^{\circ}$ , H2-N2-C10-O4 =  $176.5^{\circ}$ , O4-C10-C8-C7 =  $10.0 (3)^{\circ}$ , C8-C7-O3-H3 =  $-2.2^{\circ}$ . The presence of an intramolecular O-H···O hydrogen bond between the carbonyl and hydroxy groups belonging to MXM may account



#### Figure 1

Meloxicam (MXM) and acetylenedicarboxylic acid (ACA) molecules of the 2:1 co-crystal, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only half of the ACA molecule belongs to the asymmetric unit, as the molecule lies across an inversion centre.

for the near planarity and the *trans* position of the N2–H2 group with respect to the carbonyl group C10–O4. The S1/N1/C1/C6/C7/C8 ring is non-planar because of the presence of the sulfonamide group with nitrogen atom N1 in  $sp^3$  hybridization, with angles S1–N1–C8 = 112.79 (12)°, S1–N1–C9 = 117.11 (14)° and C9–N1–C8 = 115.41 (17)° (bond-angle sum = 345.3°). The overall conformation of this ring is half-chair with atoms S1 and N1 being the out-of-plane atoms.

#### 3. Database survey

The crystal structures of pure MXM [CCDC ref. code: SEDZOQ (Fabiola *et al.*, 1998)] and its co-crystals with SUCC (MXM–SUCC) (CCDC ref. code: ENICOUM; Cheney *et al.*, 2010) and FUM (MXM–FUM) (CCDC ref. code: ENICIO; Cheney *et al.*, 2010) have the same space group ( $P\overline{1}$ ). The molecular packing in the title compound is shown in Fig. 2. It is similar to that in the crystal structures of pure MXM, as well as of MXM–FUM, MXM–SUCC and MXM–ACA (also shown in Fig. 2). In the co-crystals, some MXM molecules are substituted by the coformer species, maintaining the general packing patterns. The co-crystals MXM–FUM, MXM–SUCC and MXM–ACA have similar structural motifs: two MXM molecules linked by a dicarboxylic acid molecule (Fig. 3).

#### 4. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the components of the structure are linked by N-H···O and O-H···N hydrogen bonds between MXM and ACA, in addition to a long  $O-H \cdots O$  interaction, forming chains along [011] which incorporates both  $R_2^2(8)$  and  $R_2^2(12)$  rings. Similar structural motifs have been documented for other MXM co-crystals and in other crystal structures including pure MXM, MXM co-crystals and MXM salts. The structure-forming unit includes two molecules of MXM connected through a dicarboxylic acid molecule acting as a bridge, similar to what has been reported for other MXM cocrystals (Tumanov et al., 2012). Intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown in Fig. 3 and their geometrical parameters are summarized in Table 1. The centroid-tocentroid distance between symmetry-related benzene and thiazole rings is 3.7383 (12) Å. These connect the chains into a three-dimensional network.

## research communications



Figure 2

The molecular packing in the crystal structures of (a) pure MXM and its co-crystals (b) MXM-SUCC, (c) MXM-FUM and (d) MXM-ACA.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

MXM was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co Ltd and acetone from Reaktiv. ACA was synthesized through a two-step process from fumaric acid. Fumaric acid was brominated in boiling water (Rhinesmith, 1938) and the resulting 2,3-dibromosuccinic acid was refluxed in potassium hydroxide methanolic solution. ACA was precipitated by adding a concentrated sulfuric acid solution and dried *in vacuo* (Rhinesmith, 1938). The purity of ACA and the absence of its



Figure 3

Part of the MXM–ACA 2:1 co-crystal structure showing hydrogen bonds (1, 2 and 3) leading to a trimer. The interactions are classified as hydrogen bonds based on the geometric criteria (see text) (Arunan *et al.*, 2011).

calculated XRPD patterns of ACA and ACA monohydrate (see S1 in Supporting information). Two polycrystalline samples were obtained by dry and slurry (with acetone) grinding of 1:2 molar mixture of reactants (0.035g, 0.1mmol MXM; 0.023g, 0.2mmol ACA). The 2:1 ratio would correspond to the target stoichiometry and is usually used for obtaining other MXM co-crystals with aliphatic dicarboxylic acids (Myz et al., 2012; Tumanov et al., 2012; Weyna et al. 2012). However, to obtain MXM-ACA 2:1 co-crystals we used a 1:2 MXM:ACA ratio because ACA is highly hygroscopic and converts to its monohydrate form on grinding, not participating then in the co-crystallization. Acetone was used for slurry grinding because it completely dissolves the two starting components (Myz et al., 2012; Tumanov et al., 2012; Weyna et al. 2012). All powder samples were characterized by XRPD using a Stoe Stadi-MP diffractometer with Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiation ( $\lambda$ = 1.54060 Å) at operating potential of 40 kV and electric current of 40 mA, and a Mythen 1K detector. All data were processed using WinXPOW (Stoe & Cie, 1999). Powder diffraction patterns for the samples obtained by grinding and slurry grinding were similar, confirming the possibility to obtain the same product both in the presence and in the absence of a specially added solvent (see S2 in Supporting information); the XRPD patterns of the co-crystal sample were compared with the patterns of the starting reactants, MXM and ACA (see S3 in Supporting information) to prove that a new phase (or a mixture of new phases) had been formed. The ground powder samples were subsequently dissolved in acetone and single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation. Selected crystals were investigated using singlecrystal X-ray diffraction.

monohydrate were checked by comparing its experimental powder X-ray diffraction powder (XRPD) pattern with the

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{14}H_{13}N_3O_4S_2{\cdot}0.5C_4H_2O_4$
$M_{ m r}$	408.42
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	293
a, b, c (Å)	7.3861 (3), 8.5629 (3), 15.1619 (6)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	75.839 (3), 79.196 (3), 70.100 (3)
$V(Å^3)$	868.55 (6)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.35
Crystal size (mm)	$0.4 \times 0.25 \times 0.1$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Agilent Xcalibur (Ruby, Gemini ultra)
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2013)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.982, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	10893, 3564, 2940
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub>	0.025
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.625
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.098, 1.05
No. of reflections	3564
No. of parameters	248
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.35, -0.30

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2013), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008 and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2.

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map. The positions of all H atoms were subsequently optimized geometrically and refined using a riding model, with the following assumptions and restraints: N-H = 0.86 Å and  $U_{iso}(H)=1.2U_{eq}(N)$  for -N(H)- group, C-H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for all C-H groups, O-H = 0.82 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$  for all OH groups, C-H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for CH<sub>3</sub> groups.

For single crystals of MXM:ACA (2:1), two data sets were collected. The first dataset was obtained from a crystal containing four domains, and the second from a single crystal. Unfortunately, the single crystal was very small and at  $d_{hkl} \ge 0.80$  Å,  $R_{int}$  was 10.2% and  $F^2/\sigma(F^2)$  was 3.6. This was significantly worse than the data from the crystal that contained four domains [for the largest domain at  $d_{hkl} \ge 0.80$  Å,  $R_{int}$  was 2.50% and  $F^2/\sigma(F^2)$  was 28.3]. Data obtained from the crystal that contained four domains were processed in three different ways: (1) taking into account the reflections from the largest domain only (one orientation matrix and 74.3% of all reflections); (2) processing the diffraction data as from multiple crystals (four different orientation matrices) using the hklf5-file; (3) processing the diffraction data as from multiple crystals (4 different orientation matrixes) using the hklf4-file from

the largest domain (74.3% of all reflections). The first and the third processing methods gave approximately the same results, while the first methodology yielded the best results:  $R_{\rm int} = 0.025$ . This method was therefore chosen for the final structure solution and refinement.

The powder diffraction patterns calculated based on the X-ray single crystal diffraction data were compared with the experimental powder diffraction pattern measured for the sample obtained on grinding, to show that the latter contained a mixture of the MXM:ACA 2:1 co-crystal with some other phases, different from ACA, MXM, or ACA hydrate (see S4 in Supporting information).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (project No. 1828).

#### References

- Agilent (2013). CrysAlis PRO, Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.
- Arunan, E., Desiraju, G. R., Klein, R. A., Sadlej, J., Scheiner, S., Alkorta, I., Clary, D. C., Crabtree, R. H., Dannenberg, J. J., Hobza, P., Kjaergaard, H. G., Legon, A. C., Mennucci, B., Nesbitt, D. J. (2011). Pure Appl. Chem. 83, 1637–1641.
- Cheney, M. L., Weyna, D. R., Shan, N., Hanna, M., Wojtas, L. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2010). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 4401–4413.
- Crowley, K. J. & Zografi, G. (2002). J. Pharm. Sci. 91, 492-507.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Fabiola, G. F., Pattabhi, V., Manjunatha, S. G., Rao, G. V. & Nagarajan, K. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 2001–2003.
- Hancock, B. C. & Parks, M. (2000). Pharm. Res. 17, 397-404.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Myz, S. A., Shakhtshneider, T. P., Tumanov, N. A. & Boldyreva, E. V. (2012). *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **61**, 1798–1809.
- Rhinesmith, H. (1938). Org. Synth. 18, 17.
- Shakhtshneider, T. P. & Boldyrev, V. V. (1993). *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* **19**, 2055–2067.
- Shakhtshneider, T. P., Danède, F., Capet, F. J. F., Willart, J. F., Descamps, M., Myz, S. A., Boldyreva, E. V. & Boldyrev, V. V. (2007b). J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 89, 699–707.
- Shakhtshneider, T. P., Danède, F., Capet, F., Willart, J. F., Descamps, M., Paccou, L., Surov, E. V., Boldyreva, E. V. & Boldyrev, V. V. (2007a). J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 89, 709–715.
- Shakhtshneider, T. P., Myz, S. A., Dyakonova, M. A., Boldyrev, V. V., Boldyreva, E. V., Nizovskii, A. I., Kalinkin, A. V. & Kumar, R. (2011). Acta Phys. Pol. A, 120, 272–278.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Stephenson, G. A., Aburub, A. & Woods, T. A. (2011). J. Pharm. Sci. 100, 1607–1617.
- Stoe & Cie (1999). WinXPOW. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tumanov, N. A., Myz, S. A., Shakhtshneider, T. P. & Boldyreva, E. V. (2012). CrystEngComm, 14, 305–313.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Weyna, D. R., Cheney, M. L., Shan, N., Hanna, M., Zaworotko, M. J., Sava, V., Song, S. & Sanchez-Ramos, J. R. (2012). *Mol. Pharm.* 9, 2094–2102.
- Willart, J. F. & Descamps, M. (2008). Mol. Pharm. 5, 905-920.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1856-1859 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016018909]

# Crystal structure of a 2:1 co-crystal of meloxicam with acetylendicarboxylic acid

# Christian Tantardini, Sergey G. Arkhipov, Ksenya A. Cherkashina, Alexander S. Kil'met'ev and Elena V. Boldyreva

### **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008; software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

4-Hydroxy-2-methyl-*N*-(5-methyl-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-2*H*-1,2-benzothiazine-3-carboxamide-2-butynedioic acid (2/1)

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{13}N_3O_4S_2 \cdot 0.5C_4H_2O_4$	Z = 2
$M_r = 408.42$	F(000) = 422
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.562 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
a = 7.3861 (3)  Å	Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 8.5629 (3) Å	Cell parameters from 4820 reflections
c = 15.1619 (6) Å	$\theta = 2.6 - 28.0^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 75.839 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 79.196 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 293  K
$\gamma = 70.100 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Prism, clear light colourless
V = 868.55 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.4 \times 0.25 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Agilent Xcalibur (Ruby, Gemini ultra)	10893 measured reflections
diffractometer	3564 independent reflections
Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source	2940 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
Detector resolution: 10.3457 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.4^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min} = 2.6^{\circ}$

 $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2013)  $T_{\min} = 0.982, T_{\max} = 1.000$   $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$   $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$  Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
Least-squares matrix: full	direct methods
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.098$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.05	H-atom parameters constrained
3564 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0463P)^2 + 0.2383P]$
248 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Experimental**. Suitable-quality crystals were selected using polarised light under the microscope and mounted by means of MiTiGenMicroGrippers using MiTiGen LV Cryo Oil (LVCO-1) onto an Agilent Xcalibur (Ruby, Gemini Ultra) diffractometer.

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S2	0.26125 (7)	0.95843 (6)	0.03447 (3)	0.03606 (14)
S1	0.83244 (8)	0.38866 (6)	0.33477 (3)	0.04072 (15)
O4	0.4415 (2)	0.61781 (17)	0.08344 (9)	0.0447 (4)
O3	0.6628 (2)	0.30130 (18)	0.10423 (10)	0.0463 (4)
Н3	0.591499	0.389131	0.077100	0.069*
O6	0.3828 (2)	1.10848 (17)	0.32189 (10)	0.0439 (4)
H6	0.367153	1.088861	0.274023	0.066*
N2	0.4321 (2)	0.76888 (19)	0.18889 (11)	0.0350 (4)
H2	0.457747	0.764077	0.242714	0.042*
N3	0.3138 (2)	1.06452 (19)	0.16821 (11)	0.0353 (4)
C10	0.4825 (3)	0.6209 (2)	0.15831 (13)	0.0336 (4)
C11	0.3423 (3)	0.9263 (2)	0.13860 (12)	0.0308 (4)
C13	0.1832 (3)	1.1746 (2)	0.03060 (13)	0.0339 (4)
N1	0.6110 (2)	0.48326 (19)	0.30707 (10)	0.0375 (4)
O2	0.9585 (2)	0.46318 (19)	0.26770 (11)	0.0517 (4)
C12	0.2232 (3)	1.2058 (2)	0.10662 (13)	0.0372 (4)
H12	0.191841	1.315071	0.116962	0.045*
C8	0.5911 (3)	0.4690 (2)	0.21752 (12)	0.0334 (4)
C6	0.7971 (3)	0.1699 (2)	0.24281 (13)	0.0320 (4)
05	0.4837 (2)	0.82648 (18)	0.36346 (10)	0.0529 (4)
C7	0.6775 (3)	0.3215 (2)	0.18691 (13)	0.0331 (4)
C1	0.8718 (3)	0.1834 (2)	0.31771 (13)	0.0337 (4)
C15	0.4507 (3)	0.9670 (2)	0.37751 (13)	0.0355 (4)
C16	0.4862 (3)	0.9913 (2)	0.46450 (13)	0.0379 (4)
01	0.8318 (3)	0.3844 (2)	0.42935 (10)	0.0621 (5)
C2	0.9717 (3)	0.0418 (3)	0.37611 (14)	0.0423 (5)

# supporting information

H2A	1.018860	0.053179	0.426149	0.051*
C5	0.8338 (3)	0.0088 (2)	0.22541 (16)	0.0419 (5)
Н5	0.791005	-0.003525	0.174357	0.050*
C14	0.0890 (3)	1.2967 (3)	-0.04937 (14)	0.0443 (5)
H14A	0.153415	1.258732	-0.104979	0.066*
H14B	0.098095	1.406802	-0.051516	0.066*
H14C	-0.044963	1.302810	-0.042813	0.066*
C3	1.0008 (3)	-0.1169 (3)	0.35939 (16)	0.0506 (6)
H3A	1.065483	-0.213032	0.399023	0.061*
C4	0.9342 (3)	-0.1333 (3)	0.28424 (17)	0.0492 (6)
H4	0.956697	-0.240531	0.272748	0.059*
C9	0.4499 (3)	0.4608 (3)	0.37857 (15)	0.0503 (6)
H9A	0.456712	0.343501	0.394250	0.075*
H9B	0.328377	0.527269	0.355785	0.075*
H9C	0.460436	0.497153	0.431969	0.075*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	<i>U</i> <sup>13</sup>	$U^{23}$
S2	0.0495 (3)	0.0272 (3)	0.0303 (3)	-0.0048 (2)	-0.0144 (2)	-0.00641 (19)
<b>S</b> 1	0.0582 (3)	0.0324 (3)	0.0323 (3)	-0.0072 (2)	-0.0181 (2)	-0.0080 (2)
O4	0.0633 (9)	0.0325 (7)	0.0356 (8)	-0.0010 (6)	-0.0228 (7)	-0.0089 (6)
O3	0.0660 (10)	0.0363 (8)	0.0350 (8)	0.0000 (7)	-0.0215 (7)	-0.0148 (6)
O6	0.0624 (9)	0.0372 (8)	0.0364 (8)	-0.0121 (7)	-0.0180 (7)	-0.0106 (6)
N2	0.0472 (9)	0.0265 (8)	0.0282 (8)	-0.0024 (7)	-0.0135 (7)	-0.0055 (6)
N3	0.0457 (9)	0.0275 (8)	0.0322 (9)	-0.0066 (7)	-0.0112 (7)	-0.0066 (7)
C10	0.0408 (10)	0.0284 (10)	0.0299 (10)	-0.0046 (8)	-0.0096 (8)	-0.0071 (8)
C11	0.0357 (10)	0.0264 (9)	0.0285 (10)	-0.0046 (7)	-0.0073 (8)	-0.0067 (7)
C13	0.0396 (10)	0.0265 (9)	0.0321 (10)	-0.0052 (8)	-0.0080 (8)	-0.0036 (8)
N1	0.0532 (10)	0.0279 (8)	0.0258 (8)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0103 (7)	-0.0086 (6)
O2	0.0613 (10)	0.0429 (9)	0.0583 (10)	-0.0217 (7)	-0.0158 (8)	-0.0085 (7)
C12	0.0489 (11)	0.0239 (9)	0.0365 (11)	-0.0056 (8)	-0.0104 (9)	-0.0054 (8)
C8	0.0432 (10)	0.0270 (9)	0.0275 (10)	-0.0040 (8)	-0.0097 (8)	-0.0065 (7)
C6	0.0355 (10)	0.0252 (9)	0.0323 (10)	-0.0057 (7)	-0.0031 (8)	-0.0060 (7)
05	0.0811 (11)	0.0372 (9)	0.0457 (9)	-0.0123 (8)	-0.0245 (8)	-0.0130 (7)
C7	0.0420 (10)	0.0295 (10)	0.0289 (10)	-0.0082 (8)	-0.0095 (8)	-0.0075 (7)
C1	0.0382 (10)	0.0279 (10)	0.0296 (10)	-0.0049 (8)	-0.0042 (8)	-0.0035 (7)
C15	0.0373 (10)	0.0382 (11)	0.0335 (11)	-0.0104 (8)	-0.0060 (8)	-0.0117 (8)
C16	0.0454 (11)	0.0360 (11)	0.0353 (10)	-0.0126 (9)	-0.0092 (9)	-0.0085 (9)
01	0.0908 (13)	0.0541 (10)	0.0382 (9)	-0.0004 (9)	-0.0318 (8)	-0.0160 (7)
C2	0.0427 (11)	0.0398 (12)	0.0330 (11)	-0.0022 (9)	-0.0064 (9)	0.0002 (9)
C5	0.0437 (11)	0.0300 (10)	0.0509 (13)	-0.0066 (8)	-0.0056 (9)	-0.0131 (9)
C14	0.0556 (13)	0.0324 (11)	0.0392 (12)	-0.0047 (9)	-0.0152 (10)	-0.0020 (9)
C3	0.0471 (12)	0.0329 (11)	0.0521 (14)	0.0017 (9)	-0.0045 (10)	0.0064 (10)
C4	0.0489 (12)	0.0241 (10)	0.0668 (16)	-0.0041 (9)	-0.0028 (11)	-0.0078 (10)
С9	0.0668 (15)	0.0407 (12)	0.0338 (12)	-0.0061 (10)	0.0005 (10)	-0.0099 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S2—C11	1.7196 (18)	C8—C7	1.359 (2)
S2—C13	1.7306 (18)	C6—C7	1.464 (3)
S1—N1	1.6422 (17)	C6—C1	1.397 (3)
S1—O2	1.4284 (16)	C6—C5	1.394 (3)
S1—C1	1.7567 (19)	O5—C15	1.208 (2)
S1—O1	1.4246 (15)	C1—C2	1.382 (3)
O4—C10	1.237 (2)	C15—C16	1.467 (3)
O3—H3	0.8200	C16—C16 <sup>i</sup>	1.185 (4)
O3—C7	1.335 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9300
О6—Н6	0.8200	C2—C3	1.381 (3)
O6—C15	1.294 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9300
N2—H2	0.8600	C5—C4	1.389 (3)
N2—C10	1.364 (2)	C14—H14A	0.9600
N2—C11	1.384 (2)	C14—H14B	0.9600
N3—C11	1.304 (2)	C14—H14C	0.9600
N3—C12	1.383 (2)	С3—НЗА	0.9300
C10—C8	1.459 (2)	C3—C4	1.376 (3)
C13—C12	1.349 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
C13—C14	1.500 (3)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
N1—C8	1.431 (2)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
N1—C9	1.482 (3)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
C12—H12	0.9300		
C11—S2—C13	89.50 (9)	O3—C7—C8	123.70 (17)
N1—S1—C1	100.92 (9)	O3—C7—C6	114.19 (16)
O2—S1—N1	107.52 (9)	C8—C7—C6	122.11 (17)
O2—S1—C1	108.23 (9)	C6—C1—S1	117.03 (14)
O1—S1—N1	108.86 (9)	C2-C1-S1	121.39 (16)
O1—S1—O2	119.90 (11)	C2-C1-C6	121.57 (18)
O1—S1—C1	109.73 (10)	O6—C15—C16	112.85 (17)
С7—О3—Н3	109.5	O5—C15—O6	126.38 (18)
С15—О6—Н6	109.5	O5—C15—C16	120.77 (18)
C10—N2—H2	118.0	C16 <sup>i</sup> —C16—C15	178.9 (3)
C10—N2—C11	124.02 (16)	C1—C2—H2A	120.4
C11—N2—H2	118.0	C3—C2—C1	119.3 (2)
C11—N3—C12	110.79 (16)	C3—C2—H2A	120.4
O4—C10—N2	121.31 (16)	С6—С5—Н5	120.0
O4—C10—C8	122.38 (16)	C4—C5—C6	120.1 (2)
N2—C10—C8	116.29 (16)	C4—C5—H5	120.0
N2—C11—S2	124.41 (13)	C13—C14—H14A	109.5
N3—C11—S2	114 56 (13)	C13—C14—H14B	109.5
	114.50 (15)		
N3—C11—N2	121.03 (16)	C13—C14—H14C	109.5
N3—C11—N2 C12—C13—S2	121.03 (16) 109.53 (14)	C13—C14—H14C H14A—C14—H14B	109.5 109.5
N3-C11-N2 C12-C13-S2 C12-C13-C14	121.03 (16) 109.53 (14) 129.41 (17)	C13—C14—H14C H14A—C14—H14B H14A—C14—H14C	109.5 109.5 109.5
N3-C11-N2 C12-C13-S2 C12-C13-C14 C14-C13-S2	121.03 (16) 109.53 (14) 129.41 (17) 121.06 (14)	C13—C14—H14C H14A—C14—H14B H14A—C14—H14C H14B—C14—H14C	109.5 109.5 109.5 109.5

C8—N1—C9	115.41 (17)	C4—C3—C2	120.20 (19)
C9—N1—S1	117.11 (14)	C4—C3—H3A	119.9
N3—C12—H12	122.2	C5—C4—H4	119.7
C13—C12—N3	115.61 (17)	C3—C4—C5	120.6 (2)
C13—C12—H12	122.2	C3—C4—H4	119.7
N1-C8-C10	117.67 (15)	N1—C9—H9A	109.5
C7—C8—C10	120.92 (16)	N1—C9—H9B	109.5
C7—C8—N1	121.34 (16)	N1—C9—H9C	109.5
C1—C6—C7	120.26 (16)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—C6—C7	121.56 (18)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
C5—C6—C1	118.14 (17)	Н9В—С9—Н9С	109.5
62 C12 C12 N2	0.0.(2)	02 61 61 62	105 01 (10)
$S_2 - C_{13} - C_{12} - N_3$	0.0(2)	02 - S1 - C1 - C2	105.81 (18)
SI = NI = C8 = C10	-135.40(16)	C12 - N3 - C11 - S2	-0.1(2)
SI = NI = CS = C7	41.7 (2)	C12 = N3 = C11 = N2	1/9.66 (1/)
SI = CI = C2 = C3	-1/9.35(15)	C6-C1-C2-C3	0.9 (3)
04—C10—C8—N1	-1/2.8/(18)	C6-C5-C4-C3	-0.9 (3)
04-010-08-07	10.0 (3)	C/C6C1S1	-5.1 (2)
N2—C10—C8—N1	8.8 (3)	C/C6C1C2	174.63 (18)
N2-C10-C8-C7	-168.30 (18)	C7—C6—C5—C4	-174.64 (18)
C10—N2—C11—S2	6.0 (3)	C1—S1—N1—C8	-54.93 (15)
C10—N2—C11—N3	-173.71 (17)	C1—S1—N1—C9	82.64 (15)
C10—C8—C7—O3	-3.3 (3)	C1—C6—C7—O3	161.27 (17)
C10—C8—C7—C6	176.22 (18)	C1—C6—C7—C8	-18.3 (3)
C11—S2—C13—C12	-0.05 (15)	C1—C6—C5—C4	3.0 (3)
C11—S2—C13—C14	179.40 (17)	C1—C2—C3—C4	1.3 (3)
C11—N2—C10—O4	-3.5 (3)	O1—S1—N1—C8	-170.34 (14)
C11—N2—C10—C8	174.84 (17)	O1—S1—N1—C9	-32.77 (17)
C11—N3—C12—C13	0.0 (3)	O1—S1—C1—C6	153.05 (15)
C13—S2—C11—N2	-179.64 (17)	O1—S1—C1—C2	-26.7 (2)
C13—S2—C11—N3	0.07 (15)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.3 (3)
N1—S1—C1—C6	38.29 (16)	C5—C6—C7—O3	-21.1 (3)
N1—S1—C1—C2	-141.46 (17)	C5—C6—C7—C8	159.28 (19)
N1—C8—C7—O3	179.69 (18)	C5—C6—C1—S1	177.20 (15)
N1—C8—C7—C6	-0.8 (3)	C5—C6—C1—C2	-3.0 (3)
O2—S1—N1—C8	58.34 (15)	C14—C13—C12—N3	-179.36 (19)
O2—S1—N1—C9	-164.09 (14)	C9—N1—C8—C10	86.3 (2)
O2—S1—C1—C6	-74.44 (16)	C9—N1—C8—C7	-96.7 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
O3—H3…O4	0.82	1.91	2.6221 (18)	145
O6—H6…N3	0.82	1.80	2.615 (2)	174

			supportin	g information
N2—H2···O5	0.86	2.09	2.922 (2)	164
O3—H3···O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.82	2.51	2.944 (2)	114

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z.