


Received 3 August 2016 Accepted 19 October 2016

Edited by G. Smith, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Keywords: crystal structure; caesium; metalorganic framework; phosphonic acid ligand.

CCDC reference: 1510674

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure of a compact three-dimensional metal—organic framework based on Cs<sup>+</sup> and (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid)

# Ricardo F. Mendes,<sup>a</sup> Nutalapati Venkatramaiah,<sup>b</sup> João P. C. Tomé<sup>c,b</sup> and Filipe A. Almeida Paz<sup>a</sup>\*


<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, CICECO - Aveiro Institute of Materials, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, QOPNA, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, and <sup>c</sup>Centro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. \*Correspondence e-mail: filipe.paz@ua.pt

A new metal–organic framework compound, poly[[ $\mu_7$ -dihydrogen (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)diphosphonato](oxonium)caesium],  $[Cs(C_8H_4N_2O_6P_2)(H_3O)]_n$ (I), based on Cs<sup>+</sup> and the organic linker 4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid, ( $H_4$ cpp), containing two distinct coordinating functional groups, has been prepared by a simple diffusion method and its crystal structure is reported. The coordination polymeric structure is based on a CsO<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub> complex unit comprising a monodentate hydronium cation, seven O-atom donors from two phosphonium groups of the  $(H_2 cpp)^{2-}$  ligand, and two N-atom donors from bridging cyano groups. The high level of connectivity from both the metal cation and the organic linker allow the formation of a compact and dense threedimensional network without any crystallization solvent. Topologically (I) is a seven-connected uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of  $\{4^{17}.6^4\}$ . Metal cations form an undulating inorganic layer, which is linked by strong and highly directional O-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions. These metallic layers are, in turn, connected by the organic ligands along the [010] direction to form the overall three-dimensional framework structure.

### 1. Chemical context

The area of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and coordination polymers (CPs) has proven to be of great importance, not only in academic research but also for industrial applications (Silva et al., 2015). The simple and easy preparation of these materials, allied with the enormous variety of building blocks (either metal atoms or organic linkers) make these materials ideal to be employed in different applications: gas sorption/separation (Sumida et al., 2012), as heterogeneous catalysts (Mendes et al., 2015), luminescence (Heine & Müller-Buschbaum, 2013), batteries and as corrosion inhibitors (Morozan & Jaouen, 2012), among many others. Most of these compounds are obtained by mixing transition metal cations with carboxylic acids. The use of other oxygen-based donor groups such as phosphonic acids has seen a great resurgence in recent years. The use of mixed oxygen-nitrogen donor organic linkers is relatively less common, as confirmed by a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (Groom et al., 2016).

Although alkali-metal cations are of great interest due to their abundance in biological systems, there is a surprisingly small number of MOFs/CPs based on these elements. Cs<sup>+</sup>based materials are not as common as other alkali metals, especially when coordinated by either phosphonic or sulfonic acid residues. Reports on these structures are directed to solely structural descriptions rather than to applications. Nevertheless, these compounds can be used as functional materials in batteries, either as proton conductors (Bazaga-Garcia *et al.*, 2015) or as insulators (Tominaka *et al.*, 2013).



Following our interest in this field of research, we report the preparation of a new compact and dense MOF network,  $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$ , prepared by the self-assembly of Cs<sup>+</sup> and the organic linker (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid), (H<sub>4</sub>cpp), previously reported by our group (Venka-tramaiah *et al.*, 2015). The title compound,  $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$  (I), was assembled under atmospheric conditions and represents, to the best of our knowledge, the first reported MOF or CP based on an amino/cyano phosphonate with caesium as the metal cation, and the crystal structure is reported herein.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of (I) comprises one Cs<sup>+</sup> atom coordinated by a dianionic  $H_2cpp^{2-}$  ligand, together with a monodentate hydronium cation (Fig. 1). The irregular CsO<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>




Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of  $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$  (I) showing all non-hydrogen atoms represented as displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms as small spheres with arbitrary radius. The coordination sphere of  $Cs^+$  is completed by generating (through symmetry) the remaining oxygen and nitrogen atoms. For symmetry codes, see Table 1.


Table 1Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cs1-O1	3.400 (3)	$Cs1-O6^{v}$	3.259 (4)
Cs1 - O1W	3.388 (4)	$Cs1-O5^{vi}$	3.159 (4)
Cs1-O4	3.269 (4)	P1-O1	1.499 (4)
Cs1-N1 <sup>i</sup>	3.234 (7)	P1-O2	1.509 (4)
Cs1-N2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.334 (6)	P1-O3	1.558 (4)
Cs1-O1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.229 (3)	P2-O4	1.497 (4)
$Cs1-O3^{iv}$	3.356 (4)	P2-O5	1.572 (4)
$Cs1-O4^{v}$	3.410 (3)	P2-O6	1.495 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (v) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2.

coordination polyhedron is defined by the O atom of one monodentate hydronium molecule, six hydrogen phosphonate O-atom donors and two cyano N-atom donors. The Cs-O bond-length range is 3.159 (4)-3.410 (3) Å and for Cs-N, 3.234 (7) and 3.334 (6) Å (Table 1). These values are in good agreement with those reported for other phosphonate-based materials as found in a search in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Groom *et al.*, 2016): mean value of 3.24 Å for the Cs-O bond (CSD range, 3.01-3.41 Å), and 3.28 Å for the Cs-N bond (CSD range, 2.35-3.79 Å).

The crystallographic independent  $H_2 cpp^{2-}$  residue in (I) acts as a linker connecting seven symmetry-related Cs<sup>+</sup> metal atoms. The coordination modes between cyano and phosphonate groups are, as expected, different. While the cyano groups connect to two different metal atoms, each in a simple  $\kappa^1$  coordination mode, the two phosphonate groups coordinate to the remaining metals by  $\kappa^1$ -O,  $\kappa^2$ -O and  $\mu_2$ -O,O coordination modes. This high coordination of the phosphonate groups is responsible for the formation of a metallic undulating inorganic layer lying in the *ac* plane of the unit cell. Within this layer, the intermetallic Cs...Cs distances range from 5.7792 (4) to 7.8819 (5) Å (Fig. 2). The cyano groups are, on the other hand, responsible for the inter-layer connections along the [010] direction. In this case, the intermetallic  $Cs \cdot \cdot \cdot Cs$  distances between layers range from 9.7347 (6) to 9.9044 (6) Å. Although the organic linkers are stacked, the





Schematic representation of the connectivity of (*a*) the anionic  $H_2cpp^{2-}$  ligand; (*b*) the Cs<sup>+</sup> cation and (*c*) the seven-connected [Cs(H<sub>2</sub>cpp)(H<sub>3</sub>O)] uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of {4<sup>17</sup>.6<sup>4</sup>}.

# research communications

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$ ).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} 03 - H3 \cdots 06^{vii} \\ 05 - H5 \cdots 02 \\ 01W - H1X \cdots 02^{iv} \\ 01W - H1Y \cdots 01 \\ 01W - H1Z \cdots 04^{iii} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95 \ (1) \\ 0.94 \ (1) \\ 0.95 \ (1) \\ 0.96 \ (1) \\ 0.95 \ (1) \end{array}$	1.59 (12) 1.60 (12) 1.64 (16) 1.66 (11) 1.56 (15)	2.528 (5) 2.545 (5) 2.553 (5) 2.526 (5) 2.485 (5)	172 (5) 175 (5) 160 (4) 149 (4) 162 (4)

Symmetry codes: (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (vii) x - 1, y, z.

minimum inter-centroid distance of 4.6545 (3) Å (as calculated using *PLATON*: Spek, 2009) indicates the absence of any significant  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions.


The unusual presence of a coordinating  $H_3O^+$  ion in this Cs<sup>+</sup> structure is confirmed by the location of the three hydrogen atoms associated with this cation, which were clearly visible from difference-Fourier maps and by the presence of the double charge with respect to the delocalized P1-O1, P1-O2 and P2-O4, P1-O6 bonds [1.499 (4), 1.509 (3) Å and 1.497 (4), 1.495 (3) Å, respectively]. The P1-O3 and P2-O5 bond lengths for the protonated groups are 1.558 (4) and 1.572 (4) Å, respectively. In addition, although the distance between O1W and O4 is very short, suggesting a possible O4- $H \cdots O1W$  interaction, a calculated site for such a hydrogen was found to be sterically impossible in the crowded environment about Cs. Not only that, but any attempts to refine this molecule as a coordination water molecule proved to be not as successful as the hydronium cation. When the proton is connected to the adjacent phosphonic residue, the bond is only possible by restraining the O-H distance between O4 and the proton. Also there was still a residual charge near O1W, which corroborated the initial refinement.

#### 3. Topology

The various coordination modes of the ligand and the presence of a compact undulating inorganic layer formed by the metal atoms to form the MOF architecture can be better understood from a pure topological perspective. Based on the recommendations of Alexandrov et al. (2011), any moiety (ligand, atom or clusters of atoms) connecting more than two metallic centers  $(\mu_n)$  should be considered as a network node. For (I), all crystallographically independent moieties comprising the asymmetric unit, both the Cs<sup>+</sup> cation and the anionic H<sub>2</sub>cpp<sup>2-</sup> ligand, should therefore be considered as nodes. Using the software package TOPOS (Blatov & Shevchenko, 2006), (I) could be classified as a seven-connected uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of  $\{4^{17}, 6^4\}$ . Fig. 2 illustrates the breakdown of the network of (I) into nodes and connecting rods, with the individual connectivity of each node being superimposed into the crystal structure itself (Fig. 2a and 2b). The metal atom and the organic linker are connected to each other in every direction of the unit cell (Fig. 2c), forming a compact and robust three-dimensional network (Fig. 3). The absence of water molecules of crystallization leads to this very compact structure having no solventaccessible pores: only 0.2% of the unit cell volume [calculated using *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006)] corresponds to voids.

#### 4. Supramolecular features

The lack of crystallization solvent molecules in (I) results in a rather small number of crystallographically different hydrogen-bonding supramolecular interactions (Table 2). Indeed, although the structure is rich in hydrogen-bonding acceptors, only the POH and the  $H_3O^+$  moieties can establish strong interactions. A total of five distinct hydrogen bonds are present, two of these involving the phosphonic acid donor groups  $[O3-H3\cdots O6^{vii}$  and  $O5-H5\cdots O2)$  and three involving the  $H_3O^+$  moiety  $(O1W-H1X\cdots O2^{iv}, O1W-H1Y\cdots O1$  and  $O1W-H1Z\cdots O4^{iii}$  (for symmetry codes, see Tables 1 and 2)]. An overall three-dimensional network structure is generated in which there are  $62 \text{ Å}^3$  voids (though not solvent-accessible ones). No  $\pi$ - $\pi$  ring interactions are present (minimum ring-centroid separation = 4.655 Å). These





Schematic representation of the crystal packing of  $[Cs(H_2cpp) (H_3O)]_n$ viewed in perspective (a) along [001] and (b) along [100]. The representations emphasize the connection of the undulating inorganic layers located in the *ac* plane of the unit cell (and formed by the metal cations) through the organic ligand. The bottom representation further emphasizes the stacking of the organic linkers with inter-centroid ring distances of 4.6545 (3) Å.




Figure 4

Schematic representation of a portion of the undulating inorganic layer comprising the crystal structure of (I), emphasizing the various strong and directional supramolecular O-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions (orange dashed lines) present within this layer. For geometrical details and symmetry codes, see Table 2.

hydrogen bonds are confined within the inorganic undulating layer (Fig. 4).

### 5. Database survey

Although unusual in the case of Cs, in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) a total of 45 structures in which coordination between the metal cation and the hydronium cation is present, e.g. among the metal complexes (Reves-Martínez et al., 2009; Jennifer et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2016; Hu & Mak, 2013) and coordination polymer/metal-organic frameworks (Yotnoi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Humphrey et al., 2005). Wang et al. (2013) in fact reported the structures of an isotypic series of crystal materials involving lanthanides (Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er and Y), in which the presence of the coordinating hydronium cation was confirmed.

### 6. Synthesis and crystallization

Chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without any further purification steps. (4,5-Dicyano-1,2phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid) (H<sub>4</sub>cpp) was prepared according to published procedures (Venkatramaiah et al., 2015).

Synthesis of  $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$ , (I):  $H_4cpp$  (29 mg, 0.1 mM) was dissolved in 4 ml of methanol. A 1 ml aliquot of a methanolic caesium hydroxide solution (45 mg, 0.3 mM; Sigma Aldrich, puriss p.a.  $\geq$  96%) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 min for uniform mixing. The final solution was allowed to slowly evaporate at ambient temperature. White transparent crystals of the title compound were obtained after one week. Crystals were filtered and dried under vacuum.

Experimental details. Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cs(C_8H_4N_2O_6P_2)(H_3O)]$
M <sub>r</sub>	438.01
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	180
a, b, c (Å)	7.8819 (5), 24.5497 (14), 7.3137 (4)
	98.739 (2)
$\beta$ (°) V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1398.76 (14)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	2.91
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15\times0.06\times0.02$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 QUEST
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 2012)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.647, 0.747
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	27787, 2550, 2499
R <sub>int</sub>	0.021
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.602
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.031, 0.080, 1.50
No. of reflections	2550
No. of parameters	196
No. of restraints	10
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta  ho_{\rm max},  \Delta  ho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.70, -0.60

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2012), SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999).

## 7. Refinement

Table 3

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were placed at idealized positions with C-H = 0.95 Å and included in the final structural model in a riding-motion approximation with the isotropic displacement parameters fixed at  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . Hydrogen atoms associated with the H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> moiety and the phosphonate groups were clearly located from difference-Fourier maps and were included in the refinement with the O-H and H...H (only for the cation) distances restrained to 0.95 (1) and 1.55 (1) Å, respectively, in order to ensure a chemically reasonable environment for these moieties. These hydrogen atoms were modelled with the isotropic displacement parameters fixed at  $1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . In order to avoid a close proximity between the H atoms associated with the POH group and the  $H_3O^+$  cation and the central  $Cs^+$ ion in the crystal structure, an antibump restraint  $[3.5 (1) \text{ \AA})]$ was included in the overall refinement.

### Acknowledgements

(a) Funding sources and entities: Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal), the European Union, QREN, FEDER through Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade (COMPETE), CICECO-Aveiro Institute of Materials (Ref. FCT UID/CTM/50011/2013) financed by national

# research communications

funds through the FCT/MEC and when applicable co-financed by FEDER under the PT2020 Partnership Agreement. (*b*) Projects and individual grants: We wish to thank the FCT for funding the R&D project FCOMP-01–0124-FEDER-041282 (Ref. FCT EXPL/CTM-NAN/0013/2013), and also CICECO for specific funding towards the purchase of the single-crystal diffractometer. The FCT is also gratefully acknowledged for the post-doctoral research grant No. SFRH/BPD/79000/2011 (to NV) and the PhD research grant No. SFRH/BD/84231/ 2012 (to RFM).

#### References

- Alexandrov, E. V., Blatov, V. A., Kochetkov, A. V. & Proserpio, D. M. (2011). CrystEngComm, 13, 3947–3958.
- Bazaga-García, M., Papadaki, M., Colodrero, R. M. P., Olivera-Pastor, P., Losilla, E. R., Nieto-Ortega, B., Aranda, M. Á. G., Choquesillo-Lazarte, D., Cabeza, A. & Demadis, K. D. (2015). *Chem. Mater.* 27, 424–435.
- Blatov, V. A. & Shevchenko, A. P. (2006). *TOPOS*. Samara State University, Samara, Russia.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2012). SAINT, APEX2 and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Heine, J. & Müller-Buschbaum, K. (2013). Chem. Soc. Rev. 42, 9232–9242.
- Hu, T. & Mak, T. C. W. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 4957-4967.

- Humphrey, S. M., Mole, R. A., McPartlin, M., McInnes, E. J. L. & Wood, P. T. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* 44, 5981–5983.
- Jennifer, S., Thomas Muthiah, P. & Tamilselvi, D. (2014). *Chem. Cent. J.* **8**, 58–70.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Mendes, R. F., Silva, P., Antunes, M. M., Valente, A. A. & Almeida Paz, F. A. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* 51, 10807–10810.
- Morozan, A. & Jaouen, F. (2012). Energ. Environ. Sci. 5, 9269-9290.
- Reyes-Martínez, R., Höpfl, H., Godoy-Alcántar, C., Medrano, F. & Tlahuext, H. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 2417–2424.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Silva, P., Vilela, S. M. F., Tomé, J. P. C. & Almeida Paz, F. A. (2015). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 44, 6774–6803.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., Mason, J. A., McDonald, T. M., Bloch, E. D., Herm, Z. R., Bae, T. H. & Long, J. R. (2012). *Chem. Rev.* 112, 724–781.
- Teng, C.-L., Xiao, H.-X., Cai, Q., Tang, J.-T., Cai, T. J. & Deng, Q. (2016). J. Coord. Chem. 69, 2148–2163.
- Tominaka, S, Henke, S. & Cheetham, A. K. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, **15**, 9400–9407.
- Venkatramaiah, N., Pereira, P. M. R., Almeida Paz, F. A., Ribeiro, C. A. F., Fernandes, R. & Tomé, J. P. C. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* 51, 15550–15553.
- Wang, P., Fan, R.-Q., Liu, X.-R., Wang, L.-Y., Yang, Y.-L., Cao, W.-W., Yang, B., Hasi, W., Su, Q. & Mu, Y. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, 15, 1931–1949.
- Yotnoi, B., Meundaeng, N., Funfuenha, W., Pattarawarapan, M., Prior, T. & Rujiwatra, A. (2015). J. Coord. Chem. 68, 4184–4202.

# supporting information

## Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1794-1798 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016016765]

Crystal structure of a compact three-dimensional metal-organic framework based on Cs<sup>+</sup> and (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid)

# Ricardo F. Mendes, Nutalapati Venkatramaiah, João P. C. Tomé and Filipe A. Almeida Paz

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS* (Sheldrick, 2015); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/6* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014/6* (Sheldrick, 2015).

Poly[[µ<sub>7</sub>-dihydrogen (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)diphosphonato](oxonium)caesium]

## Crystal data

 $[Cs(C_8H_4N_2O_6P_2)(H_3O)]$   $M_r = 438.01$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 7.8819 (5) Å b = 24.5497 (14) Å c = 7.3137 (4) Å  $\beta = 98.739$  (2)° V = 1398.76 (14) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

## Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST
diffractometer
Radiation source: Sealed tube
Multi-layer X-ray mirror monochromator
Detector resolution: 10.4167 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>
$\omega/\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker 2012)
$T_{\min} = 0.647, \ T_{\max} = 0.747$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$  $wR(F^2) = 0.080$ S = 1.502550 reflections 196 parameters 10 restraints F(000) = 840  $D_x = 2.080 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9290 reflections  $\theta = 2.7-36.7^{\circ}$   $\mu = 2.91 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 180 KPlate, colourless  $0.15 \times 0.06 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$ 

27787 measured reflections 2550 independent reflections 2499 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.021$  $\theta_{max} = 25.4^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.6^\circ$  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -29 \rightarrow 29$  $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0134P)^2 + 6.7796P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.70 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.60 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cs1	0.78119 (4)	0.56625 (2)	0.69290 (4)	0.02080 (11)
O1W	0.3543 (5)	0.54753 (15)	0.6850 (5)	0.0224 (8)
H1X	0.330 (6)	0.5549 (18)	0.806 (3)	0.034*
H1Y	0.3732 (16)	0.5092 (4)	0.675 (6)	0.034*
H1Z	0.258 (4)	0.5572 (15)	0.597 (5)	0.034*
P1	0.56069 (15)	0.42656 (5)	0.83441 (16)	0.0139 (2)
P2	0.97399 (15)	0.40970 (5)	0.72111 (17)	0.0147 (3)
D1	0.5083 (4)	0.45821 (14)	0.6597 (5)	0.0197 (7)
02	0.6914 (4)	0.45406 (14)	0.9768 (5)	0.0186 (7)
03	0.4048 (4)	0.41059 (15)	0.9310 (5)	0.0185 (7)
H3	0.311 (5)	0.403 (2)	0.837 (6)	0.028*
D4	0.8955 (4)	0.44573 (15)	0.5659 (5)	0.0237 (8)
05	0.9966 (4)	0.44125 (15)	0.9103 (5)	0.0217 (8)
H5	0.886 (3)	0.4463 (14)	0.943 (7)	0.033*
D6	1.1438 (4)	0.38507 (14)	0.7016 (5)	0.0203 (7)
N1	0.4101 (9)	0.1823 (3)	0.7906 (11)	0.0603 (19)
N2	0.9099 (8)	0.1597 (2)	0.7224 (8)	0.0435 (14)
C1	0.6536 (6)	0.36144 (19)	0.7793 (6)	0.0143 (9)
C2	0.8230 (6)	0.3543 (2)	0.7429 (6)	0.0160 (10)
С3	0.8843 (6)	0.3016 (2)	0.7237 (7)	0.0189 (10)
H3A	0.9990	0.2968	0.7014	0.023*
C4	0.7834 (7)	0.2561 (2)	0.7360 (6)	0.0201 (10)
C5	0.6129 (7)	0.2632 (2)	0.7663 (7)	0.0222 (11)
C6	0.5506 (6)	0.3153 (2)	0.7867 (7)	0.0194 (10)
H6A	0.4350	0.3198	0.8061	0.023*
C <b>7</b>	0.5014 (8)	0.2170 (2)	0.7777 (9)	0.0333 (14)
C8	0.8522 (8)	0.2021 (2)	0.7244 (8)	0.0294 (12)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cs1	0.02062 (17)	0.02091 (18)	0.02049 (17)	-0.00137 (12)	0.00196 (12)	-0.00099 (12)
O1W	0.0225 (18)	0.0260 (19)	0.0182 (18)	0.0044 (15)	0.0015 (15)	-0.0030 (15)
P1	0.0117 (6)	0.0164 (6)	0.0135 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0021 (4)	-0.0005 (5)
P2	0.0109 (6)	0.0189 (6)	0.0142 (6)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0014 (5)	-0.0007 (5)
01	0.0225 (18)	0.0222 (18)	0.0151 (17)	0.0047 (14)	0.0051 (14)	0.0011 (14)
O2	0.0139 (16)	0.0240 (18)	0.0177 (17)	0.0003 (14)	0.0020 (13)	-0.0046 (14)
O3	0.0126 (16)	0.0276 (19)	0.0156 (17)	0.0001 (14)	0.0034 (13)	-0.0003 (14)
O4	0.0198 (18)	0.0239 (19)	0.0257 (19)	-0.0040 (15)	-0.0019 (15)	0.0079 (16)

# supporting information

05	0.0160 (17)	0.028 (2)	0.0216 (18)	-0.0040 (14)	0.0041 (14)	-0.0063 (15)
O6	0.0136 (17)	0.0279 (19)	0.0187 (18)	0.0005 (14)	0.0003 (14)	-0.0020 (15)
N1	0.067 (4)	0.037 (3)	0.082 (5)	-0.024 (3)	0.029 (4)	-0.006 (3)
N2	0.054 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.000 (3)	-0.003 (2)
C1	0.018 (2)	0.014 (2)	0.010 (2)	0.0009 (18)	-0.0006 (18)	0.0020 (18)
C2	0.013 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.0004 (19)	-0.0017 (18)	-0.0024 (19)
C3	0.017 (2)	0.023 (3)	0.015 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.0022 (19)	0.000 (2)
C4	0.029 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.0014 (19)
C5	0.026 (3)	0.020 (3)	0.020 (3)	-0.006 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.017 (2)	0.022 (3)	0.019 (3)	-0.002 (2)	0.0028 (19)	-0.001 (2)
C7	0.040 (3)	0.023 (3)	0.039 (4)	-0.006 (3)	0.015 (3)	-0.004 (3)
C8	0.037 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.004 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

······································			
Cs1—O1	3.400 (3)	O1W—H1X	0.95 (3)
Cs1—O1W	3.388 (4)	O1W—H1Y	0.957 (11)
Cs1—O4	3.269 (4)	O1W—H1Z	0.95 (3)
Cs1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	3.234 (7)	O3—H3	0.95 (4)
Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.334 (6)	O5—H5	0.95 (3)
Cs1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.229 (3)	N1—C7	1.128 (9)
Cs1—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	3.356 (4)	N2—C8	1.137 (7)
Cs1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	3.410 (3)	C1—C2	1.412 (7)
Cs1—O6 <sup>v</sup>	3.259 (4)	C1—C6	1.399 (7)
Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup>	3.159 (4)	C2—C3	1.396 (7)
P1-01	1.499 (4)	C3—C4	1.382 (7)
P1	1.509 (4)	C4—C8	1.440 (7)
P1-03	1.558 (4)	C4—C5	1.406 (8)
P1—C1	1.829 (5)	C5—C7	1.445 (8)
P2—O4	1.497 (4)	C5—C6	1.386 (7)
P2—O5	1.572 (4)	С3—НЗА	0.9500
P2—O6	1.495 (3)	С6—Н6А	0.9500
P2—C2	1.830 (5)		
O1—Cs1—O1W	43.71 (8)	O2—P1—C1	106.8 (2)
01-Cs1-01	58.15 (8)	03—P1—C1	104.5 (2)
$01-Cs1-N1^{i}$	113.35 (14)	04—P2—05	110.8 (2)
$O1 - Cs1 - N2^{ii}$	170.46 (11)	04—P2—06	116.1 (2)
$01 - Cs1 - 01^{iii}$	55.63 (9)	O4—P2—C2	107.8 (2)
$01 - Cs1 - O3^{iv}$	80.81 (9)	O5—P2—O6	107.5 (2)
01—Cs1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	114.27 (8)	O5—P2—C2	106.1 (2)
$01$ —Cs1— $06^{v}$	114.88 (9)	O6—P2—C2	108.1 (2)
$01 - Cs1 - 05^{vi}$	106.05 (9)	Cs1—O1—P1	104.72 (16)
01-Cs1-O5 01W-Cs1-O4	100.85 (9)	$Cs1-O1-Cs1^{iii}$	124.37 (11)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^i$	69.68 (14)	$Cs1^{iii}$ —O1—P1	130.85 (18)
O1W - Cs1 - N1 $O1W - Cs1 - N2^{ii}$	142.36 (12)	$Cs1 \longrightarrow O1 \longrightarrow P1$ $Cs1^{iv} \longrightarrow O3 \longrightarrow P1$	143.32 (19)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cs1—O1W	51.81 (9)	Cs1 = O5 = P1 Cs1 = O4 = P2	143.32 (19)
O1 = Cs1 = O1 W $O1W = Cs1 = O3^{iv}$	58.71 (8)	$Cs1-O4-Cs1^{v}$	119.82 (11)
01 m - 031 - 03	30.71 (0)	04-031	119.02 (11)

O1W—Cs1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	143.40 (9)	Cs1 <sup>v</sup> —O4—P2	96.45 (15)
O1W—Cs1—O6 <sup>v</sup>	110.36 (8)	Cs1 <sup>vi</sup> —O5—P2	138.83 (19)
O1W—Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup>	114.73 (9)	Cs1 <sup>v</sup> —O6—P2	102.81 (17)
O4—Cs1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	163.38 (15)	H1X—O1W—H1Z	109 (3)
O4—Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	116.78 (11)	H1Y—O1W—H1Z	108 (3)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—O4	78.26 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1Z	132 (2)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Cs1—O4	124.05 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1X	107 (3)
O4—Cs1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	60.18 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1Y	88.2 (9)
O4—Cs1—O6 <sup>v</sup>	89.17 (9)	H1X—O1W—H1Y	108 (4)
O4—Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup>	94.02 (9)	Р1—О3—Н3	108 (3)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	73.64 (16)	Cs1 <sup>iv</sup> —O3—H3	104 (3)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	85.23 (15)	Р2—О5—Н5	108 (3)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^i$	63.64 (15)	Cs1 <sup>vi</sup> —O5—H5	100 (3)
$O4^v$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^i$	119.25 (15)	Cs1 <sup>vii</sup> —N1—C7	167.2 (6)
$O6^{v}$ —Cs1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	81.85 (15)	Cs1 <sup>viii</sup> —N2—C8	155.5 (5)
$O5^{vi}$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^i$	102.33 (15)	P1—C1—C2	124.9 (4)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	133.14 (12)	C2—C1—C6	118.5 (4)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	97.40 (12)	P1—C1—C6	116.4 (4)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	64.93 (12)	P2—C2—C3	116.1 (4)
O6 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	71.74 (12)	P2—C2—C1	124.8 (4)
O5 <sup>vi</sup> —Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	65.30 (12)	C1—C2—C3	119.1 (4)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$	110.02 (8)	C2—C3—C4	122.1 (5)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$	92.16 (8)	C3—C4—C5	118.9 (5)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cs1—O6 <sup>v</sup>	64.05 (8)	C5—C4—C8	120.1 (5)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup>	161.55 (9)	C3—C4—C8	121.0 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$	157.79 (8)	C6—C5—C7	119.3 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$	145.49 (9)	C4—C5—C7	121.1 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$	60.47 (8)	C4—C5—C6	119.6 (5)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O6 <sup>v</sup>	44.67 (8)	C1—C6—C5	121.7 (5)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup>	98.52 (8)	N1—C7—C5	177.0 (7)
$O5^{vi}$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$	133.23 (8)	N2—C8—C4	177.2 (6)
O1—P1—O2	115.3 (2)	С2—С3—НЗА	119.00
O1—P1—O3	112.52 (19)	С4—С3—Н3А	119.00
O1—P1—C1	109.5 (2)	С1—С6—Н6А	119.00
O2—P1—O3	107.6 (2)	С5—С6—Н6А	119.00
O1W—Cs1—O1—P1	-114.0 (2)	O1—Cs1—O5 <sup>vi</sup> —P2 <sup>vi</sup>	-110.8 (3)
O1W—Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	68.38 (14)	O1W—Cs1—O5 <sup><math>vi</math></sup> —P2 <sup><math>vi</math></sup>	-64.9 (3)
O4—Cs1—O1—P1	79.83 (17)	O4—Cs1—O5 <sup><math>vi</math></sup> —P2 <sup><math>vi</math></sup>	-168.8 (3)
O4—Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-97.75 (14)	O1—P1—C1—C2	79.5 (4)
$N1^{i}$ —Cs1—O1—P1	-116.3 (2)	O1—P1—C1—C6	-105.0 (4)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	66.1 (2)	O2—P1—C1—C2	-46.0 (4)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—O1—P1	177.6 (2)	O2—P1—C1—C6	129.6 (4)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-0.02 (14)	O3—P1—C1—C2	-159.8 (4)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Cs1—O1—P1	-60.36 (16)	O3—P1—C1—C6	15.7 (4)
O3 <sup>iv</sup> —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	122.06 (13)	O3—P1—O1—Cs1	132.24 (17)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O1—P1	102.56 (17)	C1—P1—O1—Cs1	-112.09 (19)
$O4^{v}$ —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-75.03 (14)	O2—P1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-174.33 (19)
	× /		

O6 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O1—P1	151.95 (15)	O3—P1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-50.4 (3)
O6 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-25.63 (15)	C1—P1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	65.3 (3)
$O5^{vi}$ —Cs1—O1—P1	-4.83 (18)	O1—P1—O3—Cs1 <sup>iv</sup>	-111.9 (3)
O5 <sup>vi</sup> —Cs1—O1—Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	177.59 (11)	O2—P1—O1—Cs1	8.3 (2)
O1—Cs1—O4—P2	-89.90 (19)	C1—P1—O3—Cs1 <sup>iv</sup>	129.5 (3)
O1—Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	156.05 (16)	O2—P1—O3—Cs1 <sup>iv</sup>	16.2 (4)
O1W—Cs1—O4—P2	-99.61 (18)	O4—P2—C2—C3	121.5 (4)
O1W—Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	146.34 (11)	O5—P2—C2—C1	59.4 (4)
N2 <sup>ii</sup> —Cs1—O4—P2	80.8 (2)	O6—P2—C2—C1	174.4 (4)
$N2^{ii}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-33.23 (17)	O6—P2—C2—C3	-4.7 (4)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cs1—O4—P2	-146.54 (19)	O5—P2—C2—C3	-119.8 (4)
$O1^{iii}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	99.41 (12)	O4—P2—C2—C1	-59.4 (4)
$O3^{iv}$ —Cs1—O4—P2	-40.2 (2)	O5—P2—O4—Cs1	-4.8 (2)
$O3^{iv}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-154.24 (10)	O6—P2—O4—Cs1	-127.67 (19)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O4—P2	114.1 (2)	C2—P2—O4—Cs1	110.9 (2)
O4 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-0.02 (9)	O5—P2—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	122.33 (16)
O6 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O4—P2	149.81 (18)	O6—P2—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-0.5 (2)
O6 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	35.76 (12)	C2-P2-O4-Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-121.95 (17)
$O5^{vi}$ —Cs1—O4—P2	16.53 (19)	O4—P2—O5—Cs1 <sup>vi</sup>	-158.3 (2)
$O5^{vi}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-97.52 (12)	O6—P2—O5—Cs1 <sup>vi</sup>	-30.5 (3)
O1W—Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup> —C8 <sup>ii</sup>	-38.5 (13)	C2-P2-O5-Cs1 <sup>vi</sup>	85.0 (3)
O4—Cs1—N2 <sup>ii</sup> —C8 <sup>ii</sup>	140.8 (11)	O4—P2—O6—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	0.6 (2)
O1—Cs1—O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.00 (10)	O5—P2—O6—Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	-124.03 (17)
O1— $Cs1$ — $O1$ <sup>iii</sup> — $P1$ <sup>iii</sup>	176.9 (3)	C2-P2-O6-Cs1 <sup>v</sup>	121.81 (17)
O1W—Cs1—O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	-54.81 (12)	P1—C1—C2—P2	-6.5 (6)
O1W—Cs1—O1 <sup>iii</sup> —P1 <sup>iii</sup>	122.1 (3)	P1—C1—C2—C3	172.7 (4)
O4—Cs1—O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1 <sup>iii</sup>	59.28 (12)	C6—C1—C2—P2	178.1 (4)
O4— $Cs1$ — $O1$ <sup>iii</sup> — $P1$ <sup>iii</sup>	-123.8 (2)	C6—C1—C2—C3	-2.8 (7)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$ — $P1^{iv}$	-14.9 (3)	P1—C1—C6—C5	-173.3 (4)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$ — $P1^{iv}$	25.8 (3)	C2-C1-C6-C5	2.5 (7)
O4—Cs1—O3 $^{iv}$ —P1 $^{iv}$	-55.9 (3)	P2-C2-C3-C4	-179.6 (4)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $Cs1^{v}$	-22.22 (15)	C1—C2—C3—C4	1.2 (7)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $P2^{v}$	101.24 (17)	C2—C3—C4—C5	0.9 (7)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $Cs1^{v}$	-65.93 (19)	C2—C3—C4—C8	-177.0 (5)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^v$ — $P2^v$	57.5 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.2 (7)
$O4$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $Cs1^{v}$	0.00 (10)	C3—C4—C5—C7	179.1 (5)
$O4$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^v$ — $P2^v$	123.46 (19)	C8—C4—C5—C6	176.7 (5)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^v$ — $P2^v$	-99.78 (17)	C8—C4—C5—C7	-2.9 (7)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^v$ — $P2^v$	-147.10 (15)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.5 (7)
O4—Cs1—O6 $^{v}$ —P2 $^{v}$	-45.83 (17)	C7—C5—C6—C1	179.2 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (v) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (vi) -x+2, -y+1, -z+2; (vii) -x+2, -y+1, -z+3/2; (viii) -x+2, -y+1/2, -y+1/2; (viii) -x+2, -y+1/2; (viii) -x+2; (viii) -x+2, -y+1/2; (viii) -x+2; (vi

# *Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O3—H3····O6 <sup>ix</sup>	0.95 (1)	1.59 (12)	2.528 (5)	172 (5)
O5—H5…O2	0.94 (1)	1.60 (12)	2.545 (5)	175 (5)

# supporting information

$O1W$ — $H1X$ ··· $O2^{iv}$	0.95 (1)	1.64 (16)	2.553 (5)	160 (4)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>Y</i> …O1	0.96 (1)	1.66 (11)	2.526 (5)	149 (4)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1Z····O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95 (1)	1.56 (15)	2.485 (5)	162 (4)

Symmetry codes: (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (ix) x-1, y, z.