



Received 6 December 2016 Accepted 4 January 2017

Edited by S. Parkin, University of Kentucky, USA

**Keywords:** crystal structure; mixed valence copper complex; *N*-methylethylenediamine; cyanide; three-dimensional polymer; cuprophilic interactions.

CCDC references: 1525492; 1525491

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structures of two mixed-valence copper cyanide complexes with *N*-methylethylenediamine

## Peter W. R. Corfield\* and Alexander Sabatino

Department of Chemistry, Fordham University, 441 East Fordham Road, Bronx, NY 10458, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: pcorfield@fordham.edu

The crystal structures of two mixed-valence copper cyanide compounds involving N-methylethylenediamine (meen), are described. In compound (I), poly[bis( $\mu_3$ -cyanido- $\kappa_3 C:C:N$ )tris( $\mu_2$ -cyanido- $\kappa_2 C:N$ )bis(N-methylethane-1,2-diamine- $\kappa_2 N, N'$ )tricopper(I)copper(II)], [Cu<sub>4</sub>(CN)<sub>5</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] or Cu<sub>4</sub>(CN)<sub>5</sub>meen<sub>2</sub>, cyanide groups link Cu<sup>I</sup> atoms into a three-dimensional network containing open channels parallel to the b axis. In the network, two tetrahedrally bound Cu<sup>I</sup> atoms are bonded by the C atoms of two end-on bridging CN groups to form  $Cu_2(CN)_6$  moieties with the Cu atoms in close contact at 2.560 (1) Å. Other trigonally bound Cu<sup>I</sup> atoms link these units together to form the network. The Cu<sup>II</sup> atoms, coordinated by two meen units, are covalently linked to the network via a cyanide bridge, and project into the open network channels. In the molecular compound (II),  $[(N-methylethylenediamine-\kappa^2 N, N')copper(II)]-\mu^2$ cyanido- $\kappa^2 C:N$ -[bis(cyanido- $\kappa C$ )copper(I)] monohydrate, [Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>10</sub>-N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]·H<sub>2</sub>O or Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>meen<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, a CN group connects a Cu<sup>II</sup> atom coordinated by two meen groups with a trigonal-planar Cu<sup>I</sup> atom coordinated by CN groups. The molecules are linked into centrosymmetric dimers via hydrogen bonds to two water molecules. In both compounds, the bridging cvanide between the Cu<sup>II</sup> and Cu<sup>I</sup> atoms has the N atom bonded to Cu<sup>II</sup> and the C atom bonded to Cu<sup>I</sup>, and the Cu<sup>II</sup> atoms are in a square-pyramidal coordination.

## 1. Chemical context

There is continuing interest in the synthesis and structures of coordination polymers involving CuCN networks (Etaiw et al., 2015, 2016; Cai et al., 2011). The structure determinations described here arise from our ongoing syntheses of mixedvalence copper cyanide complexes incorporating various amines, with the aim of the directed synthesis of new polymeric structures. A variety of crystal structures form from Cu<sup>I,II</sup>-cyanide-multidentate amine systems, ranging from the three-dimensional mixed-valence classic structure  $Cu_3(CN)_4 en_2 H_2O$  where en is ethylenediamine (Williams et al., 1972) to molecular compounds such as  $Cu_2(CN)_3$  et en<sub>2</sub> (Corfield & Michalski, 2014), where eten is N-ethylethylenediamine. Syntheses involving N-methylethylenediamine, meen, led to the formation of blue crystals of (II),  $Cu_2(CN)_3meen_2 \cdot H_2O$ , which formed as elongated plates. Their structure described here is that of a molecular compound very similar to the eten derivative referred to above. Syntheses with meen have also been carried out in the presence of tetrahedral monovalent anions such as  $BF_4^-$  and  $ClO_4^-$ , in the hope that incorporation of negative ions might induce crystallization of a polymeric structure. The major or sometimes sole product in these preparations were well-formed polyhedral black crystals

of (I),  $Cu_4(CN)_5meen_2$ , which we found to indeed be made up of a three-dimensional network, but, somewhat to our surprise, without any incorporation of  $BF_4^-$  or  $ClO_4^-$  anions.



## 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric units for compounds (I) and (II) are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Compound (I),  $Cu_4(CN)_5meen_2$ , crystallizes as a three-dimensional cyanide-bridged  $Cu^I$  network, with



#### Figure 1

The asymmetric unit for compound (I),  $Cu_4(CN)_5meen_2$ . Ellipsoids are drawn at the probability 50% level. The cuprophilic interaction is shown as a dashed bond.

 Table 1

 Selected bond lengths (Å) for (I).

Cu1-C1	1.980 (3)	Cu3-N2	1.942 (3)
Cu1-C2	2.042 (3)	Cu3-N3 <sup>ii</sup>	1.961 (3)
Cu1-N4	2.050 (3)	Cu3-C5 <sup>iii</sup>	1.910 (3)
Cu1-N5	2.041 (3)	Cu4-N11	2.002 (3)
Cu1-Cu2	2.5599 (7)	Cu4-N14	2.072 (3)
Cu2-C1	2.379 (3)	Cu4-N16	2.009 (3)
Cu2-C2	2.255 (3)	Cu4-N19	2.059 (3)
Cu2-C3	1.935 (3)	Cu4-N1	2.292 (3)
Cu2-C4 <sup>i</sup>	1.948 (3)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

 $Cu^{II}$ meen<sub>2</sub> units covalently anchored to the network *via* the bridging C1-N1 group, with N1 bonded to Cu<sup>II</sup>. The network is assembled from Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>6</sub> units, trigonal-planar Cu(CN)<sub>3</sub> units, and square-pyramidal Cumeen<sub>2</sub>(CN) units. Compound (II) crystals contain monomeric dinuclear molecules of Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>meen<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O.

The dimeric  $Cu_2(CN)_6$  units in (I) are comprised of tetrahedrally coordinated atoms Cu1 and Cu2 held closely together by two  $\mu_3$ -CN groups coordinating to both Cu atoms *via* C1 and C2, with short Cu ··· Cu distances of 2.560 (1) Å. The Cu-C and Cu-N distances listed in Table 1 show that the end-on CN bridging is not symmetrical, with Cu1-C1 and Cu1-C2 distances of 0.2-0.3 Å less than the corresponding bond lengths to Cu2. This asymmetry is the norm for such dimers, as noted in Corfield *et al.* (2016). The assumed cuprophilic attraction distorts the tetrahedral coordination around Cu1, with the C1-Cu1-C2 angle opened up to 118.01 (13)°, while the N4-Cu1-N5 angle opposite is reduced to 102.67 (12)°. The situation is reversed for Cu2, where the C1-Cu2-C2 angle is 96.13 (11)° and the opposite angle C3-Cu2-C4 is increased to 128.27 (13)°.



#### Figure 2

The asymmetric unit for compound (II),  $Cu_2(CN)_3meen_2 \cdot H_2O$ . Ellipsoids are drawn at the probability 50% level. The refined N- and O-bound hydrogen atoms are emphasized.

Table 2         Selected bond lengths (Å) for (II).				
Cu1-C1	1.9434 (15)	Cu2-N4		
Cu1 - C2	1.9380 (18)	Cu2-N7		

1.9414 (18)

2.2232 (14)

Cu1-C3

Cu2-N1

Trigonal coordination at Cu3 in (I) is distorted, with angles ranging from 112.56 (12)° to 129.79 (13)°; the coordination is rigidly planar, however. In (II), coordination at the trigonal planar Cu<sup>I</sup> atom is much more regular, with angles ranging from 117.49 (7)° to 122.15 (7)°.

Cu2-N14

Cu2-N17

Both (I) and (II) contain  $Cu^{II}$  atoms coordinated by two bidentate meen ligands and the N atom of a bridging cyanide group, in square-pyramidal coordination. Cu atoms are 0.122 (1) and 0.220 (1) Å from the best plane through the amine N atoms in (I) and (II), respectively. In (I), the Cu– NH(CH<sub>3</sub>) bonds are 0.05–0.07 Å longer than the Cu–NH<sub>2</sub> bonds (Table 1), whereas the corresponding bond lengths are more similar in (II)(Table 2), as also seen in the *N*-ethyl complex corresponding to (II), Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>eten<sub>2</sub> (Corfield & Michalski, 2014).

Coordination of the methylated N atom in meen to Cu4 produces a chiral center. N atoms in the (x, y, z) atoms of (I) have the R configuration, and the chelate rings have the  $\delta$ conformation, with N–C–C–N torsion angles of 54.6  $(4)^{\circ}$ and 56.0 (4)°. Glide-plane-related rings will have the  $S\lambda$ combination. Methylated N atoms in the Cumeen<sub>2</sub> units in (II) both have the SS $\delta\delta$  configuration, with N-C-C-N torsion angles of  $-53.0(2)^{\circ}$  and  $-53.1(2)^{\circ}$ . The center of inversion in (II) causes an equal number of molecules with the  $RR\lambda\lambda$ combinations. The CH<sub>3</sub>-N-C-C torsion angles in the chelate rings depend on the R/S and  $\delta/\lambda$  combination. For an  $R\delta$  combination, this angle will be approximately  $-170^{\circ}$ , and for  $R\lambda$  the angle will be about  $-90^{\circ}$ . These angles are reversed in sign for the  $S\lambda$  and  $S\delta$  combinations. CH<sub>3</sub>-N-C-C angles are -172.8 (3) and -167.4 (3)° in (I), and 175.0 (2) and  $174.5 (2)^{\circ}$  in (II). Averages for these angles in 24 Cumeen chelate rings are reviewed in the Database Survey section.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In (I), each dimeric  $Cu_2(CN)_6$  unit is linked by the C4–N4 cyanide group to a screw-related  $Cu_2(CN)_6$  unit to form chains of these units parallel to the *c* axis, Fig. 3. Trigonally coordinated Cu3 also links the  $Cu_2(CN)_6$  units together via CN bridges into single-stranded chains along the 8.231 (1) Å *b* axis, Fig. 4, similar to the double-stranded chains along the 8.356 (1) Å *a* axis seen in the polymeric compound (et<sub>2</sub>oenH)[Cu<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>3</sub>], (Corfield *et al.*, 2016), where et<sub>2</sub>oen is *N*,*N*-diethylethanolamine. The columns are further linked together by Cu3 to form a structure with channels, into which projects the coordinated Cumeen<sub>2</sub> unit, Fig. 3. The topology around Cu3 involves three 20-membered rings. There are four close interactions between amine N—H bonds and bridging CN groups, with N···N distances ranging from 3.257 (3) to



Figure 3

2.0200 (14) 2.0453 (13)

2.0262 (15)

2.0417 (14)

Packing diagram for compound (I),  $Cu_4(CN)_5meen_2$ , giving a projection down the *b* axis. Cuprophilic interactions are shown as dashed bonds. For clarity, only a section of the structure perpendicular to *b* is shown.

3.479 (3) Å, which may account for the tendency for ordered CN groups in this structure. The shortest H···H intermolecular contact in (I) is 2.47 Å for H15B···H18B $(-\frac{1}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)$ .

Centrosymmetric pairs of discrete molecules of (II) are held together by hydrogen bonding (Table 3) to the water molecules, Fig. 5, with each water molecule forming one donor and one acceptor hydrogen bond. These pairs are linked into chains via hydrogen bonds along [011], N4– H4A·····N3(1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z)-C2(1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z), where these four atoms are almost co-linear. Two other potentially attractive relationships between N-H bonds and cyanide groups are also shown in Fig. 5.





Projection of part of the structure of compound (I),  $Cu_4(CN)_5meen_2$  down the *c* axis, showing a chain along the *b* direction. Cuprophilic interactions are shown as dashed bonds.

## research communications

Table 3	
Hydrogen-bond geome	etry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O1−H1···N2	0.68 (3)	2.13 (3)	2.810 (3)	171 (3)
N14 $-$ H14 $A$ $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.83(2)	2.14(2)	2.965 (3)	177 (2)
$N4-H4A\cdots N3^{ii}$	0.86(2)	2.26 (2)	3.094 (2)	161.1 (19)
$N7 - H7 \cdot \cdot \cdot N2^{iii}$	0.810 (19)	2.460 (19)	3.162 (2)	145.7 (16)
$N4-H4B\cdots N3^{iii}$	0.78 (2)	2.53 (2)	3.302 (3)	170 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (iii) x + 1, y + 1, z.

## 4. Database survey

Searches of the Cambridge Structure Database (CSD, Version 5.35; Groom *et al.*, 2016) yielded 53 structures containing the *M*meen fragment, where *M* is any metal. For 19 of these structures M = Cu (the Cumeen set) and for 19 M = Co (the Comeen set). There were four where *M* was a different metal, and 11 which involved duplicates or structures with no coordinates. The Cumeen set entries contained 24 chelate Cumeen rings, while the Comeen set contains 35 chelate rings.

The Cumeen set showed the same lengthening of the Cu– NH(CH<sub>3</sub>) bonds with respect to the Cu–NH<sub>2</sub> bond lengths as found here in (I), with averages of 2.010 (4) and 2.041 (4) Å, respectively. A similar difference was found for the Comeen set, where the corresponding means were 1.962 (8) and 1.998 (8) Å. Cu–N bond lengths showed no correlation with coordination numbers around Cu, which ranged from four through six. N–Cu–N angles in the Cumeen set are in a limited range of 84.0 to 86.4°, and the four such angles in the present study all lie near the middle of this range.



Figure 5

Packing diagram for compound (II),  $Cu_2(CN)_3meen_2 H_2O$ , looking approximately down the *a* axis. A hydrogen-bonded dimer is bolded, with hydrogen bonds shown as double-dashed lines. Hydrogen bonds linking dimers along the [011] direction are similarly shown. Possible attractive interactions between N-H groups and CN groups that would link dimers along the [110] direction are shown as single dashed lines. The average of the absolute values of the N-C-C-N torsion angles in the chelate rings for the Cumeen set is  $51.6^{\circ}$ , with a sample s.u. of  $6.5^{\circ}$ , excluding one outlier from a flat chelate ring. Corresponding angles in the present work are all within one s.u. of the mean. The mean absolute CH<sub>3</sub>-N-C-C angles for  $R\delta/S\lambda$  and  $R\lambda/S\delta$  combinations, respectively, in the Cumeen set are 171 (6) and 89 (5)°, where sample s.u.'s are given. Equivalent torsion angles in both structures presented here fall within one s.u. of these means.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The compounds were synthesized by air oxidation of CuCN/ NaCN/meen systems. A typical preparation of (II) had CuCN (5.7 mmol) and NaCN (8.3 mmol) stirred in 6 mL of water until all solids dissolved, when 8.6 mmol of *N*-methylethylenediamine (meen) in approximately 5 mL of water were added. Blue crystals in the form of extended thick plates were recovered after two days at room temperature. Crystals of (I) were obtained in a similar preparation with 11.5 mmmol CuCN, 16.5 mmol NaCN, and 16.2 mmol meen, to which were added an aqueous solution containing 9.9 mmol NaClO<sub>4</sub>. Blue crystals of (II) were obtained after two weeks, but after another six weeks the filtrate yielded large black polyhedral crystals of (I).

Infra-red spectra obtained with a Nicolet iS50 FT–IR machine on the polymer (I) showed three bands in the CN stretching region, with peaks at 2079, 2109, and 2119 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, there are strong bands at 3250 and 3312 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and a weak, sharp band at 3150 cm<sup>-1</sup>, presumably all due to N-H stretching vibrations. For (II), CN stretching frequencies at 2089 and 2115 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed.

### 6. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. In (I), it was apparent that several low-order reflections were partially or completely obscured by the backstop and/or subject to overload. We recollected a fast dataset to  $\theta = 15^{\circ}$  with the backstop pushed back, obtained the scale factor between the two datasets using reflections with  $\theta$ above 5°, and replaced 27 low-angle reflections in (I) with data from the fast dataset. Three low-angle reflections were not obtained in the fast dataset, and these have been omitted in the final refinement.

In (I),  $\mu_3$ -CN cyanide groups C1=N1 and C2=N2 were found to be ordered, with the C atom bridging two Cu atoms, as in Corfield *et al.* (2016). In addition, C5=N5 was found to have a clearly preferred orientation and was refined as an ordered group. C,N occupancy factors were refined for the two other cyanide groups, with preferential occupancies of 79 (3)% and 78 (3)% found for C3=N3 and C4=N4, respectively. Only the major C or N atoms are listed in the cif tables of bond lengths and bond angles. In (II), all the CN groups are ordered; CN orientations were checked by refinements with interchange of each CN group in turn, in each case resulting in significantly higher *R* factors.

Table 4Experimental details.

	(I)	(II)
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$[Cu_4(CN)_5(C_3H_{10}N_2)_2]$	$[Cu_2(CN)_3(C_3H_{10}N_2)_2]\cdot H_2O$
$M_{\rm r}$	532.52	371.42
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, <i>Pna</i> 2 <sub>1</sub>	Triclinic, P1
Temperature (K)	303	300
a, b, c (Å)	19.509 (2), 8.2306 (13), 11.100 (2)	7.5621 (2), 8.8689 (2), 12.8098 (3)
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 90, 90	94.6851 (14), 101.8607 (12), 108.3780 (13)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	1782.3 (5)	787.91 (3)
Ζ	4	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	4.72	2.70
Crystal size (mm)	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3$
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Enraf–Nonius KappaCCD	Enraf–Nonius KappaCCD
Absorption correction	Part of the refinement model ( $\Delta F$ ) ( <i>SCALEPACK</i> ; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)	Part of the refinement model ( $\Delta F$ ) (SCALEPACK; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.103, 0.146	0.31, 0.39
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	15054, 4062, 3964	24810, 3611, 3387
R <sub>int</sub>	0.037	0.029
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.649	0.650
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.018, 0.045, 1.05	0.021, 0.053, 1.07
No. of reflections	4062	3611
No. of parameters	221	207
No. of restraints	1	0
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.25, -0.38	0.31, -0.26
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 1806 quotients	-
	$[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons et al., 2013)	
Absolute structure parameter	0.010 (9)	-

Computer programs: KappaCCD Server Software (Nonius, 1997), DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

In both compounds, C-bound H atoms were constrained to idealized positions with C–H distances of 0.97 Å for CH<sub>2</sub> groups and 0.96 Å for CH<sub>3</sub> groups, and  $U_{eq}$  values fixed at 1.2 times the  $U_{iso}$  of their bonded C atoms. The methyl torsion angles were refined. In (II), the N- and O-bound hydrogen atoms were clearly visible in the difference-Fourier map and were refined independently. The N-bound hydrogen atoms in (I) were clearly seen in a near-final difference map, and could be independently refined, but we chose to constrain them to idealized positions, with N–H distances of 0.90 Å for NH<sub>2</sub> groups, 0.91 Å for NH groups, and  $U_{eq}$  values treated the same as for the C–H atoms.

For both (I) and (II), data had been previously collected with a CAD-4 system (Enraf–Nonius, 1994), on three crystals in the case of (I), and two crystals for (II). For (I), final  $R_1$ factors for the CAD-4 data were 0.045 for 2228 data with  $F^2 > 2\sigma$ , while for (II),  $R_1$  was 0.036 for 2245 data with  $F^2 > 2\sigma$ . It was felt instructive to compare refined parameters obtained by the two methods. We defined  $\Delta/\sigma$  for a given parameter as the absolute value of the difference between the parameters determined by the two instruments divided by the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard deviations for the two parameters. For (I), the structural parameters agreed very well, for the mean and maximum  $\Delta/\sigma$  for all parameters were 0.74 and 2.60. The maximum deviation for bond lengths was 2.1 $\sigma$ . For (II), there were differences of 4–5 $\sigma$  between positional parameters for the water oxygen atom, O1, which was much better defined in the data set from the KappaCCD instrument. Apart from parameters for O1, the agreement was excellent, with average  $\Delta/\sigma$  for positional parameters 0.79, and no  $\Delta/\sigma$  greater than 3. There were differences in the  $U_{ij}$  for the two Cu atoms because an extinction parameter was refined for the KappaCCD data set. For all other atoms, the mean  $\Delta/\sigma$  for the thermal parameters was 0.83 with only one  $\Delta/\sigma$  greater than 3.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Office of the Dean at Fordham University for its generous financial support. We thank Fordham University students Emma Cleary and Phuong Luu for assistance with this work, and colleagues Paul Smith and Christopher Koenigsmann for assistance in setting up the KappaCCD system.

## References

Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEPIII*. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

## research communications

- Cai, J.-B., Chen, T.-T., Xie, Z.-Y. & Deng, H. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m1136-m1137.
- Corfield, P. W. R., Cleary, E. & Michalski, J. F. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 892–896.

Corfield, P. W. R. & Michalski, J. F. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, m76-m77.

- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. Etaiw, S. H., Abdou, A. N. & Badr El-din, A. S. (2015). J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 25, 1394–1406.
- Etaiw, S. E. H., Badr El-din, A. S. & Abdou, A. N. (2016). *Transition Met. Chem.* **41**, 413–425.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.

- Nonius (1997). *KappaCCD Server Software*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249–259.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Williams, R. J., Larson, A. C. & Cromer, D. T. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 858–864.

Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 141-146 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017000111]

# Crystal structures of two mixed-valence copper cyanide complexes with *N*-methylethylenediamine

## Peter W. R. Corfield and Alexander Sabatino

**Computing details** 

For both compounds, data collection: *KappaCCD Server Software* (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

(I) Poly[bis( $\mu_3$ -cyanido- $\kappa^3$ C:C:N)tris( $\mu_2$ -cyanido- $\kappa^2$ C:N)bis(N-methylethane-1,2-diamine  $\kappa^2$ N,N')tricopper(I)copper(II)]

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu_4(CN)_5(C_3H_{10}N_2)_2 \end{bmatrix}$   $M_r = 532.52$ Orthorhombic,  $Pna2_1$ Hall symbol: P 2c -2n a = 19.509 (2) Å b = 8.2306 (13) Å c = 11.100 (2) Å V = 1782.3 (5) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4F(000) = 1060

## Data collection

Enraf–Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> combination of  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: part of the refinement model ( $\Delta F$ ) (SCALEPACK; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)  $D_{\rm x} = 1.985 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   $D_{\rm m} = 2.01 (7) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   $D_{\rm m} \text{ measured by flotation in 1,2-}$ dibromopropane/1,2,3-trichloropropane mixtures. Three independent determinations were made. Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 474 reflections  $\theta = 1.8-20.2^{\circ}$   $\mu = 4.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 303 KBlock cut from large polyhedral crystal, black  $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$ 

 $T_{\min} = 0.103, T_{\max} = 0.146$ 15054 measured reflections 4062 independent reflections 3964 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.037$  $\theta_{\max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 2.1^{\circ}$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 25$  $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$  Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.018$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.045$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.022P)^2 + 0.040P]$
S = 1.05	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
4062 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
221 parameters	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.25 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	Absolute structure: Flack x determined using
direct methods	1806 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons <i>et</i>
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	al., 2013)
map	Absolute structure parameter: 0.010 (9)

## Special details

**Experimental**. Scalepack values for Tmin and Tmax are normalized to unity. Values given here were obtained by multiplying them by  $exp(-\mu d)$  where d=0.45mm.

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma( $F^2$ ) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Cu1	0.07836 (2)	0.38153 (5)	0.00021 (3)	0.02552 (9)	
Cu2	0.06847 (2)	0.28058 (5)	0.21765 (4)	0.03143 (10)	
Cu3	0.20761 (2)	0.76738 (5)	0.26057 (4)	0.03009 (10)	
Cu4	-0.11518 (2)	-0.01338 (4)	0.15874 (4)	0.02352 (9)	
C1	0.00592 (15)	0.2214 (4)	0.0390 (3)	0.0252 (6)	
N1	-0.03914 (15)	0.1363 (3)	0.0471 (3)	0.0358 (7)	
C2	0.12936 (15)	0.4885 (4)	0.1399 (3)	0.0279 (7)	
N2	0.16340 (14)	0.5829 (3)	0.1840 (2)	0.0318 (6)	
C3	0.12207 (16)	0.0852 (4)	0.2405 (3)	0.0326 (9)	0.79 (3)
N3	0.15237 (15)	-0.0341 (4)	0.2510 (3)	0.0402 (9)	0.79 (3)
N3A	0.12207 (16)	0.0852 (4)	0.2405 (3)	0.0326 (9)	0.21 (3)
C3A	0.15237 (15)	-0.0341 (4)	0.2510 (3)	0.0402 (9)	0.21 (3)
C4	-0.00363 (15)	0.6193 (4)	-0.1714 (3)	0.0270 (8)	0.78 (3)
N4	0.03183 (14)	0.5501 (4)	-0.1084 (3)	0.0298 (7)	0.78 (3)
N4A	-0.00363 (15)	0.6193 (4)	-0.1714 (3)	0.0270 (8)	0.22 (3)
C4A	0.03183 (14)	0.5501 (4)	-0.1084 (3)	0.0298 (7)	0.22 (3)
C5	0.20639 (16)	0.2799 (4)	-0.1578 (3)	0.0274 (7)	
N5	0.15765 (14)	0.3019 (4)	-0.1041 (3)	0.0311 (6)	
N11	-0.03685 (14)	-0.1076 (3)	0.2519 (3)	0.0332 (6)	
H11A	-0.0058	-0.0310	0.2667	0.040*	
H11B	-0.0518	-0.1462	0.3221	0.040*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

C12	-0.00592 (18)	-0.2392 (4)	0.1810 (4)	0.0410 (9)
H12A	0.0220	-0.3080	0.2323	0.049*
H12B	0.0230	-0.1946	0.1181	0.049*
C13	-0.06375 (19)	-0.3366 (5)	0.1261 (3)	0.0385 (8)
H13A	-0.0455	-0.4207	0.0740	0.046*
H13B	-0.0906	-0.3880	0.1890	0.046*
N14	-0.10737 (15)	-0.2222 (3)	0.0553 (3)	0.0310 (6)
H14	-0.0807	-0.1925	-0.0161	0.037*
C15	-0.1702 (2)	-0.3019 (5)	0.0107 (4)	0.0465 (9)
H15A	-0.1581	-0.3981	-0.0333	0.056*
H15B	-0.1945	-0.2286	-0.0413	0.056*
H15C	-0.1988	-0.3307	0.0777	0.056*
N16	-0.19542 (14)	0.0806 (4)	0.0694 (3)	0.0329 (6)
H16A	-0.1875	0.0790	-0.0096	0.040*
H16B	-0.2331	0.0230	0.0843	0.040*
C17	-0.2044 (2)	0.2508 (5)	0.1118 (4)	0.0470 (10)
H17A	-0.2484	0.2933	0.0863	0.056*
H17B	-0.1685	0.3199	0.0797	0.056*
C18	-0.2003 (2)	0.2437 (5)	0.2477 (4)	0.0479 (10)
H18A	-0.2019	0.3528	0.2805	0.058*
H18B	-0.2392	0.1835	0.2790	0.058*
N19	-0.13606 (15)	0.1637 (3)	0.2845 (3)	0.0326 (6)
H19	-0.1000	0.2456	0.2755	0.039*
C20	-0.1379 (2)	0.1228 (5)	0.4134 (4)	0.0493 (10)
H20A	-0.1495	0.2180	0.4591	0.059*
H20B	-0.0938	0.0837	0.4381	0.059*
H20C	-0.1717	0.0401	0.4270	0.059*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.02310 (16)	0.02762 (19)	0.02583 (18)	-0.00503 (14)	0.00102 (15)	-0.00028 (16)
Cu2	0.0336 (2)	0.0315 (2)	0.0292 (2)	0.00564 (16)	0.00206 (18)	-0.00226 (18)
Cu3	0.0263 (2)	0.0298 (2)	0.0342 (2)	-0.00366 (15)	-0.00764 (16)	-0.00447 (18)
Cu4	0.02156 (16)	0.02424 (18)	0.02476 (16)	-0.00003 (14)	-0.00319 (14)	0.00006 (16)
C1	0.0245 (14)	0.0257 (15)	0.0254 (14)	-0.0005 (12)	0.0016 (12)	-0.0003 (12)
N1	0.0315 (15)	0.0403 (17)	0.0357 (15)	-0.0123 (13)	-0.0017 (12)	0.0058 (14)
C2	0.0212 (12)	0.0253 (15)	0.037 (2)	0.0006 (11)	-0.0041 (14)	-0.0099 (14)
N2	0.0294 (14)	0.0266 (13)	0.0393 (17)	0.0029 (12)	-0.0061 (12)	-0.0057 (12)
C3	0.0304 (16)	0.0313 (19)	0.0362 (18)	-0.0003 (13)	-0.0063 (14)	-0.0003 (14)
N3	0.0344 (16)	0.0287 (17)	0.057 (2)	0.0015 (13)	-0.0138 (16)	-0.0037 (15)
N3A	0.0304 (16)	0.0313 (19)	0.0362 (18)	-0.0003 (13)	-0.0063 (14)	-0.0003 (14)
C3A	0.0344 (16)	0.0287 (17)	0.057 (2)	0.0015 (13)	-0.0138 (16)	-0.0037 (15)
C4	0.0283 (15)	0.0289 (16)	0.0238 (15)	-0.0012 (12)	0.0002 (13)	-0.0014 (13)
N4	0.0314 (15)	0.0315 (15)	0.0266 (14)	-0.0005 (13)	0.0004 (13)	0.0030 (12)
N4A	0.0283 (15)	0.0289 (16)	0.0238 (15)	-0.0012 (12)	0.0002 (13)	-0.0014 (13)
C4A	0.0314 (15)	0.0315 (15)	0.0266 (14)	-0.0005 (13)	0.0004 (13)	0.0030 (12)
C5	0.0253 (15)	0.0267 (16)	0.0302 (16)	-0.0017 (12)	0.0048 (13)	-0.0010 (13)

N5	0.0243 (13)	0.0395 (16)	0.0294 (14)	0.0022 (12)	0.0009 (12)	-0.0018 (12)
N11	0.0285 (14)	0.0406 (16)	0.0304 (14)	-0.0012 (11)	-0.0032 (12)	0.0102 (13)
C12	0.0286 (17)	0.044 (2)	0.050(2)	0.0134 (15)	0.0098 (15)	0.0161 (17)
C13	0.0449 (19)	0.0286 (17)	0.042 (2)	0.0111 (15)	0.0160 (16)	0.0038 (16)
N14	0.0369 (15)	0.0304 (14)	0.0256 (13)	-0.0031 (12)	0.0052 (12)	0.0006 (12)
C15	0.056 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.040 (2)	-0.0105 (19)	0.000 (2)	-0.0112 (18)
N16	0.0282 (13)	0.0346 (15)	0.0361 (15)	-0.0009 (12)	-0.0053 (12)	-0.0008 (13)
C17	0.048 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.059 (3)	0.0104 (17)	-0.010 (2)	0.0016 (18)
C18	0.039 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.063 (3)	0.0087 (16)	-0.002 (2)	-0.011 (2)
N19	0.0332 (14)	0.0287 (14)	0.0360 (16)	-0.0042 (12)	-0.0013 (12)	-0.0056 (12)
C20	0.066 (3)	0.048 (2)	0.0340 (19)	-0.005 (2)	0.0050 (19)	-0.0140 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—C1	1.980 (3)	C12—C13	1.512 (6)
Cu1—C2	2.042 (3)	C12—H12A	0.9700
Cu1—N4	2.050 (3)	C12—H12B	0.9700
Cu1—N5	2.041 (3)	C13—N14	1.493 (5)
Cu1—Cu2	2.5599 (7)	C13—H13A	0.9700
Cu2—C1	2.379 (3)	C13—H13B	0.9700
Cu2—C2	2.255 (3)	N14—C15	1.475 (5)
Cu2—C3	1.935 (3)	N14—H14	0.9800
Cu2—C4 <sup>i</sup>	1.948 (3)	C15—H15A	0.9600
Cu3—N2	1.942 (3)	C15—H15B	0.9600
Cu3—N3 <sup>ii</sup>	1.961 (3)	C15—H15C	0.9600
Cu3—C5 <sup>iii</sup>	1.910 (3)	N16—C17	1.488 (5)
Cu4—N11	2.002 (3)	N16—H16A	0.8900
Cu4—N14	2.072 (3)	N16—H16B	0.8900
Cu4—N16	2.009 (3)	C17—C18	1.512 (7)
Cu4—N19	2.059 (3)	C17—H17A	0.9700
Cu4—N1	2.292 (3)	C17—H17B	0.9700
C1—N1	1.127 (4)	C18—N19	1.474 (5)
C2—N2	1.133 (4)	C18—H18A	0.9700
C3—N3	1.152 (4)	C18—H18B	0.9700
C4—N4	1.137 (4)	N19—C20	1.470 (5)
C5—N5	1.136 (4)	N19—H19	0.9800
N11—C12	1.469 (5)	C20—H20A	0.9600
N11—H11A	0.8900	C20—H20B	0.9600
N11—H11B	0.8900	С20—Н20С	0.9600
C1—Cu1—C2	118.01 (13)	N11—C12—H12A	110.2
C1—Cu1—N4	105.22 (12)	C13—C12—H12A	110.2
C1—Cu1—N5	116.79 (13)	N11—C12—H12B	110.2
C2—Cu1—N4	111.75 (12)	C13—C12—H12B	110.2
C2—Cu1—N5	101.54 (12)	H12A—C12—H12B	108.5
N4—Cu1—N5	102.67 (12)	C12—C13—N14	107.6 (3)
C1—Cu1—Cu2	61.64 (10)	C12—C13—H13A	110.2
C2—Cu1—Cu2	57.36 (9)	C12—C13—H13B	110.2

N4—Cu1—Cu2	137.79 (8)	N14—C13—H13A	110.2
N5—Cu1—Cu2	119.22 (8)	N14—C13—H13B	110.2
C1—Cu2—C2	96.13 (11)	H13A—C13—H13B	108.5
C1—Cu2—C3	102.49 (12)	C13—N14—C15	111.7 (3)
$C1$ — $Cu2$ — $C4^{i}$	106.29 (12)	C13—N14—Cu4	105.9 (2)
C2—Cu2—C3	113.35 (12)	C15—N14—Cu4	119.6 (2)
$C2$ — $Cu2$ — $C4^{i}$	105.25 (12)	C13—N14—H14	106.3
$C3-Cu2-C4^{i}$	128.27 (13)	C15—N14—H14	106.3
C1— $Cu2$ — $Cu1$	47.10(7)	Cu4—N14—H14	106.3
$C_2$ — $C_{12}$ — $C_{11}$	49 69 (8)	N14—C15—H15A	109.5
$C_3 = C_{12} = C_{11}$	110.66 (10)	N14—C15—H15B	109.5
$C4^{i}$ — $Cu2$ — $Cu1$	120 51 (9)	N14-C15-H15C	109.5
$N_2 - C_{11} - C_5^{iii}$	120.31(9) 129.79(13)	H15A - C15 - H15B	109.5
$N2 - Cu3 - N3^{ii}$	129.79(13) 112.56(12)	H15A - C15 - H15D	109.5
$N3^{ii}$ $Cu3$ $C5^{iii}$	112.50(12) 117.64(13)	H15B_C15_H15C	109.5
N11 - Cu4 - N14	84 76 (12)	C17N16Cu4	107.3(2)
N16 - Cu4 - N19	84 72 (12)	C17 $N16$ $H164$	110.2
N11 Cu4 N16	178 30 (13)	C17 N16 H16R	110.2
N14 Cu4 N10	170.39(13) 167.74(11)	$C_{1}/$ N16 H16A	110.2
N11 Cu4 N19	107.74(11) 04.30(12)	$C_{\rm H}4$ N16 H16P	110.2
N14 Cu4 N16	94.30(12) 95.92(12)	H164 N16 H16B	108.5
N1 C u A N11	99.92 (12) 89.64 (11)	N16 C17 C18	105.8(3)
N1 = Cu4 = N14	95.67(11)	N16 C17 H17A	110.6
N1 = Cu4 = N14 N1 = Cu4 = N16	95.07(11) 01.74(12)	N16 C17 H17R	110.6
N1 = Cu4 = N10	91.74(12)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	110.0
N1 = C1 = C1	90.33(11) 170.0(2)	$C_{18}$ $C_{17}$ $H_{17}$ $H_{17}$	110.0
N1 - C1 - Cu1	170.9(3)	$C_{10} - C_{17} - H_{17}B$	10.0
NI = CI = Cu2	117.4(3) 71.26(10)	$\Pi / A = C I / = \Pi I / B$	108.7
$C_1 = C_1 $	71.20(10)	C17 - C18 - U18	109.8 (3)
CI = NI = Cu4	151.8(3)	C17 - C18 - H18A	109.7
$N_2 = C_2 = C_{u1}$	133.4(3)	C1/-C18H18B	109.7
$N_2 = C_2 = C_{U_2}$	131.0(3)	N19-C18-H18A	109.7
$Cu_1 - C_2 - Cu_2$	72.94 (9)	N19 - C18 - H18B	109.7
$C_2 = N_2 = C_{13}$	170.2(3)	H18A - C18 - H18B	108.2
$N_3 - C_3 - C_{U_2}$	1//.3(3)	$C18$ N19 $C_20$	110.6(3)
$C_3$ — $N_3$ — $C_{u_3}$	1/6.5 (3)	C18—N19—Cu4	107.2 (2)
$N4-C4-Cu2^{\vee}$	1/4.9 (3)	$C_{20}$ N19 $U_{10}$	120.2 (2)
C4—N4—Cul	166.0 (3)	Cu4—N19—H19	106.0
$N_{2} = C_{2} = C_{1}$	1/2.9 (3)	C18—N19—H19	106.0
C5—N5—Cul	169.4 (3)	C20—N19—H19	106.0
C12—N11—Cu4	108.8 (2)	N19—C20—H20A	109.5
Cu4—NII—HIIA	109.9	N19—C20—H20B	109.5
Cu4—N11—H11B	109.9	N19—C20—H20C	109.5
C12—NII—H11A	109.9	H20A—C20—H20B	109.5
CI2—NII—HIIB	109.9	H20A—C20—H20C	109.5
H11A—N11—H11B	108.3	H20B—C20—H20C	109.5
N11—C12—C13	107.5 (3)		
N14—C13—C12—N11	56.0 (4)	C20—N19—C18—C17	-167.4(3)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

N19-C18-C17-N16	54.6 (4)	C15—N14—Cu4—N11	141.85 (19)
C15—N14—C13—C12	-172.8 (3)	C20—N19—Cu4—N16	133.9 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, -*y*+1, *z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (v) -*x*, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (vi) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*-1/2.

(II) [(*N*-Methylethylenediamine- $\kappa^2 N_r N'$ )copper(II)]- $\mu_2$ -cyanido- $\kappa^2 C$ :*N*-[bis(cyanido- $\kappa C$ )copper(I)] monohydrate

## Crystal data

$[Cu_{2}(CN)_{3}(C_{3}H_{10}N_{2})_{2}] \cdot H_{2}O$ $M_{r} = 371.42$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.5621 (2) Å b = 8.8689 (2) Å c = 12.8098 (3) Å a = 94.6851 (14)° $\beta = 101.8607$ (12)° $\gamma = 108.3780$ (13)°	$D_{\rm x} = 1.566 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{\rm m} = 1.570 \text{ (5) Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{\rm m} \text{ measured by flotation in 1,2-}$ dibromopropane/1,2,3-trichloropropane mixtures. Four independent determinations were made. Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3501 reflections $\theta = 1.8-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.70 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
V = 787.91 (3) A <sup>3</sup> Z = 2 F(000) = 382	I = 300  K Block cut from large elongated plate, blue $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$
Data collectionEnraf-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometerRadiation source: fine-focus sealed tubeGraphite monochromatorDetector resolution: 9 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup> combination of $\omega$ and $\varphi$ scansAbsorption correction: part of the refinement model ( $\Delta F$ ) (SCALEPACK; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)Refinement	$T_{\min} = 0.31, T_{\max} = 0.39$ 24810 measured reflections 3611 independent reflections 3387 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.029$ $\theta_{\max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 3.0^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$ $wR(F^2) = 0.053$ S = 1.07 3611 reflections 207 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: heavy-atom method	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.023P)^2 + 0.270P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.31$ e Å <sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.26$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>

## Special details

**Experimental**. Scalepack values for Tmin and Tmax are normalized to unity. Values given here were obtained by multiplying them by  $exp(-\mu d)$  where d= crystal\_size\_mid.

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F<sup>2</sup>, conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma( $F^2$ ) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F<sup>2</sup> are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cul	0.44394 (3)	0.21586 (2)	0.789560 (16)	0.04033 (7)
Cu2	0.98671 (2)	0.744243 (19)	0.753969 (13)	0.03124 (7)
01	0.1896 (5)	-0.2985 (3)	0.4215 (2)	0.0992 (8)
C1	0.6318 (2)	0.41700 (18)	0.77647 (12)	0.0379 (3)
N1	0.7425 (2)	0.53316 (17)	0.76709 (12)	0.0461 (3)
C2	0.3303 (3)	0.0522 (2)	0.66225 (15)	0.0488 (4)
N2	0.2639 (3)	-0.0419 (2)	0.58678 (15)	0.0696 (5)
C3	0.3714 (3)	0.1763 (2)	0.92430 (15)	0.0503 (4)
N3	0.3261 (3)	0.1471 (2)	1.00140 (15)	0.0714 (5)
N4	0.9197 (2)	0.91902 (18)	0.83087 (11)	0.0393 (3)
H4A	0.841 (3)	0.877 (3)	0.8693 (18)	0.056 (6)*
H4B	1.009 (3)	0.981 (3)	0.8724 (18)	0.057 (6)*
C5	0.8289 (3)	0.9995 (2)	0.75082 (15)	0.0544 (4)
H5A	0.9265	1.0869	0.7325	0.065*
H5B	0.7443	1.0439	0.7804	0.065*
C6	0.7160 (3)	0.8774 (2)	0.65180 (14)	0.0494 (4)
H6A	0.6080	0.7976	0.6680	0.059*
H6B	0.6668	0.9296	0.5947	0.059*
N7	0.8438 (2)	0.79978 (16)	0.61706 (10)	0.0377 (3)
H7	0.927 (3)	0.868 (2)	0.5989 (14)	0.036 (4)*
C8	0.7415 (4)	0.6680 (3)	0.52599 (15)	0.0650 (6)
H8A	0.6582	0.5794	0.5504	0.078*
H8B	0.8330	0.6337	0.4976	0.078*
H8C	0.6667	0.7049	0.4705	0.078*
N14	1.1101 (3)	0.6146 (2)	0.67452 (13)	0.0494 (4)
H14A	1.025 (3)	0.528 (3)	0.6453 (18)	0.058 (7)*
H14B	1.150 (4)	0.660 (3)	0.631 (2)	0.070 (7)*
C15	1.2645 (3)	0.5852 (3)	0.75204 (19)	0.0673 (6)
H15A	1.3854	0.6713	0.7594	0.081*
H15B	1.2785	0.4847	0.7262	0.081*
C16	1.2143 (3)	0.5773 (2)	0.85903 (16)	0.0529 (4)
H16A	1.1029	0.4828	0.8543	0.064*
H16B	1.3208	0.5711	0.9134	0.064*
N17	1.1722 (2)	0.72477 (17)	0.88834 (11)	0.0426 (3)
H17	1.266 (3)	0.798 (3)	0.8945 (16)	0.047 (5)*
C18	1.1031 (3)	0.7205 (2)	0.98757 (14)	0.0569 (5)
H18A	0.9743	0.6456	0.9725	0.068*
H18B	1.1048	0.8257	1.0135	0.068*
H18C	1.1852	0.6873	1.0416	0.068*
H1	0.214 (4)	-0.230 (3)	0.458 (2)	0.069 (8)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

H2	0.253 (5)	-0.28	9 (4)	0.392 (3)	0.086 (13)	*
Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$						
	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.03480 (11)	0.03580 (11)	0.04541 (12)	0.00351 (8)	0.01158 (8)	0.00885 (8)
Cu2	0.03185 (10)	0.02916 (10)	0.03047 (10)	0.00749 (7)	0.00698 (7)	0.00575 (7)
01	0.136 (2)	0.0550 (11)	0.1022 (17)	0.0208 (12)	0.0481 (16)	-0.0130 (11)
C1	0.0331 (7)	0.0377 (8)	0.0382 (8)	0.0066 (6)	0.0062 (6)	0.0089 (6)
N1	0.0375 (7)	0.0418 (7)	0.0521 (8)	0.0031 (6)	0.0099 (6)	0.0152 (6)
C2	0.0481 (9)	0.0403 (8)	0.0518 (10)	0.0015 (7)	0.0205 (8)	0.0076 (7)
N2	0.0754 (12)	0.0553 (10)	0.0621 (11)	-0.0037 (9)	0.0292 (9)	-0.0063 (8)
С3	0.0435 (9)	0.0453 (9)	0.0498 (10)	-0.0028 (7)	0.0145 (7)	0.0035 (7)
N3	0.0725 (12)	0.0741 (12)	0.0616 (11)	0.0064 (9)	0.0326 (9)	0.0116 (9)
N4	0.0424 (7)	0.0391 (7)	0.0358 (7)	0.0129 (6)	0.0104 (6)	0.0043 (6)
C5	0.0739 (12)	0.0538 (10)	0.0511 (10)	0.0395 (10)	0.0190 (9)	0.0134 (8)
C6	0.0477 (9)	0.0651 (11)	0.0446 (9)	0.0282 (8)	0.0129 (7)	0.0201 (8)
N7	0.0416 (7)	0.0374 (7)	0.0330 (6)	0.0101 (6)	0.0105 (5)	0.0103 (5)
C8	0.0863 (15)	0.0552 (11)	0.0399 (10)	0.0241 (10)	-0.0112 (9)	0.0005 (8)
N14	0.0605 (10)	0.0555 (10)	0.0452 (8)	0.0287 (8)	0.0241 (8)	0.0142 (7)
C15	0.0586 (12)	0.0890 (16)	0.0741 (14)	0.0441 (12)	0.0261 (10)	0.0202 (12)
C16	0.0479 (10)	0.0563 (10)	0.0569 (11)	0.0258 (8)	0.0038 (8)	0.0130 (8)
N17	0.0384 (7)	0.0382 (7)	0.0421 (7)	0.0073 (6)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0046 (6)
C18	0.0737 (13)	0.0592 (11)	0.0359 (9)	0.0262 (10)	0.0025 (8)	0.0113 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—C1	1.9434 (15)	C6—H6B	0.9700
Cu1—C2	1.9380 (18)	N7—C8	1.470 (2)
Cu1—C3	1.9414 (18)	N7—H7	0.810 (19)
Cu2—N1	2.2232 (14)	C8—H8A	0.9600
Cu2—N4	2.0200 (14)	C8—H8B	0.9600
Cu2—N7	2.0453 (13)	C8—H8C	0.9600
Cu2—N14	2.0262 (15)	N14—C15	1.475 (3)
Cu2—N17	2.0417 (14)	N14—H14A	0.83 (2)
01—H1	0.68 (3)	N14—H14B	0.77 (3)
O1—H2	0.66 (3)	C15—C16	1.497 (3)
C1—N1	1.138 (2)	C15—H15A	0.9700
C2—N2	1.135 (2)	C15—H15B	0.9700
C3—N3	1.134 (2)	C16—N17	1.478 (2)
N4—C5	1.474 (2)	C16—H16A	0.9700
N4—H4A	0.86 (2)	C16—H16B	0.9700
N4—H4B	0.78 (2)	N17—C18	1.469 (2)
C5—C6	1.503 (3)	N17—H17	0.78 (2)
C5—H5A	0.9700	C18—H18A	0.9600
С5—Н5В	0.9700	C18—H18B	0.9600
C6—N7	1.467 (2)	C18—H18C	0.9600
С6—Н6А	0.9700		

C1—Cu1—C2	117.49 (7)	C6—N7—C8	112.25 (16)
C1—Cu1—C3	122.15 (7)	C6—N7—Cu2	105.83 (10)
C2—Cu1—C3	120.36 (7)	C8—N7—Cu2	117.11 (11)
N1—Cu2—N4	98.67 (6)	C6—N7—H7	107.8 (13)
N1—Cu2—N14	95.33 (7)	C8—N7—H7	109.2 (13)
N1—Cu2—N17	94.80 (6)	Cu2—N7—H7	104.0 (13)
N1—Cu2—N7	96.00 (6)	N7—C8—H8A	109.5
N4—Cu2—N7	84.33 (6)	N7—C8—H8B	109.5
N14—Cu2—N17	84.09 (6)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
N4—Cu2—N14	165.99 (7)	N7—C8—H8C	109.5
N7—Cu2—N17	169.19 (6)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
N4—Cu2—N17	94.23 (6)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
N7—Cu2—N14	94.71 (6)	C15—N14—Cu2	109.64 (13)
H1—O1—H2	109 (4)	C15—N14—H14A	110.0 (16)
N1—C1—Cu1	178.61 (16)	Cu2—N14—H14A	107.1 (16)
C1—N1—Cu2	172.56 (14)	C15—N14—H14B	110.8 (19)
N2—C2—Cu1	178.96 (18)	Cu2—N14—H14B	109.6 (19)
C2—N2—H1	163.7 (8)	H14A—N14—H14B	110 (2)
$C2$ — $N2$ — $H7^{i}$	99.5 (4)	N14—C15—C16	108.87 (15)
$H1$ — $N2$ — $H7^{i}$	93.6 (8)	N14—C15—H15A	109.9
N3—C3—Cu1	177.36 (18)	C16—C15—H15A	109.9
C3—N3—H4A <sup>ii</sup>	160.8 (6)	N14—C15—H15B	109.9
C3—N3—H4B <sup>i</sup>	83.4 (5)	C16—C15—H15B	109.9
H4A <sup>ii</sup> —N3—H4B <sup>i</sup>	85.9 (7)	H15A—C15—H15B	108.3
C5—N4—Cu2	109.61 (10)	N17—C16—C15	107.60 (16)
C5—N4—H4A	109.2 (15)	N17—C16—H16A	110.2
Cu2—N4—H4A	109.7 (14)	C15—C16—H16A	110.2
C5—N4—H4B	111.2 (17)	N17—C16—H16B	110.2
Cu2—N4—H4B	112.3 (17)	C15—C16—H16B	110.2
H4A—N4—H4B	105 (2)	H16A—C16—H16B	108.5
N4—C5—C6	108.28 (14)	C18—N17—C16	111.46 (15)
N4—C5—H5A	110.0	C18—N17—Cu2	115.52 (12)
С6—С5—Н5А	110.0	C16—N17—Cu2	105.30 (10)
N4—C5—H5B	110.0	C18—N17—H17	111.6 (15)
С6—С5—Н5В	110.0	C16—N17—H17	107.8 (15)
H5A—C5—H5B	108.4	Cu2—N17—H17	104.6 (15)
N7—C6—C5	108.34 (14)	N17—C18—H18A	109.5
N7—C6—H6A	110.0	N17—C18—H18B	109.5
С5—С6—Н6А	110.0	H18A—C18—H18B	109.5
N7—C6—H6B	110.0	N17—C18—H18C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6В	110.0	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
Н6А—С6—Н6В	108.4	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
N4—C5—C6—N7	-53.1 (2)	C18—N17—C16—C15	174.49 (16)

N14—C15—C16—N17	-53.0 (2)	C8—N7—Cu2—N4	-148.13 (15)
C8—N7—C6—C5	174.98 (15)	C18—N17—Cu2—N14	-148.74 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*-1, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+2.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O1—H1…N2	0.68 (3)	2.13 (3)	2.810 (3)	171 (3)
N14—H14A····O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.83 (2)	2.14 (2)	2.965 (3)	177 (2)
N4—H4A····N3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86 (2)	2.26 (2)	3.094 (2)	161.1 (19)
$N7$ — $H7$ ··· $N2^{iv}$	0.810 (19)	2.460 (19)	3.162 (2)	145.7 (16)
N4—H4 $B$ ····N3 <sup>iv</sup>	0.78 (2)	2.53 (2)	3.302 (3)	170 (2)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+2; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (iv) *x*+1, *y*+1, *z*.