



Received 19 May 2017 Accepted 30 May 2017

Edited by H. Stoeckli-Evans, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Keywords: crystal structure; epalerstat; tetrahydrofuran; monosolvate; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1553010

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# **Epalrestat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate: crystal structure and phase transition**

#### Daiki Umeda, Okky Dwichandra Putra, Mihoko Gunji, Kaori Fukuzawa and Etsuo Yonemochi\*

School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hoshi University, 2-4-41, Ebara, Shinagawa, Tokyo 145-8501, Japan. \*Correspondence e-mail: e-yonemochi@hoshi.ac.jp

The title compound, epalrestat {systematic name: (5Z)-5-[(2E)-2-methy]-3phenylprop-2-en-1-ylidene]-4-oxo-2-sulfanylidene-1,3-thiazolidine-3-acetic acid}, crystallized as a tetrahydrofuran monosolvate, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>·C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O. Epalrestat, an important drug for diabetic neuropathy, has been reported to exist in polymphic, solvated and co-crystal forms. In the molecule reported here, the phenyl ring is inclined to the rhodamine ring by 22.31 (9) $^{\circ}$ , and the acetic acid group is almost normal to the rhodamine ring, making a dihedral angle of 88.66 (11)°. In the crystal, pairs of O-H···O hydrogen bonds are observed between the carboxylic acid groups of epalerstat molecules, forming inversion dimers with an  $R_2^2(8)$  loop. The dimers are linked by pairs of C-H···O hydrogen bonds, forming chains along [101]. The solvate molecules are linked to the chain by a  $C-H\cdots O(tetrahydrofuran)$  hydrogen bond. A combination of thermal analysis and powder X-ray diffraction revealed that title compound desolvated into epalerstat Form II. One C atom of the tetrahydrofuran solvate molecule is positionally disordered and has a refined occupancy ratio of 0.527 (18):0.473 (18).

#### 1. Chemical context

Solid-state characterization is an important aspect in the regulation and development as well as intellectual property matter of drugs. Its necessity is based on the requirement to determine the solid-state structure of the drugs because pharmaceutical materials have the ability to exist in various forms, such as polymorphs, salts, co-crystals, and solvates (Putra et al., 2016a,b). An important class of pharmaceutical materials is solvates, which are defined as being a crystalline multi-component system in which a solvent(s) is accommodated within the crystal structure in a stochiometric or nonstochiometric manner (Griesser, 2006). Over the past decades, many different solvates with readily discernible physicochemical properties and marked differences in their performances have been reported (Iwata et al., 2014; Furuta et al., 2015). Different solvate formations play a significant role in drug development because of their physical instability and the potential toxicity from the solvent molecules. In addition, a tendency to form a solvate sometimes limits the number of solvents available for drug development and manufacturing processes (Campeta et al., 2010). Therefore, the study of solvate formation is extremely important for the pharmaceutical industry.





## research communications



Epalerstat is an aldose reductase inhibitor and is used for the treatment of diabetic neuropathy, which is one of the most common long-term complications in patients with diabetes mellitus. The mechanism of epalerstat is thought to inhibit the first enzyme in the polyol pathway, which converts glucose to sorbitol. Sorbitol itself has been considered to be the cause for diabetic complications including diabetic neuropathy (Miyamoto, 2002; Ramirez & Borja, 2008). The solid-state forms of epalerstat as well as their properties have been widely investigated.

It is known that this drug exists in five polymorphic forms, of which three polymorphic structures have been determined by single crystal X-ray structure analysis and two forms have been characterized by spectroscopic methods. The three crystal forms are: Form I (triclinic, P1; Igarashi et al., 2013; Swapna et al., 2016), Form II (monoclinic, C2/c), and Form III (monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ ; Swapna et al., 2016). In addition, the Z,Z isomer of epalerstat has been determined crystallographically (Swapna et al., 2016). It has also been reported to exist in multi-component crystal forms, such as solvates with ethanol (Ishida et al., 1990), methanol (Igarashi et al., 2015), methanol disolvate (Nagase et al., 2016), dimethylformamide, dimethylsulfoxide and as a co-crystal with caffeine (Putra et al., 2017). The occurrence of solvated epalerstat crystals themselves is not unexpected owing to the imbalance between the potential donors and acceptors of hydrogen bonds in the epalerstat structure. In the present study, we report on the crystal structure of epalerstat in a new solvated form (tetrahydrofuran monosolvate), and on its thermal behaviour by different physicochemical methods.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with the atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The minor disorder component of the solvent molecule is not shown for clarity.

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$03 - H3O \cdots O2^{i}$ $C14 - H14B \cdots O4$ $C2 - H2 \cdots O1^{ii}$	0.92 (3) 0.99 0.95	1.73 (3) 2.26 2.51	2.6440 (18) 3.127 (2) 3.389 (2)	175 (3) 145 154

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 2; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate is illustrated in Fig. 1. The values of all bond distances and angles, and dihedral angles appear to be within normal limits according to the *Mogul* geometry check within the CSD software (Bruno *et al.*, 2004; CSD, Version 5.38, update February 2017; Groom *et al.*, 2016). The phenyl ring is inclined to the five-membered ring of the rhodamine unit (N1/S1/C11– C13) by 22.31 (9)°. The acetic acid group (C14/C15/O2/O3) is almost normal to five-membered ring of the rhodamine unit with a dihedral angle of 88.66 (11)°. In addition, the mean plane of the methylpropenylidene (C7–C10) unit is inclined to the phenyl and rhodamine rings by 29.43 (11) and 9.19 (11)°, respectively.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, each epalerstat molecule is connected to two other epalerstat molecules and one tetrahydrofuran molecule by both conventional and non-conventional hydrogen bonds. Numerical details of the hydrogen bonds are listed in Table 1 and are illustrated in Fig. 2. A pair of  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds is observed between the carboxylic moieties of epalerstat molecules, forming an inversion dimer with an  $R_2^2(8)$  loop. The dimers are linked by pairs of  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, forming chains along [101]. The solvate molecules are linked to the chain by a  $C-H\cdots O_t$  (t = THF) hydrogen bond.



A view normal to (110) of the crystal structure of epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines (see Table 1) and only H atoms involved in these interactions have been included.



Figure 3 The TG-DSC scan of epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate.

4. Phase transition – thermal behaviour and powder **X-ray diffraction** 

In order to understand the thermal behaviour of this solvate at elevated temperatures, the sample was investigated by thermal gravimetry-differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) and powder X-ray diffraction-differential scanning calorimetry (PXRD-DSC) methods (Figs. 3 and 4). The TG-DSC measurement was performed in the temperature region from room temperature to 448 K at a rate of 3 K min<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the PXRD-DSC measurement was conducted from room temperature to 383 K at a heating rate of 3 K min<sup>-1</sup>.

The mass loss started from 341.8-357.5 K and the onset peak appeared at 348 K. The total mass loss was observed to be 18.1%, which is almost equivalent to the loss of one molecule of tetrahydrofuran (the theoretical value corresponding to one tetrahydrofuran molecule is 18.4%). Therefore, the occupancy of the solvent molecule was fixed at 1 during crystal-structure refinement. The mass loss corresponds to the desolvation process indicated by the existence of a broad endothermic peak, which occurs in the DSC thermogram at a similar temperature. The enthalpy of desolvation was estimated to be  $-60.5 \text{ J g}^{-1}(8.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ .



Figure 4

The PXRD-DSC scan of epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate. The blue and red PXRD patterns represent the epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate and epalerstat form II, respectively.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Experimental details. \\\hline \hline \hline Crystal data & $C_{15}H_{13}NO_3S_2\cdot C_4H_8O$ & $M_r$ & $391.49$ & $Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ & $Temperature (K)$ & $93$ & $a, b, c$ (Å)$ & $7.8956$ (3), $8.9627$ (3), $15.0311$ (4) & $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ (°)$ & $102.263$ (7), $93.970$ (7), $114.219$ (8) & $V$ (Å^3)$ & $933.23$ (8)$ & $Z$ & $2$ & $Radiation type$ & $Cu$ $K\alpha$ & $\mu$ (mm^{-1})$ & $2.80$ & $Crystal size$ (mm)$ & $0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12$ & $Data$ collection & $Diffractometer$ & $RIGAKU$ R-AXIS RAPID II$ & $Multi-scan$ ($ABSCOR;$ Higashi, $1995$)$ & $T_{min}, $T_{max}$ & $0.365$, $0.721$ & $10947$, $3342$, $3184$ & $0.55$, $0.721$ & $10947$, $3342$, $3184$ & $0.59$ & $Cu$ & $R_{int}$ & $0.029$ & $(sin $\theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å^{-1})$ & $0.602$ & $Refinement$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $wR(F^2)$, $S$ & $0.036$, $0.097$, $1.03$ & $342$ & $0.$ of parameters$ & $250$ & $H-atom$ treatment$ & $H$ atoms treated by a mixture of $independent$ and $constrained$ $refinement$ & $A\rho_{max}$, $\Delta\rho_{min}$ (e Å^{-3})$ & $0.54$, $-0.41$ & $0.54$, $-0.41$ & $0.54$, $-0.41$ & $0.54$, $-0.41$ & $0.54$, $-0.41$ & $0.55$, $-7.51$ & $0.75$$	Table 2	
Crystal dataC1:SH1:3NO3S2·C4H8OMr391.49Crystal system, space groupTriclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Temperature (K)93a, b, c (Å)7.8956 (3), 8.9627 (3), 15.0311 (4) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)102.263 (7), 93.970 (7), 114.219 (8) $\gamma$ (Å3)933.23 (8) $Z$ 2Radiation typeCu K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )2.80Crystal size (mm)0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerRIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID IIAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.365, 0.721No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections0.029 $R_{int}$ 0.029(sin $\theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.602Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	Experimental details.	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Crystal data	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Chemical formula	$C_{15}H_{13}NO_3S_2 \cdot C_4H_8O$
Crystal system, space group       Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Temperature (K)       93         a, b, c (Å)       7.8956 (3), 8.9627 (3), 15.0311 (4) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)       102.263 (7), 93.970 (7), 114.219 (8) $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )       933.23 (8) $Z$ 2         Radiation type       Cu K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       2.80         Crystal size (mm)       0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12         Data collection       Multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.365, 0.721         No. of measured, independent and observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] reflections       0.029 $\kappa_{int}$ 0.029 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )       0.602         Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of parameters       250         H-atom treatment       H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )       0.54, -0.41	M <sub>r</sub>	391.49
Temperature (K)       93         a, b, c (Å)       7.8956 (3), 8.9627 (3), 15.0311 (4)         α, β, γ (°)       102.263 (7), 93.970 (7), 114.219 (8)         V (Å <sup>3</sup> )       933.23 (8)         Z       2         Radiation type       Cu Kα         μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       2.80         Crystal size (mm)       0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12         Data collection       Multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)         T <sub>min</sub> , T <sub>max</sub> 0.365, 0.721         No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections       0.029         Rint       0.029         (sin θ/λ) <sub>max</sub> (Å <sup>-1</sup> )       0.602         Refinement       R[F <sup>2</sup> > 2σ(F <sup>2</sup> )], wR(F <sup>2</sup> ), S         No. of parameters       250         H-atom treatment       H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $R[F2 > 2σ(F2)], wR(F2), S       0.036, 0.097, 1.03         No. of parameters       250         H-atom treatment       H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement   $	Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, P1
$a, b, c$ (Å)       7.8956 (3), 8.9627 (3), 15.0311 (4) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)       102.263 (7), 93.970 (7), 114.219 (8) $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )       933.23 (8) $Z$ 2         Radiation type       Cu K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       2.80         Crystal size (mm)       0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12         Data collection       0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12         Data collection       Multi-scan ( <i>ABSCOR</i> ; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.365, 0.721         No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections       0.029 $\kappa_{int}$ 0.029 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )       0.602         Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of parameters       250         H-atom treatment       H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )       0.54, -0.41	Temperature (K)	93
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.8956 (3), 8.9627 (3), 15.0311 (4)
$ \begin{array}{ll} V\left(\mathring{A}^3\right) & 933.23 \ (8) \\ Z & 2 \\ \text{Radiation type} & \text{Cu } K\alpha \\ \mu \ (\text{mm}^{-1}) & 2.80 \\ \text{Crystal size (mm)} & 0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Data collection} \\ \text{Diffractometer} & \text{RIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID II} \\ \text{Absorption correction} & \text{Multi-scan } (ABSCOR; \text{Higashi,} \\ 1995) \\ T_{\min}, T_{\max} & 0.365, 0.721 \\ \text{No. of measured, independent and} \\ \text{observed } [I > 2\sigma(I)] \text{ reflections} \\ R_{\text{int}} & 0.029 \\ (\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\max} \ (\mathring{A}^{-1}) & 0.602 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Refinement} \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S & 0.036, 0.097, 1.03 \\ \text{No. of reflections} & 3342 \\ \text{No. of parameters} & 250 \\ \text{H-atom treatment} & H \ atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement \\ \Delta\rho_{\max}, \Delta\rho_{\min} \ (e \ \mathring{A}^{-3}) & 0.54, -0.41 \\ \end{array} $	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	102.263 (7), 93.970 (7), 114.219 (8)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$V(Å^3)$	933.23 (8)
Radiation typeCu Kα $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )2.80Crystal size (mm)0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12Data collection0.44 × 0.33 × 0.12Data collectionMulti-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.365, 0.721No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections0.029 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.602Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	Ζ	2
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )2.80Crystal size (mm) $0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12$ Data collection $0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12$ DiffractometerRIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID IIAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ $0.365, 0.721$ No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections $0.029$ $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> ) $0.602$ Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ No. of reflections $3342$ No. of parameters $250$ H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) $0.54, -0.41$	Radiation type	Cu Kα
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	2.80
Data collectionRIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID IIDiffractometerRIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID IIAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.365, 0.721No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections10947, 3342, 3184 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.029(sin $\theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.602Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ , $wR(F^2)$ , S0.036, 0.097, 1.03No. of reflections3342No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	Crystal size (mm)	$0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Data collection	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Diffractometer	RIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID II
$\begin{array}{lll} T_{\min}, T_{\max} & 0.365, 0.721 \\ \text{No. of measured, independent and} & 0.967, 0.342, 3184 \\ \text{observed } [I > 2\sigma(I)] \text{ reflections} & 0.029 \\ (\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1}) & 0.602 \\ \end{array}$ Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S & 0.036, 0.097, 1.03 \\ \text{No. of reflections} & 3342 \\ \text{No. of parameters} & 250 \\ \text{H-atom treatment} & \text{H atoms treated by a mixture of} \\ \text{independent and constrained} \\ \alpha\rho_{\max}, \Delta\rho_{\min} (\text{e} \text{\AA}^{-3}) & 0.54, -0.41 \\ \end{array}$	Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>ABSCOR</i> ; Higashi, 1995)
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections10947, 3342, 3184 $R_{int}$ 0.029 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.602Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ 0.036, 0.097, 1.03No. of reflections3342No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.365, 0.721
$R_{int}$ 0.029 $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )0.602Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ $No. of reflections$ 3342No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	No. of measured, independent and observed $[L > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	10947, 3342, 3184
Nint $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> ) $0.602$ Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ $0.036, 0.097, 1.03$ No. of reflections $3342$ No. of parameters $250$ H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) $0.54, -0.41$	$R_{\rm c}$	0.029
Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ 0.036, 0.097, 1.03No. of reflections3342No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.602
$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], & wR(F^2), S & 0.036, 0.097, 1.03 \\ \text{No. of reflections} & 3342 \\ \text{No. of parameters} & 250 \\ \text{H-atom treatment} & \text{H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}}, & \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} (\text{e} \text{ Å}^{-3}) & 0.54, -0.41 \end{split}$	Refinement	
No. of reflections3342No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.097, 1.03
No. of parameters250H-atom treatmentH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )0.54, -0.41	No. of reflections	3342
H-atom treatment $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement 0.54, -0.41	No. of parameters	250
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3}) $ 0.54, -0.41	H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
	$\Delta  ho_{ m max},  \Delta  ho_{ m min}  ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.54, -0.41

Computer programs: PROCESS-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998), SHELXS2014 (Sheldrick, 2008), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008), SHELXL2016 (Sheldrick, 2015), PLATON (Spek, 2009) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

In order to understand the phase transformation during the heating, a PXRD-DSC measurement was carried out. The desolvation temperature observed by PXRD-DSC was slightly different compared to the TG-DSC measurement. The desolvation started from 303-343 K in this case. The differences in temperature derived from TG-DSC and PXRD-DSC seem to be reasonable due the differences in the experimental conditions of both the methods. A closed pan system was used in the TG-DSC measurement, while an open pan system was applied in the PXRD-DSC measurement. By comparing the powder X-ray diffractogram to those for the reported polymorphic forms of epalerstat, it was seen that epalerstat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate desolvated into epalerstat.

#### 5. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.38, update February 2017; Groom et al., 2016) for epalerstat yielded nine hits. They include, the methanol disolvate (EHEQUF; Nagase et al., 2016), the Z,Z isomer (LALZEG; Swapna et al., 2016), the ethanol solvate (SALVIK; Ishida et al., 1989; SALVIK10; Ishida et al., 1990), the methanol monosolvate (XUBVOH; Igarashi et al., 2015), and Form I: triclinic, P1 (ZIPKOA; Igarashi et al., 2013; ZIPKOA3; Swapna et al., 2016), Form II: monoclinic, C2/c (ZIPLOA02;

### research communications

Swapna *et al.*, 2016) and Form III: monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$  (ZIPKOA01; Swapna *et al.*, 2016).

#### 6. Synthesis and crystallization

Epalerstat form I (700 mg) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (10 ml) and the solution was kept for one week at room temperature, after which yellow plate-like crystals of the title compound were obtained.

#### 7. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The OH H atom was located in a difference-Fourier map and freely refined. The C-bound H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding: C-H = 0.9-1.0 Å with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{iso}(C-methyl)$  and  $1.2U_{iso}(C)$  for other H atoms. One C atom (C17) of the tetrahydrofuran molecule is positionally disordered and has a refined occupancy ratio (C17A:C17B) of 0.527 (18):0.473 (18).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We wish to thank Professor Hiromasa Nagase (Hoshi University) for the technical assistance during the singlecrystal X-ray measurement.

#### **References**

- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Kessler, M., Luo, J., Motherwell, W. D. S., Purkis, L. H., Smith, B. R., Taylor, R., Cooper, R. I., Harris, S. E. & Orpen, A. G. (2004). J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 44, 2133–2144.
- Campeta, A. M., Chekal, B. P., Abramov, Y. A., Meenan, P. A., Henson, M. J., Shi, B., Singer, R. A. & Horspool, K. R. (2010). J. Pharm. Sci. 99, 3874–3886.

- Furuta, H., Mori, S., Yoshihashi, Y., Yonemochi, E., Uekusa, H., Sugano, K. & Terada, K. (2015). J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 111, 44– 50.
- Griesser, U. J. (2006). *Polymorphism: In the Pharmaceutical Industry*, edited by R. Hilfiker, pp. 211–233. Weinheim: Wiley-Vch Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Igarashi, R., Nagase, H., Furuishi, T., Endo, T., Tomono, K. & Ueda, H. (2013). X-ray Struct. Anal. Online, 29, 23–24.
- Igarashi, R., Nagase, H., Furuishi, T., Tomono, K., Endo, T. & Ueda, H. (2015). X-ray Struct. Anal. Online, **31**, 1–2.
- Ishida, T., In, Y., Inoue, M., Tanaka, C. & Hamanaka, N. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1085–1091.
- Ishida, T., In, Y., Inoue, M., Ueno, Y., Tanaka, C. & Hamanaka, N. (1989). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **30**, 959–962.
- Iwata, K., Kojima, T. & Ikeda, Y. (2014). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **14**, 3335–3342.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Miyamoto, S. (2002). Chem. Bio. Info. J. 2, 74-85.
- Nagase, H., Kobayashi, M., Ueda, H., Furuishi, T., Gunji, M., Endo, T. & Yonemochi, E. (2016). X-ray Struct. Anal. Online, **32**, 7–9.
- Putra, O. D., Umeda, D., Nugraha, Y. P., Furuishi, T., Nagase, H., Fukuzawa, K., Uekusa, H. & Yonemochi, E. (2017). CrystEng-Comm, 19, 2614–2622.
- Putra, O. D., Yonemochi, E. & Uekusa, H. (2016a). Cryst. Growth Des. 16, 6568–6573.
- Putra, O. D., Yoshida, T., Umeda, D., Higashi, K., Uekusa, H. & Yonemochi, E. (2016b). Cryst. Growth Des. 16, 5223–5229.
- Ramirez, M. A. & Borja, N. L. (2008). *Pharmacotherapy*, 28, 646–655. Rigaku (1998). *PROCESS-AUTO*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Swapna, B., Suresh, K. & Nangia, A. (2016). Chem. Commun. 52, 4037–4040.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 941-944 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017007976]

Epalrestat tetrahydrofuran monosolvate: crystal structure and phase transition

### Daiki Umeda, Okky Dwichandra Putra, Mihoko Gunji, Kaori Fukuzawa and Etsuo Yonemochi

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS2014* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2016* (Sheldrick, 2015), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

(5*Z*)-5-[(2*E*)-2-Methyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ylidene]-4-oxo-2-sulfanylidene-1,3-thiazolidine-3-acetic acid tetrahydrofuran monosolvate

#### Crystal data

C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>·C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O  $M_r = 391.49$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$  a = 7.8956 (3) Å b = 8.9627 (3) Å c = 15.0311 (4) Å a = 102.263 (7)°  $\beta = 93.970$  (7)°  $\gamma = 114.219$  (8)° V = 933.23 (8) Å<sup>3</sup>

Data collection

RIGAKU R-AXIS RAPID II diffractometer Radiation source: Rotating Anode X-ray, RIGAKU Detector resolution: 10.0 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\omega$  scan Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)  $T_{min} = 0.365, T_{max} = 0.721$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$  $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ S = 1.033342 reflections 250 parameters 0 restraints Z = 2 F(000) = 412  $D_x = 1.393 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54187 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 10947 reflections  $\theta = 3.1-68.2^{\circ}$   $\mu = 2.80 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 93 KPlate, yellow  $0.44 \times 0.33 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

10947 measured reflections 3342 independent reflections 3184 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.029$  $\theta_{max} = 68.2^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.1^{\circ}$  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -18 \rightarrow 17$ 

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0508P)^2 + 0.6912P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$   $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.54 ~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 ~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
S1	0.90767 (6)	0.79772 (6)	0.58395 (3)	0.02165 (13)	
S2	1.23488 (6)	0.84882 (6)	0.71815 (3)	0.02648 (14)	
01	0.54949 (17)	0.57699 (17)	0.72847 (9)	0.0272 (3)	
O2	0.91598 (19)	0.92945 (16)	0.88861 (8)	0.0262 (3)	
O3	1.0248 (2)	0.80276 (18)	0.97606 (9)	0.0284 (3)	
H3O	1.040 (4)	0.892 (4)	1.024 (2)	0.057 (8)*	
O4	0.6533 (3)	0.4293 (2)	0.93062 (11)	0.0564 (5)	
N1	0.8689 (2)	0.69156 (18)	0.73069 (9)	0.0196 (3)	
C1	0.0117 (3)	0.7933 (2)	0.23383 (12)	0.0256 (4)	
H1	-0.051735	0.810846	0.183855	0.031*	
C2	-0.0869 (3)	0.6655 (2)	0.27480 (12)	0.0250 (4)	
H2	-0.217761	0.595502	0.253129	0.030*	
C3	0.0074 (2)	0.6411 (2)	0.34754 (12)	0.0221 (4)	
Н3	-0.061146	0.555352	0.376304	0.026*	
C4	0.2013 (2)	0.7396 (2)	0.37977 (12)	0.0202 (4)	
C5	0.2977 (2)	0.8696 (2)	0.33820 (12)	0.0228 (4)	
Н5	0.428474	0.940352	0.359631	0.027*	
C6	0.2027 (3)	0.8951 (2)	0.26601 (13)	0.0248 (4)	
H6	0.269248	0.983274	0.238333	0.030*	
C7	0.2896 (2)	0.7040 (2)	0.45644 (12)	0.0213 (4)	
H7	0.207244	0.655152	0.496164	0.026*	
C8	0.4705 (2)	0.7296 (2)	0.47979 (12)	0.0206 (4)	
C9	0.6252 (3)	0.7977 (3)	0.42567 (12)	0.0247 (4)	
H9A	0.569547	0.783399	0.362311	0.037*	
H9B	0.702595	0.735539	0.424348	0.037*	
H9C	0.704146	0.918410	0.455081	0.037*	
C10	0.5121 (2)	0.6831 (2)	0.56248 (12)	0.0205 (4)	
H10	0.405767	0.630379	0.589848	0.025*	
C11	0.6774 (2)	0.7027 (2)	0.60690 (12)	0.0202 (4)	
C12	0.6825 (2)	0.6480 (2)	0.69292 (12)	0.0209 (4)	
C13	1.0069 (2)	0.7763 (2)	0.68538 (11)	0.0205 (4)	
C14	0.9120 (3)	0.6611 (2)	0.81891 (12)	0.0219 (4)	
H14A	1.023556	0.636832	0.819487	0.026*	
H14B	0.804135	0.560647	0.826837	0.026*	
C15	0.9511 (2)	0.8128 (2)	0.89771 (12)	0.0216 (4)	
C16	0.5125 (4)	0.2659 (4)	0.88746 (18)	0.0598 (8)	

H23A	0.567974	0.194312	0.854014	0.072*	0.527 (18)	
H23B	0.417894	0.270682	0.842774	0.072*	0.527 (18)	
H23C	0.549421	0.216468	0.831071	0.072*	0.473 (18)	
H23D	0.392714	0.271243	0.869063	0.072*	0.473 (18)	
C17A	0.4217 (9)	0.1943 (9)	0.9644 (5)	0.0350 (15)	0.527 (18)	
H17A	0.310396	0.216499	0.973800	0.042*	0.527 (18)	
H17B	0.382241	0.070510	0.950344	0.042*	0.527 (18)	
C17B	0.488 (2)	0.1633 (11)	0.9496 (7)	0.060 (3)	0.473 (18)	
H17C	0.552732	0.089741	0.934860	0.072*	0.473 (18)	
H17D	0.351948	0.090610	0.946041	0.072*	0.473 (18)	
C18	0.5744 (3)	0.2870 (3)	1.04731 (17)	0.0464 (6)		
H18A	0.630209	0.212733	1.062407	0.056*	0.527 (18)	
H18B	0.524111	0.325978	1.101486	0.056*	0.527 (18)	
H18C	0.479995	0.315917	1.077251	0.056*	0.473 (18)	
H18D	0.632966	0.241116	1.087953	0.056*	0.473 (18)	
C19	0.7190 (3)	0.4355 (3)	1.02201 (16)	0.0432 (6)		
H19A	0.736439	0.542873	1.065185	0.052*		
H19B	0.841615	0.429460	1.025572	0.052*		

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	<i>U</i> <sup>12</sup>	<i>U</i> <sup>13</sup>	$U^{23}$
S1	0.0178 (2)	0.0277 (3)	0.0187 (2)	0.00755 (18)	0.00495 (16)	0.00898 (17)
S2	0.0177 (2)	0.0326 (3)	0.0258 (2)	0.0071 (2)	0.00239 (17)	0.00938 (19)
01	0.0207 (6)	0.0323 (8)	0.0260 (7)	0.0062 (6)	0.0074 (5)	0.0127 (6)
O2	0.0340 (7)	0.0270 (7)	0.0193 (6)	0.0155 (6)	0.0014 (5)	0.0059 (5)
O3	0.0381 (8)	0.0300 (8)	0.0192 (6)	0.0180 (6)	-0.0001 (5)	0.0061 (6)
O4	0.0537 (11)	0.0545 (11)	0.0353 (9)	-0.0034 (9)	0.0028 (8)	0.0182 (8)
N1	0.0194 (7)	0.0214 (8)	0.0166 (7)	0.0071 (6)	0.0036 (5)	0.0057 (6)
C1	0.0263 (9)	0.0293 (10)	0.0216 (9)	0.0131 (8)	0.0018 (7)	0.0066 (7)
C2	0.0180 (9)	0.0275 (10)	0.0253 (9)	0.0076 (8)	0.0024 (7)	0.0034 (8)
C3	0.0198 (9)	0.0225 (9)	0.0232 (9)	0.0078 (7)	0.0070 (7)	0.0066 (7)
C4	0.0203 (8)	0.0209 (9)	0.0193 (8)	0.0099 (7)	0.0042 (7)	0.0031 (7)
C5	0.0186 (8)	0.0215 (9)	0.0258 (9)	0.0070 (7)	0.0028 (7)	0.0050 (7)
C6	0.0262 (9)	0.0234 (10)	0.0253 (9)	0.0099 (8)	0.0052 (7)	0.0090 (7)
C7	0.0221 (9)	0.0193 (9)	0.0213 (9)	0.0071 (7)	0.0058 (7)	0.0060 (7)
C8	0.0212 (9)	0.0176 (9)	0.0202 (8)	0.0066 (7)	0.0033 (7)	0.0034 (7)
С9	0.0221 (9)	0.0326 (11)	0.0214 (9)	0.0123 (8)	0.0055 (7)	0.0100 (8)
C10	0.0192 (8)	0.0191 (9)	0.0206 (8)	0.0059 (7)	0.0052 (7)	0.0047 (7)
C11	0.0203 (9)	0.0189 (9)	0.0191 (8)	0.0062 (7)	0.0059 (7)	0.0047 (7)
C12	0.0213 (9)	0.0203 (9)	0.0184 (8)	0.0073 (7)	0.0032 (7)	0.0035 (7)
C13	0.0230 (9)	0.0201 (9)	0.0169 (8)	0.0085 (7)	0.0042 (7)	0.0038 (7)
C14	0.0235 (9)	0.0240 (10)	0.0192 (8)	0.0099 (8)	0.0039 (7)	0.0086 (7)
C15	0.0195 (8)	0.0255 (10)	0.0192 (8)	0.0080 (7)	0.0042 (7)	0.0086 (7)
C16	0.0499 (15)	0.0590 (18)	0.0409 (14)	0.0004 (13)	0.0085 (12)	0.0025 (12)
C17A	0.030 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.048 (3)	0.012 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.012 (2)
C17B	0.062 (7)	0.034 (4)	0.054 (4)	-0.006 (3)	-0.012 (4)	0.014 (3)
C18	0.0434 (13)	0.0515 (15)	0.0429 (13)	0.0158 (12)	0.0087 (10)	0.0195 (11)

# supporting information

<u>C19</u>	0.0423 (13)	0.0387 (13)	0.0438 (13)	0.0125 (11)	-0.0052 (10)	0.0158 (10)
Geometri	ic parameters (Å,	°)				
S1—C13		1.7485	(18)	С9—Н9А	0.	9800
S1-C11		1.7580	(18)	С9—Н9В	0.	9800
S2-C13		1.6391	(18)	С9—Н9С	0.	9800
01—C12	2	1.211 (2	2)	C10-C11	1.	350 (2)
O2—C15	5	1.218 (2	2)	C10—H10	0.	9500
O3—C15	5	1.314 (2	2)	C11—C12	1.	481 (2)
O3—H30	С	0.92 (3)	) 	C14—C15	1.	506 (2)
O4—C16	5	1.401 (3	3)	C14—H14A	0.	9900
O4—C19	)	1.416 (3	3)	C14—H14B	0.	9900
N1-C13	3	1.368 (2	2)	C16—C17B	1.	414 (8)
N1-C12	2	1.400 (2	2)	C16—C17A	1.	522 (7)
N1-C14	ŧ	1.455 (2	2)	C16—H23A	0.	9900
C1—C6		1.387 (3	3)	C16—H23B	0.	9900
C1—C2		1.389 (3	3)	С16—Н23С	0.	9900
C1—H1		0.9500	,	C16—H23D	0.	9900
С2—С3		1.386 (3	3)	C17A—C18	1.	492 (7)
С2—Н2		0.9500	,	C17A—H17A	0.	9900
C3—C4		1.402 (2	2)	C17A—H17B	0.	9900
С3—Н3		0.9500	,	C17B—C18	1.	549 (9)
C4—C5		1.405 (3	3)	C17B—H17C	0.	9900
C4—C7		1.465 (2	2)	C17B—H17D	0.	9900
С5—С6		1.388 (3	3)	C18—C19	1.	499 (3)
С5—Н5		0.9500		C18—H18A	0.	9900
С6—Н6		0.9500		C18—H18B	0.	9900
С7—С8		1.357 (2	2)	C18—H18C	0.	9900
С7—Н7		0.9500	,	C18—H18D	0.	9900
C8-C10	)	1.450 (2	2)	С19—Н19А	0.	9900
С8—С9		1.504 (2	2)	C19—H19B	0.	9900
		,	,			
C13—S1	C11	92.63 (8	8)	C15—C14—H14A	10	19.5
C15—O3	3—НЗО	111.2 (1	(8)	N1—C14—H14B	10	19.5
C16—O4	I—C19	109.06	(19)	C15—C14—H14B	10	19.5
C13—N1	—C12	117.09	(14)	H14A—C14—H14B	10	08.1
C13—N1	L—C14	122.23	(14)	O2—C15—O3	12	24.76 (17)
C12—N1	L—C14	120.44	(14)	O2—C15—C14	12	2.99 (16)
C6—C1-	C2	119.91	(17)	O3—C15—C14	11	2.25 (15)
C6-C1-	-H1	120.0		O4—C16—C17B	10	9.1 (4)
C2-C1-	-H1	120.0		O4—C16—C17A	10	06.1 (3)
C3—C2-	C1	119.45	(17)	O4—C16—H23A	11	0.5
C3—C2-	-H2	120.3		C17A—C16—H23A	11	0.5
C1—C2-	-H2	120.3		O4—C16—H23B	11	0.5
С2—С3-	C4	121.68	(17)	C17A—C16—H23B	11	0.5
С2—С3-	-H3	119.2		H23A—C16—H23B	10	8.7
C4—C3-	—Н3	119.2		O4—C16—H23C	10	9.9

C3—C4—C5	117.91 (16)	C17B—C16—H23C	109.9
C3—C4—C7	117.98 (16)	O4—C16—H23D	109.9
C5—C4—C7	124.08 (16)	C17B—C16—H23D	109.9
C6—C5—C4	120.33 (17)	H23C—C16—H23D	108.3
С6—С5—Н5	119.8	C18—C17A—C16	103.6 (4)
C4—C5—H5	119.8	C18—C17A—H17A	111.0
C1—C6—C5	120.68 (17)	С16—С17А—Н17А	111.0
С1—С6—Н6	119.7	C18—C17A—H17B	111.0
С5—С6—Н6	119.7	C16—C17A—H17B	111.0
C8-C7-C4	130 30 (16)	H17A— $C17A$ — $H17B$	109.0
C8—C7—H7	114.9	C16-C17B-C18	106.1.(6)
C4-C7-H7	114.9	C16— $C17B$ — $H17C$	110.5
C7 - C8 - C10	116.00 (16)	C18— $C17B$ — $H17C$	110.5
C7 - C8 - C9	124 99 (16)	C16-C17B-H17D	110.5
$C_{10} C_{8} C_{9}$	118 00 (15)	C18 C17B H17D	110.5
$C_{8}$ $C_{9}$ $H_{9}$	100 5	H17C C17B H17D	108.7
	109.5	$\frac{1176}{C17A} = \frac{C18}{C19} = \frac{C19}{C17A}$	105.8 (3)
	109.5	C1/A - C10 - C19	103.8(3)
$\Pi 9A - C9 - \Pi 9B$	109.5	C19 - C10 - C1/B	99.3 (4) 110.6
	109.5	C1/A - C10 - H10A	110.0
H9A—C9—H9C	109.5	C19 - C18 - H18A	110.6
H9B-C9-H9C	109.5	C1/A - C18 - H18B	110.6
	130.49 (16)	C19-C18-H18B	110.6
CII—CI0—HI0	114.8	H18A—C18—H18B	108.7
С8—С10—Н10	114.8	C19—C18—H18C	111.9
C10—C11—C12	119.76 (16)	C17B—C18—H18C	111.9
C10—C11—S1	130.59 (14)	C19—C18—H18D	111.9
C12—C11—S1	109.55 (12)	C17B—C18—H18D	111.9
01—C12—N1	122.83 (16)	H18C—C18—H18D	109.6
O1—C12—C11	127.15 (16)	O4—C19—C18	107.68 (19)
N1—C12—C11	110.02 (14)	O4—C19—H19A	110.2
N1—C13—S2	126.39 (13)	C18—C19—H19A	110.2
N1—C13—S1	110.58 (12)	O4—C19—H19B	110.2
S2—C13—S1	123.03 (11)	C18—C19—H19B	110.2
N1—C14—C15	110.82 (14)	H19A—C19—H19B	108.5
N1—C14—H14A	109.5		
C6-C1-C2-C3	-0.1 (3)	S1-C11-C12-O1	-179.34 (16)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.5 (3)	C10-C11-C12-N1	-175.57 (16)
C2—C3—C4—C5	2.3 (3)	S1-C11-C12-N1	1.19 (18)
C2—C3—C4—C7	-179.45 (16)	C12—N1—C13—S2	176.88 (13)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.6 (3)	C14—N1—C13—S2	2.6 (2)
C7—C4—C5—C6	-179.72 (16)	C12—N1—C13—S1	-3.58 (19)
C2—C1—C6—C5	0.8 (3)	C14—N1—C13—S1	-177.86 (13)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.1 (3)	C11—S1—C13—N1	3.52 (13)
C3—C4—C7—C8	153.36 (19)	C11—S1—C13—S2	-176.92 (12)
C5—C4—C7—C8	-28.5 (3)	C13—N1—C14—C15	83.7 (2)
C4—C7—C8—C10	178.50 (17)	C12—N1—C14—C15	-90.43 (19)
C4—C7—C8—C9	-2.9 (3)	N1-C14-C15-O2	12.6 (2)
	/		

C7—C8—C10—C11	-175.15 (18)	N1-C14-C15-O3	-167.93 (14)
C9—C8—C10—C11	6.1 (3)	C19—O4—C16—C17B	-1.1 (9)
C8-C10-C11-C12	178.17 (17)	C19—O4—C16—C17A	27.9 (4)
C8—C10—C11—S1	2.2 (3)	O4—C16—C17A—C18	-26.5 (6)
C13—S1—C11—C10	173.64 (18)	O4—C16—C17B—C18	18.8 (13)
C13—S1—C11—C12	-2.65 (13)	C16-C17A-C18-C19	15.4 (6)
C13—N1—C12—O1	-177.95 (16)	C16-C17B-C18-C19	-27.6 (12)
C14—N1—C12—O1	-3.6 (3)	C16—O4—C19—C18	-17.9 (3)
C13—N1—C12—C11	1.5 (2)	C17A—C18—C19—O4	0.3 (4)
C14—N1—C12—C11	175.94 (14)	C17B—C18—C19—O4	27.2 (7)
C10-C11-C12-O1	3.9 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O3—H3 <i>O</i> ···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.92 (3)	1.73 (3)	2.6440 (18)	175 (3)
C14—H14 <i>B</i> ···O4	0.99	2.26	3.127 (2)	145
C2—H2…O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.51	3.389 (2)	154

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*+2, -*z*+2; (ii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.