

Received 7 March 2019 Accepted 28 March 2019

Edited by S. Parkin, University of Kentucky, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; phosphorus nitrogen compound; six-membered heterocycle; *N*heterocyclic phosphorus compound; diazaphosphorinane; chlorophosphane; conformation.

CCDC reference: 1906304

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





OPEN 3 ACCESS

Crystal structure of 1,3-di-*tert*-butyl-2-chloro-1,3,2diazaphosphorinane – a saturated six-membered phosphorus nitrogen heterocycle with a partially flattened chair conformation and a long P^{III}—Cl bond

Erik Mecke and Walter Frank*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Lehrstuhl II: Material- und Strukturforschung, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: wfrank@hhu.de

Colourless blocks of 1,3-di-tert-butyl-2-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphosphorinane, $C_{11}H_{24}ClN_2P$ (1), were obtained by sublimation *in vacuo* slightly above room temperature. The asymmetric unit of the monoclinic crystal structure of the sixmembered N-heterocyclic compound is defined by one molecule in a general position. The six-membered ring of the molecule adopts a cyclohexane-like chair conformation: the chair at one side is to some extent flattened as a result of the approximately trigonal-planar coordination of both nitrogen atoms. In detail, this modified chair conformation is characterized by an angle of 53.07 (15)° between the plane defined by the three carbon atoms and the best plane of the two nitrogen atoms and the two carbon atoms bound to them, and an angle of 27.96 (7) $^{\circ}$ between the latter plane and the plane defined by the nitrogen and phosphorus atoms. The tert-butyl groups are oriented equatorially and the chloro substituent is oriented axially. The P-Cl bond length of 2.2869 (6) Å is substantially longer than the P–Cl single-bond length in PCl₃ [2.034 Å; Galy & Enjalbert (1982). J. Solid State Chem. 44, 1–23]. Inspection of the intermolecular distances gives no evidence for interactions stronger than van der Waals forces. The closest contact is between the Cl atom and a methylene group of a neighbouring molecule with a Cl···C distance of 3.7134 (18) Å, excluding a significant influence on the P-Cl bonding.

1. Chemical context

Over the past two decades, P-chlorofunctionalized N-heterocyclic phosphanes (NHPCls) received considerable attention, mainly as precursors of N-heterocyclic phosphenium ions (NHPs) that are valence isoelectronic compounds of the wellknown N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) (Papke et al., 2017), but also as educts of tetrakis(amino)diphosphanes (e.g. Bezombes et al., 2004; Blum et al., 2016; Edge et al., 2009; Frank et al., 1996), some of which reversibly dissociate to stable phosphinyl radicals ('jack-in-the-box dipnictines'; Hinchley et al., 2001), and as starting materials in the synthesis of mixed-valent tetrakis(amino)tetraphosphetes (Breuers et al., 2015; Frank et al., 1996). Furthermore, NHPCls and NHPs have been used as ligands in transition metal complexes (Thomas et al., 2018), some of which have a potential application in catalysis (Gatien et al., 2018). In the context of NHP chemistry, the majority of compounds are five-membered cycles, and especially P-chlorofunctionalized 1,3,2-diazaphospholenes (Denk et al., 1996; Carmalt & Lomeli, 1997)

have gained a widespread use as precursors for 1,3,2-diazaphospholenium cations (the most prominent class of NHPs) that are weak σ -donors and strong π -acceptors (Caputo *et al.*, 2008; Tuononen et al., 2007). A limited number of structurally characterized examples is known for the class of P-chlorofunctionalized four-membered NHPCls $Cl-P < (NR)_2 > E$ and the related NHPs. The fourth ring member >E, joining the class-defining $Cl-P<(NR)_2$ fragment, is an $>SiR_2$ group in most cases (e.g. Breuers & Frank, 2016; Gün et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018; Mo & Frank, 2019; Veith et al., 1988) but some compounds containing >C=N-R (Brazeau *et al.*, 2012), >B-Ph (Konu et al., 2008) and >As-Cl (Hinz et al., 2015) have also been synthesized and structurally characterized. In contrast to the aforementioned compounds with four- and five-membered rings, six-membered NHPs and NHPCls are less present in recent publications, although 2-chloro-1,3,2diazaphophorinanes $H_2C < (CH_2NR)_2 > P-Cl$, for instance, have been known since the early 1970s (Maryanoff & Hutchins, 1972; Nifant'ev et al., 1977). Temperature-dependent dynamical NMR investigations showed that in solution these substances are not subject to a fast conformation change, like the ring-inversion process of cyclohexane, and that in the predominant conformation the chloro substituent is expected to be in the axial position and the residues on the nitrogen atoms are oriented 'diequatorial'. This gives rise to a quite complex ¹H-NMR spectrum with an AA'KK'QTX pattern (X = P, AA'KK' = C₄ and C₆ protons, Q and T = C₅ protons; Hutchins et al., 1972). Furthermore, the number and position of the signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum are dependent on concentration, which was attributed to intermolecular chlorine-exchange mechanisms. Even though this parent class of six-membered NHPCls has been known for quite some time, no crystal structure analysis has thus far been reported. Herein, we present the crystal structure of the title compound that allows for a structural comparison with the most closely related four- or five-membered NHPCls known, on one hand, and with phospha- and 1,3,2-dioxaphosphacyclohexane





Diagram of the molecular structure of compound 1 in the crystal displaying the atom-labelling scheme. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, the radii of hydrogen atoms are chosen arbitrarily.

molecule are: (i) the partially flattened chair conformation of the central six-membered heterocycle (displayed in more detail in Fig. 2) with an angle of 53.07 $(15)^{\circ}$ between the plane defined by the carbon atoms and the best plane of C1, C3, N1 and N2, and an angle of $27.96 (7)^{\circ}$ between the latter plane and the plane defined by the nitrogen and phosphorus atoms; (ii) the equatorial orientation of both tert-butyl groups, enforced by the approximate trigonal-planar coordination of the nitrogen atoms [sums of angles 356.2 (N1) and 355.8 (N2)], in combination with the axial orientation of the chloro substituent (Fig. 2) [out of plane angle: $106.83(5)^{\circ}$]; (iii) the length of the P1-Cl1 bond, 2.2869 (6) Å, is substantially longer than the standard single bond (2.02 Å; Brown, 2016) and the longest bond found in a six-membered NHPCl so far. The P-N bond lengths [P1-N1 = 1.6584 (14) and P1-N2 =1.6519 (14) Å] are significantly smaller than the standard single-bond length [P-N = 1.704 (4) Å; Brown & Altermatt,



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of 1 in the crystal is shown in Fig. 1. The molecule does not suffer from conformational disorder, which is often recognized in the solids of saturated N-heterocyclic compounds. The main characteristics of the

Figure 2

Chair conformation of the molecule (H atoms are omitted for clarity); note the cyclohexane-like conformation at the 'carbon-atom side' [folding angle 53.07 (15)° as compared to 54.5 (6)° in the ordered, monoclinic phase of C_6H_{12} (Kahn *et al.*, 1973)] and the 'semi-flattened' conformation [folding angle 27.96 (7)°] at the 'phosphorus/nitrogen-atom side'.

research communications

1985] and are close to the lower limit of the range found for NHPCls. The P–Cl bond is substantially longer than the P– Cl single-bond length in PCl₃ (2.034 Å; Galy & Enjalbert, 1982). The closest related five-membered NHPCl, 2-chloro-1,3-di-*tert*-butyl-2,1,3-phosphadiazolidine (CH₂N'Bu)₂>P-Cl shows almost identical bonding at the phosphorus atom [P–N = 1.652 (2) and P–Cl = 2.3136 (7) Å; Denk *et al.*, 1999]. Unfortunately, a similar close relationship cannot be found among the known crystal structures of four-membered NHPCls and the closest related compound seems to be the *P*-chloro-substituted diazaphosphasiletidine Cl–P<(N'Bu)₂>-SiMe₂ [P–N = 1.6815 (14) and P–Cl = 2.2498 (6) Å; Gün *et al.*, 2017].

A more general comparison with other *P*-chloro-functionalized six-membered heterocyclic phosphorus compounds illustrates the P—Cl bond-length variation depending on the bonding situation in the heterocycle. Di-(3-methylindol-2yl)chlorophosphine-4-bromophenylmethane (Mallov *et al.*, 2012), exhibits a planar coordination at the two carbon atoms next to the nitrogen atoms due to exoalkylene group bonding, with a P—Cl bond length of only 2.108 (2) Å. In 2-chloro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-4,6,8-trioxa-2-phosphaadamantane

(Downing et al., 2008), which can be considered as a chlorophosphorinane $[(-CR)_2 > P - Cl]$ with an enforced chair conformation, P-Cl = 2.0754 (11) Å and in the 2-chloro-1,3,2dioxaphophorinane derivative $[(-O)_2 > P - Cl]$ described by Pavan Kumar & Kumara Swamy (2007), P-Cl = 2.1227 (9) Å. Some examples of six-membered heterocycles with enforced ring flattening as a result of sterically demanding substituents (Brazeau et al., 2012; Burford et al., 2004; Holthausen et al., 2016; Schranz et al., 2000) and with flattening due to π -system involvement of the carbon atoms, such as 2-chloro-1,2,3,4tetrahydro-1,3,2-diazaphosphinium salts (Lesikar et al., 2007; Vidovic et al., 2006), 2-chloro-5,6-benzo-1,3,2-diazaphosphorin-4-one (Sonnenburg et al., 1997) and 2-chloro-2,3-dihydro-1H-naphtho[1,8-de][1,3,2]diazaphosphinines (Kozma et al., 2015; Spinney et al., 2007) all show significantly shorter P-Cl bonds compared to 1, ranging from 2.072 (4) to 2.244 (3) Å. Further geometric details of 1 are given in the supporting information. C-C and C-N bond lengths, as well as endocyclic and exocyclic bond angles, are as expected taking into account the main structural characteristics given above. Finally it should be noted that the crystal structure determination described here confirms the suggestions of Hutchins et al. (1972) concerning the structure of 2-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphophorinanes, derived by NMR spectroscopy.

3. Supramolecular features

Inspection of the intermolecular distances gives no evidence for interactions stronger than van der Waals forces in the crystal of **1**. The closest contact is given between Cl1 and the methylene group of the neighbouring molecule containing C1 at a Cl···C distance of 3.7134 (18) Å, symmetry related by the *c* glide plane (symmetry code: $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$). Fig. 3 shows the packing of the molecules in the crystal. Space group-symmetry gives rise to an appealing wave-like pattern.



Figure 3

Packing diagram of 1 (view direction $[00\overline{1}]$) showing a wave-like pattern. Inspection of the intermolecular distances gives no evidence for interactions stronger than van der Waals forces and intermolecular influence on the P-Cl bonding can be excluded.

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.40, November 2018 update; Groom et al., 2016) for the heterocycle substructure of 2-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphosphorinanes (i.e. exclusively single bonds in the six-membered ring) yielded only one structure (DEHZOH; Mallov et al., 2012). However, two of the ring carbon atoms are bonded to exoalkylene groups and are in planar coordination. A more general search allowing for alternative P^{III}-functionalization gave eight hits $N^1, N^{11}: N^4, N^8$ -bis(μ_2 -methylphosphino)-1,4,8,11including tetraazacyclotetradecane (COLZUY; Hope et al., 1984), 1,3-di-tert-butyl-2-triphenylsilyl-1,3,2-diazaphosphorinane (DODDUV; Nifant'ev et al., 1985), the 1,3-di-tert-butyl-1,3,2diazaphosphorinanyloxy)calix(4)arenes FEMLOZ and FEMLUF (Maslennikova *et al.*, 2004), (η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)dichloro(1,3-dimethyl-1,3,2-diazaphospholyl)titanium (LAR-TED; Nifant'ev et al., 1991), the phosphatris(pyrrolyl)- and -(indolyl)methanes NEQBUG (Barnard & Mason, 2001a) and YETDIK (Barnard & Mason, 2001b) and finally 3-(tert-butyl)trimethylsilylamino-2,4-di-tert-butyl-1-[2-(1,3-di-tert-butyl-1,3,2-diazaphosphoridinyl)]imino-3-thio-1,2,4,3-thiadiazaphosphetidine (YOVYEN; Wrackmeyer et al., 1994). A search for P-chloro-functionalized six-membered ring compounds with any other three ring atoms joining the $Cl-P < (NR)_2$ fragment and allowing for any kind of bonding in the ring gave 16 hits including eight with three carbon atoms. In addition to DEHZOH mentioned before, these include 2-chloro-1-(2'chloroethyl)-3-methyl-5,6-benzo-1,3,2-diazaphosphorin-4-one (MAMBUX; Sonnenburg et al., 1997), the 2-chloro-1,3-diorganyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-naphtho[1,8-de][1,3,2]diazaphosphinines OGOXAL (Kozma et al., 2015), REQKEE and TIPVIY

Table 1Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{11}H_{24}CIN_2P$
$M_{ m r}$	250.74
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	173
a, b, c (Å)	12.5954 (5), 9.1549 (3), 12.9614 (6)
β (°)	101.547 (3)
$V(Å^3)$	1464.33 (10)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.35
Crystal size (mm)	$0.48 \times 0.28 \times 0.25$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS II
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (XPREP; Bruker, 2008)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.761, 0.929
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	16291, 3943, 3547
Rint	0.050
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.686
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.048, 0.109, 1.01
No. of reflections	3943
No. of parameters	142
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ (e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.41, -0.21

Computer programs: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2002), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2015).

(Spinney *et al.*, 2007) and the 1,3-bis(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)-2-chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,3,2-diazaphosphinium salts NIJXUA (Lesikar *et al.*, 2007) and PENNUS (Vidovic *et al.*, 2006).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared under an argon atmosphere in oven-dried glassware using standard Schlenk techniques, modifying a published procedure (Nifant'ev et al., 1977) by including a lithiation step. 3.75 g (20.1 mmol) of N,N'-di-tertbutyl-1,3-propanediamine were dissolved in a mixture of diethyl ether and n-hexane (35 ml/55 ml). 16 ml of an *n*-butyllithium solution ($c = 2.5 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$ in *n*-hexane, 40 mmol) were slowly added at 263 K. Half an hour later, the reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and the resulting pale-yellow suspension was stirred for 16 h. 2.92 g of PCl₃ (21.3 mmol) were added dropwise over a period of 15 minutes at 195 K. To complete the reaction, the yellow reaction mixture was stirred for another hour with cooling and finally for two h at room temperature. Subsequently, the LiCl precipitate was filtered off and, after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was obtained as a vellow solid. Colourless block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained by sublimation in a vacuum $(3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mbar})$ at 313 K (30% yield; m.p. 327 K), by NMR analysis proved to be pure substance. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 3.16–3.07 (*m*, 4 H), 1.90–1.80 (*m*, 2 H), 1.34 [d, ${}^{4}J$ (H,P) = 3.5 Hz, 18H].

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. Positions of all hydrogen atoms were identified *via* subsequent ΔF syntheses. In the refinement, a riding model was applied using idealized C–H bond lengths (0.98–0.99 Å) as well as H–C–H and C–C–H angles. In addition, the H atoms of the CH₃ groups were allowed to rotate around the neighbouring C–C bonds. The U_{iso} values were set to $1.5U_{eq}(C_{methyl})$ and $1.2U_{eq}(C_{methylene})$.

Acknowledgements

We thank E. Hammes for technical support.

References

- Barnard, T. S. & Mason, M. R. (2001a). Inorg. Chem. 40, 5001-5009.
- Barnard, T. S. & Mason, M. R. (2001b). Organometallics, 20, 206–214.
- Bezombes, J. P., Borisenko, K. B., Hitchcock, P. B., Lappert, M. F., Nycz, J. E., Rankin, D. W. H. & Robertson, H. E. (2004). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 1980–1988.
- Blum, M., Puntigam, O., Plebst, S., Ehret, F., Bender, J., Nieger, M. & Gudat, D. (2016). *Dalton Trans.* **45**, 1987–1997.
- Brandenburg, K. (2015). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Brazeau, A. L., Hänninen, M. M., Tuononen, H. M., Jones, N. D. & Ragogna, P. J. (2012). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 5398–5414.
- Breuers, V. & Frank, W. (2016). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 231, 529–532.
- Breuers, V., Lehmann, C. W. & Frank, W. (2015). Chem. Eur. J. 21, 4596–4606.
- Brown, I. D. (2016). Accumulated Table Of Bond Valence Parameters. Private communication.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Bruker (2008). XPREP. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burford, N., Conroy, K. D., Landry, J. C., Ragogna, P. J., Ferguson, M. J. & McDonald, R. (2004). *Inorg. Chem.* 43, 8245–8251.
- Caputo, C. A., Price, J. T., Jennings, M. C., McDonald, R. & Jones, N. D. (2008). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 3461–3469.
- Carmalt, C. J. & Lomeli, V. (1997). Chem. Commun. pp. 2095-2096.
- Denk, M. K., Gupta, S. & Lough, A. J. (1999). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 41–49.
- Denk, M. K., Gupta, S. & Ramachandran, R. (1996). Tetrahedron Lett. 37, 9025–9028.
- Downing, J. H., Floure, J., Heslop, K., Haddow, M. F., Hopewell, J., Lusi, M., Phetmung, H., Orpen, A. G., Pringle, P. G., Pugh, R. I. & Zambrano-Williams, D. (2008). Organometallics, 27, 3216–3224.
- Edge, R., Less, R. J., McInnes, E. J. L., Müther, K., Naseri, V., Rawson, J. M. & Wright, D. S. (2009). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1691– 1693.
- Frank, W., Petry, V., Gerwalin, E. & Reiss, G. J. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 1512–1514.
- Galy, J. & Enjalbert, R. (1982). J. Solid State Chem. 44, 1-23.
- Gatien, A. V., Lavoie, C. M., Bennett, R. N., Ferguson, M. J., McDonald, R., Johnson, E. R., Speed, A. W. H. & Stradiotto, M. (2018). ACS Catal. 8, 5328–5339.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gün, H., Mettlach née Casel, C. & Frank, W. (2017). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, **72**, 873–882.
- Hinchley, S. L., Morrison, C. A., Rankin, D. W. H., Macdonald, C. L. B., Wiacek, R. J., Voigt, A., Cowley, A. H., Lappert, M. F., Gundersen, G., Clyburne, J. A. C. & Power, P. P. (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 9045–9053.

research communications

- Hinz, A., Schulz, A. & Villinger, A. (2015). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 668–672.
- Holthausen, M. H., Sala, C. & Weigand, J. J. (2016). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 667–677.
- Hope, H., Viggiano, M., Moezzi, B. & Power, P. P. (1984). Inorg. Chem. 23, 2550–2552.
- Hutchins, R. O., Maryanoff, B. E., Albrand, J. P., Cogne, A., Gagnaire, D. & Robert, J. B. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 9151–9158.
- Kahn, R., Fourme, R., André, D. & Renaud, M. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 131–138.
- Konu, J., Tuononen, H. M., Chivers, T., Corrente, A. M., Boeré, R. T. & Roemmele, T. L. (2008). *Inorg. Chem.* 47, 3823–3831.
- Kozma, A., Rust, J. & Alcarazo, M. (2015). Chem. Eur. J. 21, 10829– 10834.
- Lesikar, L. A., Woodul, W. D. & Richards, A. F. (2007). *Polyhedron*, **26**, 3242–3246.
- Mallov, I., Spinney, H., Jurca, T., Gorelsky, S., Burchell, T. & Richeson, D. (2012). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **392**, 5–9.
- Maryanoff, B. E. & Hutchins, R. O. (1972). J. Org. Chem. 37, 3475–3480.
- Maslennikova, V., Serkova, O., Gruner, M., Goutal, S., Bauer, I., Habicher, W., Lyssenko, K., Antipin, M. & Nifantyev, E. E. (2004). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 4884–4893.
- Mo, D. & Frank, W. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 405-409.
- Mo, D., Serio, M. & Frank, W. (2018). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 233, 139–142.
- Nifant'ev, E. E., Sorokina, S. F., Vorob'eva, L. A., Borisenko, A. A. & Nevskii, N. N. (1985). *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* 55, 738–748.

- Nifant'ev, E. E., Zavalishina, A. I., Sorokina, S. F., Borisenko, A. A., Smirnova, E. I. & Gustova, I. V. (1977). *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.* 47, 1793–1802.
- Nifant'ev, I. E., Manzhukova, L. F., Antipin, M. Y., Struchkov, Y. T. & Nifant'ev, E. E. (1991). *Metalloorg. Khim.* 4, 475–478.
- Papke, M., Dettling, L., Sklorz, J. A. W., Szieberth, D., Nyulászi, L. & Müller, C. (2017). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 16484–16489.
- Pavan Kumar, K. V. P. & Kumara Swamy, K. C. (2007). Carbohydr. Res. 342, 1182–1188.
- Schranz, I., Grocholl, L. P., Stahl, L., Staples, R. J. & Johnson, A. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* 39, 3037–3041.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sonnenburg, R., Borkenhagen, F., Neda, I., Thönnessen, H., Jones, P. G. & Schmutzler, R. (1997). *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 126, 11–26.
- Spinney, H. A., Korobkov, I., DiLabio, G. A., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. S. (2007). *Organometallics*, **26**, 4972–4982.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). X-AREA. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Thomas, C. M., Hatzis, G. P. & Pepi, M. J. (2018). *Polyhedron*, **143**, 215–222.
- Tuononen, H. M., Roesler, R., Dutton, J. L. & Ragogna, P. J. (2007). Inorg. Chem. 46, 10693–10706.
- Veith, M. & Bertsch, B. (1988). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 557, 7-22.
- Vidovic, D., Lu, Z., Reeske, G., Moore, J. A. & Cowley, A. H. (2006). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3501–3503.
- Wrackmeyer, B., Köhler, C., Milius, W. & Herberhold, M. (1994). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon, 89, 151–162.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 552-556 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019004195]

Crystal structure of 1,3-di-*tert*-butyl-2-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphosphorinane – a saturated six-membered phosphorus nitrogen heterocycle with a partially flattened chair conformation and a long P^{III}—Cl bond

Erik Mecke and Walter Frank

Computing details

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); data reduction: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a4); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2015); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015b).

1,3-Di-tert-butyl-2-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphosphinane

Crystal data

 $C_{11}H_{24}ClN_2P$ $M_r = 250.74$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 12.5954 (5) Å b = 9.1549 (3) Å c = 12.9614 (6) Å $\beta = 101.547$ (3)° V = 1464.33 (10) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Stoe IPDS II diffractometer ω -scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (XPREP; Bruker, 2008) $T_{\min} = 0.761, T_{\max} = 0.929$ 16291 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$ $wR(F^2) = 0.109$ S = 1.013943 reflections 142 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 544 $D_x = 1.137 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 20870 reflections $\theta = 4.5-59.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 KBlock, colourless $0.48 \times 0.28 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

3943 independent reflections 3547 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.050$ $\theta_{max} = 29.2^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.7^{\circ}$ $h = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0327P)^2 + 0.8644P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 552-556

sunnorting in	tormation
supporting in	ioimation

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$$

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.41 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

$$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

U...*/*U*. x v 7.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	54	y	2	e iso , e eq	
Cl1	0.85529 (4)	0.26976 (5)	0.83702 (4)	0.05736 (15)	
P1	0.72874 (3)	0.09412 (5)	0.77742 (3)	0.03774 (11)	
N1	0.67636 (11)	0.15879 (16)	0.65862 (11)	0.0411 (3)	
N2	0.80820 (11)	-0.03915 (15)	0.75215 (11)	0.0390 (3)	
C1	0.73831 (16)	0.1599 (2)	0.57350 (14)	0.0511 (4)	
H11	0.7950	0.2362	0.5881	0.061*	
H12	0.6892	0.1842	0.5060	0.061*	
C2	0.79040 (18)	0.0142 (3)	0.56389 (15)	0.0579 (5)	
H21	0.7332	-0.0608	0.5451	0.070*	
H22	0.8314	0.0187	0.5063	0.070*	
C3	0.86546 (15)	-0.0296 (2)	0.66384 (14)	0.0485 (4)	
H31	0.8981	-0.1256	0.6538	0.058*	
H32	0.9248	0.0428	0.6809	0.058*	
C4	0.58516 (16)	0.2663 (2)	0.64533 (15)	0.0507 (4)	
C5	0.6238 (2)	0.4171 (3)	0.6188 (2)	0.0810 (7)	
H51	0.6437	0.4141	0.5495	0.122*	
H52	0.6870	0.4457	0.6722	0.122*	
H53	0.5654	0.4883	0.6176	0.122*	
C6	0.49516 (19)	0.2120 (3)	0.5566 (2)	0.0804 (8)	
H61	0.5245	0.1962	0.4930	0.121*	
H62	0.4372	0.2850	0.5423	0.121*	
H63	0.4660	0.1199	0.5777	0.121*	
C7	0.5396 (2)	0.2788 (3)	0.7459 (2)	0.0756 (7)	
H71	0.5958	0.3169	0.8030	0.113*	
H72	0.5165	0.1822	0.7653	0.113*	
H73	0.4774	0.3454	0.7337	0.113*	
C8	0.85809 (15)	-0.1413 (2)	0.83911 (15)	0.0489 (4)	
C9	0.97954 (16)	-0.1119 (3)	0.87176 (17)	0.0655 (6)	
H91	1.0154	-0.1390	0.8141	0.098*	
H92	1.0096	-0.1700	0.9343	0.098*	
H93	0.9915	-0.0079	0.8879	0.098*	
C10	0.8368 (3)	-0.2973 (2)	0.7984 (2)	0.0860 (8)	
H101	0.7585	-0.3146	0.7800	0.129*	
H102	0.8699	-0.3664	0.8533	0.129*	
H103	0.8684	-0.3110	0.7359	0.129*	
C11	0.80696 (18)	-0.1203 (3)	0.93605 (17)	0.0631 (6)	

supporting information

H111	0.7286	-0.1367	0.9164	0.095*
H112	0.8208	-0.0205	0.9629	0.095*
H113	0.8388	-0.1902	0.9908	0.095*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C11	0.0692 (3)	0.0498 (3)	0.0529 (3)	-0.0125 (2)	0.0117 (2)	-0.0122 (2)
P1	0.0374 (2)	0.0386 (2)	0.0394 (2)	0.00313 (16)	0.01304 (15)	0.00418 (16)
N1	0.0410 (7)	0.0420 (7)	0.0407 (7)	0.0073 (6)	0.0093 (5)	0.0033 (6)
N2	0.0388 (7)	0.0362 (6)	0.0423 (7)	0.0030 (5)	0.0093 (5)	0.0012 (5)
C1	0.0553 (10)	0.0609 (11)	0.0386 (8)	0.0111 (9)	0.0133 (7)	0.0070 (8)
C2	0.0666 (12)	0.0672 (13)	0.0426 (9)	0.0142 (10)	0.0169 (9)	-0.0053 (9)
C3	0.0493 (9)	0.0537 (10)	0.0451 (9)	0.0109 (8)	0.0158 (7)	-0.0028 (8)
C4	0.0511 (10)	0.0481 (10)	0.0529 (10)	0.0149 (8)	0.0106 (8)	0.0062 (8)
C5	0.101 (2)	0.0493 (12)	0.0943 (18)	0.0181 (13)	0.0239 (15)	0.0167 (12)
C6	0.0526 (12)	0.098 (2)	0.0830 (16)	0.0202 (13)	-0.0058 (11)	-0.0045 (15)
C7	0.0733 (15)	0.0876 (17)	0.0719 (14)	0.0409 (14)	0.0291 (12)	0.0144 (13)
C8	0.0512 (10)	0.0434 (9)	0.0522 (10)	0.0103 (8)	0.0110 (8)	0.0108 (8)
C9	0.0477 (10)	0.0957 (17)	0.0521 (11)	0.0230 (11)	0.0076 (8)	0.0079 (11)
C10	0.115 (2)	0.0402 (11)	0.102 (2)	0.0101 (13)	0.0214 (17)	0.0085 (12)
C11	0.0636 (12)	0.0692 (13)	0.0612 (12)	0.0141 (10)	0.0234 (10)	0.0278 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cl1—P1	2.2869 (6)	С5—Н53	0.9800
P1—N2	1.6519 (14)	C6—H61	0.9800
P1—N1	1.6584 (14)	С6—Н62	0.9800
N1-C1	1.473 (2)	С6—Н63	0.9800
N1-C4	1.496 (2)	C7—H71	0.9800
N2—C3	1.472 (2)	С7—Н72	0.9800
N2-C8	1.502 (2)	С7—Н73	0.9800
C1—C2	1.502 (3)	C8—C10	1.527 (3)
C1—H11	0.9900	C8—C9	1.527 (3)
C1—H12	0.9900	C8—C11	1.534 (3)
C2—C3	1.498 (3)	С9—Н91	0.9800
C2—H21	0.9900	С9—Н92	0.9800
C2—H22	0.9900	С9—Н93	0.9800
С3—Н31	0.9900	C10—H101	0.9800
С3—Н32	0.9900	C10—H102	0.9800
C4—C5	1.526 (3)	C10—H103	0.9800
C4—C6	1.527 (3)	C11—H111	0.9800
C4—C7	1.529 (3)	C11—H112	0.9800
C5—H51	0.9800	C11—H113	0.9800
С5—Н52	0.9800		
N2—P1—N1	102.93 (7)	H52—C5—H53	109.5
N2—P1—C11	100.22 (5)	C4—C6—H61	109.5

N1—P1—C11	100.57 (6)	С4—С6—Н62	109.5
C1—N1—C4	114.76 (14)	H61—C6—H62	109.5
C1—N1—P1	121.73 (11)	С4—С6—Н63	109.5
C4—N1—P1	119.69 (12)	H61—C6—H63	109.5
$C_3 - N_2 - C_8$	115.07 (13)	H62—C6—H63	109.5
$C_3 - N_2 - P_1$	121 39 (12)	C4-C7-H71	109.5
C8—N2—P1	119 34 (11)	C4-C7-H72	109.5
N1 - C1 - C2	111.20 (16)	H71 - C7 - H72	109.5
N1-C1-H11	109.4	C4-C7-H73	109.5
C_2 C_1 H_{11}	109.4	H71 - C7 - H73	109.5
N1 C1 H12	109.4	H72 C7 H73	109.5
C_{2} C_{1} H_{12}	109.4	$N_2 = C_8 = C_{10}$	107.76 (17)
$H_{11} = C_1 = H_{12}$	109.4	N2 C8 C9	107.70(17)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	112 13 (16)	112 - 03 - 03	110.10(10) 110.0(2)
$C_{3} = C_{2} = C_{1}$	100.2	$N_2 = C_8 = C_1^{-1}$	110.9(2)
$C_{3} - C_{2} - H_{21}$	109.2	$N_2 = C_0 = C_{11}$	110.81(13) 100.06(10)
$C_1 = C_2 = H_2 T$	109.2	$C_{10} = C_{8} = C_{11}$	109.00(19) 108.10(17)
$C_3 = C_2 = H_{22}$	109.2	C_{9} C_{0} U_{01}	100.19 (17)
C1 - C2 - H22	109.2	$C_8 = C_9 = H_9 I$	109.5
$n_2 = 0.2 = 0.2$	107.9	101 - 00 - 102	109.5
$N_2 = C_3 = C_2$	111.45 (15)	H91 - C9 - H92	109.5
$N_2 = C_3 = H_3 I$	109.3	$C_{8} - C_{9} - H_{93}$	109.5
C2—C3—H31	109.3	H91 - C9 - H93	109.5
N2 - C3 - H32	109.3	H92—C9—H93	109.5
C2—C3—H32	109.3	C8-C10-H101	109.5
H31—C3—H32	108.0	C8—C10—H102	109.5
NI-C4-C5	110.44 (17)	H101—C10—H102	109.5
N1—C4—C6	108.04 (17)	C8—C10—H103	109.5
C5—C4—C6	110.3 (2)	H101—C10—H103	109.5
N1—C4—C7	111.19 (15)	H102—C10—H103	109.5
C5—C4—C7	108.4 (2)	C8—C11—H111	109.5
C6—C4—C7	108.5 (2)	C8—C11—H112	109.5
C4—C5—H51	109.5	H111—C11—H112	109.5
C4—C5—H52	109.5	C8—C11—H113	109.5
H51—C5—H52	109.5	H111—C11—H113	109.5
C4—C5—H53	109.5	H112—C11—H113	109.5
H51—C5—H53	109.5		
N2—P1—N1—C1	-33.19 (16)	C1—C2—C3—N2	59.3 (2)
Cl1—P1—N1—C1	69.98 (15)	C1—N1—C4—C5	-48.7 (2)
N2—P1—N1—C4	170.01 (13)	P1—N1—C4—C5	109.66 (18)
Cl1—P1—N1—C4	-86.82 (14)	C1—N1—C4—C6	72.0 (2)
N1—P1—N2—C3	33.52 (15)	P1—N1—C4—C6	-129.63 (17)
Cl1—P1—N2—C3	-69.94 (13)	C1—N1—C4—C7	-169.02 (19)
N1—P1—N2—C8	-170.64 (13)	P1—N1—C4—C7	-10.7 (2)
Cl1—P1—N2—C8	85.90 (13)	C3—N2—C8—C10	-72.3 (2)
C4—N1—C1—C2	-153.99 (17)	P1-N2-C8-C10	130.43 (17)
P1—N1—C1—C2	48.1 (2)	C3—N2—C8—C9	48.8 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-58.7 (2)	P1—N2—C8—C9	-108.48 (16)

supporting information

C8—N2—C3—C2	154.03 (17)	C3—N2—C8—C11	168.49 (17)
P1—N2—C3—C2	-49.2 (2)	P1—N2—C8—C11	11.2 (2)