Crystal structures of 4-{(*E*)-3-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-*N*,*N*-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide and 2-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]-4-{(*E*)-3-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-*N*,*N*-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide

Lorenzo M. Cruz,^a Raakiyah Y. Moore,^a Marcela Torres Gutierrez,^a Apsara K. Herath,^b Carl J. Lovely^b and Muhammed Yousufuddin^a*

^aLife and Health Sciences Department, University of North Texas at Dallas, 7400 University Hills Blvd, Dallas, TX 75241, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Arlington, 700 Planetarium Pl., Arlington, TX 76019, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: myousuf@untdallas.edu

The structures of two azide containing imidazole derivatives are reported. Allylic azides are fairly reactive making them attractive starting compounds to convert into amides. The first, $C_8H_{12}N_6O_2S$, contains one azide group with an $N_{\alpha}-N_{\beta}$ distance of 1.229 (2) Å and an $N_{\beta}-N_{\gamma}$ distance of 1.128 (2) Å. The second, $C_8H_{11}N_9O_2S$, contains two azide groups with an average $N_{\alpha}-N_{\beta}$ distance of 1.249 (2) Å and an average $N_{\beta}-N_{\gamma}$ distance of 1.132 (2) Å. Each compound contains a bulky protecting group (dimethylaminosulfonyl) which can be easily removed under mildly acidic conditions.

1. Chemical context

The efficient synthesis of nagelamide alkaloids (a subfamily of oroidin natural products derived from marine sponges) has garnered interest (Du et al., 2006; Das et al., 2016) since first reported (Endo et al., 2004). Allylic azides (Carlson & Topczewski, 2019) are fairly reactive making them attractive starting compounds to convert into amides. Our group has successfully synthesized a number of azide-containing imidazole derivatives and determined their structures. Many of our strategies have led to the successful synthesis of several nagelamide derivatives (Bhandari et al., 2009; Mukherjee et al., 2010). However, the application of our approaches to several other nagelamide congeners were unsuccessful, leading us to rethink our tactics. Recently, we reported the efficient synthesis of amide compounds from allylic azide-containing imidazoles (Herath et al., 2017). In that report we were also able to show that although the imidazoles contained dimethylaminosulfonyl (DMAS) protecting groups, efficient conversion to amides was still possible. In addition, the free imidazole (lacking the protecting group but still containing azide) underwent selective and rapid conversion to amide without the undesired hydrosulfenylation we observed with protected imidazoles. Here we present the crystal structures of two azide-containing imidazoles, $4-\{(E)-3-[(imino-\lambda^5-azany)-\lambda^5-azany]-\lambda^5$ idene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-N,N-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide (1) and 2-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]-4-{(E)-3-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-N,N-dimethyl-

mean: N2 N1 C3 C1 C2





CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 5 March 2019 Accepted 15 April 2019

Edited by M. Zeller, Purdue University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; imidazole; nagelamide; azide; amide.

CCDC references: 1910313; 1910312

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

research communications

imidazole-1-sulfonamide (2). These compounds were synthesized in the previous study but the structures were not reported. Figs. 1 and 2 show displacement ellipsoid plots of 1 and 2, respectively.



2. Structural commentary

Compound 1 contains one allylic azide while compound 2 contains two azide groups, an allylic azide and one azide bound directly to the imidazole ring at C2. The azide group in 1 shows an N3–N4 distance of 1.229 (2) Å and an N4–N5 distance of 1.128 (2) Å. The N3–N4–N5 angle is $172.32 (13)^{\circ}$. The azide groups in **2** show an N3–N4 distance of 1.253 (2) Å, N4-N5 distance of 1.129 (2) Å, N6-N7 distance of 1.239 (2) Å, and N7-N8 distance of 1.134 (2) Å. The N3-N4-N5 angle is $171.58 (15)^{\circ}$ and the N6-N7-N8 angle is 173.95 (15)°. All three azide moieties in both compounds show the same general trend of a longer $N_{\alpha} - N_{\beta}$ distance and shorter $N_{\beta}-N_{\gamma}$ distance with a quasilinear geometry. This is typical for covalent azides with terminal $N_{\beta}-N_{\nu}$ demonstrating more triple-bond character. A previously reported covalent azide occurring in the compound ethyl-2-[(azidocarbonyl)amino]benzoate demonstrated bond lengths $N_{\alpha} - N_{\beta}$ of 1.264 (2) Å and $N_{\beta} - N_{\gamma}$ of 1.131 (2) Å and an $N_{\alpha} - N_{\beta} - N_{\alpha}$ angle of 174.7 (2)° (Yassine *et al.*, 2016).

The torsion angles for the azides and dihedral angles between the azides and imidazole rings for both compounds have been measured. The allylic azide torsion angles between 1 and 2 are quite different. The measured torsion angle for the allylic azide (C5-C6-N3-N4) in 1 was -115.21 (13)° while the related torsion angle (C5-C6-N6-N7) in 2 was 50.25 (18)°. 2 contains one azide group bound to the imidazole at C2 and shows a torsion angle N1-C2-N3-N4 of



Figure 1

The molecular structure of compound **1**, with atom labels and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.



Figure 2

The molecular structure of compound **2**, with atom labels and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

 $-174.82 (11)^{\circ}$. The allylic azides in both compounds exhibit a similar dihedral angle between the azide and the imidazole ring, 70.3 (11)° for **1** and 77.3 (17)° for **2**. While the imidazole-bound azide in **2** shows a dihedral angle of 5.0 (10)°. Indeed, the torsion angle and dihedral angle for this particular azide demonstrate the near planarity between the imidazole and its covalently bound azide. Figs. 3 and 4 show the dihedral planes for **1** and **2**, respectively.

Both title compounds contain a DMAS protecting group. The amine component of this protecting group is sp^3 -hybridized, as validated by the C–N–C bond angles C6–N6–C8 = 113.86 (10)° for **1** and C7–N9–C8 = 113.93 (12)° for **2**. Both compounds also contain a double bond between C4 and C5.









Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for 1.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} C1 - H1 \cdots O1^{i} \\ C2 - H2 \cdots O2^{ii} \end{array}$	0.95 0.95	2.53 2.39	3.4487 (18) 3.2861 (18)	164 157
$C4-H4\cdots N5^{m}$	0.95	2.70	3.1920 (17)	113

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2; (iii) x + 1, y, z.

The measured bond distance is 1.333(2) Å for **1** and 1.340(2) Å for **2**.

The imidazole ring in **1** is substituted at the N1 and C3 position with no substitution at C2. The N1-C2 distance is 1.378 (2) Å while the N2-C2 distance is 1.301 (2) Å. However, in **2**, the imidazole ring is substituted with an azide group at C2 but this seemingly has no effect on the ring bond distances. The measured bond distances for N1-C2 and N2-C2 in **2** are 1.385 (2) and 1.310 (2) Å, respectively.

There is, however, a significant difference in the measured N1–S1 distance for the two compounds. The imidazole ring is substituted at the N1 position for both compounds with DMAS. The N1–S1 distance for **1** is 1.686(1) Å and 1.718(1) Å for **2**. The disparity may be attributed to the presence of azide, which is substituted at the C2 position for **2**.

3. Supramolecular features

The title compounds each contain bulky DMAS protecting groups and hydrogen bond distances that influence the molecule packing. Compound **1** shows $C1-H1\cdots O1^{i}$ and $C2-H2\cdots O2^{ii}$ interactions of 2.53 and 2.39 Å, respectively. There is also a C4-H4 \cdots N5ⁱⁱⁱ interaction of 2.70 Å (symmetry codes as in Table 1). Compound **2** demonstrates a C7-H7 $B\cdots$ O1ⁱ interaction of 2.51 Å. There are also C6-H6 $A\cdots$ N8ⁱⁱ and C7-H7 $C\cdots$ N6ⁱⁱⁱ interactions of 2.70 and 2.62 Å, respectively (symmetry codes as in Table 2). Figs. 5 and 6 show the close contacts for **1** and **2**, respectively.

Although both compounds contain aromatic rings, there appears to be no π -stacking present in the crystals of either compound. The stacking appears more staggered, most likely



Close contacts for compound 1.

Table	2			
Hydro	gen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°) for 2 .

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C7-H7B\cdotsO1^{i}$ $C6-H6A\cdotsN8^{ii}$ $C7-H7C\cdotsN6^{iii}$	0.98 0.99 0.98	2.51 2.70 2.62	3.444 (2) 3.337 (2) 3.357 (2)	160 123 132

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z; (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) x - 1, y, z - 1.

due to the presence of bulky DMAS groups on both compounds. However, the staggering in 1 appears more pronounced than in 2. In other words, the molecules are further apart in 1. This is most likely due to the larger torsion angle for the azide in 1 than in 2.

4. Database survey

A search of related compounds was conducted in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.38; Groom *et al.*, 2016). One very closely related compound, methyl 3-(1-(dimethylsulfamoyl)-1*H*-imidazol-5-yl)acrylate, was reported (Lovely *et al.*, 2010). This particular compound contains an imidazole with a DMAS protecting group and an allylic ester moiety. The DMAS amine has a C-N-C angle of 114.33 (14)°, showing the same amine hybridization exhibited in 1 and 2. The C4=C5 double bond distance is measured to be 1.330 (2) Å which is similar to the bond distances in 1 and 2 [1.333 (2) and 1.340 (2) Å respectively].

The crystal structure of a related allylic azide has been reported from our previous study (Herath *et al.*, 2017). This particular compound is a dimerized molecule with two allylic azides.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The syntheses of the title compounds were previously reported by our group (Lovely *et al.*, 2017). As shown in Fig. 7, the parent allylic azide **1** was prepared from the known alcohol starting compound (He *et al.*, 2003) by treatment with diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA) and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-undec-7-ene (DBU) according to the procedure described previously (Thompson *et al.*, 1993). Crystals were acquired by



research communications

 Table 3

 Experimental details.

	1	2
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_8H_{12}N_6O_2S$	$C_8H_{11}N_9O_2S$
M_r	256.30	297.32
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100	100
a, b, c (Å)	5.4252 (15), 9.830 (3), 11.137 (3)	6.6151 (18), 9.563 (3), 11.634 (3)
α, β, γ (°)	74.636 (5), 83.418 (5), 80.255 (5)	108.645 (4), 105.994 (4), 93.828 (4)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	563.0 (3)	660.6 (3)
Z	2	2
Radiation type	Μο <i>Κα</i>	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.29	0.26
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.05$	$0.80 \times 0.28 \times 0.08$
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Quest	Bruker D8 Quest
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016)	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.616, 0.746	0.634, 0.747
No. of measured, independent and	9314, 4292, 3477	11030, 5171, 4051
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections		
R _{int}	0.033	0.030
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.774	0.780
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.039, 0.104, 1.01	0.043, 0.109, 1.07
No. of reflections	4292	5171
No. of parameters	157	184
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.42, -0.48	0.46, -0.60

Computer programs: APEX3 and SAINT (Bruker, 2016), SHELXT2014 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2017 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

dissolving title compounds in ethanol with heating and slowly cooling in a freezer. Crystals appeared after about 1 week.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details for **1** and **2** are summarized in Table 3. Refinement for both



Synthetic scheme for both compounds. The title compounds are highlighted in red.

compounds were routine. H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.95-0.98 Å) and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for other H atoms.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Center for Nanostructured Materials at the University of Texas at Arlington for the use of their diffractometer.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Robert Welch Foundation (grant No. Y-1362).

References

- Bhandari, M. R., Sivappa, R. & Lovely, C. J. (2009). Org. Lett. 11, 1535–1538.
- Bruker (2016). *APEX3*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carlson, A. S. & Topczewski, J. J. (2019). Org. Bio. Chem. In the press. doi: 10.1039/C8OB03178A
- Das, J., Bhandari, M. R. & Lovely, C. J. (2016). edited by Att-ur-Rahman, Vol. 50, 341-371: Elsevier.
- Du, H., He, Y., Rasapalli, S. & Lovely, C. J. (2006). Synlett, 965–992.
- Endo, T., Tsuda, M., Okada, T., Mitsuhashi, S., Shima, H., Kikuchi, K., Mikami, Y., Fromont, J. & Kobayashi, J. (2004). J. Nat. Prod. 67, 1262–1267.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.

- He, Y., Chen, Y., Wu, H. & Lovely, C. J. (2003). Org. Lett. 5, 3623–3626.
- Herath, A. K., Bhandari, M. R., Gout, D., Yousufuddin, M. & Lovely, C. J. (2017). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 58, 3913–3918.
- Lovely, C. J., Sivappa, R., Mukherjee, S., Doundoulakis, T., Lima, H. M. & Yousufuddin, M. (2010). *Heterocycles*, **80**, 1353–1358.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Mukherjee, S., Sivappa, R., Yousufuddin, M. & Lovely, C. J. (2010). Synlett, pp. 817–821.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Thompson, A. S., Humphrey, G. R., DeMarco, A. M., Mathre, D. J. & Grabowski, E. J. J. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 5886–5888.
- Yassine, H., Hafid, A., Khouili, M., Mentre, O. & Ketatni, E. M. (2016). *IUCrData*, **1**, x161155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 695-699 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698901900519X]

Crystal structures of 4-{(*E*)-3-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-*N*,*N*-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide and 2-[(imino- λ^5 -azanyl-idene)amino]-4-{(*E*)-3-[(imino- λ^5 -azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-*N*,*N*-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide

Lorenzo M. Cruz, Raakiyah Y. Moore, Marcela Torres Gutierrez, Apsara K. Herath, Carl J. Lovely and Muhammed Yousufuddin

Computing details

For both structures, data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2014 (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2017* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

 $4-{(E)-3-[(Imino-\lambda^5-azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl}-N, N-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide (compound_1)$

Crystal data

 $C_{8}H_{12}N_{6}O_{2}S$ $M_{r} = 256.30$ Triclinic, *P*1 *a* = 5.4252 (15) Å *b* = 9.830 (3) Å *c* = 11.137 (3) Å *a* = 74.636 (5)° *β* = 83.418 (5)° *y* = 80.255 (5)° *V* = 563.0 (3) Å³

Data collection

Bruker D8 Quest diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016) $T_{\min} = 0.616, T_{\max} = 0.746$ 9314 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.104$ Z = 2 F(000) = 268 $D_x = 1.512 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 4940 reflections $\theta = 3.2-33.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K Prism, colourless $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

4292 independent reflections 3477 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.033$ $\theta_{max} = 33.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.2^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

S = 1.014292 reflections 157 parameters 0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
uneet methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.42 \ {\rm e \ A}$
Hydrogen site location: inferred from	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \text{ e A}^{-5}$
neighbouring sites	Extinction correction: SHELXL2017
H-atom parameters constrained	(Sheldrick, 2015b),
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0486P)^2 + 0.2224P]$	$Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Extinction coefficient: 0.035 (6)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	X	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S1	1.02066 (5)	0.56887 (3)	0.72049 (3)	0.01267 (8)
01	1.14077 (17)	0.54225 (11)	0.60703 (8)	0.01878 (19)
O2	1.15939 (17)	0.56915 (10)	0.82106 (8)	0.01797 (18)
N1	0.84664 (19)	0.43637 (11)	0.77979 (9)	0.01388 (19)
N2	0.6248 (2)	0.28893 (12)	0.91880 (10)	0.0177 (2)
N3	0.1658 (2)	-0.00361 (13)	0.71066 (11)	0.0199 (2)
N4	-0.0161 (2)	0.04337 (11)	0.64781 (10)	0.0164 (2)
N5	-0.1668 (2)	0.08138 (15)	0.57975 (11)	0.0256 (3)
N6	0.82889 (19)	0.71510 (11)	0.68919 (10)	0.01508 (19)
C1	0.7216 (2)	0.37309 (13)	0.71178 (11)	0.0140 (2)
H1	0.728564	0.389191	0.623584	0.017*
C2	0.7775 (3)	0.38185 (14)	0.90406 (11)	0.0176 (2)
H2	0.834333	0.408815	0.970797	0.021*
C3	0.5864 (2)	0.28275 (13)	0.79888 (11)	0.0139 (2)
C4	0.4234 (2)	0.19129 (12)	0.77555 (11)	0.0144 (2)
H4	0.407866	0.192306	0.691209	0.017*
C5	0.2939 (2)	0.10591 (13)	0.86438 (11)	0.0160 (2)
H5	0.306699	0.106802	0.948514	0.019*
C6	0.1298 (2)	0.00877 (14)	0.84143 (12)	0.0174 (2)
H6A	0.168435	-0.086933	0.898507	0.021*
H6B	-0.047919	0.045775	0.860031	0.021*
C7	0.6774 (3)	0.73822 (15)	0.58222 (13)	0.0211 (3)
H7A	0.536984	0.683286	0.607359	0.032*
H7B	0.781837	0.706956	0.513923	0.032*
H7C	0.612380	0.839831	0.554136	0.032*
C8	0.6881 (3)	0.75732 (15)	0.79801 (13)	0.0211 (3)
H8A	0.611434	0.857329	0.772839	0.032*
H8B	0.802632	0.745666	0.863140	0.032*
H8C	0.556684	0.697062	0.830419	0.032*

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S 1	0.01100 (13)	0.01655 (14)	0.01073 (13)	-0.00187 (9)	-0.00111 (9)	-0.00383 (10)
01	0.0157 (4)	0.0266 (5)	0.0153 (4)	-0.0035 (3)	0.0026 (3)	-0.0087(4)
O2	0.0151 (4)	0.0247 (5)	0.0155 (4)	-0.0014 (3)	-0.0055 (3)	-0.0066 (3)
N1	0.0176 (5)	0.0138 (4)	0.0102 (4)	-0.0028 (4)	-0.0014 (3)	-0.0025 (3)
N2	0.0248 (5)	0.0166 (5)	0.0110 (4)	-0.0035 (4)	-0.0011 (4)	-0.0023 (4)
N3	0.0150 (5)	0.0256 (6)	0.0204 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	-0.0020 (4)	-0.0091 (4)
N4	0.0163 (5)	0.0170 (5)	0.0164 (5)	-0.0033 (4)	0.0022 (4)	-0.0057 (4)
N5	0.0231 (6)	0.0337 (7)	0.0202 (5)	0.0032 (5)	-0.0023 (4)	-0.0113 (5)
N6	0.0145 (4)	0.0154 (5)	0.0145 (4)	-0.0021 (4)	-0.0026 (4)	-0.0017 (4)
C1	0.0164 (5)	0.0154 (5)	0.0107 (5)	-0.0019 (4)	-0.0014 (4)	-0.0040 (4)
C2	0.0251 (6)	0.0176 (5)	0.0104 (5)	-0.0040(5)	-0.0021 (4)	-0.0028 (4)
C3	0.0165 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.0112 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0009(4)	-0.0029 (4)
C4	0.0151 (5)	0.0145 (5)	0.0127 (5)	-0.0005 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0027 (4)
C5	0.0171 (5)	0.0174 (5)	0.0129 (5)	-0.0014 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0032 (4)
C6	0.0166 (5)	0.0190 (5)	0.0154 (5)	-0.0035 (4)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0020 (4)
C7	0.0201 (6)	0.0213 (6)	0.0200 (6)	-0.0045 (5)	-0.0075 (5)	0.0014 (5)
C8	0.0207 (6)	0.0191 (6)	0.0231 (6)	0.0008 (5)	0.0013 (5)	-0.0079 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—O2	1.4200 (9)	C2—H2	0.9500	
S1—01	1.4209 (10)	C3—C4	1.4505 (17)	
S1—N6	1.6067 (11)	C4—C5	1.3332 (17)	
S1—N1	1.6858 (11)	C4—H4	0.9500	
N1-C2	1.3783 (15)	C5—C6	1.4963 (18)	
N1—C1	1.3896 (15)	С5—Н5	0.9500	
N2-C2	1.3012 (17)	C6—H6A	0.9900	
N2—C3	1.3934 (15)	С6—Н6В	0.9900	
N3—N4	1.2288 (15)	C7—H7A	0.9800	
N3—C6	1.4814 (17)	C7—H7B	0.9800	
N4—N5	1.1277 (16)	С7—Н7С	0.9800	
N6—C7	1.4711 (16)	C8—H8A	0.9800	
N6—C8	1.4736 (17)	C8—H8B	0.9800	
C1—C3	1.3668 (16)	C8—H8C	0.9800	
C1—H1	0.9500			
O2—S1—O1	121.78 (6)	C5—C4—C3	124.48 (11)	
O2—S1—N6	108.51 (6)	С5—С4—Н4	117.8	
O1—S1—N6	108.95 (6)	C3—C4—H4	117.8	
O2—S1—N1	104.30 (6)	C4—C5—C6	124.87 (11)	
01—S1—N1	105.35 (5)	C4—C5—H5	117.6	
N6—S1—N1	106.96 (6)	C6—C5—H5	117.6	
C2—N1—C1	106.84 (10)	N3—C6—C5	111.73 (10)	
C2—N1—S1	126.59 (9)	N3—C6—H6A	109.3	
C1—N1—S1	126.18 (8)	С5—С6—Н6А	109.3	

C2—N2—C3	105.77 (10)	N3—C6—H6B	109.3
N4—N3—C6	116.05 (11)	С5—С6—Н6В	109.3
N5—N4—N3	172.32 (13)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.9
C7—N6—C8	113.86 (10)	N6—C7—H7A	109.5
C7—N6—S1	116.58 (9)	N6—C7—H7B	109.5
C8—N6—S1	115.49 (9)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C3—C1—N1	105.29 (10)	N6—C7—H7C	109.5
C3—C1—H1	127.4	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
N1—C1—H1	127.4	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
N2—C2—N1	111.73 (11)	N6—C8—H8A	109.5
N2—C2—H2	124.1	N6—C8—H8B	109.5
N1—C2—H2	124.1	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C1—C3—N2	110.36 (11)	N6—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—C3—C4	127.00 (11)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
N2—C3—C4	122.65 (11)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H··· A
C1—H1···O1 ⁱ	0.95	2.53	3.4487 (18)	164
C2—H2···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.39	3.2861 (18)	157
C4—H4…N5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.70	3.1920 (17)	113

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+2; (iii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.

 $\label{eq:limino-limit} 2-[(Imino-limits-azanylidene)amino]prop-1-enyl]-N, N-dimethylimidazole-1-sulfonamide (compound_2)$

Crystal data

$C_8H_{11}N_9O_2S$	Z = 2
$M_r = 297.32$	F(000) = 308
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.495 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
a = 6.6151 (18) Å	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 9.563 (3) Å	Cell parameters from 5257 reflections
c = 11.634 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.2 - 33.6^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 108.645 \ (4)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.26 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 105.994 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 100 K
$\gamma = 93.828 \ (4)^{\circ}$	Needle, colourless
$V = 660.6 (3) Å^3$	$0.80 \times 0.28 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker D8 Quest	5171 independent reflections
diffractometer	4051 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.030$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 33.7^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2016)	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.634, \ T_{\max} = 0.747$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
11030 measured reflections	$l = -17 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

•	
Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.109$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0401P)^2 + 0.3565P]$
S = 1.07	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
5171 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
184 parameters	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.46 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	Extinction correction: SHELXL2017
direct methods	(Sheldrick, 2015b),
	$Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
	Extinction coefficient: 0.022 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S1	0.58817 (5)	0.32361 (4)	0.11881 (3)	0.01478 (8)
O1	0.65163 (17)	0.18829 (12)	0.05384 (10)	0.0219 (2)
O2	0.66446 (17)	0.46415 (12)	0.11471 (10)	0.0215 (2)
N1	0.68124 (18)	0.33810 (13)	0.27604 (10)	0.0146 (2)
N2	0.75962 (17)	0.42326 (13)	0.48977 (10)	0.0144 (2)
N3	0.64496 (19)	0.59233 (13)	0.37520 (11)	0.0174 (2)
N4	0.67946 (18)	0.69635 (13)	0.48007 (11)	0.0164 (2)
N5	0.7040 (2)	0.80092 (15)	0.56596 (13)	0.0242 (3)
N6	0.8624 (2)	0.18310 (17)	0.83285 (12)	0.0237 (3)
N7	0.66897 (19)	0.15149 (14)	0.77329 (12)	0.0193 (2)
N8	0.4889 (2)	0.12679 (17)	0.72893 (15)	0.0287 (3)
N9	0.33102 (18)	0.29742 (13)	0.07962 (11)	0.0156 (2)
C1	0.7358 (2)	0.21797 (15)	0.31603 (13)	0.0156 (2)
H1	0.738643	0.119381	0.263572	0.019*
C2	0.69706 (19)	0.45659 (15)	0.38610 (12)	0.0139 (2)
C3	0.78417 (19)	0.27227 (15)	0.44638 (12)	0.0144 (2)
C4	0.8525 (2)	0.19077 (15)	0.53300 (12)	0.0156 (2)
H4	0.836577	0.084831	0.497346	0.019*
C5	0.9364 (2)	0.25679 (16)	0.66005 (13)	0.0159 (2)
Н5	0.954749	0.362879	0.695491	0.019*
C6	1.0028 (2)	0.17314 (17)	0.74931 (13)	0.0181 (3)
H6A	1.152396	0.214636	0.803867	0.022*
H6B	0.996255	0.066642	0.699097	0.022*
C7	0.2306 (2)	0.15853 (17)	0.08670 (15)	0.0221 (3)
H7A	0.250179	0.171110	0.176261	0.033*
H7B	0.297367	0.074636	0.048982	0.033*
H7C	0.077775	0.137969	0.039540	0.033*

supporting information

C8	0.2288 (2)	0.42873 (17)	0.12339 (15)	0.0221 (3)	
H8A	0.079649	0.408488	0.069371	0.033*	
H8B	0.304630	0.516510	0.117531	0.033*	
H8C	0.234224	0.448176	0.212252	0.033*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S 1	0.01499 (14)	0.01799 (16)	0.01287 (14)	0.00388 (11)	0.00554 (11)	0.00623 (11)
01	0.0251 (5)	0.0260 (5)	0.0168 (5)	0.0108 (4)	0.0107 (4)	0.0057 (4)
O2	0.0222 (5)	0.0242 (5)	0.0211 (5)	-0.0001 (4)	0.0076 (4)	0.0122 (4)
N1	0.0157 (5)	0.0157 (5)	0.0123 (4)	0.0037 (4)	0.0040 (4)	0.0049 (4)
N2	0.0120 (4)	0.0159 (5)	0.0151 (5)	0.0010 (4)	0.0043 (4)	0.0055 (4)
N3	0.0198 (5)	0.0148 (5)	0.0169 (5)	0.0027 (4)	0.0053 (4)	0.0052 (4)
N4	0.0130 (5)	0.0164 (5)	0.0206 (5)	0.0021 (4)	0.0064 (4)	0.0066 (4)
N5	0.0221 (6)	0.0208 (6)	0.0261 (6)	0.0026 (5)	0.0090 (5)	0.0025 (5)
N6	0.0176 (5)	0.0379 (7)	0.0179 (5)	0.0039 (5)	0.0057 (4)	0.0129 (5)
N7	0.0188 (5)	0.0212 (6)	0.0225 (6)	0.0048 (4)	0.0100 (4)	0.0105 (5)
N8	0.0185 (6)	0.0307 (7)	0.0381 (8)	0.0026 (5)	0.0097 (5)	0.0134 (6)
N9	0.0139 (5)	0.0152 (5)	0.0169 (5)	0.0026 (4)	0.0030 (4)	0.0060 (4)
C1	0.0154 (5)	0.0160 (6)	0.0160 (5)	0.0034 (4)	0.0047 (4)	0.0063 (5)
C2	0.0106 (5)	0.0148 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0004 (4)	0.0044 (4)	0.0051 (4)
C3	0.0107 (5)	0.0169 (6)	0.0156 (5)	0.0015 (4)	0.0037 (4)	0.0063 (5)
C4	0.0131 (5)	0.0178 (6)	0.0168 (6)	0.0032 (4)	0.0044 (4)	0.0076 (5)
C5	0.0132 (5)	0.0193 (6)	0.0167 (6)	0.0031 (4)	0.0053 (4)	0.0078 (5)
C6	0.0141 (5)	0.0254 (7)	0.0167 (6)	0.0050 (5)	0.0051 (4)	0.0093 (5)
C7	0.0192 (6)	0.0195 (7)	0.0245 (7)	-0.0017 (5)	0.0028 (5)	0.0080 (5)
C8	0.0180 (6)	0.0203 (7)	0.0251 (7)	0.0074 (5)	0.0042 (5)	0.0057 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—O2	1.4237 (11)	C1—C3	1.3707 (18)
S1—O1	1.4297 (11)	C1—H1	0.9500
S1—N9	1.6146 (12)	C3—C4	1.4579 (18)
S1—N1	1.7177 (12)	C4—C5	1.3402 (19)
N1—C2	1.3846 (17)	C4—H4	0.9500
N1-C1	1.4039 (17)	C5—C6	1.4961 (19)
N2-C2	1.3099 (17)	С5—Н5	0.9500
N2—C3	1.4058 (18)	С6—Н6А	0.9900
N3—N4	1.2531 (16)	C6—H6B	0.9900
N3—C2	1.4002 (18)	С7—Н7А	0.9800
N4—N5	1.1291 (17)	С7—Н7В	0.9800
N6—N7	1.2389 (17)	C7—H7C	0.9800
N6—C6	1.5053 (18)	C8—H8A	0.9800
N7—N8	1.1342 (18)	C8—H8B	0.9800
N9—C8	1.4792 (18)	C8—H8C	0.9800
N9—C7	1.4814 (19)		

O2—S1—O1	121.70 (7)	N2—C3—C4	122.43 (12)
O2—S1—N9	109.81 (6)	C5—C4—C3	123.73 (13)
O1—S1—N9	108.59 (6)	C5—C4—H4	118.1
O2—S1—N1	106.16 (6)	C3—C4—H4	118.1
O1—S1—N1	102.88 (6)	C4—C5—C6	123.82 (13)
N9—S1—N1	106.52 (6)	С4—С5—Н5	118.1
C2—N1—C1	105.71 (11)	С6—С5—Н5	118.1
C2—N1—S1	129.94 (10)	C5—C6—N6	111.84 (11)
C1—N1—S1	124.00 (9)	С5—С6—Н6А	109.2
C2—N2—C3	104.81 (11)	N6—C6—H6A	109.2
N4—N3—C2	114.19 (12)	С5—С6—Н6В	109.2
N5—N4—N3	171.58 (15)	N6—C6—H6B	109.2
N7—N6—C6	113.91 (12)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.9
N8—N7—N6	173.95 (15)	N9—C7—H7A	109.5
C8—N9—C7	113.93 (12)	N9—C7—H7B	109.5
C8—N9—S1	117.77 (10)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C7—N9—S1	115.55 (9)	N9—C7—H7C	109.5
C3—C1—N1	105.82 (11)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
C3—C1—H1	127.1	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
N1-C1-H1	127.1	N9—C8—H8A	109.5
N2-C2-N1	113.05 (12)	N9—C8—H8B	109.5
N2—C2—N3	128.30 (12)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
N1—C2—N3	118.64 (11)	N9—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—C3—N2	110.59 (11)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—C3—C4	126.98 (13)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C7—H7 <i>B</i> ···O1 ⁱ	0.98	2.51	3.444 (2)	160
C6—H6A····N8 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.70	3.337 (2)	123
C7—H7 <i>C</i> ···N6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98	2.62	3.357 (2)	132

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*; (ii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (iii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*-1.