

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 9 April 2024 Accepted 18 April 2024

Edited by L. Van Meervelt, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Keywords: crystal structure; hydrogen bond; C—H···*π*(ring) interaction; amine; dihydroimidazolone; hydantoin.

CCDC reference: 2349453

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of 2-[(4-hydroxyphenyl)amino]-5,5diphenyl-1*H*-imidazol-4(5*H*)-one

Abderrazzak El Moutaouakil Ala Allah,^a Walid Guerrab,^a Joel T. Mague,^b Abdulsalam Alsubari,^c* Abdullah Yahya Abdullah Alzahrani^d and Youssef Ramli^a*

^aLaboratory of Medicinal Chemistry, Drug Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, 70118, USA, ^cLaboratory of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Clinical Pharmacy, 21 September University, Yemen, and ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Arts, King Khalid University, Mohail Assir, Saudi Arabia. *Correspondence e-mail: alsubaripharmaco@21umas.edu.ye, y.ramli@um5r.ac.ma

In the title molecule, $C_{21}H_{17}N_3O_2$, the five-membered ring is slightly ruffled and dihedral angles between the pendant six-membered rings and the central, five-membered ring vary between 50.78 (4) and 86.78 (10)°. The exocyclic nitrogen lone pair is involved in conjugated π bonding to the five-membered ring. In the crystal, a layered structure is generated by $O-H\cdots N$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds plus $C-H\cdots\pi$ (ring) and weak π -stacking interactions.

1. Chemical context

Hydantoins or imidazolidine-2,4-diones are heterocyclic compounds characterized by the presence of an imidazole ring and keto groups in positions 2 and 4. Hydantoin-containing compounds exhibit a broad spectrum of pharmacological and biological activities such as an anticancer (Cao et al., 2022), antibacterial (Ghasempour et al., 2021; El Moutaouakil Ala Allah et al., 2024), antidiabetic (Sergent et al., 2008), antiinflammatory (Lin et al., 2021), antimicrobial (Shaala & Youssef, 2021), anticonvulsant (Byrtus et al., 2011) and anti-HIV (Romine et al., 2011) activities. Thiohydantoins, sulfur analogues of hydantoins, undergo replacement of one or both carbonyl groups with thiocarbonyl groups (Johnson & Scott, 1913; Wyzlic et al., 1996; Cromwell & Stark, 1969). This substitution enables versatile structural modifications, facilitating the customization of thiohydantoins to preferentially adopt specific structural types. Such modifications, achieved by introducing steric bulk, altering hydrophilic or hydrophobic interactions, or promoting π - π stacking, afford control over the molecule's ability to form hydrogen-bonded arrays in the solid state. Hence, the capacity to manipulate the formation of hydrogen-bonded arrays in the solid state is of vital importance in the pharmaceutical field (Lu & Rohani, 2009).



In this study, we present the synthesis, detailed examination of the molecular and crystal structures, and Hirshfeld surface



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title molecule with labelling scheme and 50% probability ellipsoids.

analysis of the title compound, 2-[(4-hydroxyphenyl)amino]-5,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-4(5H)-one (Fig. 1), a new hydantoin derived from thiohydantoin by a nucleophilic substitution reaction.

2. Structural commentary

The mean planes of the C4–C9, C10–C15 and C16–C21 benzene rings are inclined to that of the C1/C2/N1/C3/N2 ring by 73.33 (9), 50.78 (11) and 86.78 (10)°, respectively. The C16–N3–C3–N1 torsion angle is -7.2 (5)° indicating that the N3–C16 bond lies close to the plane of the C1/C2/N1/C3/N2 ring. This latter ring is slightly ruffled with N2 0.031 (2) Å at one side of the mean plane (r.m.s. deviation of the fitted atoms = 0.002 Å) and C1 0.027 (3) Å at the opposite side. The sum of the angles around N3 is 359.4 (13)° implying that its lone pair is involved in N→C π bonding. This occurs primarily



Figure 2

A portion of one chain of molecules viewed along the *b*-axis direction. $O-H\cdots N$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are depicted, respectively, by pink and violet dashed lines and non-interacting hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^\circ).$

Cg3 and Cg4 are the centroids of the C10–C15 and the C16–C21 benzene rings, respectively.

	DII	TT 4	D A	
$D - \Pi \cdots A$	$D-\Pi$	$\Pi \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \Pi \cdots A$
$O2-H2A\cdots N1^{i}$	0.86(1)	1.93 (2)	2.763 (3)	163 (4)
$N2-H2\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.90(1)	1.92 (1)	2.814 (3)	176 (3)
$N3-H3\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.89(1)	2.34 (2)	3.104 (4)	143 (2)
$C17 - H17 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cg4^{iii}$	0.95	2.92	3.831 (4)	162
$C21 - H21 \cdots Cg3^{iv}$	0.95	2.93	3.822 (4)	157
Symmetry codes: ($-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (i	(i) $-x + 1, -x + 1, -x + 1, z.$	-y + 1, -z + 1;	(ii) $x, -y +$	$\frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iii)

with C3 as the C3–N3 distance is 1.329(3) Å while the C16–N3 distance is 1.439(3) Å indicating some degree of conjugation with the dihydroimidazolone ring.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, paired O2–H2A···N1 hydrogen bonds (Table 1) and weak, offset π -stacking interactions between C16–C21 rings [centroid–centroid distance = 3.9814 (19) Å, offset = 2.23 Å] form inversion dimers, which are connected into chains extending along the *c*-axis direction by N2–H2···O1 and N3–H3···O2 hydrogen bonds (Table 1 and Fig. 2). These are linked into layers parallel to the *bc* plane by C17–H17···*Cg*4 and C21–H21···*Cg*3 interactions (Table 1 and Fig. 3; *Cg*3 and *Cg*4 are the centroids of the C10– C15 and C16–C21 benzene rings, respectively).

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.45, updated to March 2024; Groom *et al.*, 2016) with the search fragment *A* (Fig. 4, R = C) gave three hits, one with $R = CH_2COOEt$ (refcode REFREB; Karolak-Wojciechowska *et al.*, 1998) and the others with R = C(=NH)OMe (XASGOO; Bishop *et al.*, 2005) and $R = C(=NH)OBu^n$ (XEVZEE; Bishop *et al.*, 2007). The latter two were reported as complexes





Packing viewed along the *c*-axis direction with intermolecular hydrogen bonds depicted as in Fig. 2. $C-H \cdots \pi$ (ring) interactions are depicted by green dashed lines and non-interacting hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

research communications



Figure 4 Fragment used in the CSD search.

with Cu^{II} and so are not directly comparable to the title molecule because of the constraints imposed by coordination to the metal. In REFREB, the five-membered ring adopts an



Figure 5 Front and back views of the Hirshfeld surface for the title molecule mapped over d_{norm} .



Figure 6

The 2-D fingerprint plots for the title molecule; (a) all interactions and delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, (d) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and (e) $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ contacts.

envelope conformation with C4 at the tip of the flap and 0.044 (6) Å from the mean plane (r.m.s. deviation of the fitted atoms = 0.003 Å) with the mean planes of the attached phenyl rings inclined to the above plane by 63.3 (2) and 82.9 (2)°, respectively, which are similar to the corresponding angles in the title molecule. Also, the torsion angle corresponding to the C16–N3–C3–N1 angle in the title molecule is for REFREB –8.0 (5)°, which is again comparable to that cited above although the remainder of the ester chain is pointed away from the plane of the five-membered ring.

5. Hirshfeld surface analysis

A Hirshfeld surface analysis was performed using *Crystal-Explorer21* (Turner *et al.*, 2017) to evaluate the relative contributions of the intermolecular interactions in the crystal. Additional details of the plots produced and their interpretation have been published (Tan et al., 2019). Fig. 5 presents two views of the surface mapped over d_{norm} together with four neighbouring molecules showing the intermolecular $N-H\cdots O$ and $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds as well as one of the C-H··· π (ring) interactions. From the 2D fingerprint plots, the major intermolecular interactions, comprising 48.7% of the total, are $H \cdots H$ contacts (Fig. 6b), appearing as a broad central peak and which are presumed to be van der Waals contacts. At 28.9% of the total are the $C \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$ contacts (Fig. 6c), shown as two broad peaks at $d_e + d_i = 3.14$ Å, which are primarily the two sets of $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi(ring)$ interactions (Table 1) with the width of the peaks due to the range of $H \cdots C$ distances from the hydrogen atom in question to the several carbon atoms of the ring. The $O \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot O$ (Fig. 6d) and $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ (Fig. 6e) contacts appear as sharp spikes at $d_e + d_i = 2.16$ and 2.20 Å, respectively, contributing 13.3% and 6.9%, respectively.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis of the title compound is shown in Fig. 7. 2-(Methylthio)-5,5-diphenyl-3,5-dihydro-4*H*-imidazol-4-one (0.5 g, 1.78 mmol) and 4-aminophenol (0.2 g, 1.80 mmol) were dissolved in 30 ml of glacial acetic acid. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h and the reaction progress was monitored with thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The precipitated solid was filtered, washed with water, dried and purified by recrystallization from ethanol to afford colourless crystals.

Yield = 68%, m.p. = 424-425 K. FT–IR (ATR, v, cm⁻¹): 3385 (OH), 3200 (NH), 1740 (C=O); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ ppm 7.26–7.62 (*m*, 14H, Ar-H), 9.17 (*s*, 1H, NH_{imi-dazole}), 9.95 (*s*, 1H, NH_{amine}), 10.11 (*s*, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR: 78.53 (C-2Ph); 116.00, 116.18, 123.89, 127.62, 128.02, 128.74, 130.57, 135.00 (C–-Ar); 141.36 (C=N); 168.32 (C=O). HRMS (ESI): calculated for C₂₁H₁₇N₃O₂ [*M* - H]⁺ 344.1321; found 344.1520.

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Analysis of 185 reflections having $I/\sigma(I) > 12$ and chosen from the full data set with *CELL_NOW* (Sheldrick, 2008*a*) showed the crystal to belong to the



Figure 7 Synthesis of the title compound.

Table 2

Experimental	details.
--------------	----------

Crystal data $C_{21}H_{17}N_3O_2$ M_r 343.38 Crystal system, space group Monoclinic, $P_{2_1/c}$ Temperature (K) 150 a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Diffractometer Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
Chemical formula $C_{21}H_{17}N_3O_2$ M_r 343.38 Crystal system, space group Monoclinic, $P_{2_1/c}$ Temperature (K) 150 a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Diffractometer Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
M_r 343.38 Crystal system, space group Monoclinic, $P_{1/c}$ Temperature (K) 150 a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) 100.948 (4) V (Å) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Diffractometer Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
Crystal system, space group Monoclinic, $P_{1/c}$ Temperature (K) 150 a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Diffractometer Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
Temperature (K) 150 a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON i< diffractometer	
a, b, c (Å) 17.764 (3), 8.4429 (12), 11.6601 (16) β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Diffractometer Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Absorption correction Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
β (°) 11.6601 (16) β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON : Diffractometer Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON : Absorption correction Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
β (°) 100.948 (4) V (Å ³) 1716.9 (4) Z 4 Radiation type Mo K α u (mm ⁻¹) 0.09 Crystal size (mm) 0.38 × 0.21 × 0.02 Data collection Diffractometer Diffractometer Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Absorption correction Multi-scan (<i>TWINABS</i> ; Sheldrig 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
V (Å3)1716.9 (4) Z 4Radiation typeMo K α u (mm ⁻¹)0.09Crystal size (mm) $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3Absorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} $0.97, 1.00$ No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
Z4Radiation typeMo $K\alpha$ $u \ (mm^{-1})$ 0.09Crystal size (mm) $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3Absorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} $0.97, 1.00$ No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
Radiation typeMo $K\alpha$ $u \ (mm^{-1})$ 0.09 Crystal size (mm) $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02$ Data collectionBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3DiffractometerdiffractometerAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} $0.97, 1.00$ No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
$u \ (mm^{-1})$ 0.09 Crystal size (mm) $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02$ Data collectionBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3DiffractometerdiffractometerAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} $0.97, 1.00$ No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
Crystal size (mm) $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02$ Data collectionBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3DiffractometerdiffractometerAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} $0.97, 1.00$ No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
Data collection Diffractometer Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 Absorption correction Multi-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
DiffractometerBruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 diffractometerAbsorption correctionMulti-scan ($TWINABS$; Sheldrid 2009) T_{min}, T_{max} 0.97, 1.00No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	
Absorption correctionMulti-scan (TWINABS; Sheldrig 2009) T_{\min}, T_{\max} 0.97, 1.00No. of measured, independent and16776, 5347, 3329	;
T _{min} , T _{max} 0.97, 1.00 No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	k,
No. of measured, independent and 16776, 5347, 3329	
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int} 0.045	
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$ 0.627	
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] w R(F^2) S = 0.055 0.132 1.04$	
No. of reflections 5347	
No. of parameters 248	
No. of restraints 3	
H-atom treatment H atoms treated by a mixture o	f
independent and constrained refinement	-
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \text{ (e Å}^{-3} \text{)}$ 0.23, -0.22	

Computer programs: *APEX4* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2021), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018/1* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2012) and *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008*b*).

monoclinic system and to be twinned by a 180° rotation about the *c**-axis. The raw data were processed using the multicomponent version of *SAINT* under control of the twocomponent orientation file generated by *CELL_NOW*. The final refinement used the full twinned dataset. H atoms attached to carbon were placed in calculated positions and were included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2–1.5 times those of the attached atoms. Those attached to nitrogen and to oxygen were placed in locations derived from a difference map and refined with DFIX 0.91 0.01 and DFIX 0.84 0.01 instructions, respectively. One reflection affected by the beamstop was omitted from the final refinement.

Acknowledgements

JTM thanks Tulane University for support of the Tulane Crystallography Laboratory. The contributions of the authors are as follows: conceptualization, YR; methodology, WG and AS; investigation, AEMAA; writing (original draft), JTM and AEMAA; writing (review and editing of the manuscript), YR; formal analysis, YR; supervision, YR; crystal structure determination and validation, JTM; resources, AYAA.

References

- Bishop, M. M., Lindoy, L. F., McPartlin, M., Parkin, A., Thorn-Seshold, O. T. & Turner, P. (2007). *Polyhedron*, **26**, 415–429.
- Bishop, M. M., Lindoy, L. F., Parkin, A. & Turner, P. (2005). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2563–2571.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2012). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2021). APEX4 and SAINT. Bruker AXS LLC, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Byrtus, H., Obniska, J., Czopek, A. & Kamiński, K. (2011). Arch. Pharm. 344, 231-241.
- Cao, Y., Awwad, N. S., Ibrahium, H. A., Sarkar, A., Ali, H. E. & Menazea, A. A. (2022). J. Biotechnol. 345, 40–46.
- Cromwell, L. D. & Stark, G. R. (1969). Biochemistry, 8, 4735–4740.
- El Moutaouakil Ala Allah, A., Guerrab, W., Maatallah, M., Mague, J. T., Talbaoui, A., Alzahrani, A. Y. A. & Ramli, Y. (2024). *J. Mol. Struct.* **1310**, 138324.
- Ghasempour, L., Asghari, S., Tajbakhsh, M. & Mohseni, M. (2021). Chem. Biodivers. 18, e2100197.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B72, 171–179.
- Johnson, T. B. & Scott, W. M. (1913). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 35, 1136– 1143.
- Karolak-Wojciechowska, J., Mrozek, A., Kwiatkowski, W., Ksiażek, W., Kieć-Kononowicz, K. & Handzlik, J. (1998). J. Mol. Struct. 447, 89–96.

- Lin, X., Tago, K., Okazaki, N., So, T., Takahashi, K., Mashino, T., Tamura, H. & Funakoshi-Tago, M. (2021). *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 100, 108092.
- Lu, J. & Rohani, S. (2009). Curr. Med. Chem. 16, 884–905.
- Romine, J. L., St, , Laurent, D. R., Leet, J. E., Martin, S. W., Serrano-Wu, M. H., Yang, F., Gao, M., O'Boyle, D. R. I., Lemm, J. A., Sun, J.-H., Nower, P. T., Huang, X. S., Deshpande, M. S., Meanwell, N. A. & Snyder, L. B. (2011). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2, 224–229.
- Sergent, D., Wang, Q., Sasaki, N. A. & Ouazzani, J. (2008). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18, 4332–4335.
- Shaala, L. A. & Youssef, D. T. A. (2021). Marine Drugs 19, 691.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008a). CELL_NOW. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008b). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2009). TWINABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Tan, S. L., Jotani, M. M. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 308–318.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *Crys*talExplorer. University of Western Australia.
- Wyzlic, I. M., Tjarks, W., Soloway, A. H., Perkins, D. J., Burgos, M. & O'Reilly, K. P. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* **35**, 4541–4547.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989024003499]

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of 2-[(4-hydroxy-phenyl)amino]-5,5-diphenyl-1*H*-imidazol-4(5*H*)-one

Abderrazzak El Moutaouakil Ala Allah, Walid Guerrab, Joel T. Mague, Abdulsalam Alsubari, Abdullah Yahya Abdullah Alzahrani and Youssef Ramli

Computing details

2-[(4-Hydroxyphenyl)amino]-5,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-4(5H)-one

Crystal data

C₂₁H₁₇N₃O₂ $M_r = 343.38$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 17.764 (3) Å b = 8.4429 (12) Å c = 11.6601 (16) Å $\beta = 100.948$ (4)° V = 1716.9 (4) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST PHOTON 3 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 7.3910 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*TWINABS*; Sheldrick, 2009) $T_{\min} = 0.97, T_{\max} = 1.00$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$ $wR(F^2) = 0.132$ S = 1.035347 reflections 248 parameters 3 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 720 $D_x = 1.328 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3889 reflections $\theta = 2.3-26.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 KPlate, colourless $0.38 \times 0.21 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$

16776 measured reflections 5347 independent reflections 3329 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.045$ $\theta_{max} = 26.5^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.3^\circ$ $h = -22 \rightarrow 21$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 14$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0457P)^2 + 0.5709P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.23$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.22$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Experimental. The diffraction data were collected in three sets of 363 frames 0.5° width in ω) at $\varphi = 0$, 120 and 240°. A scan time of 60 sec/frame was used. Analysis of 185 reflections having $I/\sigma(I) > 12$ and chosen from the full data set with *CELL_NOW* (Sheldrick, 2008) showed the crystal to belong to the monoclinic system and to be twinned by a 180° rotation about the *c** axis. The raw data were processed using the multi-component version of *SAINT* under control of the two-component orientation file generated by *CELL_NOW*.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2 \text{sigma}(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger. H-atoms attached to carbon were placed in calculated positions (C—H = 0.95 - 0.98 Å) and were included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2 - 1.5 times those of the attached atoms. Those attached to nitrogen and to oxygen were placed in locations derived from a difference map and refined with DFIX 0.91 0.01 and DFIX 0.84 0.01 instructions, respectively. Refined as a 2-component twin. One reflection affected by the beamstop was omitted from the final refinement.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.76440 (12)	0.1256 (2)	0.59260 (16)	0.0529 (6)	
O2	0.43772 (15)	0.8230 (3)	0.4878 (2)	0.0664 (7)	
H2A	0.4033 (16)	0.767 (4)	0.444 (3)	0.092 (14)*	
N1	0.68601 (13)	0.2980 (3)	0.66727 (18)	0.0409 (6)	
N2	0.73357 (13)	0.2658 (3)	0.86027 (18)	0.0386 (6)	
H2	0.7453 (16)	0.303 (3)	0.9339 (13)	0.047 (9)*	
N3	0.63328 (14)	0.4450 (3)	0.8052 (2)	0.0440 (6)	
Н3	0.6262 (16)	0.448 (3)	0.8788 (13)	0.057 (10)*	
C1	0.78406 (15)	0.1675 (3)	0.8050(2)	0.0342 (6)	
C2	0.74437 (17)	0.1927 (3)	0.6755 (2)	0.0385 (7)	
C3	0.68300 (16)	0.3401 (3)	0.7783 (2)	0.0368 (7)	
C4	0.86637 (16)	0.2335 (3)	0.8283 (2)	0.0394 (7)	
C5	0.91538 (19)	0.1953 (5)	0.9308 (3)	0.0669 (11)	
Н5	0.898781	0.124191	0.984000	0.080*	
C6	0.9881 (2)	0.2584 (5)	0.9574 (3)	0.0864 (13)	
H6	1.020691	0.231765	1.029192	0.104*	
C7	1.0135 (2)	0.3585 (5)	0.8820 (4)	0.0766 (12)	
H7	1.063708	0.401801	0.900559	0.092*	
C8	0.9667 (2)	0.3961 (5)	0.7801 (4)	0.0749 (11)	
H8	0.984311	0.465610	0.726783	0.090*	
C9	0.8931 (2)	0.3340 (4)	0.7526 (3)	0.0610 (9)	
H9	0.860949	0.361313	0.680653	0.073*	
C10	0.78376 (15)	-0.0048 (3)	0.8413 (2)	0.0366 (7)	
C11	0.75374 (17)	-0.0543 (4)	0.9371 (3)	0.0491 (8)	
H11	0.728488	0.019425	0.978410	0.059*	
C12	0.7607 (2)	-0.2115 (4)	0.9723 (3)	0.0657 (10)	
H12	0.740132	-0.244907	1.037771	0.079*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C13	0.7969 (2)	-0.3190 (4)	0.9136 (4)	0.0671 (11)	
H13	0.801641	-0.426344	0.938423	0.081*	
C14	0.8263 (2)	-0.2712 (4)	0.8188 (3)	0.0626 (9)	
H14	0.851184	-0.345553	0.777377	0.075*	
C15	0.81995 (18)	-0.1159 (4)	0.7836 (3)	0.0499 (8)	
H15	0.840871	-0.084081	0.718038	0.060*	
C16	0.58283 (16)	0.5387 (3)	0.7202 (2)	0.0410 (7)	
C17	0.50842 (17)	0.4918 (4)	0.6807 (3)	0.0538 (8)	
H17	0.490481	0.394955	0.707081	0.065*	
C18	0.45952 (18)	0.5859 (4)	0.6024 (3)	0.0558 (9)	
H18	0.407891	0.553935	0.575721	0.067*	
C19	0.48536 (18)	0.7249 (4)	0.5634 (2)	0.0484 (8)	
C20	0.56002 (19)	0.7722 (4)	0.6020 (3)	0.0575 (9)	
H20	0.578193	0.867915	0.574152	0.069*	
C21	0.60862 (18)	0.6788 (4)	0.6820 (3)	0.0536 (8)	
H21	0.659858	0.712093	0.710447	0.064*	

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0689 (15)	0.0619 (14)	0.0268 (11)	0.0189 (11)	0.0064 (10)	-0.0030 (10)
O2	0.0690 (17)	0.0577 (15)	0.0600 (15)	0.0140 (13)	-0.0199 (13)	0.0000 (13)
N1	0.0428 (15)	0.0502 (15)	0.0270 (12)	0.0084 (12)	-0.0001 (11)	0.0012 (11)
N2	0.0424 (14)	0.0476 (15)	0.0232 (12)	0.0101 (12)	-0.0003 (11)	-0.0007 (12)
N3	0.0446 (15)	0.0533 (16)	0.0336 (14)	0.0150 (13)	0.0059 (12)	0.0031 (13)
C1	0.0370 (16)	0.0391 (16)	0.0255 (13)	0.0074 (13)	0.0036 (12)	-0.0007 (12)
C2	0.0424 (17)	0.0420 (17)	0.0295 (15)	0.0038 (14)	0.0031 (13)	0.0027 (13)
C3	0.0336 (16)	0.0436 (17)	0.0320 (15)	0.0014 (13)	0.0035 (13)	0.0018 (13)
C4	0.0423 (17)	0.0398 (16)	0.0367 (16)	0.0017 (14)	0.0091 (14)	-0.0017 (14)
C5	0.052 (2)	0.095 (3)	0.049 (2)	-0.020(2)	-0.0041 (17)	0.0157 (19)
C6	0.056 (2)	0.126 (4)	0.068 (2)	-0.025 (2)	-0.013 (2)	0.014 (3)
C7	0.045 (2)	0.102 (3)	0.082 (3)	-0.016 (2)	0.011 (2)	-0.011 (3)
C8	0.062 (2)	0.085 (3)	0.082 (3)	-0.019 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.011 (2)
C9	0.056 (2)	0.072 (2)	0.055 (2)	-0.0032 (19)	0.0103 (17)	0.0117 (19)
C10	0.0313 (15)	0.0444 (17)	0.0310 (15)	0.0004 (13)	-0.0014 (12)	0.0018 (13)
C11	0.0445 (18)	0.057 (2)	0.0450 (18)	0.0009 (16)	0.0062 (15)	0.0088 (16)
C12	0.064 (2)	0.072 (3)	0.059 (2)	-0.010 (2)	0.0065 (19)	0.027 (2)
C13	0.062 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.080 (3)	-0.0079 (19)	-0.017 (2)	0.013 (2)
C14	0.061 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.073 (2)	0.0080 (17)	0.0010 (19)	-0.001 (2)
C15	0.0507 (19)	0.049 (2)	0.0496 (19)	0.0065 (15)	0.0081 (16)	0.0004 (16)
C16	0.0404 (18)	0.0432 (18)	0.0381 (16)	0.0096 (14)	0.0038 (14)	0.0025 (14)
C17	0.0455 (19)	0.054 (2)	0.060(2)	-0.0005 (16)	0.0034 (17)	0.0101 (17)
C18	0.0372 (17)	0.065 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0037 (17)	-0.0025 (16)	0.0025 (18)
C19	0.0473 (19)	0.049 (2)	0.0432 (17)	0.0110 (16)	-0.0048 (15)	-0.0037 (16)
C20	0.056 (2)	0.0481 (19)	0.063 (2)	-0.0011 (16)	-0.0019 (18)	0.0074 (17)
C21	0.0401 (18)	0.059 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.0008 (17)	-0.0052 (16)	0.0045 (17)
					. ,	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C2	1.230 (3)	С8—Н8	0.9500
O2—C19	1.377 (3)	С9—Н9	0.9500
O2—H2A	0.859 (12)	C10—C15	1.383 (4)
N1—C3	1.354 (3)	C10—C11	1.390 (4)
N1—C2	1.355 (3)	C11—C12	1.388 (4)
N2—C3	1.337 (3)	C11—H11	0.9500
N2	1.459 (3)	C12—C13	1.369 (5)
N2—H2	0.899 (12)	C12—H12	0.9500
N3—C3	1.329 (3)	C13—C14	1.370 (5)
N3—C16	1.439 (3)	C13—H13	0.9500
N3—H3	0.892 (12)	C14—C15	1.372 (4)
C1-C10	1.515 (4)	C14—H14	0.9500
C1—C4	1.540 (4)	C15—H15	0.9500
C1—C2	1.555 (4)	C16—C17	1.373 (4)
C4—C9	1.373 (4)	C16—C21	1.373 (4)
C4—C5	1.376 (4)	C17—C18	1.385 (4)
C5—C6	1.377 (5)	C17—H17	0.9500
С5—Н5	0.9500	C18—C19	1.369 (4)
C6—C7	1.358 (5)	C18—H18	0.9500
С6—Н6	0.9500	C19—C20	1.376 (4)
С7—С8	1.352 (5)	C20—C21	1.390 (4)
С7—Н7	0.9500	C20—H20	0.9500
C8—C9	1.388 (5)	C21—H21	0.9500
С19—О2—Н2А	109 (2)	С8—С9—Н9	119.6
C3—N1—C2	105.9 (2)	C15—C10—C11	118.1 (3)
C3—N2—C1	109.7 (2)	C15—C10—C1	119.3 (3)
C3—N2—H2	121.4 (18)	C11—C10—C1	122.4 (3)
C1—N2—H2	124.7 (18)	C12—C11—C10	119.9 (3)
C3—N3—C16	124.0 (2)	C12—C11—H11	120.0
С3—N3—H3	117.9 (19)	C10—C11—H11	120.0
C16—N3—H3	117.5 (19)	C13—C12—C11	120.7 (3)
N2-C1-C10	112.8 (2)	C13—C12—H12	119.7
N2-C1-C4	111.0 (2)	C11—C12—H12	119.7
C10-C1-C4	110.6 (2)	C12—C13—C14	119.7 (3)
N2-C1-C2	98.5 (2)	C12—C13—H13	120.2
C10-C1-C2	112.2 (2)	C14—C13—H13	120.2
C4—C1—C2	111.3 (2)	C13—C14—C15	120.0 (3)
01—C2—N1	125.3 (2)	C13—C14—H14	120.0
01—C2—C1	123.7 (2)	C15—C14—H14	120.0
N1-C2-C1	111.0 (2)	C14—C15—C10	121.5 (3)
N3—C3—N2	122.1 (3)	C14—C15—H15	119.2
N3—C3—N1	123.3 (2)	C10—C15—H15	119.2
N2—C3—N1	114.6 (3)	C17—C16—C21	119.9 (3)
C9—C4—C5	117.6 (3)	C17—C16—N3	120.4 (3)
C9—C4—C1	122.9 (2)	C21—C16—N3	119.6 (3)

C5—C4—C1	119.5 (3)	C16—C17—C18	120.0 (3)
C4—C5—C6	121.1 (3)	С16—С17—Н17	120.0
С4—С5—Н5	119.4	C18—C17—H17	120.0
С6—С5—Н5	119.4	C19—C18—C17	120.2 (3)
C7—C6—C5	120.5 (3)	C19—C18—H18	119.9
С7—С6—Н6	119.7	C17—C18—H18	119.9
С5—С6—Н6	119.7	C18—C19—C20	120.2 (3)
C8-C7-C6	1194(3)	$C_{18} - C_{19} - O_{2}$	121.6(3)
C8—C7—H7	120.3	C_{20} C_{19} C_{20} C_{19} C_{20} C	1181(3)
С6—С7—Н7	120.3	$C_{19} - C_{20} - C_{21}$	1195(3)
C7 - C8 - C9	120.5 120.6(4)	C_{19} C_{20} C_{21} C_{20} H_{20}	120.3
C7 C8 H8	110 7	$C_{10} = C_{20} = H_{20}$	120.3
$C_{1} = C_{2} = H_{2}$	119.7	$C_{21} = C_{20} = 1120$	120.3
$C_{2} = C_{2} = C_{1}$	119.7	C16 C21 H21	120.2(3)
C4 = C9 = C8	120.8 (5)	C10-C21-H21	119.9
С4—С9—Н9	119.6	C20—C21—H21	119.9
C3—N2—C1—C10	-123.8(2)	C1—C4—C9—C8	177.0 (3)
$C_3 = N_2 = C_1 = C_4$	111.5 (3)	C7 - C8 - C9 - C4	0.1.(6)
$C_3 = N_2 = C_1 = C_2$	-53(3)	N^{2} —C1—C10—C15	1707(2)
$C_3 = N_1 = C_2 = 0_1$	179.0(3)	C4-C1-C10-C15	-643(3)
$C_3 = N_1 = C_2 = C_1$	-14(3)	C_{2} C_{1} C_{10} C_{15}	60.5(3)
$N_2 - C_1 - C_2 - O_1$	-1763(3)	$N_2 - C_1 - C_{10} - C_{11}$	-147(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-57 A (A)	C_{4} C_{1} C_{10} C_{11}	14.7(3)
$C_1 C_1 C_2 O_1$	57.7(4)	C_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{1} C_{10}^{10} C_{11}^{11}	-1248(3)
$C_{+-}C_{1-}C_{2-}O_{1}$	07.1(4)	$C_2 - C_1 - C_{10} - C_{11}$	124.0(3)
$N_2 - C_1 - C_2 - N_1$	4.1(3)	C13-C10-C11-C12	0.1(4)
$C_1 = C_1 = C_2 = N_1$	123.0(3)		-1/4.0(3)
C4 - C1 - C2 - N1	-112.5 (3)	C10-C11-C12-C13	0.0 (5)
C16-N3-C3-N2	1/3.9 (3)	C11 - C12 - C13 - C14	-0.3 (5)
C16—N3—C3—N1	-7.2 (5)	C12—C13—C14—C15	0.5 (5)
C1—N2—C3—N3	-175.7 (3)	C13—C14—C15—C10	-0.4 (5)
C1—N2—C3—N1	5.3 (3)	C11—C10—C15—C14	0.1 (4)
C2—N1—C3—N3	178.7 (3)	C1—C10—C15—C14	175.0 (3)
C2—N1—C3—N2	-2.3 (3)	C3—N3—C16—C17	97.0 (4)
N2—C1—C4—C9	-94.0 (3)	C3—N3—C16—C21	-85.6 (4)
C10—C1—C4—C9	140.0 (3)	C21—C16—C17—C18	0.0 (5)
C2—C1—C4—C9	14.6 (4)	N3—C16—C17—C18	177.5 (3)
N2—C1—C4—C5	83.9 (3)	C16—C17—C18—C19	0.6 (5)
C10-C1-C4-C5	-42.0 (4)	C17—C18—C19—C20	-0.2 (5)
C2—C1—C4—C5	-167.4 (3)	C17—C18—C19—O2	-178.4 (3)
C9—C4—C5—C6	1.4 (5)	C18—C19—C20—C21	-0.9 (5)
C1—C4—C5—C6	-176.7 (3)	O2-C19-C20-C21	177.4 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.0 (6)	C17—C16—C21—C20	-1.1 (5)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.1 (6)	N3-C16-C21-C20	-178.6 (3)
C6—C7—C8—C9	0.3 (6)	C19—C20—C21—C16	1.5 (5)
C5—C4—C9—C8	-1.0 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg3 and Cg4 are the centroids of the C10–C15 and the C16–C21 benzene rings, respectively.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O2—H2A····N1 ⁱ	0.86 (1)	1.93 (2)	2.763 (3)	163 (4)
N2—H2···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.90(1)	1.92 (1)	2.814 (3)	176 (3)
N3—H3···O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89(1)	2.34 (2)	3.104 (4)	143 (2)
C17—H17··· <i>Cg</i> 4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.92	3.831 (4)	162
C21—H21····Cg3 ^{iv}	0.95	2.93	3.822 (4)	157

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2; (iii) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iv) x, y+1, z.