structural communications

Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology Communications

ISSN 2053-230X

Lauren E. Boucher^{a,b} and Jürgen Bosch^{a,b}*

^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 615 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA, and ^bJohns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 615 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Correspondence e-mail: jbosch@jhu.edu

Received 25 June 2014 Accepted 24 July 2014

PDB reference: fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase, 4tu1



Structure of *Toxoplasma gondii* fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase

The apicomplexan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* must invade host cells to continue its lifecycle. It invades different cell types using an actomyosin motor that is connected to extracellular adhesins *via* the bridging protein fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase. During invasion, aldolase serves in the role of a structural bridging protein, as opposed to its normal enzymatic role in the glycolysis pathway. Crystal structures of the homologous *Plasmodium falciparum* fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase have been described previously. Here, *T. gondii* fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase has been crystallized in space group $P22_12_1$, with the biologically relevant tetramer in the asymmetric unit, and the structure has been determined *via* molecular replacement to a resolution of 2.0 Å. An analysis of the quality of the model and of the differences between the four chains in the asymmetric unit and a comparison between the *T. gondii* and *P. falciparum* aldolase structures is presented.

1. Introduction

Toxoplasmosis is a disease caused by the apicomplexan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* and is a leading cause of death *via* food-borne illness (Jones & Dubey, 2012; Jones *et al.*, 2014). Seroprevalence estimates range from less than 10% in China to 10–20% in the United States, 20–40% in Australia and parts of Africa, 40–60% in European and South American countries and as high as 77 and 83% in Brazil and Madagascar, respectively (Sepulveda-Arias *et al.*, 2014). The majority of people infected with *T. gondii* will never experience symptoms of the disease; however, those who are immunocompromised owing to HIV/AIDS, chemotherapy or taking immunosuppressants, the elderly and pregnant women are at serious risk if they become infected (Jones *et al.*, 2014).

T. gondii is an apicomplexan parasite belonging to the same family as the malaria-causing parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Both parasites are obligate intracellular parasites that must invade host cells to survive. T. gondii has often been used to study pathways and mechanisms common to both parasites owing to the large toolbox for genetic manipulation available in T. gondii. One pathway that both apicomplexan parasites share and utilize is the invasion pathway. To invade a host cell, the parasites must attach to the surface via extracellular adhesins and employ an actomyosin-based motor to invade the cell. Many components of this invasion machinery, also known as the glideosome, are common to both parasites (Kappe et al., 1999, 2004; Bergman et al., 2003). In order to transmit the mechanochemical force generated by the motor to the extracellular adhesins in contact with the host cell, a bridging protein is required. The parasites use the tetrameric fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase to make this connection (Jewett & Sibley, 2003; Bosch et al., 2007).

Aldolase is a glycolytic enzyme; however, it is recruited to the invasion machinery to serve in a structural capacity. Previous work has identified that the C-terminal cytoplasmic tails of key parasite adhesins bind to the aldolase enzyme active site (Bosch *et al.*, 2007) and actin binds at another interface (St-Jean *et al.*, 2007). These two interactions connect the intracellular motor to the extracellular adhesins. Here, we present the 2.0 Å resolution structure of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase from *T. gondii* (TgAldolase), providing



Table 1

Macromo	lecule-	produc	tion 1	nformat	lon.

Source organism	T. gondii ME49
DNA source	cDNA
ToxoDB ID	TGME49_236040
ToxoDB sequence†	MSGYGLPISQEVAKELAENARKIAAPGKGILAADESTGTI- KKRFDSIGVENTEANRAFYRDLLFSTKGLGQYISGAI- LFEETLYQKSPSGVPMVDLLKAEGIIPGIKVDKGLET- LPLTDDEKATMGLDGLSERCKKYYEAGARFAKWRAVL- SIDPAKGKPTNLSITEVAHGLARYAAICQANRLVPIV- EPEILTDGSHDITVCAEVTERVLAAVFKALNDHHVLL- EGALLKPINVTHGSDCPKPASHEEIAFYTVRSLKRTV- PPALPGVWFLSGGQSEEDASLNLNEMNKMGPHPFQLS- FSYGRALQASCLKAWKGVPENKAKAQQVLMERARANG- EAQLGKYGGGAGAAAASSLFEKRYVY
SSGCID Clone ID	TogoA.01236.a.A15.GE33357
Cloning/expression vector	AVA0421 (modified pET-14b with N-terminal
Expression host Complete amino-acid sequence of the construct produced‡	HIS GT BL21 (DE3) MAHHHHHHMGTLEAQTQGPGSMISQEVAKELAENARKIAA- ISQEVAKELAENARKIAAPGKGILAADESTGTIKKRF- DSIGVENTEANRAFYRDLLFSTKGLGQYISGAILFEE- TLYQKSPSGVPMVDLLKAEGIIPGIKVDKGLETLPLT- DDEKATMGLDGLSERCKKYYEAGARFAKWRAVLSIDP- AKGKPTNLSITEVAHGLARYAAICQANRLVPTVEPEI- LTDGSHDITVCAEVTERVLAAVFKALNDHHVLLEGAL- LKPNMVTHGSDCPKPASHEEIAFYTVRSLKRTVPPAL- PGVMFLSGQGSEEDASLULNENNKMGPHPFQLSFSYG- DA LOACU KAUKUPENW KA BA MACEAN
Molecular weight (Da)	38501
Extinction coefficients $(M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ /Abs 0.1% (=1 g l ⁻¹)	23170

 \dagger Sequence based on the note in the ToxoDB database (http://toxodb.org/toxo/) indicating that there is a likely error in the start codon. This construct uses the second start codon in the expected mRNA transcript, which produces a product homologous to other fructose-1.6-bisphosphate aldolases. This construct is 71 amino acids shorter than the gene product listed in the database. The sequence is 363 amino acids in total. \ddagger The cloning tag is italicized, with the 6×His tag underlined and the 3C protease site underlined. This construct lacks seven amino acids at the N-terminus and 23 amino acids at the C-terminus compared with the sequence deposited in ToxoDB. The sequence is 355 amino acids in total. \$ Calculated using *ProtParam* for the reduced protein sequence.

structural detail regarding a key component of the *Toxoplasma* invasion machinery and details of the adhesin-binding pocket.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Macromolecule production

The TgAldolase clone was provided by the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID) and transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. SSGCID uses the multiconstruct length approach when cloning, typically generating multiple clones of different lengths. The multiple constructs are then expressed and tested for solubility and yield. We requested this clone, which lacks seven N-terminal residues and 23 C-terminal residues, since it was noted as having a high expression level with a solubility of at least 26.65 mg ml⁻¹. Details of the construct used, including the expected protein sequence, molecular weight and extinction coefficients, are presented in Table 1.

A single colony was used to inoculate 21 Terrific Broth (TB) medium containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. Cultures were grown at 310 K with shaking at 250 rev min⁻¹. Expression was induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 3.0 with 0.5 mM isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and continued for 18 h at 293 K. Cells were harvested *via* centrifugation at 1370g for 30 min at 277 K, resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 5%(v/v) glycerol] and lysed using an Emulsiflex C5 cell disruptor at 100 MPa. Benzonase (Sigma) and cOmplete protease-inhibitor tablets (Roche) were added to the lysate, which was spun down at 38 725g for 45 min

Table 2	
Crystallization.	

Method	Vapor diffusion, sitting drop, seeded	
Plate type	Intelli-Plate 24-4 (Art Robbins/Hampton	
	Research)	
Temperature (K)	277	
Protein concentration (mg ml ⁻¹)	20	
Buffer composition of protein solution	50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT	
Composition of reservoir solution	0.1 M MOPS/HEPES-Na pH 7.9, 0.02 M sodium formate, 0.02 M ammonium acetate, 0.02 M trisodium citrate, 0.02 M sodium potassium L-tartrate, 0.02 M sodium oxamate, 0.02 M ammonium acetate, 12.5% (v/v) glycerol, 25% (w/v) PEG 4000	
Cryocondition	1:3, 100% glycerol:reservoir (final concentration ~35%)	
Volume and ratio of drop	3 μl drop, 2:1 (protein:reservoir)	
Volume of reservoir (µl)	300	

at 277 K. The supernatant was then batch-bound with 4 ml Co-TALON (Clontech) resin equilibrated in lysis buffer for 45 min at 277 K. The resin was washed with 50 ml lysis buffer and the protein was eluted with 35 mM Tris pH 8.0, 350 mM NaCl, 314 mM imidazole. The protein yield was approximately 1.3 mg per gram of cell paste. The protein was then dialyzed overnight at 277 K into 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 2%(v/v) glycerol and passed over a HiLoad Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) column. Fractions containing TgAldolase were combined and concentrated to 20 mg ml⁻¹ as determined from the A_{280} using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific) and an extinction coefficient of 23 170 M^{-1} cm⁻¹, as calculated for the reduced protein using *ProtParam* (Gasteiger *et al.*, 2005). The final protein yield after purification was approximately 1.2 mg per gram of cell paste. The protein was then flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen and stored at 193 K before crystallization.

2.2. Crystallization

Initial crystallization screens for TgAldolase were performed using MORPHEUS (Gorrec, 2009), Wizard (Emerald Bio), NeXtal Classic (QIAGEN) and Crystal Screens 1 and 2 (Hampton Research) in 96well three-drop Intelli-Plates using a Mosquito for rapid setup. The top two hits from the MORPHEUS screen (A7 and G7) consisted of MOPS/HEPES-Na pH 7.5, glycerol, PEG 4000 and either carboxylic acids or divalent ions. Further optimization trays were set up in 24well plates to optimize the pH, precipitant and salt concentration, as well as the carboxylic acid and divalent ion composition. Optimization plates were seeded using crushed crystals from previous screens. During the optimization process it was determined that the carboxylic acid conditions produced better crystals than the divalent ion conditions, and a mixture of divalent ions and carboxylic acids did not improve the crystal quality. Room-temperature setup and incubation at 293 K produced crystals that grew within 24 h; however, they only diffracted to ~6 Å resolution. Setup on ice and incubation at 277 K produced large (>1 mm) crystals that diffracted to \sim 1.7 Å resolution when properly cryoprotected. Crystallization details are presented in Table 2.

2.3. Data collection and processing

Crystals were soaked in cryosolution, which was a mixture of reservoir solution and glycerol with a final glycerol concentration of $35\%(\nu/\nu)$, and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected remotely on beamline 7-1 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). Data were processed and scaled using *XDSGUI* as a front end to *XDS/XSCALE* (Kabsch, 2010). Processing statistics are presented in Table 3.

Table 3

Data collection and processing.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

Data-set name	14u09_lb05-1
Diffraction source	BL7-1, SSRL
Wavelength (Å)	1.127
Temperature (K)	100
Detector	ADSC Quantum 315r CCD
Crystal-to-detector distance (mm)	225
Beam size (mm)	0.1×0.1
Rotation range per image (°)	0.5
Total rotation range (°)	90
Exposure time per image (s)	5
Space group	P22 ₁ 2 ₁
a, b, c (Å)	92.26, 134.46, 162.43,
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
Mosaicity (°)	0.257
Resolution range (Å)	46.7-2.0 (2.071-2.000)
Total No. of reflections	481063 (47305)
No. of unique reflections	132175 (13009)
Completeness (%)	96.72 (96.22)
Multiplicity	3.6 (3.6)
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle$	15.37 (3.36)
R _{meas}	0.07236
CC _{1/2}	0.999 (0.917)
CC*	1 (0.978)
Overall <i>B</i> factor from Wilson plot ($Å^2$)	36.35

2.4. Structure solution and refinement

The structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007) in the CCP4 suite (Winn et al., 2007) with a homology model of TgAldolase generated by I-TASSER (Zhang, 2008; Roy et al., 2010) with a user-provided template, PDB entry 2pc4 (the P. falciparum homolog; PfAldolase; Bosch et al., 2007). The sequence identity between PfAldolase and TgAldolase is 69% and the 2pc4 structure covered 93% of the primary sequence of TgAldolase. Rounds of automatic model building with Buccaneer (Cowtan, 2006, 2008) and density modification using Parrot (Zhang et al., 1997) and phenix.phase_and_build (Adams et al., 2010) to extend the initial models were used to build a starting model. Further refinement and loop building were performed using phenix.refine (Adams et al., 2010) and Coot (Emsley et al., 2010). During initial rounds of refinements, NCS restraints were used in addition to secondary-structure restraints and optimization of X-ray and geometry weights was performed. Refinement with NCS restraints was discontinued during later stages of refinement owing to large differences between chains A and D and the problem of shifting residues in the other chains. TLS groups were automatically determined via PHENIX (Adams et al., 2010) and used in the second half of the refinement process. The quality of the final structure was validated via MolProbity (Chen et al., 2010). Modeling and refinement statistics are presented in Table 4.

3. Results and discussion

TgAldolase was crystallized in the presence of glycerol and PEG 4000 at 277 K, producing crystals that were cryoprotected with a cryosolution containing reservoir and glycerol at a final concentration of 35%(v/v) before flash-cooling in liquid nitrogen and data collection at the synchrotron. Crystal growth at 277 K and the appropriate cryosolution improved the resolution of diffraction by 4 Å when compared with the crystals incubated at 293 K and similarly treated with cryosolution. Details of the crystallization method are given in Table 2. This improvement in crystal quality and appropriate cryosolution enabled us to solve the structure. Diffraction data were collected, processed and scaled to a resolution of 2 Å with 96.72%

Table 4

Refinement statistics.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.

Prophytical and (Å)	46 7 2 0 (2 071 2 000)
Consideration range (A)	40.7-2.0 (2.071-2.000)
Completeness (%)	96.72 (96.22)
No. of reflections, working set	132057 (12313)
No. of reflections, test set	6505 (667)
Final R _{cryst}	0.1940 (0.3331)
Final R _{free}	0.2442 (0.3773)
No. of non-H atoms	
Total	11268
Protein	10312
Ion	0
Ligand (glycerol)	6
Water	950
R.m.s. deviations	
Bonds (Å)	0.007
Angles (°)	1.02
Average B factors ($Å^2$)	
Overall	46.60
Protein	46.80
Ligand (glycerol)	77.90
Water	44.50
Ramachandran plot	
Favored regions (%)	97
Additionally allowed (%)	2.926
Outliers (%)	0.074

completeness over the entire data set and an average $I/\sigma(I)$ of 15.36. Details of the data-collection and processing statistics can be found in Table 3. Fine-sliced, high-resolution reflection statistics produced by XDS/XSCALE can be found in Supplementary Table S1¹.

TgAldolase crystallized in space group $P22_12_1$, with four copies in the asymmetric unit forming the biologically relevant tetramer, with unit-cell parameters a = 92.26, b = 134.46, c = 162.43 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma =$ 90° . The solvent content of the cell is 61.0% with a Matthews coefficient of 3.15 Å³ Da⁻¹ as calculated *via phenix.xtriage* (Matthews, 1968; Adams *et al.*, 2010). As we did not cleave the purification tag, we expected a full-length structure containing 355 amino acids; however, the first 14 amino acids, which include the purification tag and a portion of the 3C protease cleavage site, were unresolved in the structure. The C-terminus is completely modeled in all four chains. The structure was determined to a resolution of 2.0 Å (Fig. 1*a*) and refined with an R_{work} of 19.40% and R_{free} of 24.42%. Details of the refinement can be found in Table 4. The final set of coordinates and the corresponding structure factors have been deposited as Protein Data Bank entry 4tu1.

3.1. Comparison of individual chains in the asymmetric unit

While the four chains are identical in sequence, barring missing amino-terminal residues, there are structural and quality differences amongst the chains. A graphical representation of the *B* factors is shown in Fig. 1(*b*), indicating that overall chain *A* has the lowest *B* factors, while chains *B*, *C* and *D* have elevated *B* factors in some areas. Chain *D* has high *B* factors in α -helix 2. The secondary structure is annotated according to *ENDscript* 2.0 (http://endscript.ibcp.fr; Gouet *et al.*, 2003; Supplementary Fig. S1). Furthermore, alignment of chains *B* and *C* with chain *A* using the *MUSTANG* server (Konagurthu *et al.*, 2010) gave a C^{α} root-mean-squared deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.41 and 0.28 Å over 340 residues, respectively, while chain *D* deviates by 1.63 Å over 333 residues.

Looking more closely at the differences in chain D, we find that α -helix 2 and the following unstructured stretch (residues 52–66) are poorly ordered, with little electron density to define the side chains

¹ Supporting information has been deposited in the IUCr electronic archive (Reference: DP5074).

and high *B* factors (Fig. 1*b*). Density for residues 67–72 is completely absent, while it is well defined in the other three chains. Chain *D* was aligned with chain *A* using *Coot* and *LSQ superpose* (Emsley *et al.*, 2010) over the residue range 176–198. By comparing the aligned chains, we note several differences, including helix and loop shifts (Fig. 1*c*). Three helices in chain *D* are tilted with respect to those in chain *A*: α -helix 2, α -helix 11 and α -helix 12 are tilted by 12.8, 8.91 and 3.97°, respectively. The tilt of α -helix 2 may be a result of the poorly resolved electron density that did not allow precise modeling

of this helix, despite attempts to refine this region with secondary restraints, NCS averaging and rigid-body refinement to improve the modeling of this region.

Additionally, a loop (residues 286–295) near the active site, connecting β -strand 10 to α -helix 10, is in a different orientation, with an up to 11.64 Å inter-C^{α}-atom deviation between chains A and D; the inter-residue distances are plotted in Fig. 1(d). In Fig. 2, we show the $2F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c}$ electron density for this stretch of residues at a contour level of 1 σ , showing clearly defined density about the backbone and



Figure 1

(a) Cartoon representation of the TgAldolase tetramer with individually colored monomers. (b) Aligned chains colored by B factor in units of Å². (c) Chain D (blue) aligned with chain A (gray) over the residue range 176–198 in the same orientation as the yellow chain in the tetramer above. (d) Depiction of the loop shift in chain D compared with chain A, with a plot representing the inter-residue C^{α}-atom deviation between residues 286 and 295. (e) Measurement of the degree of shift of α -helices 2, 11 and 12 between chains D and A. Figures were generated using PyMOL (Schrödinger).

structural communications



Figure 2

 $2F_{o} - F_{c}$ electron-density map (blue mesh) contoured at 1 σ around residues 285–296 of chain A (left, gray) and chain D (right, blue), highlighting the different conformations of the loop connecting β -strand 10 to α -helix 10.



Figure 3

(a) Alignment via Chimera MatchMaker of PfAldolase (PDB entry 1a5c, chain A), PfAldolase bound to TRAP (PDB entry 2pc4, chain D) and TgAldolase (PDB entry 4tu1, chains A and D) represented as cartoons. The TRAP peptide shown in stick representation with two coordinating waters is present in the active site, which doubles as the adhesin tail binding pocket. A box highlights the dual conformation of the Met285–Ala296 loop in the TgAldolase chain A and D and PfAldolase structures. (b) Sequence conservation between TgAldolase and PfAldolase mapped on a surface representation of TgAldolase, with magenta indicating identical residues and cyan representing differences. (c) Surface representation of TgAldolase chain A colored according to residue r.m.s.d. (Å) from the reference structure PfAldolase (PDB entry 1a5c, chain A). (d) Thee-panel enlargement of the adhesin-binding site of (left) TRAP bound to PfAldolase (PDB entry 2pc4), (middle) apo PfAldolase (PDB entry 1a5c) and (right) TgAldolase chain A, with residues important for TRAP binding highlighted as sticks.

side chains, indicating that this is a true conformational difference in the loop and not an artifact caused by poorly resolved density in this region or owing to crystal contacts, as this region is not involved in packing. The importance of these shifts and alternate conformations will be discussed in comparison to *P. falciparum* aldolase.

3.2. Comparison of T. gondii and P. falciparum aldolase

As previously mentioned, the T. gondii system is often used to study that of the closely related parasite P. falciparum, which causes malaria. The structure of PfAldolase has previously been determined in an apo (Kim et al., 1998) and a thrombospondin-related anonymous protein (TRAP)-bound form (Bosch et al., 2007). Here, we compare the T. gondii and P. falciparum structures. An alignment and superposition of four aldolase chains, apo PfAldolase (PDB entry 1a5c, chain A), PfAldolase with TRAP bound (PDB entry 2pc 4, chain D) and TgAldolase (PDB entry 4tu1, chains A and D), was performed using the MatchMaker tool in Chimera and is shown in Fig. 3(a). The C^{α} r.m.s.d. (calculated with 2 Å cutoff between residues) of the TRAP-bound PfAldolase chain from the apo PfAldolase structure was 0.547 Å (337 residues). The r.m.s.d. of TgAldolase chains A and D from the reference apo PfAldolase structure were 0.730 Å (222 residues) and 0.726 Å (221 residues), respectively. The overall C^{α} r.m.s.d.s calculated using the *MUSTANG* server (Konagurthu et al., 2010) were 0.54, 2.44 and 2.16 Å for TRAP-bound PfAldolase, TgAldolase chain A and TgAldolase chain D, respectively. As mentioned previously, the sequence identity between T. gondii and P. falciparum aldolase is 69% and the residue conservation is mapped onto a surface representation of TgAldolase in Fig. 3(b).

When looking at the plotted sequence identity, we observe that the active site and adhesin-binding pocket are highly conserved (Fig. 3b). However, upon inspection of the alignment of the different aldolase chains (Fig. 3a) we noted that the structures do not align well in portions of the adhesin-binding site, so we next investigated the residue-by-residue r.m.s.d. between PfAldolase and TgAldolase. Using Chimera and Match->Align, we colored the surface of TgAldolase according to the deviation of each residue from the aligned residue in PfAldolase (Fig. 3c). The lower half of the molecule in the orientation shown did diverge from the sequence of PfAldolase; however, this region, which makes contacts with the other chains to form the tetramer, has a low r.m.s.d. (~0.1 Å) from the PfAldolase structure, as depicted by its blue coloring. Unlike the divergent in sequence, yet structurally similar, lower half of the molecule, the upper half has higher sequence identity yet the r.m.s.d. of the residues of the T. gondii and P. falciparum aldolase is larger.

A closer view of the adhesin-binding sites of PfAldolase and TgAldolase is presented in Fig. 3(*d*). The left panel highlights the residues important for adhesin binding in the TRAP-bound PfAldolase structure (PDB entry 2pc4). The middle and right panels show the apo PfAldolase and apo TgAldolase structures, respectively. We see that Lys151 and Arg153 of PfAldolase, which are responsible for interacting with the asparagine of the TRAP peptide (DWN), align well with the corresponding residues, Lys161 and Arg163, of TgAldolase. Two arginines, Arg48 and Arg309, of PfAldolase are important for binding of the TRAP peptide in PfAldolase. Arg309 moves so that the tryptophan of the TRAP peptide can insert between Arg48 and Arg309, which anchors the peptide in the binding site. It has been shown previously that this tryptophan is essential for the binding of adhesins to PfAldolase (Ménard, 2001; Buscaglia *et al.*, 2006).

When we look at the orientation of the arginine residues in the TgAldolase structure, we find that the corresponding Arg58 and Arg319 are shifted or in alternate conformations. Arg58 resides in α -helix 2 of TgAldolase and we find that this helix is shifted away from the binding site compared with the position in the PfAldolase structures. Arg319 belongs to α -helix 11, which also deviates from the PfAldolase helix 11 position; however, this arginine is in an alternate conformation and completely blocks the adhesin-binding site where the key tryptophan docks. In order for adhesins to bind to TgAldolase, this arginine would need to rotate out by 90° to allow the tryptophan to insert between Arg319 and Arg58. The positioning of this helix 11 can be affected by the position of a loop just outside the active site. This loop, residues 286-295, was previously described in Fig. 2 to be in conformations that differed between chains A and D. The conformation of the loop connecting β -strand 10 to α -helix 10 in chain A does not align with the PfAldolase structure; however, the conformation in chain D aligns well. The alternate conformation seems to allow α -helices 2 and 11 to shift, as noted in Fig. 1(e), to a position that deviates less from the PfAldolase structures (Fig. 3a). This flexibility indicates that TgAldolase may be able to adopt a conformation in solution that is similar to that of PfAldolase, allowing Arg319 to shift and rotate out of the tryptophan-binding site and enable adhesins to bind.

Overall, the greater adhesin-binding site, comprising ~ 40 residues, is identical in terms of sequence between the *T. gondii* and *P. falciparum* aldolases, which is not surprising given that the enzymatic role of the protein limits the possible sequences that can be found in this binding site. However, comparison of the structures in terms of the r.m.s.d. of TgAldolase and PfAldolase indicate structural differences that have changed the positioning of multiple residues, which may be owing to crystal artifacts or representative of a mechanism in which aldolases from different organisms can bind adhesin tails of varying sequences. Interestingly, both aldolases must bind adhesin tails of varying sequence, but are not able to change the residues in the binding pocket without the risk of disrupting the enzymatic function. Therefore, in order to accommodate different sequences specific to the species, it is possible that there is flexibility in the binding pocket that allows an induced-fit mechanism of binding.

3.3. Future directions

With an apo TgAldolase crystal structure solved, we hope to proceed with studies to define the binding mode of the micronemeassociated protein 2 (MIC2) tail in the TgAldolase active site and to pursue structure-based drug-design studies. Additionally, we would like to use this system to further investigate the binding of P. falciparum adhesins by co-crystallization and soaking experiments with TgAldolase and malarial adhesins. There are multiple advantages to using the TgAldolase crystallization system instead of the PfAldolase system to study adhesin binding. In producing TgAldolase crystals using the described methods, we are able to produce numerous crystals. We find that more than 95% of all crystals tested diffract to better than 2 Å resolution, and by using seeding we can obtain these crystals in less than 48 h. The ability to quickly obtain high-quality crystals will aid in studies of PfAldolase, which is more difficult to pursue in crystallization studies. The crystallization of PfAldolase, a protein for which our group and others have determined the apo (Kim et al., 1998) and peptide-bound states (Bosch et al., 2007), is less robust, making further studies difficult. Only one in 50 crystals diffracts, and these crystals must be annealed to obtain diffraction to better than 3 Å resolution. The low yield of quality crystals, the time spent screening for 'collectable' crystals and the possibility of losing

structural communications

or destroying crystals during the annealing process are reasons causing us to move to the TgAldolase system to further study the interactions of PfAldolase with compounds and adhesin tails. The high reproducibility of the crystallization process in combination with the high sequence identity between TgAldolase and PfAldolase will hopefully make this system a viable tool for studying the interactions that are important in *Plasmodium*.

This work was partially funded through The Bloomberg Family Foundation (JB) and a Predoctoral Fellowship from the Johns Hopkins Malaria Institute (LEB). We would like to thank the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource beamline 7-1 support staff and to acknowledge the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease for providing the expression clone used in this work.

References

- Adams, P. D. et al. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 213-221.
- Bergman, L. W., Kaiser, K., Fujioka, H., Coppens, I., Daly, T. M., Fox, S., Matuschewski, K., Nussenzweig, V. & Kappe, S. H. (2003). J. Cell Sci. 116, 39–49.
- Bosch, J., Buscaglia, C. A., Krumm, B., Ingason, B. P., Lucas, R., Roach, C., Cardozo, T., Nussenzweig, V. & Hol, W. G. J. (2007). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA, **104**, 7015–7020.
- Buscaglia, C. A., Penesetti, D., Tao, M. & Nussenzweig, V. (2006). J. Biol. Chem. 281, 1324–1331.
- Chen, V. B., Arendall, W. B., Headd, J. J., Keedy, D. A., Immormino, R. M., Kapral, G. J., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* D66, 12–21.
- Cowtan, K. (2006). Acta Cryst. D62, 1002-1011.

- Cowtan, K. (2008). Acta Cryst. D64, 83-89.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 486–501.
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D. & Bairoch, A. (2005). *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*, edited by J. M. Walker, pp. 571–607. Totowa: Humana Press.
- Gorrec, F. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 1035-1042.
- Gouet, P., Robert, X. & Courcelle, E. (2003). Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 3320-3323.
- Jewett, T. J. & Sibley, L. D. (2003). Mol. Cell, 11, 885-894.
- Jones, J. L. & Dubey, J. P. (2012). Clin. Infect. Dis. 55, 845-851.
- Jones, J. L., Parise, M. E. & Fiore, A. E. (2014). Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 90, 794–799.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 125-132.
- Kappe, S., Bruderer, T., Gantt, S., Fujioka, H., Nussenzweig, V. & Ménard, R. (1999). J. Cell Biol. 147, 937–944.
- Kappe, S. H., Buscaglia, C. A., Bergman, L. W., Coppens, I. & Nussenzweig, V. (2004). Trends Parasitol. 20, 13–16.
- Kim, H., Certa, U., Döbeli, H., Jakob, P. & Hol, W. G. J. (1998). Biochemistry, 37, 4388–4396.
- Konagurthu, A. S., Reboul, C. F., Schmidberger, J. W., Irving, J. A., Lesk, A. M., Stuckey, P. J., Whisstock, J. C. & Buckle, A. M. (2010). *PLoS One*, 5, e10048.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658–674.
- Ménard, R. (2001). Cell. Microbiol. 3, 63-73.
- Roy, A., Kucukural, A. & Zhang, Y. (2010). Nature Protoc. 5, 725-738.
- Sepulveda-Arias, J. C., Gomez-Marin, J. E., Bobic, B., Naranjo-Galvis, C. A. & Djurkovic Djakovic, O. (2014). *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* doi:10.1016/ j.tmaid.2014.05.007.
- St-Jean, M., Izard, T. & Sygusch, J. (2007). J. Biol. Chem. 282, 14309– 14315.
- Winn, M. D. et al. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 235-242.
- Zhang, K. Y. J., Cowtan, K. & Main, P. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* 277, 53–64. Zhang, Y. (2008). *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9, 40.