Theoretical study of cluster size effects on X-ray absorption and resonant X-ray emission spectra in *d* and *f* electron systems

Akio Kotani⁺ and Tsuyoshi Idé

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-22-1 Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-8666, Japan. E-mail: kotani@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Cluster size dependence of X-ray absorption spectra and resonant X-ray emission spectra are theoretically studied with a onedimensional d-p model, which describes qualitatively the effect of translational symmetry for nominally d^0 (or f^0) compounds such as TiO₂ (or CeO₂).

Keywords: resonant X-ray emission, X-ray absorption, one-dimensional d-p model, Lanczos method.

1. Introduction

Study of resonant X-ray emission spectroscopy (RXES) has recently been a subject of remarkable progress with high brightness synchrotron radiation sources. In RXES, a core electron is excited by the incident X-ray photon to the absorption threshold and this excited state decays by emitting an X-ray photon. Therefore, RXES is a second order optical process, whose intermediate state is the same as the final state of the X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), which is a typical first order optical process.

Theoretical analysis of XAS and RXES in d and f electron systems, such as transition metal compounds and rare earth compounds, has so far been made with a cluster model (or an impurity Anderson model) including a single cation (denoted hereafter as single-cation model). For nominally d^0 and f^0 systems, TiO₂ and CeO₂ for instance, XAS and RXES of CeO₂ are well analysed by the single-cation model (see for instance a short review by Kotani (1997), but for TiO₂ (Tezuka *et al.*, 1996) such an analysis for RXES breaks down even though it works well for the analysis of XAS (Okada & Kotani, 1993).

The spatial extension of the transition metal 3d wave function is larger than that of the rare earth 4f wave function. Therefore, it is expected that the RXES of transition metal compounds are more sensitive to the cluster size. Furthermore, it is interesting to compare the cluster size dependence of RXES and XAS. It is the purpose of this paper to perform a model study of cluster size effects on XAS and RXES.

2. Model

We consider the following one-dimensional d-p Hamiltonian as a model of TiO₂:

$$H = \sum_{l,\sigma} \left[(\Delta + \varepsilon_p) d^{\dagger}_{l\sigma} d_{l\sigma} + \varepsilon_p p^{\dagger}_{l\sigma} p_{l\sigma} \right] \\ + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} \left[v d^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} p_{j\sigma} + v^* p^{\dagger}_{j\sigma} d_{i\sigma} \right]$$

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

$$-U_{dc} \sum_{l} \left(\sum_{\sigma} d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{l\sigma} \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma'} c_{l\sigma'} c_{l\sigma'}^{\dagger} \right)$$
(1)
+
$$U_{dd} \sum_{l} d_{l\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{l\uparrow} d_{l\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{l\downarrow} + \sum_{l,\sigma} \varepsilon_{c} c_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{l\sigma}.$$

Here, $d_{l\sigma}^{\dagger}$ $(p_{l\sigma}^{\dagger})$ is a creation operator of σ spin electron on the d (p) site in l-th unit cell, Δ is the charge-transfer energy between d and p orbitals, v is the nearest neighbor d-p hopping, U_{dd} is the on-site d-d Coulomb interaction, and U_{dc} is the intra-atomic core hole potential. $c_{l\sigma}^{\dagger}$ $(c_{l\sigma})$ is a creation (annihilation) operator of core electrons, and ε_c is the core electron energy. For simplicity, we disregard the orbital degeneracy of the d, p and c states.

Geometry of the system is shown, for instance, at the top of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) for d_1p_2 and d_6p_6 clusters, respectively. We set the number of valence electrons in the ground state as 2N for the $d_M p_N$ cluster. The d_1p_2 cluster with the open boundary condition is used as a reference system representing the single-cation cluster, and the effect of larger clusters is studied using the $d_N p_N$ clusters with the periodic boundary condition.

Although we do not explicitly consider the orbital degeneracy, its effect on the d-p hopping can partly be taken into account by putting $v = \sqrt{[2V(e_g)^2 + 3V(t_{2g})^2]/2}$, where $V(e_g)$ and $V(t_{2g})$ are hybridization strengths of TiO₆ cluster model. Using the parameter values $V(e_g)=3.0$ and $V(t_{2g})=-1.5$ (in units of eV) after Okada & Kotani (1993), we have v=3.5 eV. Other parameters are chosen to be $\Delta=4.0$, $U_{dd}=4.0$ and $U_{dc}=6.0$ (in eV). These will be referred to as "TiO₂-like" parameters.

The present model can also be used for the Ce 4f-3d RXES calculation of a "CeO₂-like" system by regarding d, p and c as Ce 4f, O 2p and Ce 3d orbitals, respectively, and by taking appropriate values for the model parameters.

The RXES spectrum is calculated by using the coherent second order optical formula and by taking into account the optical dipole transition between c and d states. Numerical calculations are made using the Lanczos method.

3. Calculated Results

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the calculated XAS and RXES spectra for the TiO₂-like parameters in d_1p_2 and d_6p_6 clusters, respectively. It is seen that the cluster size dependence of XAS is small. With the increase of the cluster size, some fine absorption structures come arise on the high energy tail of the main peak. The calculated RXES are shown with the incident photon energy Ω tuned to the XAS energy positions 1, 2, 3, and so on. In the present calculation of RXES, we confine our interest to the study of inelastic X-ray scattering (IEXS) components with $\omega \neq \Omega$ (ω being the emitted photon energy), and for elastic scattering component ($\omega = \Omega$) we show only their emitted photon energies by arrows in the figures of RXES.

In the case of the d_1p_2 system, IEXS peaks are caused by the local charge transfer excitation, and their energy ω moves in parallel with Ω . The first IEXS peak corresponds to a single-electron charge transfer excitation (anti-bonding state between d^0 and d^1p configurations), while the second one mainly to a two-electrons charge transfer state. For the d_6p_6 cluster, on the other hand, the energy ω of the strongest RXES peak does not follow Ω but is rather constant, and it oscillates, in more precise, with the change of Ω . Thus the cluster size effect is very important for RXES.

Similar calculations have also been made for the CeO_2 -like system, although the result is not shown here. It is found that the

Journal of Synchrotron Radiation ISSN 0909-0495 © 1999 cluster size dependence of XAS and RXES for the CeO_2 -like system is much smaller than that of the TiO_2 -like system, and the single-cation cluster model works well in describing both XAS and RXES.

These results suggest that the single-cation model can well describe XAS for both $_2$ and CeO₂, and justify previous theoretical analyses of Ti 2pXAS of TiO₂ (Okada & Kotani, 1993) and Ce 3dXAS of CeO₂ (Kotani, 1997, and references therein). For RXES, on the other hand, the cluster size dependence is very important for the TiO₂-like system, while the single-cation model works as a fairly good model for the CeO₂-like system. According to the Ti 3d-2p RXES spectra of TiO₂ measured experimentally by Tezuka et al. (1996), strong IEXS spectra whose energy ω do not follow



Figure 1 Calculated XAS and RXES spectra for TiO_2 -like parameters with (a) d_1p_2 and (b) d_6p_6 clusters, the geometry of which is shown in the inset.

the change of Ω were observed. These spectra cannot be explained with the single-cation model, but are qualitatively consistent with the results of the $d_6 p_6$ cluster. The mechanism of the cluster size dependence of RXES in the TiO₂-like system will be discussed in detail in a separate paper (Idé & Kotani, 1998).

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture in Japan. The computation in this work was done using the facilities of the Super-Computer Center, Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo.

References

Idé, T. & Kotani, A. (1998). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. In the press.

- Kotani, A. (1997). Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure, (Grenoble, 1996) J. Phys. IV France 7, C2 1-8.
- Okada, K. & Kotani, A. (1993). J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 62, 131-140.
- Tezuka, Y., Shin, S., Agui, A., Fujisawa, M. & Ishii, T. (1996). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 312-317.
- (Received 10 August 1998; accepted 9 December 1998)