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# Two-dimensional approach to fluorescence yield XANES measurement using a silicon drift detector. Erratum 
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An error in the paper by Tamenori et al. [(2011), J. Synchrotron Rad. 18, 747-752] is corrected.

In the second paragraph of $\S 3.2$ of Tamenori et al. (2011), we had provided incorrect fluorescence decay probability values for $\mathrm{Mn} L_{23^{-}}$ shell ionization ( 0.0063 ) and O $K$-shell ionization ( 0.05 ). The correct fluorescence decay probability value of $\mathrm{Mn} L_{23}$-shell ionization is 0.005 and that of O $K$-shell ionization is 0.0083 (Krause, 1979).

Consequently, we had overestimated the difference between the fluorescence decay probabilities of $\mathrm{Mn} L_{23}$-shell and $\mathrm{O} K$-shell ionization.

Based on the correct fluorescence decay probability values, the Mn $L_{23}$-shell ionization value is about $60 \%$ of the $\mathrm{O} K$-shell ionization value. This ratio supports a qualitative interpretation of the dip structure that appeared in the NEXAFS spectra of a MnO crystal [Fig. 3 of Tamenoriet al. (2011)]. Furthermore, the model proposed in the original paper was also corroborated by two-dimensional fluorescence measurement results, which have been presented in the last paragraph of $\S 3.2$. Therefore, the overall conclusions of the original paper remain unchanged.

We thank Professor Toshiaki Ohta of Ritsumeikan University for drawing our attention to this error.
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