

IUCrData

ISSN 2414-3146

Received 5 July 2019 Accepted 11 July 2019

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; cadmium; 3-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionate.

CCDC reference: 1940078

Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]- μ_2 -3-(4-carboxyl-atophenyl)propionato]

Frederick C. Ezenyilimba,^a Richard J. Staples^b and Robert L. LaDuca^a*

^aE-35 Holmes Hall, Michigan State University, Lyman Briggs College, 919 E. Shaw Lane, East Lansing, MI 48825, USA, and ^bMichigan State University, Department of Chemistry, East Lansing, MI 48825, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: laduca@msu.edu

In the title compound, $[Cd(C_{10}H_8O_4)(H_2O)_2)]_n$, the Cd^{II} cation is coordinated in a distorted trigonal-prismatic fashion. 3-(4-Carboxyphenyl)propionate (cpp) ligands connect the Cd^{II} cations into zigzag $[Cd(cpp)(H_2O)_2)]_n$ coordination polymer chains, which are oriented parallel to [101]. The chains aggregate into supramolecular layers oriented parallel to $(10\overline{1})$ by means of $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding between bound water molecules and ligating cpp carboxylate O atoms. The layers stack in an *ABAB* pattern along [100] *via* other $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding mechanisms also involving the bound water molecules. The crystal studied was an inversion twin.



Structure description

The title compound was isolated during an exploratory synthetic effort aiming to produce a cadmium coordination polymer containing both 3-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionate (cpp) and butane-(1,4-diyl)dinicotinamide (bbn) ligands. Cadmium camphorate coordination polymers containing the bbn ligand or other related dipyridylamides have shown intriguing and diverse topologies (Przybyla & LaDuca, 2018).

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains a Cd^{II} cation, a cpp ligand, and two bound water molecules (O5, O6). The Cd^{II} cation is coordinated in a { CdO_6 } trigonal prismatic fashion, with two bound water molecules and chelating carboxylate groups belonging to two different cpp ligands. An ellipsoid plot of the coordination environment and complete ligand set is shown in Fig. 1. The bis(bidentate) cpp ligands form $[Cd(cpp)(H_2O)_2]_n$ zigzag coordination polymer chains oriented parallel [101] (Fig. 2). Within a chain, the $Cd \cdots Cd$ distance through a ligand measures 13.480 (2) Å.

The $[Cd(cpp)(H_2O)_2]_n$ zigzag chains construct supramolecular layers oriented parallel (101) by means of $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding (Table 1) between bound water mol-





Figure 1

The coordination environment of the Cd^{II} atom, showing trigonalprismatic coordination. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atom positions are shown as sticks. [Symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$].

ecules and ligating cpp carboxylate oxygen atoms (Fig. 3) The O···O distance across these hydrogen bonds measure 2.67 (2) and 2.735 (18) Å. The supramolecular layers in turn stack in an *ABAB* pattern along the *a*-axis direction (Fig. 4), mediated by O–H···O hydrogen bonding between bound water molecules and other ligated cpp carboxylate oxygen atoms with a O···O distance of 2.692 (16) Å.

Synthesis and crystallization

Cd(NO₃)₂'4H₂O (114 mg, 0.37 mmol), 3-(4-carboxyphenyl)propionic acid (72 mg, 0.37 mmol), butane-(1,4-diyl)dinicotinamide (110 mg, 0.37 mmol) and 0.75 ml of a 1.0 *M* NaOH solution were placed into 10 ml distilled water in a Teflon-lined acid digestion bomb. The bomb was sealed and heated in an oven at 393 K for 2 d, and then cooled slowly to 273 K. Colourless crystals of the title complex (51 mg, 41%)



Figure 2

 $[Cd(cpp)(H_2O)_2]_n$ coordination polymer chain in the title compound, oriented parallel [101].



Figure 3

Supramolecular layer in the title compound, oriented parallel $(10\overline{1})$. The O-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions between adjacent chain submotifs are shown as dashed lines

 Table 1

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O6-H6A\cdots O4^{i}$	0.97	2.28	2.653 (15)	102
$O6-H6B\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.98	1.76	2.735 (18)	176
$O5-H5A\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.90	1.87	2.692 (16)	151
$O5-H5B\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.90	2.46	2.67 (2)	94

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (iv) x, y + 1, z.

Table	2	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cd(C_{10}H_8O_4)(H_2O)_2)]$
M _r	340.60
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, Cc
Temperature (K)	173
a, b, c (Å)	11.91424 (16), 5.27697 (7), 18.0006 (3)
β (°)	100.2906 (7)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	1113.51 (3)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	15.89
Crystal size (mm)	$0.17\times0.15\times0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.497, 0.754
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	7603, 2127, 2032
R _{int}	0.034
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text{max}} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.617
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.025, 0.065, 1.09
No. of reflections	2127
No. of parameters	139
No. of restraints	63
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} ({\rm e} {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.63, -0.49
Absolute structure	Refined as an inversion twin
Absolute structure parameter	0.45 (3)

Computer programs: COSMO (Bruker, 2009), SAINT (Bruker, 2016), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

yield based on Cd) were isolated after washing with distilled water and acetone, and drying in air.



Figure 4

ABA parallel stacking of supramolecular layer motifs in the title compound along [100], mediated by interlayer $O-H\cdots O$ interactions, which are shown as dashed lines.

Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The crystal studied was an inversion twin with a refined Flack parameter (Flack, 1983) of 0.45 (3); the structure was best solved and refined in the noncentrosymmetric space group *Cc*. Attempts at refinement of the structure in the centrosymmetric space group *C2/c* resulted in disorder and an inability to refine the anisotropic displacement parameters properly.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr G. Looks for helpful discussion.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: Honors College of Michigan State University.

References

- Bruker (2009). COSMO. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2016). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Przybyla, J. J. & LaDuca, R. L. (2018). CrystEngComm, 20, 280-293.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.

full crystallographic data

IUCrData (2019). **4**, x190994 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2414314619009945]

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]- μ_2 -3-(4-carboxylatophenyl)propionato]

Frederick C. Ezenyilimba, Richard J. Staples and Robert L. LaDuca

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]- μ_2 -3-(4-carboxylatophenyl)propionato]

Crystal data [Cd(C₁₀H₈O₄)(H₂O)₂)] $M_r = 340.60$ Monoclinic, *Cc* a = 11.91424 (16) Å b = 5.27697 (7) Å c = 18.0006 (3) Å $\beta = 100.2906$ (7)° V = 1113.51 (3) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 8.36 pixels mm⁻¹ ω and φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Krause *et al.*, 2015) $T_{\min} = 0.497, T_{\max} = 0.754$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$ $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ S = 1.092127 reflections 139 parameters 63 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 672 $D_x = 2.032 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 6487 reflections $\theta = 5.0-72.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 15.89 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 KPlate, colourless $0.17 \times 0.15 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

7603 measured reflections 2127 independent reflections 2032 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.034$ $\theta_{max} = 72.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 5.0^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 21$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0313P)^2 + 4.2565P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.63$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.48$ e Å⁻³ Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0.45 (3)

Special details

Experimental. Data was collected using a BRUKER CCD (charge coupled device) based diffractometer equipped with an Oxford low-temperature apparatus operating at 173 K. A suitable crystal was chosen and mounted on a nylon loop using Paratone oil. Data were measured using omega and phi scans of 1.0° per frame for 30 s. The total number of images were based on results from the program COSMO where redundancy was expected to be 4 and completeness to 0.83Å to 100%. Cell parameters were retrieved using APEX II software and refined using SAINT on all observed reflections.Data reduction was performed using the SAINT software which corrects for Lp. Scaling and absorption corrections were applied using SADABS6 multi-scan technique, supplied by George Sheldrick. The structure was solved by the direct method using the SHELXT program and refined by least squares method on F2, SHELXL, incorporated in OLEX2.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. The structure was refined by Least Squares using version 2014/6 of XL (Sheldrick, 2008) incorporated in Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated geometrically and refined using the riding model.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cd1	0.5908 (3)	1.12031 (6)	0.6165 (2)	0.01947 (14)	
01	0.7708 (10)	0.943 (3)	0.6755 (7)	0.036 (3)	
O2	0.6147 (12)	0.830 (3)	0.7140 (9)	0.029 (3)	
O4	0.9084 (8)	-0.445 (3)	1.0590 (7)	0.030 (2)	
O6	0.6909 (9)	1.4162 (19)	0.5733 (6)	0.0289 (8)	
H6A	0.748862	1.495109	0.610632	0.043*	
H6B	0.649566	1.569403	0.554435	0.043*	
O3	1.0656 (11)	-0.334 (2)	1.0209 (8)	0.022 (3)	
05	0.4894 (8)	1.433 (2)	0.6558 (6)	0.0289 (8)	
H5A	0.416242	1.387459	0.652929	0.043*	
H5B	0.484777	1.566549	0.624244	0.043*	
C9	0.8830 (8)	-0.1225 (14)	0.9731 (5)	0.0245 (13)	
H9A	0.847794	-0.010297	1.006576	0.029*	
H9B	0.820939	-0.212517	0.939340	0.029*	
C1	0.7208 (13)	0.799 (3)	0.7186 (8)	0.0320 (17)	
C2	0.7729 (5)	0.5954 (12)	0.7742 (4)	0.0319 (13)	
C7	0.7093 (4)	0.4494 (14)	0.8159 (4)	0.0339 (13)	
H7	0.629328	0.475108	0.810536	0.041*	
C6	0.7627 (5)	0.2659 (14)	0.8653 (4)	0.0316 (12)	
H6	0.719234	0.166173	0.893751	0.038*	
C5	0.8797 (5)	0.2284 (12)	0.8731 (4)	0.0285 (11)	
C4	0.9433 (4)	0.3743 (10)	0.8314 (4)	0.0290 (11)	
H4	1.023238	0.348644	0.836746	0.035*	
C3	0.8899 (5)	0.5578 (10)	0.7820(3)	0.0305 (11)	
H3	0.933335	0.657580	0.753531	0.037*	
C8	0.9502 (7)	0.0358 (18)	0.9261 (5)	0.0270 (12)	
H8A	0.988763	-0.079726	0.895392	0.032*	
H8B	1.010205	0.128652	0.960705	0.032*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C10	0.9590 (12	2) -0.313	3 (2)	1.0202 (7)	0.0218 (16)			
Atomic	Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)							
	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	<i>U</i> ¹³	U^{23}		
Cd1	0.01434 (19)	0.01525 (19)	0.0281 (2)	0.0000 (4)	0.00181 (13)	0.0005 (4)		
O1	0.026 (5)	0.037 (6)	0.041 (7)	0.013 (6)	-0.008 (4)	-0.010(7)		
O2	0.029 (7)	0.020 (5)	0.038 (7)	0.011 (5)	0.008 (5)	0.002 (6)		
O4	0.007 (4)	0.032 (6)	0.052 (7)	-0.007 (5)	0.005 (4)	-0.006 (7)		
O6	0.0179 (13)	0.0186 (17)	0.052 (2)	0.003 (3)	0.0123 (13)	0.016 (4)		
O3	0.019 (6)	0.021 (5)	0.021 (6)	-0.003 (4)	-0.009 (4)	0.007 (5)		
O5	0.0179 (13)	0.0186 (17)	0.052 (2)	0.003 (3)	0.0123 (13)	0.016 (4)		
C9	0.025 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.026 (3)	0.009 (2)	-0.010 (2)	-0.002(2)		
C1	0.033 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.005 (3)	-0.006 (3)	-0.006 (3)		
C2	0.033 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.030(2)	0.007 (2)	-0.004(2)	-0.002(2)		
C7	0.036 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.005 (2)	0.001 (2)		
C6	0.035 (3)	0.026 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.007(2)	0.0025 (19)		
C5	0.032 (2)	0.0232 (19)	0.0263 (19)	0.007 (2)	-0.006(2)	0.0050 (17)		
C4	0.029 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.006 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.0090 (19)		
C3	0.030 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.006 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.008 (2)		
C8	0.028 (2)	0.021 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.008 (2)	-0.008 (2)	0.004 (2)		
C10	0.021 (3)	0.014 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.008 (3)	-0.010 (3)	-0.009 (3)		

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cd101	2.405 (13)	C9—C8	1.515 (12)
Cd1—O2	2.309 (16)	C9—C10	1.509 (12)
Cd1—O4 ⁱ	2.418 (12)	C1—C2	1.525 (11)
Cd1—O6	2.190 (11)	C2—C7	1.3900
Cd1—O3 ⁱ	2.269 (13)	C2—C3	1.3900
Cd1—O5	2.235 (12)	С7—Н7	0.9500
Cd1-C10 ⁱ	2.670 (11)	С7—С6	1.3900
01—C1	1.30 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500
O2—C1	1.26 (2)	C6—C5	1.3900
O4—C10	1.22 (2)	C5—C4	1.3900
O6—H6A	0.9673	C5—C8	1.537 (7)
O6—H6B	0.9755	C4—H4	0.9500
O3—C10	1.27 (2)	C4—C3	1.3900
O5—H5A	0.8979	С3—Н3	0.9500
O5—H5B	0.8986	C8—H8A	0.9900
С9—Н9А	0.9900	C8—H8B	0.9900
С9—Н9В	0.9900		
O1—Cd1—O4 ⁱ	134.65 (17)	С10—С9—Н9В	109.5
O1—Cd1—C10 ⁱ	115.2 (5)	C10—C9—C8	110.9 (8)
O2—Cd1—O1	55.1 (6)	O1—C1—C2	128.8 (13)
O2—Cd1—O4 ⁱ	92.9 (5)	O2—C1—O1	116.4 (13)
O2Cd1C10 ⁱ	94.0 (5)	O2—C1—C2	114.8 (14)

data reports

$O4^{i}$ —Cd1—C10 ⁱ	27.2 (5)	C7—C2—C1	123.2 (8)
06—Cd1—O1	86.2 (5)	C7—C2—C3	120.0
O6—Cd1—O2	137.9 (5)	C3—C2—C1	116.8 (8)
O6—Cd1—O4 ⁱ	128.7 (5)	С2—С7—Н7	120.0
O6—Cd1—O3 ⁱ	102.2 (5)	C6—C7—C2	120.0
O6—Cd1—O5	86.83 (15)	С6—С7—Н7	120.0
O6-Cd1-C10 ⁱ	119.8 (4)	С7—С6—Н6	120.0
O3 ⁱ —Cd1—O1	93.6 (5)	C7—C6—C5	120.0
O3 ⁱ —Cd1—O2	96.73 (15)	С5—С6—Н6	120.0
$O3^{i}$ — $Cd1$ — $O4^{i}$	55.5 (5)	C6—C5—C8	125.7 (4)
$O3^i$ —Cd1—C10 ⁱ	28.4 (5)	C4—C5—C6	120.0
O5—Cd1—O1	129.6 (5)	C4—C5—C8	114.3 (4)
O5—Cd1—O2	104.5 (4)	C5—C4—H4	120.0
O5—Cd1—O4 ⁱ	85.6 (4)	C5—C4—C3	120.0
O5-Cd1-O3 ⁱ	136.6 (4)	C3—C4—H4	120.0
O5-Cd1-C10 ⁱ	111.5 (5)	С2—С3—Н3	120.0
C1—O1—Cd1	91.3 (9)	C4—C3—C2	120.0
C1—O2—Cd1	96.9 (11)	С4—С3—Н3	120.0
C10—O4—Cd1 ⁱⁱ	88.0 (7)	C9—C8—C5	115.3 (6)
Cd1—O6—H6A	115.2	С9—С8—Н8А	108.5
Cd1—O6—H6B	116.2	С9—С8—Н8В	108.5
H6A—O6—H6B	98.0	С5—С8—Н8А	108.5
C10—O3—Cd1 ⁱⁱ	93.6 (10)	C5—C8—H8B	108.5
Cd1—O5—H5A	110.9	H8A—C8—H8B	107.5
Cd1—O5—H5B	110.7	O4—C10—Cd1 ⁱⁱ	64.8 (7)
H5A—O5—H5B	103.0	O4—C10—O3	122.6 (12)
H9A—C9—H9B	108.0	O4—C10—C9	113.2 (12)
С8—С9—Н9А	109.5	O3—C10—Cd1 ⁱⁱ	58.0 (8)
С8—С9—Н9В	109.5	O3—C10—C9	124.2 (13)
С10—С9—Н9А	109.5	C9—C10—Cd1 ⁱⁱ	173.8 (9)
Cd1—O1—C1—O2	-4.7 (16)	C2—C7—C6—C5	0.0
Cd1—O1—C1—C2	174.9 (14)	C7—C2—C3—C4	0.0
Cd1—O2—C1—O1	4.9 (17)	C7—C6—C5—C4	0.0
Cd1—O2—C1—C2	-174.7 (10)	C7—C6—C5—C8	179.1 (7)
Cd1 ⁱⁱ —O4—C10—O3	5.6 (15)	C6—C5—C4—C3	0.0
Cd1 ⁱⁱ —O4—C10—C9	-173.6 (9)	C6—C5—C8—C9	-0.1 (10)
Cd1 ⁱⁱ —O3—C10—O4	-5.9 (16)	C5—C4—C3—C2	0.0
Cd1 ⁱⁱ —O3—C10—C9	173.1 (11)	C4—C5—C8—C9	179.0 (6)
O1—C1—C2—C7	-178.3 (13)	C3—C2—C7—C6	0.0
O1—C1—C2—C3	1 (2)	C8—C9—C10—O4	-180.0 (11)
O2—C1—C2—C7	1.3 (18)	C8—C9—C10—O3	0.9 (17)
O2—C1—C2—C3	-179.0 (12)	C8—C5—C4—C3	-179.2 (7)
C1—C2—C7—C6	179.7 (9)	C10—C9—C8—C5	177.3 (8)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-179.7 (9)		

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (ii) x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O6—H6A····O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.28	2.653 (15)	102
O6—H6 <i>B</i> ····O3 ^{iv}	0.98	1.76	2.735 (18)	176
O5—H5 <i>A</i> ···O1 ^v	0.90	1.87	2.692 (16)	151
O5—H5 <i>B</i> ····O2 ^{vi}	0.90	2.46	2.67 (2)	94
С3—Н3…О1				

Symmetry codes: (iii) *x*, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (iv) *x*-1/2, -*y*+3/2, *z*-1/2; (v) *x*-1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*; (vi) *x*, *y*+1, *z*.