ISSN 2414-3146

Received 14 November 2019
Accepted 26 November 2019

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; rubidium; tetrafluoridobromate; redetermination.

CCDC reference: 1968250
Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

# Rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III): redetermination of the crystal structure from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data 
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Single crystals of rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III), $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$, were grown by melting and recrystallizing $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ from its melt. This is the first determination of the crystal structure of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ using single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. We confirmed that the structure contains square-planar $\left[\mathrm{BrF}_{4}\right]^{-}$anions and rubidium cations that are coordinated by F atoms in a square-antiprismatic manner. The compound crystallizes in the $\mathrm{KBrF}_{4}$ structure type. Atomic coordinates and bond lengths and angles were determined with higher precision than in a previous report based on powder X-ray diffraction data [Ivlev et al. (2015). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 641, 2593-2598].


## Structure description

The first attempt to determine the lattice parameters of rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III) from powder X-ray diffraction data was undertaken by Popov et al. (1987). They reported the following tetragonal $I$-centered unit cell: $a=6.401$ (3), $c=$ 11.1538 (7) $\AA, V=472.7$ (6) $\AA^{3}$ at room temperature. The authors stated that the structure of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ is isotypic to that of $\mathrm{KBrF}_{4}$ but did not provide further crystallographic details. The next report on the crystal structure of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ was published by Seppelt and coworkers, stating that the crystal structure is not isotypic to $\mathrm{KBrF}_{4}$ (Mahjoub et al., 1989). In a later study we showed that the structure model by Mahjoub et al. (1989) was not correct. Indeed, the crystal-structure model obtained from powder X-ray diffraction data [I4/mcm, $a=6.37181$ (15), $c=11.4934$ (3) $\AA, V=466.63$ (2) $\AA^{3}$ at 293 K ; Ivlev et al., 2015] revealed isotypism to $\mathrm{KBrF}_{4}$. On basis of the obtained powder X-ray diffraction data, only the Rb and Br atoms could be refined with anisotropic


Figure 1
The square-antiprismatic coordination sphere of the $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$cation by $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ anions. Atoms are shown with arbitrary radii.
displacement parameters. We were now able to grow single crystals of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ and present our results on the basis of single-crystal X-ray diffraction data at 100 K , which allowed for anisotropic refinement of all atoms and confirmed our previous model with higher precision.

The lattice parameters of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ obtained from the current single-crystal X-ray diffraction data (Table 1) are, as expected, slightly smaller than those of the room temperature powder X-ray data given above. In the structure, all atoms are located on special positions: Rb1 occupies Wyckoff position $4 a$ (site symmetry 422), Br1 $4 d$ ( $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{mm}$ ), and F1 $16 l$ (..m). The rubidium cation is coordinated in a square-antiprismatic manner by fluorine atoms (Fig. 1), whereas the bromine(III) atom shows a square-planar coordination by fluorine atoms.

The resulting $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{F}$ bond length of $1.8905(16) \AA$ is comparable with the value of 1.932 (8) $\AA$ obtained from powder X-ray diffraction data at 293 K , as well as with the $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{F}$ bond lengths reported for other tetrafluoridobromates(III) (Ivlev et al., 2015). The $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{F}$ distance amounts to $2.8447(10) \AA$, likewise in good agreement with powder X-ray data (2.851 (7) $\AA$ ).

A section of the crystal structure of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ is shown in Fig. 2.


Figure 2
The crystal structure of the title compound in a projection along the $a$ axis. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $70 \%$ probability level.

Table 1
Experimental details.
Crystal data
Chemical formula
$M_{\mathrm{r}}$
Crystal system, space group
Temperature (K)
$a, c(\AA)$
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
Z
Radiation type
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$
Crystal size (mm)
Data collection
Diffractometer
Absorption correction
$T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections $R_{\text {int }}$
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max }\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$
Refinement
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S \quad 0.020,0.038,1.06$
No. of reflections 322
No. of parameters 322
$\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\min }\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$
$\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$
241.38

Tetragonal, $14 / \mathrm{mcm}$
100
6.2991 (5), 11.4659 (10)
454.95 (8)

4
Mo $K \alpha$
19.61
$0.19 \times 0.15 \times 0.03$ al., 2015)
0.151, 0.480

5872, 322, 189
0.070
0.833

Bruker D8 QUEST area detector Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et

Computer programs: APEX3 (Bruker, 2018), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2018) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

## Synthesis and crystallization

Rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III) was synthesized by direct reaction of bromine trifluoride with rubidium chloride. The reaction was carried out under Freon-113, which acted as a protective layer against hydrolysis and as a heat absorber. The mixture of RbCl and $\mathrm{BrF}_{3}$ was kept in a closed Teflon vessel. After three days, the Freon was removed by vacuum distillation and $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ was obtained as a solid white residue. The powder was melted at 523 K and subsequently cooled down to room temperature. Single crystals of $\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$ were obtained as small plates after crushing the solid lumps.

## Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are given in Table 1.
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## full crystallographic data

# Rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III): redetermination of the crystal structure from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data 

Artem V. Malin, Sergei I. Ivlev, Roman V. Ostvald and Florian Kraus

Rubidium tetrafluoridobromate(III)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{RbBrF}_{4}$
$M_{r}=241.38$
Tetragonal, $14 / \mathrm{mcm}$
$a=6.2991$ (5) Å
$c=11.4659$ (10) $\AA$
$V=454.95(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$F(000)=432$

## Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST area detector diffractometer
Radiation source: microfocus sealed X-ray tube, Incoatec $\mathrm{I} \mu \mathrm{s}$
Detector resolution: 7.9 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.151, T_{\text {max }}=0.480$

$$
D_{\mathrm{x}}=3.524 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

$D_{\mathrm{m}}=3.33 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{\mathrm{m}}$ measured by helium pycnometry
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 285 reflections
$\theta=4.5-31.3^{\circ}$
$\mu=19.61 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, colorless
$0.19 \times 0.14 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.020$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.038$
$S=1.06$
322 reflections
13 parameters
0 restraints

5872 measured reflections
322 independent reflections
189 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.070$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=36.3^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.6^{\circ}$
$h=-9 \rightarrow 10$
$k=-9 \rightarrow 10$
$l=-19 \rightarrow 19$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.004 P)^{2}+1.2951 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.66 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.73$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$
Extinction coefficient: 0.0016 (3)

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 0.500000 | $0.00663(14)$ |
| Rb1 | 0.500000 | 0.500000 | 0.750000 | $0.00956(15)$ |
| F1 | $0.6501(2)$ | $0.1501(2)$ | $0.61660(13)$ | $0.0138(3)$ |

## Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.00693(19)$ | $0.00693(19)$ | $0.0060(2)$ | $0.0008(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Rb1 | $0.0099(2)$ | $0.0099(2)$ | $0.0088(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| F1 | $0.0147(5)$ | $0.0147(5)$ | $0.0120(7)$ | $-0.0003(8)$ | $-0.0047(5)$ | $-0.0047(5)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| Brl-F1 | 1.8905 (16) | Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$ | 2.8447 (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1.8906 (16) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ix }}$ | 2.8447 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 1.8906 (16) | Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 2.8447 (9) |
| Br 1 - $\mathrm{F}^{1 i i}$ | 1.8906 (16) | Rb1-F1 | 2.8447 (9) |
| Rb 1 - $\mathrm{F}^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.8447 (10) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}$ | 4.4541 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {v }}$ | 2.8447 (10) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 4.4541 (4) |
| Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.8447 (10) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 4.4541 (4) |
| Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ | 2.8447 (10) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 4.4541 (4) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 180.0 | F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$-Rb1— $\mathrm{Rb}^{\text {xi }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 90.01 (10) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{ix}}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1- ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $1-\mathrm{F} 1{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 89.99 (10) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\mathrm{xi}}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| F1-Br1-F1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 89.99 (10) | F1-Rb1-Rb1 ${ }^{\text {xi }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1--Br1-F1 $1^{\text {iii }}$ | 90.01 (10) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| F1i- ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ - $1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 180.0 | F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$-Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 143.52 (7) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 73.20 (3) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ - Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 141.19 (6) | F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$-Rb1—Rb1 ${ }^{\text {xii }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| F1iv ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ Rb1-F1 $1^{\text {vii }}$ | 114.95 (5) | F1 ${ }^{\text {ix }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ - Rb1-F1 $1^{\text {vii }}$ | 76.95 (5) | F1 ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ - Rb1-F1 $1^{\text {vii }}$ | 73.20 (3) | $\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| F1iv ${ }^{\text {iv }}$-Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$ | 76.95 (5) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 180.0 |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$-Rb1-F1 $1^{\text {viii }}$ | 114.95 (5) | F1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {viii }}$ | 78.41 (7) | F1 ${ }^{v}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$-Rb1-F1 $1^{\text {viii }}$ | 143.52 (7) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ix }}$ | 73.20 (3) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$-Rb1—Rb1 ${ }^{\text {xiii }}$ | 108.24 (3) |


| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{v}}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ix }}$ | 78.41 (7) | F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$-Rb1-Rb1 ${ }^{\text {xiii }}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$-Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {ix }}$ | 114.95 (5) | F1 ${ }^{\text {ix }}$-Rb1-Rb1 ${ }^{\text {xiii }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ix }}$ | 73.20 (3) | F1 ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {viii }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {ix }}$ | 141.19 (6) | $\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 78.41 (7) | $\mathrm{Rb1}{ }^{\text {xi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xiii }}$ | 90.0 |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{v}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 73.20 (3) | $R b 1{ }^{\text {xii }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}$ | 90.0 |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 143.52 (7) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{iv}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{x}$ | 141.19 (6) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{v}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 108.24 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$-Rb1-F1 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 73.20 (3) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{ix}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 76.95 (5) | F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb1} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{iv}}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1$ | 141.19 (6) | F1 ${ }^{\text {viii }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 71.76 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1$ | 73.19 (3) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{ix}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vi}}$-Rb1-F1 | 76.95 (5) | $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 141.53 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vii- }}$ Rb1—F1 | 78.41 (7) | F1-Rb1-Rb1 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 38.47 (2) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {viii- }}$ Rb1—F1 | 73.20 (3) | $\mathrm{Rb1} 1^{\text {xi }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 90.0 |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {ix }}$ - $\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1$ | 143.52 (7) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xii }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 90.0 |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{F} 1$ | 114.95 (5) | $R b 1{ }^{\text {xiii }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{F} 1^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}$ | 141.53 (2) | $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi }}$ | 126.98 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {v }}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}$ | 38.47 (2) | Br1—F1—Rb1 | 126.98 (3) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vi}}-\mathrm{Rb} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {xi }}$ | 108.24 (3) | $\mathrm{Rb} 1^{\text {vi}}-\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{Rb} 1$ | 103.05 (5) |
| F1 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ - Rb1— $\mathrm{Rbl}^{\text {xi }}$ | 38.47 (2) |  |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (ii) $x, y,-z+1$; (iii) $-x+1,-y, z$; (iv) $y+1 / 2,-x+3 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (v) $y,-x+1, z$; (vi) $-x+3 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (vii) $-y+1 / 2$, $x-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (viii) $-y+1, x, z$; (ix) $x-1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (x) $-x+1,-y+1, z$; (xi) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (xii) $-x+3 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (xiii) $-x+1 / 2$, $-y+3 / 2,-z+3 / 2$.

