



ISSN 2414-3146

Received 13 January 2023 Accepted 24 January 2023

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** aquatrifluoridoboron; ethylene carbonate; co-crystal; crystal structure.

Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

## Aquatrifluoridoboron-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (1/2)

Kristian Radan,<sup>a</sup> Juan Forero-Saboya,<sup>b</sup> Alexandre Ponrouch<sup>b</sup> and Matic Lozinšek<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova cesta 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, and <sup>b</sup>Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona, ICMAB-CSIC, Campus UAB 08193, Bellaterra, Spain. \*Correspondence e-mail: matic.lozinsek@ijs.si

The crystal structure of the co-crystal of aquatrifluoridoboron with two ethylene carbonate (systematic name: 1,3-dioxolan-2-one) molecules,  $BF_3H_2O\cdot 2OC(OCH_2)_2$ , was determined by low-temperature single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The co-crystal crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group  $P2_12_12_1$  with four formula units per unit cell. The asymmetric unit consists of an aquatrifluoridoboron molecule and two ethylene carbonate molecules, connected by  $O-H\cdots O=C$  hydrogen bonds. This crystal structure is an interesting example of a superacidic  $BF_3H_2O$  species co-crystallized with an organic carbonate.



### Structure description

Adducts synthesized from boron trifluoride and various organic carbonates have been reported as potential functional electrolyte additives for secondary (rechargeable) lithium-ion batteries (Eisele *et al.*, 2020), and have been shown to modify the electrode surfaces, resulting in reduced cell resistance and better capacity retention at high current rates. Recently, the use of BF<sub>3</sub>-based additives has been extended to divalent-metal batteries, namely calcium-ion batteries (Forero-Saboya *et al.*, 2021; Bodin *et al.*, 2023), where their decomposition into boron-crosslinked polymeric matrices in the passivation layer was found to be crucial for calcium plating and stripping. Such BF<sub>3</sub> adducts are moisture sensitive and readily hydrolyze to form BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O (Simonov *et al.*, 1996; Fonari *et al.*, 1997). The title co-crystal formed from the boron trifluoride–ethylene carbonate (1/1) adduct, BF<sub>3</sub>·OC(OCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, upon exposure to moisture.

The BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O·2OC(OCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> co-crystal crystallizes in the orthorhombic Sohncke space group  $P2_12_12_1$  with one aquatrifluoridoboron and two ethylene carbonate molecules in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The two OC(OCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> molecules have an essentially identical molecular shape (slightly twisted), which also agrees well with the crystal structure determination of 1,3-dioxolan-2-one (Atterberry & Bond, 2019). The B–O and B–F





Figure 1

The asymmetric unit and the atom-labelling scheme of the  $BF_3H_2O\cdot 2OC(OCH_2)_2$  co-crystal. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are depicted as spheres of arbitrary radius, and hydrogen bonds are indicated by blue dashed lines.

bond lengths [1.5236 (18) Å and 1.3718 (18)–1.3760 (17) Å, respectively] in the BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O molecule of the title co-crystal are similar to those found in BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O (Mootz & Steffen, 1981*a*), BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O·H<sub>2</sub>O (Mootz & Steffen, 1981*b*), BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O·C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Barthen & Frank, 2019), or adducts of BF<sub>3</sub> and organic carbonates (Bodin *et al.*, 2023). The F–B–F angles [110.75 (12)–112.57 (12)°] are larger than the O–B–F angles, with the angle involving F1 [109.23 (11)°] being significantly larger than the other two angles [105.47 (11)° and 106.41 (12)°]. The hydrogen atoms of the H<sub>2</sub>O moiety in the BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O adduct are inclined toward the F1 atom, with the angle between the B–O bond and the plane defined by the water atoms being 128 (2)°. The overall shape of the BF<sub>3</sub> moiety in BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O in terms of bond lengths and angles is similar to that of the BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion (Lozinšek, 2021).



Figure 2

Crystal packing of  $BF_3H_2O$ ·2OC(OCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> viewed along [100]. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by blue dashed lines.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O1 - H1A \cdots O2 \\ O1 - H1B \cdots O5 \end{array}$	0.90 (3)	1.67 (3)	2.5637 (15)	175 (3)
	0.82 (3)	1.79 (3)	2.5985 (15)	166 (2)

Table 2

Experimental details.

2CaH4OarHaBEaO
2031403120130
261.95
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
150
5.44197 (4), 13.09134 (8), 14 36102 (9)
1023.12 (1)
4
Cu <i>Kα</i>
1.65
$0.18\times0.08\times0.05$
XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, Eiger2 R CdTe 1M
Gaussian ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2022)
0.663, 1.000
34542, 2134, 2100
0.038
0.630
0.019, 0.050, 1.04
2134
195
All H-atom parameters refined
0.11, -0.13
Flack x determined using 853 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
-0.05 (3)

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2022), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2005) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Aquatrifluoridoboron is stabilized in the solid state by hydrogen-bonding interactions with oxygen hydrogen-bond acceptors, such as 1,4-dioxane (Barthen & Frank, 2019) or crown ethers (Bott *et al.*, 1991; Simonov *et al.*, 1996; Fonari *et al.*, 1997; Gelmboldt *et al.*, 2012). In the present case, the BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O molecule is hydrogen-bonded to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of the two ethylene carbonate molecules, forming a C=O···H-O-H···O=C fragment with a  $D_2^2$ (5) graph-set motif (Etter, 1990) and O···O distances of 2.5637 (15) Å and 2.5985 (15) Å (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2). A similar hydrogenbonding motif was observed in the crystal structure of the BF<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O·2Ph<sub>3</sub>PO co-crystal (Chekhlov, 2005).

### Synthesis and crystallization

Single crystals of the  $BF_3H_2O \cdot 2OC(OCH_2)_2$  co-crystal were discovered when a crystalline sample of the air-sensitive

 $BF_3 \cdot OC(OCH_2)_2$  adduct was examined under a protective cold nitrogen stream at about -50 °C. The  $BF_3 \cdot OC(OCH_2)_2$ compound was synthesized from dry ethylene carbonate and  $BF_3$  gas under anhydrous conditions, as described previously (Bodin *et al.*, 2023). Platelet-shaped co-crystals of  $BF_3H_2O\cdot 2OC(OCH_2)_2$  were located in a droplet at the tip of the aluminium trough (Veith & Bärnighausen, 1974) of the low-temperature crystal mounting apparatus, which likely formed by an inadvertent introduction of a small amount of moisture. Selected crystals were mounted on the diffractometer employing a previously described procedure for mounting crystals at low temperatures (Lozinšek *et al.*, 2021). The crystals melted and turned into droplets when exposed to air at room temperature.

### Refinement

Crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Positions and isotropic thermal displacement parameters of hydrogen atoms were freely refined (Cooper *et al.*, 2010).

### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: European Research Council (ERC) (StG *HiPeR-F* and StG *CAMBAT*) (grant agreement Nos. 950625 and 715087); Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (COFUND-2016 *DOC-FAM*) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant No. 754397); Jožef Stefan Institute Director's Fund; Slovenian Research Agency (grant No. N1-0189); Spanish Ministry for Economy, Industry and Competitiveness Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (contract No. CEX2019-000917-S).

#### References

- Atterberry, S. & Bond, M. R. (2019). *CSD Communication* (CCDC 1965649). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Barthen, P. & Frank, W. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 1787-1791.
- Bodin, C., Forero Saboya, J., Jankowski, P., Radan, K., Foix, D., Courrèges, C., Yousef, I., Dedryvère, R., Davoisne, C., Lozinšek, M. & Ponrouch, A. (2023). *Batteries Supercaps* 6, e202200433.
- Bott, S. B., Alvanipour, A. & Atwood, J. L. (1991). J. Inclusion Phenom. Mol. Recognit. Chem. 10, 153–158.
- Brandenburg, K. (2005). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Chekhlov, A. N. (2005). Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 31, 9-13.
- Cooper, R. I., Thompson, A. L. & Watkin, D. J. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 1100–1107.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Eisele, L., Laszczynski, N., Schneider, M., Lucht, B. & Krossing, I. (2020). J. Electrochem. Soc. 167, 060514.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Fonari, M. S., Simonov, Y. A., Mazus, M. D., Ganin, E. V. & Gelmboldt, V. O. (1997). Crystallogr. Rep. 42, 790–794.
- Forero-Saboya, J., Bodin, C. & Ponrouch, A. (2021). Electrochem. Commun. 124, 106936.
- Gelmboldt, V. O., Ganin, E. V. & Fonari, M. S. (2012). J. Fluorine Chem. 135, 15–24.
- Lozinšek, M. (2021). IUCrData, 6, x211215.
- Lozinšek, M., Mercier, H. P. A. & Schrobilgen, G. J. (2021). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 8149–8156.
- Mootz, D. & Steffen, M. (1981a). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 483, 171-180.
- Mootz, D. & Steffen, M. (1981b). Acta Cryst. B37, 1110-1112.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249–259.
- Rigaku OD (2022). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Corporation, Wrocław, Poland.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Simonov, Y. A., Fonari, M. S., Lipkowski, J., Gelmboldt, V. O. & Ganin, E. V. (1996). J. Inclusion Phenom. Mol. Recognit. Chem. 24, 149–161.
- Veith, M. & Bärnighausen, H. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1806-1813.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# full crystallographic data

*IUCrData* (2023). **8**, x230062 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2414314623000627]

## Aquatrifluoridoboron–1,3-dioxolan-2-one (1/2)

Kristian Radan, Juan Forero-Saboya, Alexandre Ponrouch and Matic Lozinšek

Aquatrifluoridoboron-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (1/2)

Crystal data 2C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>3</sub>O  $D_{\rm x} = 1.701 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$  $M_r = 261.95$ Cu *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å Cell parameters from 24984 reflections Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$  $\theta = 4.6 - 75.5^{\circ}$ a = 5.44197 (4) Å b = 13.09134 (8) Å  $\mu = 1.65 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 Kc = 14.36102 (9) Å  $V = 1023.12(1) \text{ Å}^3$ Plate, clear colourless Z = 4 $0.18 \times 0.08 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ F(000) = 536Data collection XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, Eiger2 R CdTe 1M  $T_{\min} = 0.663, T_{\max} = 1.000$ diffractometer 34542 measured reflections Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray 2134 independent reflections tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source 2100 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Mirror monochromator  $R_{\rm int} = 0.038$ Detector resolution: 13.3333 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\theta_{\rm max} = 76.1^{\circ}, \, \theta_{\rm min} = 4.6^{\circ}$  $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$  $\omega$  scans  $k = -15 \rightarrow 16$ Absorption correction: gaussian  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ (CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2022) Refinement Refinement on  $F^2$  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0311P)^2 + 0.1146P]$ where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $wR(F^2) = 0.050$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.11 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.04 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 2134 reflections Extinction correction: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b),  $F_c^* = kF_c [1+0.001xF_c^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ 195 parameters 0 restraints Extinction coefficient: 0.0035 (5) Primary atom site location: dual Absolute structure: Flack x determined using Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map 853 quotients  $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$  (Parsons et All H-atom parameters refined al., 2013) Absolute structure parameter: -0.05(3)

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
F1	0.05387 (16)	0.46158 (7)	0.69151 (6)	0.0345 (2)	
F2	0.31541 (19)	0.57475 (6)	0.75716 (6)	0.0347 (2)	
F3	0.35784 (17)	0.40399 (6)	0.78723 (6)	0.0320 (2)	
01	0.4683 (2)	0.46651 (8)	0.64281 (7)	0.0283 (2)	
H1A	0.518 (5)	0.404 (2)	0.6252 (19)	0.071 (8)*	
H1B	0.432 (5)	0.5005 (19)	0.5968 (17)	0.052 (6)*	
O2	0.6234 (2)	0.29312 (8)	0.58425 (7)	0.0312 (2)	
03	0.29867 (18)	0.19871 (7)	0.62526 (7)	0.0266 (2)	
O4	0.59130 (18)	0.13169 (7)	0.53775 (7)	0.0255 (2)	
C1	0.5104 (2)	0.21312 (10)	0.58256 (9)	0.0232 (3)	
C2	0.2189 (3)	0.09379 (11)	0.61128 (10)	0.0267 (3)	
H2A	0.062 (4)	0.0949 (14)	0.5867 (13)	0.033 (5)*	
H2B	0.220 (3)	0.0629 (13)	0.6729 (12)	0.025 (4)*	
C3	0.4125 (3)	0.04997 (10)	0.54606 (10)	0.0249 (3)	
H3A	0.349 (4)	0.0354 (14)	0.4844 (13)	0.031 (4)*	
H3B	0.497 (4)	-0.0097 (15)	0.5697 (12)	0.030 (5)*	
B1	0.2884 (3)	0.47702 (12)	0.72354 (11)	0.0252 (3)	
05	0.3601 (2)	0.59963 (8)	0.51501 (8)	0.0359 (3)	
O6	0.60783 (19)	0.63618 (7)	0.39559 (7)	0.0280 (2)	
O7	0.31353 (19)	0.74337 (7)	0.43534 (6)	0.0266 (2)	
C4	0.4246 (3)	0.65572 (10)	0.45246 (9)	0.0240 (3)	
C5	0.6409 (3)	0.72193 (11)	0.33235 (10)	0.0305 (3)	
H5B	0.793 (4)	0.7521 (16)	0.3486 (14)	0.040 (5)*	
H5A	0.649 (4)	0.6923 (14)	0.2694 (14)	0.038 (5)*	
C6	0.4207 (3)	0.78959 (11)	0.35281 (10)	0.0291 (3)	
H6B	0.470 (3)	0.8616 (15)	0.3687 (13)	0.032 (4)*	
H6A	0.296 (4)	0.7853 (15)	0.3028 (14)	0.040 (5)*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
F1	0.0260 (4)	0.0419 (5)	0.0356 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0027 (3)	0.0046 (4)
F2	0.0475 (5)	0.0265 (4)	0.0300 (4)	0.0037 (4)	-0.0046 (4)	-0.0043 (3)
F3	0.0379 (5)	0.0309 (4)	0.0273 (4)	0.0026 (4)	-0.0013 (4)	0.0085 (3)
01	0.0324 (5)	0.0254 (5)	0.0271 (5)	0.0034 (4)	0.0049 (4)	0.0046 (4)
02	0.0325 (5)	0.0237 (4)	0.0373 (5)	-0.0033 (4)	0.0051 (5)	-0.0004 (4)
03	0.0256 (5)	0.0243 (4)	0.0299 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0066 (4)	-0.0026 (4)
04	0.0236 (5)	0.0243 (4)	0.0285 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0043 (4)	-0.0025 (4)
C1	0.0240 (6)	0.0236 (6)	0.0220 (6)	0.0025 (5)	0.0007 (5)	0.0016 (5)
C2	0.0243 (7)	0.0258 (6)	0.0300 (7)	-0.0032 (5)	0.0023 (6)	-0.0027 (5)
C3	0.0236 (6)	0.0234 (6)	0.0275 (6)	-0.0009 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	-0.0010 (5)
B1	0.0282 (8)	0.0253 (7)	0.0220 (7)	0.0020 (6)	-0.0007 (6)	0.0015 (5)
05	0.0459 (6)	0.0315 (5)	0.0303 (5)	-0.0073 (5)	-0.0016 (5)	0.0098 (4)
06	0.0299 (5)	0.0225 (4)	0.0314 (5)	0.0031 (4)	0.0017 (4)	-0.0006 (4)
07	0.0303 (5)	0.0240 (4)	0.0255 (4)	0.0035 (4)	0.0055 (4)	0.0024 (4)

# data reports

C4	0.0278 (7)	0.0212 (6)	0.0229 (6)	-0.0023 (5)	-0.0024 (5)	0.0002 (5)
C5	0.0343 (8)	0.0271 (7)	0.0302 (7)	-0.0041 (6)	0.0083 (6)	0.0000 (6)
C6	0.0355 (8)	0.0244 (7)	0.0273 (6)	0.0000 (6)	0.0034 (6)	0.0069 (5)

Geometric purumeters (A, )	Geometric	parameters	(Å,	9
----------------------------	-----------	------------	-----	---

F1—B1	1.3718 (18)	С2—С3	1.522 (2)
F2—B1	1.3753 (17)	С3—НЗА	0.969 (19)
F3—B1	1.3760 (17)	C3—H3B	0.97 (2)
O1—H1A	0.90 (3)	O5—C4	1.2122 (17)
O1—H1B	0.82 (3)	O6—C4	1.3139 (18)
O1—B1	1.5236 (18)	O6—C5	1.4550 (17)
O2—C1	1.2147 (17)	O7—C4	1.3200 (16)
O3—C1	1.3187 (16)	O7—C6	1.4530 (17)
O3—C2	1.4545 (16)	С5—Н5В	0.95 (2)
O4—C1	1.3208 (16)	С5—Н5А	0.98 (2)
O4—C3	1.4512 (17)	C5—C6	1.519 (2)
C2—H2A	0.92 (2)	C6—H6B	1.01 (2)
C2—H2B	0.972 (18)	С6—Н6А	0.99 (2)
H1A-01-H1B	110 (2)	F1R101	109 23 (11)
B1-01-H1A	110(2) 1194(18)	$F_2 = B_1 = F_3$	11257(12)
B1-01-H1B	119.1(10) 114.2(17)	$F_2 = B_1 = O_1$	106 41 (12)
C1 - O3 - C2	109.38(10)	$F_3 = B_1 = O_1$	105.47(12)
C1 - 04 - C3	109.35 (10)	C4-06-C5	109.37(11)
$0^{2}-0^{1}-0^{3}$	109.33(10) 123.81(12)	C4 - 07 - C6	109.27(11)
02 - 01 - 04	123.01(12) 122.46(12)	05-04-06	124 23 (13)
03-01-04	1122.10(12) 113.73(12)	05 - C4 - 07	122120(13) 12213(14)
$O_3 - C_2 - H_2 A$	108.3(12)	05 - 07	1122.13(14) 113 64 (11)
$O_3 - C_2 - H_2B$	105.4(10)	06—C5—H5B	106 1 (13)
03 - 02 - 03	103.5(11)	06-C5-H5A	105.9(11)
$H_2A = C_2 = H_2B$	110.9 (16)	06-C5-C6	103.38(11)
$C_3 - C_2 - H_2 A$	110.9(10) 114.2(12)	H5B-C5-H5A	1105.50(11)
$C_3 - C_2 - H_2B$	113.6(11)	C6-C5-H5B	113.6 (13)
$04 - C_{3} - C_{2}^{2}$	103.71(11)	C6-C5-H5A	116.3 (12)
04 - C3 - H3A	105.71(11) 108.0(11)	07 - C6 - C5	103.40(11)
O4-C3-H3B	107.7(11)	07—C6—H6B	108.2(11)
$C^2$ — $C^3$ — $H^3A$	107.7(11) 112.9(12)	07 - C6 - H6A	107.1(12)
$C^2$ $C^3$ $H^3B$	112.9(12) 114.7(11)	C5-C6-H6B	1122(11)
$H_{3A} - C_{3} - H_{3B}$	109.3 (16)	C5-C6-H6A	111.6 (11)
F1F2	109.3(10) 110.75(12)	H6B-C6-H6A	113.6 (15)
F1F3	112.08 (13)		115.0 (15)
11 D1 15	112.00 (15)		
O3—C2—C3—O4	5.22 (14)	O6—C5—C6—O7	9.38 (14)
C1—O3—C2—C3	-4.14 (14)	C4—O6—C5—C6	-7.83 (15)
C1—O4—C3—C2	-4.81 (14)	C4—O7—C6—C5	-8.29 (15)
C2	-178.23 (13)	C5—O6—C4—O5	-177.25 (14)
C2-03-C1-04	1.26 (15)	C5—O6—C4—O7	2.92 (16)

# data reports

C3—O4—C1—O2	-178.06 (13)	C6—O7—C4—O5	-176.09 (13)
C3—O4—C1—O3	2.44 (15)	C6—O7—C4—O6	3.74 (16)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
01—H1A····O2	0.90 (3)	1.67 (3)	2.5637 (15)	175 (3)
O1—H1 <i>B</i> …O5	0.82 (3)	1.79 (3)	2.5985 (15)	166 (2)
C3— $H3B$ ···F3 <sup>i</sup>	0.97 (2)	2.474 (19)	3.3085 (16)	144.2 (14)
C6—H6 <i>B</i> ····F1 <sup>ii</sup>	1.01 (2)	2.51 (2)	3.3974 (17)	146.4 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1.