

Poly[3-methylpyridinium [$(\mu_2$ -dihydrogen phosphito)bis(μ_3 -hydrogen phosphito)dizinc]]

Jago G. Love-Jennings,^a Aidan P. McKay,^b David B. Cordes^b and William T. A. Harrison^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland, United Kingdom, and

^bEaStCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews KY16 9ST, Scotland, United Kingdom.

*Correspondence e-mail: w.harrison@abdn.ac.uk

Received 17 April 2024

Accepted 18 April 2024


Edited by E. R. T. Tiekink, Sunway University, Malaysia

Keywords: crystal structure; zincophosphate; layered structure; bifurcated hydrogen bond.

CCDC reference: 2349240

Structural data: full structural data are available from iucrdata.iucr.org

In the title compound, $\{(C_6H_8N)[Zn_2(HPO_3)_2(H_2PO_3)]\}_n$, the constituent ZnO_4 , HPO_3 and H_2PO_3 polyhedra of the inorganic component are linked into (010) sheets by $Zn-O-P$ bonds (mean angle = 134.4°) and the layers are reinforced by $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The protonated templates are anchored to the inorganic sheets *via* bifurcated $N-H \cdots (O,O)$ hydrogen bonds.



Structure description

The family of zincophosphate ($ZnPO$) networks templated or ligated by organic species now encompasses well over 200 crystal structures in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Groom *et al.*, 2016). In continuation of our ongoing studies of these systems (Holmes *et al.*, 2018; Wark *et al.*, 2023), we describe the synthesis and structure of the title compound, $\{(C_6H_8N)[Zn_2(HPO_3)_2(H_2PO_3)]\}_n$ (I), where $C_6H_8N^+$ is the 3-picolinium (or 3-methylpyridinium) cation.

The asymmetric unit of (I) (Fig. 1), which crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$, consists of two Zn^{2+} ions, two $[HPO_3]^{2-}$ hydrogen phosphite anions, one $[H_2PO_3]^-$ dihydrogen phosphite anion and one $C_7H_8N^+$ cation. The zinc coordination polyhedra are ZnO_4 tetrahedra, with mean $Zn-O$ separations of 1.934 and 1.942 Å for Zn1 and Zn2, respectively. The spread of bond angles about the metal ions [100.45 (13)– 114.37 (14)° for Zn1 and 102.86 (14)– 112.73 (14)° for Zn2] indicate modest degrees of distortion, with τ_4' values (Okuniewski *et al.*, 2015) of 0.95 (Zn1) and 0.96 (Zn2), where a value of 1.00 corresponds to a regular tetrahedron. The $[HPO_3]^{2-}$ groups adopt their usual tetrahedral (including the H atom) or pseudo-pyramidal (excluding H) shape and the mean $P-O$ separations are 1.506 Å for P1 and 1.516 Å for P2. The $O-P-O$ bond angles around P1 show a larger than typical range of 107.52 (19)– 114.3 (2)°, with the smallest $O1-P1-O2$ angle associated with the bifurcated hydrogen bond from the

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$N1-H1B\cdots O1$	0.88	2.20	2.992 (6)	150
$N1-H1B\cdots O2$	0.88	2.24	2.947 (6)	138
$O9-H1O\cdots O4^i$	0.85	1.78	2.630 (4)	177
$C1-H1A\cdots O5^{ii}$	0.95	2.34	3.285 (6)	175
$C5-H5\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.95	2.59	3.368 (6)	140
$C5-H5\cdots O6^{iii}$	0.95	2.52	3.300 (7)	140

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - 1, y, z$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$.

protonated template (Fig. 1), whereas the P2 bond angles are tightly clustered [112.38 (19)–112.74 (18)°]. The [H₂PO₃][−] dihydrogen phosphite group containing atom P3 includes a notably longer vertex [P3–O9 = 1.543 (3) Å] to the protonated O atom. Apart from O9, each O atom in (I) is bonded to one Zn and one P atom: the Zn–O–P bond angles vary from 128.89 (18) to 138.6 (2)°, with a mean of 134.4°, which is typical for this class of material (Wark *et al.*, 2023). The geometrical parameters for the organic cation are as expected (*e.g.* Sivakumar *et al.*, 2016).

In the extended structure of (I), the constituent ZnO₄, HPO₃ and H₂PO₃ polyhedra are linked by Zn–O–P bonds into infinite (010) sheets (Fig. 2). Polyhedral 4- and 8-rings are present and the zinc and phosphorus nodes strictly alternate. The most distinctive building unit is a centrosymmetric 8-ring incorporating two bifurcated 4-rings reinforced by a pair of O9–H1O⋯O4 intra-layer hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3). These are linked by 4-rings involving the Zn2–O6–P2 bonds into [100] chains and crosslinked in the [001] direction by Zn1–O2–P1 bonds into the (010) sheets. The template interacts with the inorganic layers *via* an unusual bifurcated N1–H1B⋯(O1,O2) link (Fig. 1): the vast majority of template-to-framework hydrogen bonds are associated with a single acceptor O atom. Some weak nonclassical C–H⋯O




Figure 2

The unit-cell packing in (I), viewed down [100]. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

interactions occur, as listed in Table 1. As is normal, the P–H unit does not participate in hydrogen bonding (Katinaitė & Harrison, 2017). There are no aromatic π – π stacking interactions in (I), the shortest centroid–centroid separation being greater than 5.68 Å, and inter-layer cohesion must be largely due to van der Waals forces.

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom *et al.*, 2016; updated to April 2024) revealed 217 crystal structures containing zinc cations and hydrogen phosphite anions based on a search for a Zn–O–P–H fragment. Structures containing zinc and a dihydrogen phosphite unit are uncommon with just three examples found, *viz.* bis(μ_2 -




Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), expanded to show the complete zinc-atom coordination spheres, showing 50% displacement ellipsoids. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y + 1, -z$; (ii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iii) $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iv) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$.] Hydrogen bonds are indicated by double-dashed lines.




Figure 3

Detail of an infinite (010) polyhedral layer in (I), showing the bifurcated 8-ring reinforced by pairwise O–H⋯O hydrogen bonds (double-dashed lines). [Symmetry code: (i) $x - 1, y, z$.]

hydrogen phosphito-*O,O'*)(hydrogen phosphito-*O*)(2,2'-bipyridyl)zinc(II) (CSD refcode BEJHUU; Lin *et al.*, 2003), bis(μ_2 -hydrogen phosphito-*O,O'*)(hydrogen phosphito-*O*)-(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridyl)dizinc(II) (GICCOL; Lin *et al.*, 2007) and *catena*-[1-azonio-4-azabicyclo[2.2.2]octane tris(μ_3 -hydrogen phosphito)(μ_2 -hydrogen phosphito)(1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-*N*)trizinc(II)] (XIZJEW; Liu *et al.*, 2008). Compounds BEJHUU and GICCOL are closely related 'zero-dimensional' bimetallic clusters with bulky chelating ligands, while XIZJEW features the organic species acting both as a ligand (*via* a Zn–N bond) and a protonated template.

Synthesis and crystallization

Compound (I) was prepared by mixing 0.41 g of ZnO, 0.82 g of H₃PO₃ and 0.47 g of 3-picoline (Zn:P:template molar ratio \approx 1:2:1), which were placed in a 50 ml polypropylene bottle with 20 ml of water and shaken well to result in a white slurry. The bottle was placed in a 353 K oven for 48 h and then removed and allowed to cool to room temperature over about 2 h. The solids were recovered by vacuum filtration to result in a mass of rod-like colourless crystals accompanied by some white solids. IR (diamond window): 3400–2800 cm⁻¹ (O–H, N–H stretch), 2450 cm⁻¹ (P–H stretch; Ma *et al.*, 2007).

Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The O-bound H atom was located in a difference map and refined as riding in its as-found relative location. The P-, N- and C-bound H atoms were located geometrically (P–H = 1.32, N–H = 0.88 and C–H = 0.95–0.98 Å) and refined as riding atoms. The methyl group was allowed to rotate, but not to tip, to best fit the electron density. The constraint $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N, O or P})$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{methyl C})$ was applied in all cases. Two peaks greater than 1 e Å⁻³ were found in the final difference map for (I) in the vicinity of the Zn atoms, but they did not correspond to plausible chemical features.

References

- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
 Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* **B72**, 171–179.
 Holmes, W., Cordes, D. B., Slawin, A. M. Z. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2018). *Acta Cryst.* **E74**, 1411–1416.

Table 2

Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	(C ₆ H ₈ N)[Zn ₂ (HPO ₃) ₂ (H ₂ PO ₃)]
<i>M_r</i>	465.82
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> $\bar{1}$
Temperature (K)	173
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	8.8428 (5), 9.2779 (6), 9.9343 (4)
α , β , γ (°)	79.126 (4), 82.732 (4), 67.279 (6)
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	736.99 (8)
<i>Z</i>	2
Radiation type	Mo <i>K</i> α
μ (mm ⁻¹)	3.62
Crystal size (mm)	0.12 × 0.03 × 0.01
Data collection	
Diffractionmeter	Rigaku XtaLAB P200K
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2024)
<i>T_{min}</i> , <i>T_{max}</i>	0.850, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed [<i>I</i> > 2 σ (<i>I</i>)] reflections	14787, 3442, 2491
<i>R_{int}</i>	0.066
(<i>sin</i> θ / λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.695
Refinement	
<i>R</i> [<i>F</i> ² > 2 σ (<i>F</i> ²)], <i>wR</i> (<i>F</i> ²), <i>S</i>	0.050, 0.134, 1.02
No. of reflections	3442
No. of parameters	191
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}$, $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å ⁻³)	1.43, –0.89

Computer programs: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2024), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a), *SHELXL2019* (Sheldrick, 2015b), *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 2012) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

- Katinaitė, J. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2017). *Acta Cryst.* **E73**, 759–762.
 Lin, Z.-E., Fan, W., Gu, J. & Okubo, T. (2007). *J. Solid State Chem.* **180**, 981–987.
 Lin, Z.-E., Zhang, J., Zheng, S.-T., Wei, Q.-H. & Yang, G.-Y. (2003). *Solid State Sci.* **5**, 1435–1438.
 Liu, L., Zhang, L.-R., Wang, X.-F., Li, G.-H., Liu, Y.-L. & Pang, W.-Q. (2008). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2009–2014.
 Ma, Y., Li, N., Xiang, S. & Guan, N. (2007). *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **111**, 18361–18366.
 Okuniewski, A., Rosiak, D., Chojnacki, J. & Becker, B. (2015). *Polyhedron*, **90**, 47–57.
 Rigaku OD (2024). *CrysAlis PRO*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). *Acta Cryst.* **A71**, 3–8.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). *Acta Cryst.* **C71**, 3–8.
 Sivakumar, P., Niranjana Devi, R., Israel, S. & Chakkaravarthi, G. (2016). *IUCrData*, **1**, x160979.
 Wark, S., Lyons, M. J., Slawin, A. M. Z. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2023). *Acta Cryst.* **E79**, 272–279.
 Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.

full crystallographic data

IUCrData (2024). **9**, x240345 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2414314624003456]

Poly[3-methylpyridinium [$(\mu_2$ -dihydrogen phosphito)bis(μ_3 -hydrogen phosphito)dizinc]]

Jago G. Love-Jennings, Aidan P. McKay, David B. Cordes and William T. A. Harrison

Poly[3-methylpyridinium [$(\mu_2$ -dihydrogen phosphito)bis(μ_3 -hydrogen phosphito)dizinc]]

Crystal data

(C₆H₈N)[Zn₂(HPO₃)₂(H₂PO₃)]

$M_r = 465.82$

Triclinic, *P*1

$a = 8.8428$ (5) Å

$b = 9.2779$ (6) Å

$c = 9.9343$ (4) Å

$\alpha = 79.126$ (4)°

$\beta = 82.732$ (4)°

$\gamma = 67.279$ (6)°

$V = 736.99$ (8) Å³

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 464$

$D_x = 2.099$ Mg m⁻³

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 6732 reflections

$\theta = 2.1$ – 29.4 °

$\mu = 3.62$ mm⁻¹

$T = 173$ K

Bar, colourless

$0.12 \times 0.03 \times 0.01$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku XtaLAB P200K

diffractometer

Radiation source: Rotating Anode

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2024)

$T_{\min} = 0.850$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

14787 measured reflections

3442 independent reflections

2491 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.066$

$\theta_{\max} = 29.6$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 2.1$ °

$h = -11 \rightarrow 12$

$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$

$l = -13 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$

$wR(F^2) = 0.134$

$S = 1.02$

3442 reflections

191 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: dual

Hydrogen site location: mixed

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0819P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.43$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.89$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Zn1	−0.15526 (6)	0.48844 (6)	0.17897 (5)	0.02409 (17)
Zn2	0.24043 (6)	0.62310 (6)	0.40311 (5)	0.02507 (18)
P1	0.12600 (13)	0.63180 (14)	0.10765 (11)	0.0231 (3)
H1	0.096928	0.778451	0.046688	0.028*
P2	0.57579 (14)	0.67732 (15)	0.38453 (12)	0.0249 (3)
H2	0.585406	0.817529	0.343455	0.030*
P3	−0.05587 (14)	0.79747 (15)	0.60236 (12)	0.0268 (3)
H3	−0.092835	0.950694	0.595471	0.032*
O1	0.2488 (4)	0.5969 (4)	0.2136 (3)	0.0339 (8)
O2	0.2100 (4)	0.5268 (4)	−0.0006 (3)	0.0354 (8)
O3	−0.0360 (4)	0.6260 (4)	0.1659 (4)	0.0336 (8)
O4	0.6946 (4)	0.5953 (4)	0.4998 (3)	0.0292 (7)
O5	0.6265 (4)	0.5929 (4)	0.2609 (3)	0.0347 (8)
O6	0.3994 (4)	0.7076 (4)	0.4335 (3)	0.0296 (7)
O7	0.0241 (4)	0.7586 (4)	0.4650 (3)	0.0374 (8)
O8	0.0481 (4)	0.7208 (4)	0.7225 (4)	0.0386 (8)
O9	−0.2216 (4)	0.7747 (5)	0.6275 (4)	0.0495 (10)
H1O	−0.248833	0.714280	0.588686	0.059*
C1	0.5538 (6)	0.2241 (8)	0.0263 (6)	0.0480 (15)
H1A	0.501366	0.270784	−0.057545	0.058*
C2	0.6748 (6)	0.0729 (7)	0.0373 (5)	0.0398 (13)
C3	0.7424 (6)	0.0139 (6)	0.1628 (5)	0.0365 (12)
H3A	0.822779	−0.090250	0.177280	0.044*
C4	0.6958 (7)	0.1029 (7)	0.2676 (5)	0.0424 (13)
H4	0.745714	0.061680	0.352982	0.051*
C5	0.5791 (7)	0.2484 (7)	0.2484 (6)	0.0462 (15)
H5	0.546317	0.311069	0.320035	0.055*
C6	0.7301 (10)	−0.0189 (10)	−0.0812 (7)	0.076 (2)
H6A	0.645693	0.024450	−0.148650	0.113*
H6B	0.747583	−0.130136	−0.047771	0.113*
H6C	0.832992	−0.010706	−0.124466	0.113*
N1	0.5110 (5)	0.3034 (5)	0.1310 (6)	0.0501 (13)
H1B	0.432689	0.397922	0.121074	0.060*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Zn1	0.0207 (3)	0.0390 (3)	0.0137 (3)	−0.0117 (2)	0.0011 (2)	−0.0071 (2)
Zn2	0.0217 (3)	0.0384 (3)	0.0167 (3)	−0.0109 (2)	0.0002 (2)	−0.0094 (2)
P1	0.0208 (6)	0.0313 (6)	0.0170 (6)	−0.0083 (5)	−0.0011 (4)	−0.0064 (5)
P2	0.0231 (6)	0.0367 (7)	0.0183 (6)	−0.0141 (5)	−0.0002 (5)	−0.0067 (5)
P3	0.0226 (6)	0.0356 (7)	0.0231 (6)	−0.0106 (5)	0.0001 (5)	−0.0079 (5)
O1	0.0318 (18)	0.056 (2)	0.0185 (17)	−0.0173 (16)	−0.0047 (14)	−0.0113 (15)
O2	0.0284 (17)	0.058 (2)	0.0178 (17)	−0.0078 (16)	−0.0033 (14)	−0.0176 (16)
O3	0.0247 (17)	0.0344 (18)	0.044 (2)	−0.0114 (15)	0.0067 (15)	−0.0161 (16)

O4	0.0279 (17)	0.0387 (19)	0.0237 (17)	-0.0145 (15)	-0.0031 (14)	-0.0055 (14)
O5	0.0205 (16)	0.066 (2)	0.0187 (16)	-0.0148 (16)	0.0025 (13)	-0.0153 (16)
O6	0.0215 (16)	0.046 (2)	0.0266 (17)	-0.0132 (15)	0.0041 (13)	-0.0192 (15)
O7	0.0236 (17)	0.054 (2)	0.0286 (19)	-0.0059 (16)	0.0002 (14)	-0.0126 (16)
O8	0.045 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.0290 (19)	-0.0170 (17)	-0.0059 (16)	-0.0032 (16)
O9	0.034 (2)	0.080 (3)	0.052 (2)	-0.033 (2)	0.0135 (17)	-0.038 (2)
C1	0.026 (3)	0.069 (4)	0.040 (3)	-0.017 (3)	-0.007 (2)	0.016 (3)
C2	0.036 (3)	0.057 (4)	0.033 (3)	-0.025 (3)	0.008 (2)	-0.014 (3)
C3	0.025 (2)	0.033 (3)	0.043 (3)	-0.007 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.005 (2)
C4	0.046 (3)	0.057 (4)	0.029 (3)	-0.029 (3)	-0.004 (2)	0.005 (3)
C5	0.054 (4)	0.052 (4)	0.044 (4)	-0.034 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.021 (3)
C6	0.088 (5)	0.115 (6)	0.053 (4)	-0.062 (5)	0.024 (4)	-0.047 (4)
N1	0.030 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.066 (4)	0.000 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.001 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Zn1—O3	1.923 (3)	P3—O9	1.543 (3)
Zn1—O8 ⁱ	1.930 (4)	P3—H3	1.3200
Zn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	1.939 (3)	O9—H1O	0.8542
Zn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.945 (3)	C1—N1	1.318 (8)
Zn2—O6	1.931 (3)	C1—C2	1.392 (8)
Zn2—O1	1.931 (3)	C1—H1A	0.9500
Zn2—O7	1.938 (3)	C2—C3	1.374 (8)
Zn2—O4 ^{iv}	1.967 (3)	C2—C6	1.504 (8)
P1—O3	1.493 (3)	C3—C4	1.375 (8)
P1—O1	1.511 (3)	C3—H3A	0.9500
P1—O2	1.513 (3)	C4—C5	1.341 (8)
P1—H1	1.3200	C4—H4	0.9500
P2—O6	1.507 (3)	C5—N1	1.304 (8)
P2—O5	1.509 (3)	C5—H5	0.9500
P2—O4	1.533 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9800
P2—H2	1.3200	C6—H6B	0.9800
P3—O8	1.492 (4)	C6—H6C	0.9800
P3—O7	1.496 (3)	N1—H1B	0.8800
O3—Zn1—O8 ⁱ	114.37 (14)	P1—O3—Zn1	138.2 (2)
O3—Zn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	112.19 (15)	P2—O4—Zn2 ^{iv}	128.89 (18)
O8 ⁱ —Zn1—O2 ⁱⁱ	109.65 (15)	P2—O5—Zn1 ^v	129.60 (18)
O3—Zn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	107.88 (14)	P2—O6—Zn2	134.27 (19)
O8 ⁱ —Zn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	111.45 (15)	P3—O7—Zn2	134.0 (2)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Zn1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	100.45 (13)	P3—O8—Zn1 ⁱ	138.6 (2)
O6—Zn2—O1	111.84 (14)	P3—O9—H1O	125.5
O6—Zn2—O7	108.97 (14)	N1—C1—C2	120.7 (5)
O1—Zn2—O7	112.00 (13)	N1—C1—H1A	119.6
O6—Zn2—O4 ^{iv}	108.32 (13)	C2—C1—H1A	119.6
O1—Zn2—O4 ^{iv}	102.86 (14)	C3—C2—C1	115.7 (5)
O7—Zn2—O4 ^{iv}	112.73 (14)	C3—C2—C6	122.1 (6)
O3—P1—O1	114.3 (2)	C1—C2—C6	122.1 (6)

O3—P1—O2	115.04 (19)	C2—C3—C4	121.2 (5)
O1—P1—O2	107.52 (19)	C2—C3—H3A	119.4
O3—P1—H1	106.4	C4—C3—H3A	119.4
O1—P1—H1	106.4	C5—C4—C3	119.5 (5)
O2—P1—H1	106.4	C5—C4—H4	120.3
O6—P2—O5	112.30 (17)	C3—C4—H4	120.3
O6—P2—O4	112.74 (18)	N1—C5—C4	119.7 (5)
O5—P2—O4	112.38 (19)	N1—C5—H5	120.2
O6—P2—H2	106.3	C4—C5—H5	120.2
O5—P2—H2	106.3	C2—C6—H6A	109.5
O4—P2—H2	106.3	C2—C6—H6B	109.5
O8—P3—O7	116.5 (2)	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5
O8—P3—O9	111.5 (2)	C2—C6—H6C	109.5
O7—P3—O9	111.4 (2)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5
O8—P3—H3	105.5	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5
O7—P3—H3	105.5	C5—N1—C1	123.2 (5)
O9—P3—H3	105.5	C5—N1—H1B	118.4
P1—O1—Zn2	136.5 (2)	C1—N1—H1B	118.4
P1—O2—Zn1 ⁱⁱ	135.1 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $-x, -y+1, -z$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (v) $x+1, y, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H1B \cdots O1	0.88	2.20	2.992 (6)	150
N1—H1B \cdots O2	0.88	2.24	2.947 (6)	138
O9—H1O \cdots O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	1.78	2.630 (4)	177
C1—H1A \cdots O5 ^{vi}	0.95	2.34	3.285 (6)	175
C5—H5 \cdots O4 ^{iv}	0.95	2.59	3.368 (6)	140
C5—H5 \cdots O6 ^{iv}	0.95	2.52	3.300 (7)	140

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (vi) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$.