

spread function, although the latter gave slightly better agreement for the 300 K data.

The Becker–Coppens mixed-type models gave no significant overall improvement over the type I models and, although the extinction parameters were less well determined, gave values for which $r \gg \lambda g$ indicating again the preference for a type I model. Inclusion of primary extinction in the mixed-type model also gave no significant overall improvement. It is concluded, therefore, that the most appropriate model for these results in the Becker–Coppens formalism is a type I model with a Lorentzian mosaic-spread function. This model gives slightly better agreement than the Cooper–Rouse model, but significance tests on the weighted discrepancy index (Hamilton, 1965) indicated that this difference is only significant at the 25% level.

In order to compare our results with those obtained by Faber & Lander (1976) we tabulate in Table 1 values for the parameters derived for the Becker–Coppens type I Lorentzian model (BCL) together with those derived by Faber & Lander (FL). There is clearly no significant difference

Table 1. *Parameter values derived for the Becker–Coppens type I Lorentzian model (BCL) and those derived by Faber & Lander (FL)*

		$T = 300\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 1.05\text{ \AA}$	$T = 80\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 0.992\text{ \AA}$	$T = 4.2\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 0.992\text{ \AA}$
g	BCL	909 (70)	1076 (44)	1087 (41)
	FL	936 (73)	1110 (46)	1155 (43)
$B_U (\text{\AA}^2)$	BCL	0.27 (3)	0.11 (1)	0.07 (1)
	FL	0.25 (2)	0.10 (1)	0.07 (1)
$B_O (\text{\AA}^2)$	BCL	0.42 (3)	0.25 (1)	0.21 (1)
	FL	0.41 (2)	0.24 (1)	0.22 (1)

Table 2. *Results obtained from the final analysis*

	$T = 300\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 1.05\text{ \AA}$	$T = 80\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 0.992\text{ \AA}$	$T = 4.2\text{ K}$ $\lambda = 0.992\text{ \AA}$
b_U/b_O	1.443 (3)	1.448 (7)	1.450 (2)
g	806 (38)	1022 (17)	1072 (18)
$B_U (\text{\AA}^2)$	0.24 (2)	0.09 (1)	0.06 (1)
$B_O (\text{\AA}^2)$	0.44 (1)	0.27 (1)	0.25 (1)
R (%)	1.49	0.64	0.42

between the parameters derived from these two analyses indicating that, at the level of extinction involved ($y_{\min} \approx 0.6$), the unmodified Zachariasen model is also adequate, as would be expected for a type I crystal.

Re-analysis of earlier measurements on a single crystal of UO_2 (Rouse, Willis & Pryor, 1968) gave a value of $b_U/b_O = 1.43$, rather than 1.47, which was used by Faber & Lander (1976), and this lower value was used in the wavelength-dependence study (Sakata, Cooper, Rouse & Willis, 1978), together with a fixed value of $B_U = 0.28\text{ \AA}^2$. Analysis of the Faber & Lander 300 K data with these values of b_U/b_O and B_U gave $B_O = 0.52 (1)\text{ \AA}^2$, in good agreement with the earlier value of $B_O = 0.55 (2)\text{ \AA}^2$, but with a worse overall fit to the data. A further analysis was therefore carried out with the Becker–Coppens type I Lorentzian model and b_U/b_O refined, the results of which are given in Table 2. These give a weighted mean value of $b_U/b_O = 1.448 (2)$. Correlation between the other parameters was considerably less in this analysis than in that with $b_U/b_O = 1.47$ and the parameters were therefore determined more accurately. The weighted discrepancy factors are also significantly less and it is concluded therefore that this represents the best model for these data.

Further details of this analysis are available elsewhere (Cooper & Sakata, 1978).

We are grateful to Drs J. Faber and G. H. Lander for details of their experimental results, for their permission to analyse them further and for their comments.

References

- BECKER, P. J. & COPPENS, P. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* **A30**, 129–147.
 COOPER, M. J. & ROUSE, K. D. (1970). *Acta Cryst.* **A26**, 214–223.
 COOPER, M. J. & SAKATA, M. (1978). Report AERE-R9079, Harwell, Oxfordshire, England.
 FABER, J. & LANDER, G. H. (1976). *Phys. Rev. B*, **14**, 1151–1164.
 HAMILTON, W. C. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **18**, 502–510.
 ROUSE, K. D., WILLIS, B. T. M. & PRYOR, A. W. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **B24**, 117–122.
 SAKATA, M., COOPER, M. J., ROUSE, K. D. & WILLIS, B. T. M. (1978). *Acta Cryst.* **A34**, 336–341.
 ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1967). *Acta Cryst.* **23**, 558–564.

International Union of Crystallography

Acta Cryst. (1979). **A35**, 251–252

Copying Fees and Copyright Law

In response to the rapid increase in the extent of photocopying during the past two decades, copyright laws in several countries have been, or are being, revised to clarify the conditions of 'fair-use' copying (see the statement on the inside front cover of this journal). The property rights of copyright owners have at the same time been reaffirmed: these rights include authorization for reproducing the article, apart from 'fair use', and for setting photocopying fees.

Permission for libraries and other organizations to copy articles, and a simple mechanism by which payments for photocopying in excess of 'fair use' are distributed to the publishers, may both be arranged through a central non-profit agency such as has already been established in one country. It is expected that other countries will set up agencies similar to the Copyright Clearance Center at 310 Madison Avenue, New York 10017, USA.

The fee for copying an article appearing in *Acta Crystallographica* or the *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, when

such a fee is required, will be found from January 1979 in a coded number given at the foot of the first page of the article (or at the foot of the article if it starts and finishes on the same page), similar in appearance to the following example:

0567-7394/79/010001-09\$01.00

© 1979 International Union of Crystallography

This Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) number unambiguously identifies each article. The first eight digits are the International Standard Serial Number (ISSN), the next two are the last digits of the year of issue, the following two give the part number for that year, the next four digits are the beginning page number and the final two give the number of pages the user must photocopy in order to capture the complete article. The amount following the dollar sign is the copying fee for any portion or all of the article. The year of copyright and the name of the copyright owner complete the CCC number. Monthly reports are made by libraries and others to the CCC of any photocopying that requires payment of copying fees. The report includes the number of times an article is copied, with its CCC number, and a listing of all articles copied. After collection by the CCC, each journal publisher receives these copying fees less \$0.25 per article, which is retained by the CCC to pay for cost of operation.

Income received from users' libraries or institutions may eventually contribute appreciably toward stabilizing the cost of journal subscriptions. Computerized composition methods for our journals are also being explored as a means of reducing production costs.

Acta Cryst. (1979). A35, 252

Prices of *Acta Crystallographica* and *Journal of Applied Crystallography*

Following recent changes in exchange rates, the US dollar equivalents for the prices of the Union's journals, as given on pages 1047 and 1048 of Volume A34, are no longer correct. Revised dollar equivalents have been published in the December 1978 issues of Section B and the *Journal of Applied Crystallography* [*Acta Cryst.* (1978). B34, 3844–3845 and *J. Appl. Cryst.* (1978). 11, 718–719]. All subscription rates are fixed in Danish kroner; the dollar equivalent prices are given only for guidance and are subject to exchange-rate fluctuations and amended without notice.

Acta Cryst. (1979). A35, 252

Union Office, Change of Address

The Union Office, incorporating the Union secretariat and the technical editing office, has now moved to 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. All correspondence for the Executive Secretary and the Technical Editor should be sent to this address. The telephone number (Chester 42878), the cable address (Unicrystal) and the telex address (667325 COMCAB G, attention Unicrystal) remain unchanged.

Book Reviews

Works intended for notice in this column should be sent direct to the Book-Review Editor (J. H. Robertson, School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, England). As far as practicable books will be reviewed in a country different from that of publication.

Acta Cryst. (1979). A35, 252–253

Основы кристаллофизики. Ю. И. Сиротин, М. П. Шаскольская. Стр. 680, Рис. 177, таблицы 103, список литературы сохр. 314 позиций. Москва, Издательство Наука СССР, 1975. Цена 2р. 81к.

Особой помощью для читающего являются многочисленные ссылки на литературу и монографии, которые даются в конце большинства разделов. Кроме того в книге находится список употребляемых символов и приложения.

Ю. И. Сиротин и М. П. Шаскольская сочетают чисто формальный подход геометрической кристаллографии с анизотропией ряда физических свойств наблюдаемых в кристаллах и в этом смысле прокладывают путь между кристаллографией и физикой.

Для введения в кристаллофизику в рецензируемой книге даётся изложение геометрической кристаллографии и теоритическая подготовка. Виден здесь большой дидактический опыт авторов. Из-за постепенного развития математической базы читатель не нуждается в специальной подготовке.

Начиная с координатных систем и их ортогональных преобразований знакомимся с выбором кристаллографических и кристаллофизических осей координат в кристаллах. Основное в описании анизот-

ропии физических свойств кристаллов понятие тензоров, их симметрии и связь с координатными системами ясно представлены. Описаны тензоры и псевдотензоры высших рангов и их алгебра. Математические выводы иллюстрируются примерами. Читатель находит методы получения симметрических тензоров и доказательство почему большинство тензоров в физике симметрически. Авторы развивают тоже дифференциальный анализ тензоров и вводят продвинутые понятия тензорного исчисления.

Введенные понятия и методы применены в ряде конкретных физических проблем. Они подтверждают общий принцип Кюри, в применении к симметрии кристаллов и их физических свойств. Из этой точки зрения описаны тепловые, электрические, упругие, оптические и магнитные свойства кристаллов. Видно, что симметрия указательных поверхностей физических свойств (например указательной поверхности модуля Юнга) требует всех элементов симметрии точечной группы кристалла, но может иметь и такие элементы, которых у кристалла нет.

По сравнению с другими вопросами, симметрия магнитных структур даётся в сжатой форме. Здесь авторы ссылаются на важнейшие статьи и монографии, однако не уделяют нужного внимания применению теории представлений групп Берто, которые существенным образом связаны с проблемами магнитной кристаллофизики.