QUANTITATIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 04.1 - 4ASYMMETRY PARAMETERS IN CYCLOHEXENE RING. By M. L. Główka, Institute of General Chemistry, Technical University, Łódź 90-924, Poland.

Conformation of the medium-size rings arouses wide interest both from theoretical and practical point of view. Quantitatively, the confor-mation of the rings can be described by torsio-nal angles or by different parameters derived from the angles.Pseudorotation parameters for five-membered and asymmetry parameters for six--membered rings are most often used by crystallographers, though other propositions are occasionaly scattered through the literature. All the propositions are based on a hidden assump-tion that the neighbouring torsional angles are interdependent.

In the cyclohexene ring the difference between ΔC_5^1 and ΔC_5^2 parameters (Duax &Norton, Atlas of Steroid Structure, New York, Plenum, 1975) is a simple function of ΔC_2^{12} , $\Delta C_2^{12} = A \cdot (|\Delta C_5^1 - \Delta C_5^2|) + B$

where A and B depend on the number of substi-tuents in the ring and they are equal to 1.390(A), 0.001(B) and 1.428(A), -0.207(B) for mono and disubstituted cyclohexene derivatives, respectively. The values have been calculated from over 50 data accessible in the literature. There are only four exceptions when the term "deformation of conformation" should be used, as the calculated asymmetry parameters does not satisfy the equation given above. The deformations can be defined by the difference between the calculated and the observed values of ΔC_2 .

04.1-5 A SECOND-ORDER PHASE TRANSITION OF CALCIUM BROMIDE AND ITS GEOMETRICAL INTERPRE-TATION. By <u>H. Bärnighausen</u>, W. Bossert, and B. Anselment, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Karlsruhe, D7500 Karlsruhe, Germany.

The structural relationship between the CaCl2type and rutile-type structure is well-known (see textbooks), but so far the corresponding phase transition has only been found for NiF_2 as a pressure induced transformation (Jorgensen et al., High Pressure Science and Technology, New York: Plenum, 1979, pp. 152-160). Recently it was shown (Bossert, Thesis, Univ. of Karlsruhe, 1981) that the high-temperature modification of CaBr2, YbBr2, and TmBr2 belongs to the rutile-type (space group P42/mnm) and that a second-order phase transition to the CaCl₂-type (space group Pnnm) takes place at lower temperatures. This transformation has been studied in greater detail for CaBr₂ using a high-tem-perature powder diffraction Guinier camera. By geometrical arguments a simple relationship between the lattice parameters of the orthorhombic unit cell and the tilt-angle ω of the cation

bit that the threading the transfer ω of the tartform coordination octahedron with respect to the ru-tile-type structure has been derived: $\sin(2\omega) = (b^2 - a^2)/c^2$. Between $T_c = 751 \text{ K} (\omega = 0^\circ)$ and $T = 605 \text{ K} (\omega = 4^\circ)$, the order parameter ω is a linear function of $|T-T_c|^\beta$ with $\beta = 1/2$, as is ex-pected for second-order phase transitions from the electrical landary theory. Probably the transthe classical Landau theory. Probably the tran-sition is associated with a softening of the Ramanactive B_{1g} mode of the rutile-type structure.

The geometrical model which is valid only for small values of ω , can be extended to $\omega \approx 25^{\circ}$ by introduction of an additional parameter f. This parameter characterizes small distortions of

the cation coordination octahedron as the result of interactions between the anions by (i) repulsive forces [f = 0.90 for CaBr₂], (ii) covalent chemical bonds [f = 1.16 for marcasite (FeS₂), f = 1.12 for loellingite (FeAs₂)] or (iii) hydrogen bonds [f = 1.12 for InO(OH)]. The generalized formula is

 $\tan \omega = [(b-a)/(b+a)] \cdot [(q+1)/(q+f-1)],$ whereby $q = d/SQRT(d^2 - c^2/4)$ with d as the mean cation-anion distance. Note that often the sum of ionic radii is an adequate estimation of d. The formula which is still an approximation works quite well for many rather different com-pounds. Thus our considerations are useful for a comprehensive survey of rutile-related crystal structures.

For ${\tt CaBr}_2$ an accurate single-crystal structure determination has been carried out at room temperature (4-circle diffractometer, MoK_{α} radiation). $CaBr_2$ crystallizes orthorhombic, space group Pnnm (No.58), with a = 6.568(1), b = 6.880(1), and c = 4.3428(7) Å. From 2836 measured reflections 435 unique structure factors F_0 were obtained (merge R value 1.82%). Based on 389 F_0 's with $F_0 \ge 3\sigma(F_0)$ the refinement ended in a conventional R value of 2.28%. The results are

Ca at 2(a) 2/m 0, 0, 0; 1/2, 1/2, 1/2. Br at 4(g) m $\pm(x, y, 0; 1/2 + x, 1/2 - y, 1/2)$ with x = 0.26499(4) and y = 0.33722(4).

The anisotropic temperature factor is given by $\exp\left[-2\pi^{2}\left(U_{11}h^{2}/a^{2}+U_{22}k^{2}/b^{2}+U_{33}l^{2}/c^{2}+2U_{12}hk/ab\right)\right].$

	u ₁₁ (Ų)	U_{22} (R^2)	U_{33} (\mathbb{R}^2)	v_{12} (22)
Ca	0.0224(3)	0.0229(3)	0.0187(3)	-0.0026(2)
Br	0.0316(2)	0.0329(2)	0.0233(2)	-0.0151(1)

 $04 \ 1-6$ OXIDATION OF TITANIUM BY OXYGEN : STRUCTURE RELATIONS BETWEEN TITANIUM AND RUTILE TIO.. By L. LATTAUD, D. CIOSMAK, G. BERTRAND, J.J. HEIZMANN, A. VADON*. L. LATTAUD

- Laboratoire de Recherche sur la réactivité des Soli-des, C.N.R.S. LA. 23, Université de DIJON B.P. 138 21004 DIJON (FRANCE). ¥
- * * Laboratoire de METALLURGIE STRUCTURALE, Université de METZ, Ile du Saulcy, 57045 METZ (FRANCE).

The oxid layer of rutile ${\rm Ti0}_2$ appears as a stacking of parallel leaves at the metal-gas interface, separated by empty spaces ; the leaves are linked by a few bridges. (K. JARRAYA and all (1982) C.R. ACAD. SCI. II. 294. p. 1365).



To understand that stratification phenomenom, the structure relation existing between the titanium and the rutile formed.

1- on the titanium polycrystal sheets, 2- on the titanium mono bicrystals

Have been found by means of texture goniometry.

On the polycrystal sample, rutile Tio, has a complete fiber texture with the (110) planes parallel to the surface of the titanium sheet.

On the monocrystal, rutile has a sharp texture of topo-tactic type, with the (110) plane remaining nevertheless parallel to the monocrystal face.

The macroscopic geometry of the metal seems to play as important a part as its crystal orientations.

Results are given in the table below. The values obtained from (I) and (II) agree to within 3σ in all but three cases. More interesting, but not surprising, the standard distances to oxygen obtained here mainly from organic molecular compounds with mixed coordinative environments agree with those obtained by Brown and Shannon from oxides and inorganic oxyacid salts.

Table: Standard bond lengths $d_{\rm io}~({\rm CN}_{\rm O}$ = 4); values for other CN's may be obtained from (I), (II), c and N.

	Mg	Al	Si	P	
С		2.032(3) 2.032(3)	1.872(1) 1.872(1)	1.788(1) 1.786(1)	a) b)
N		1.851(10)	1.721(5)	1.616(1)	a)
	1.885(14)	$\frac{1.850(9)}{1.701(7)}$	$\frac{1.718(4)}{1.610(4)}$	$\frac{1.615(1)}{1.534(1)}$	<u>(d</u> a)
0	1.898(11)	1.715(6)	1.613(4)	1.534(1)	<u>b</u>)
F			1.500(6)	1.402(3)	a)
Si(-	21)		$\frac{1.520(5)}{2.391(11)}$	$\frac{1.418(3)}{2.168(22)}$	(<u>a</u>
P(-P))		2.391(11)	2.145(26)	b)
CI	2.297(17)	2.131(3)	2.026(8)	1.935(5)	a)
<u> </u>	2.281(17)	2.131(3)	2.022(8)	1.913(5)	<u>b</u>)
С	0.501(37)	0.466(19)	0.455(13)	0.484(6)	a)
N	4.31(30)	4.25(16)	4.01(11)	3.41(4)	b)
~2	0.0858	0.0195	0.0099	0.0067 a)	C)
0	0.0785	0.0182	0.0099	0.0065 b)	<u>c</u>)
m	504	522	826	1878	c)
Nobs	89	117	189	441	c)

a) (I); b) (II); c) m=number of distances used in refinement; N_{obs} =number of structures in data set; p=number of standard bond lengths refined $\sigma^2 = (\Sigma n_{obs} - \Sigma n_{calc})^2 / N_{obs} - (p + 1))$

04.1-8 CONTRIBUTION TO THE CRYSTAL CHEMISTRY OF SODIUM HEXACHLOROANTIMONATE, -NIOBATE, AND -TANTALATE. By Henning Henke, Institut für Anorg. Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe (TH), D 7500 Karlsruhe, Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

From single-crystal X-ray data collected at room temperature the individual structures of three sodium salts can be established:

	a [Å]	b [Å]	c [Å]	β [⁰]
NaSbCl ₆	6.393(2)	6.393	10.717(4)	
NaNbCl ₆	6.398(2)	6.850(2)	19.012(4)	90.72(2)
NaTaCl	6.449(2)	6.880(2)	18.989(6)	90.87(2)

While NaSbCl₆ is tetragonal with space group $P4_2/m$ and Z=2, the niobium and tantalum analogues crystallize both in the monoclinic space group $P_{2_1/c}$; since there is a doubling of the c-axis the unit cell has Z = 4. Parameter refinement includes absorption and extinction corrections; the final R-values are 0.018 (NaSbCl₆, 611 obs.refl.), 0.018 (NaNbCl₆, 2214 obs.refl.), and 0.024 (NaTaCl₆, 2341 obs.refl.). All three compounds contain discrete MCl_{2-6}^{-1} ions arranged with their centres like $PtCl_6^{2-}$ in which V_{10} cubic K2PtCl6. The same applies to the cations

041 - 7STANDARD BOND LENGTHS IN MOLECULAR COMPOUNDS FROM EMPIRICAL BOND LENGTH-BOND STRENGTH RELATIONSHIPS (Mg, Al, Si, P-C, N, O, F, Cl) By G. Klebe, <u>H.B. Bürgi</u>, Laboratorium für Chemische und Mineralogische Kristallographie, Universität Bern, Freiestr. 3, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

Standard bond lengths are a prerequisite for interpretations and comparisons of interatomic distances. Such distances (d_0) are given here for bonds from Mg, Al, Si, P to C, N, O, F, Cl. They are based on least squares analysis using two empirical bond strength (n) - bond length(d) relationships: (I) $n_i/n_0 = \exp[-(d_i/d_{i0})/c]$ (L. Pauling, The Nature of the Chemical Bond, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, (1940)); (II) $n_i/n_o = (d_i/d_{io})^{-N}$ (G. Donnay and R. All-mann, Amer. Min. (1970), <u>55</u>, 1003; I.D. Brown and R.D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. (1973), <u>A29</u>,266). The data for Al, Si, P with coordination numbers (CN) 4,5,6 were taken from the Cambridge Structural Data Base and supplemented with gas phase electron diffraction data; the data for Mg were taken from J.C.J. Bart and P. Vitarelli (Inorg. Chim. Acta (1983), 73, 215). The data include molecules with up to 4 different ligand atoms around the central atom. Details will be given in a future, full account of the work. Values of d_{io} , c and N, respectively, were determined by minimizing the expression $(CN_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{CN} n_i)^2$

for Mg,Al,Si,P separately, but considering all coordination numbers simultaneously; CN is a reference coordination number, $n_{\rm O}$ is taken as 1.