

**P.02.16.2***Acta Cryst.* (2005). A61, C160**Crystal Structure Refinement of Sr(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub>**

Hyun Min Park, Hwack Joo Lee, Su Jin Chung, Yang Koo Cho, Sahn Nahm<sup>1</sup>, *New Materials Evaluation Center, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, P.O. Box 102, Taejeon, 305-600 Korea.*  
<sup>1</sup>*Division of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, 136-701, Korea.* E-mail: hmpark@kriss.re.kr

Generally a perovskite compound undergoes the phase transition through a cation substitution originating by ordering and tilting phenomena. Barium magnesium niobate, Ba(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (BMN) are typical compounds which have revealed 1:2 ordered structures with the trigonal symmetry. As the La substituted, the structure undergoes the phase transition by cubic, monoclinic[1,2]. In this paper, we present the structural changes in accordance with the cation substitution of Sr by using neutron Rietveld refinement. Neutron powder diffraction data of the sample was obtained at room temperature using high resolution powder diffraction at Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute. The structure model of SMN was used the results deduced from the HRTEM experiments, which SMN has the antiphase tilting and 1:2 ordering. From the refinement, SMN has the monoclinic structure which has 1:2 ordering and antiphase tilting. The space group was determined to be C2/m (#12) with  $a(\text{\AA})=9.8042(2)$ ,  $b(\text{\AA})=13.7954(2)$ ,  $c(\text{\AA})=5.6310(1)$ ,  $\beta=90.145(2)^\circ$ ,  $V=761.60(3)\text{\AA}^3$ . The structure of SMN is distorted by the antiphase tilting of oxygen octahedral with the a<sup>0</sup>b-b- system of the (MgNb)O<sub>6</sub> polyhedra.

[1] Park H. M., et al., *J. of Material Research*, 2003, **18**(4), 1003-10. [2] Park H. M., et al., *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2001, **40**(6), 1021-33.

**Keywords:** perovskite, antiphase tilting, crystal structure

**P.02.16.3***Acta Cryst.* (2005). A61, C160**Improvement of Automated Phase Analysis by Scaling on Standard**

David Moser, Uwe Amann, Jörg Ihringer, *Institut für Angewandte Physik, Bereich für Physik der molekularen und biologischen Materie, Universität Tübingen, Germany.* E-mail: david.moser@student.uni-tuebingen.de

Low deviations in diffraction angles are prerequisite for successful application of automated phase analysis on X-ray diffraction data. However, the angular 2 $\theta$  values are often biased by errors in sample position or a finite, but unknown offset in angle for the counter zero position. To overcome these systematic errors without knowing their sources in details we developed a two-step procedure, based on Si-Standard (NIST 640 C) added to the unknown sample. The first step is a Rietveld refinement focusing on the profiles of the Si-lines. As a special feature, Program SIMREF2.8 [1], [2] refines coefficients for a polynomial (3. order) to adjust the observed 2 $\theta$  values to pivots, given by Si lines positions calculated from temperature-dependent Si lattice constants. As output, SIMREF2.8 creates a file with observed intensities, however, with calculated 2 $\theta$  values.

This file is input for the following phase analysis, using program X'PERT Highscore, e.g.. After scaling results become much more lucid and reliable: In MgH<sub>2</sub>+Nb scaling proved to be crucial to obtain correct results for several admixtures and additives.

The authors thank the members of the Laboratorio IDEA, Università degli Studi di Trento for the preparation of the MgH<sub>2</sub>+Nb samples.

[1] Maichle J.K., Ihringer J., Prandl W., *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1988, **21**, 22-28. [2] Amann U., [http://www.uni-tuebingen.de/uni/pki/simref/simref28\(1\).exe](http://www.uni-tuebingen.de/uni/pki/simref/simref28(1).exe)

**Keywords:** phase analysis, Rietveld refinement, X-ray diffraction

**P.02.17.1***Acta Cryst.* (2005). A61, C160**A Robust Bulk Solvent Correction and Anisotropic Scaling Procedure in the CCTBX**

Pavel V. Afonine, Ralf W. Grosse-Kunstleve, Paul D. Adams, *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Road, BLDG 64R0121, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA.* E-mail: PAfonine@lbl.gov

Macromolecular crystals contain a large amount of disordered solvent which contributes significantly to the diffracted amplitudes at low resolution. The importance of low-resolution data has been demonstrated for many crystallographic calculations [1, 2] and hence an appropriate modelling of the bulk solvent is very important. Jiang & Brünger [1] demonstrated that a flat solvent model is the most reliable while more sophisticated models bring only marginal improvements. The combination of a bulk solvent correction and overall anisotropic scaling [3] is known to be a numerically ill behaved problem [1, 4].

In this work we describe a robust protocol for determination of bulk solvent and anisotropic scaling parameters which we have implemented in the *Computational Crystallographic Toolbox* [5]. This fully automated protocol does not require any user intervention and assures the calculation of optimal, and physically reasonable, output values for these parameters. Also we present a new maximum-likelihood target function for the determination of the flat solvent parameters and anisotropic scale matrix.

[1] Jiang J.-S., Brünger A.T., *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1994, **243**, 100-115. [2] Urzhumtsev A., *CCP4 Newsl.*, 2000, **38**, 38-49. [3] Sherif S., Henrickson W. A., *Acta Cryst.*, 1987, **A43**, 118-121. [4] Fokine A., Urzhumtsev A., *Acta Cryst.*, 2002, **D58**, 1387-1392. [5] Grosse-Kunstleve R.W., Sauter N.K., Moriarty N.W., Adams P.D., *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2002, **35**, 126-136.

**Keywords:** bulk solvent, anisotropic scaling, maximum-likelihood

**P.02.17.2***Acta Cryst.* (2005). A61, C160**Monitoring Molecular Metamorphosis Using Wide-angle Solution Scattering Data**

Diane J. Rodi<sup>a</sup>, R. F. Fischetti<sup>b</sup>, David B. Gore<sup>c</sup>, David Minh<sup>a</sup>, Suneeta Mandava<sup>a</sup>, L. Makowski<sup>a</sup>, <sup>a</sup>*Biosciences Division, Argonne National Laboratory.* <sup>b</sup>*GM/CA-CAT, Argonne National Laboratory.* <sup>c</sup>*BioCAT, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439.* E-mail: drodi@anl.gov

The structure motifs of proteins and other biological macromolecules have characteristic distributions of interatomic distances that produce features buried within the x-ray scattering pattern from that molecule in solution. We have demonstrated that wide-angle x-ray solution (WAXS) scattering contains rich details of the secondary, tertiary and quaternary structure of multiple classes of proteins. Uses to date include the observation of ligand-induced structural changes and the monitoring of fold stages during chemical and radiation-induced protein denaturation. WAXS scattering patterns obtained at high flux third generation synchrotron beam lines are not only sensitive to protein conformational states, but the scattering patterns generated can be quantitatively compared to data calculated from detailed structural models derived from crystallographic data.

Our group has used the 18ID beamline at the Advanced Photon Source to study various classes of molecular transitions of proteins and nucleic acids. WAXS is shown here to be a sensitive reporter for such phenomena as radiation-induced quaternary structure breakdown, molecular crowding, folding transition states and changes in structure induced by ligand-binding. As such, WAXS has great potential as a complementary methodology to augment the structural information gleaned from static crystalline arrays.

**Keywords:** protein structure analysis, WAXS, macromolecular structures

**P.02.17.3***Acta Cryst.* (2005). A61, C160-C161**Total Scattering: the Key to the Local and Medium Range Structure of Complex Materials**

Thomas Proffen, *Lujan Neutron Scattering Center, LANL, MS H805, Los Alamos, NM 87545.* E-mail: tproffen@lanl.gov

Structural characterization is mainly based on the measurement of Bragg intensities and yields the average structure of the crystalline material. However, this approach ignores any defects or local structural deviations that manifest themselves as diffuse scattering. It also fails in case of disordered materials, badly crystalline such as