m32.o03

Indium(III) complexes within the protein crystal after HipHop Refinement

Jan Ondráček

Institute of Molecular Genetics, Prague. E-mail: ondracek@img.cas.cz

Keywords: indium compounds, protein structure refinement, complex compounds

The structure of tetragonal hen egg-white lysozyme soaked in InCl₃ solution has been determined to a resolution of 1.43 Å and refined isotropically to the final value of R = 14.21. Structures of complex anions cis-dichloro-cis-dihydroxo- $[InCl_2(OH)_2(H_2O)_2]^{-}$, cis-dichloro-cis-dihydroxo-[InCl₂(OH)₂ (H₂O)(OD2 Asp-18)]²⁻ and trans-dichloro-transdihydroxo- $[InCl_2(OH)_2(O Leu-129)_2]^3$ have been described. These anions differ from products of hydrolysis of InCl₃ in water described in other X-ray diffraction studies [1]. The structure has been refined by a novel multisolution HipHop refinement method [2] exploring the conformational landscape by modification of the phases by introduction of water molecules into the model, followed by automated SHELXH refinement [3] and removal of water molecules that do not comply with a minimal electron density, ball shape and a distance from protein. Programs used are available free on http://www.img.cas.cz/ hiphop.

m32.004

The Patterson deconvolution method for the ab-initio structure solution of large proteins

Rocco Caliandro, Benedetta Carrozzini, Giovanni Luca Cascarano, Liberato De Caro, Carmelo Giacovazzo, Dritan Siligi

Institute of Crystallography - CNR, Bari, Italy. E-mail: rocco.caliandro@ic.cnr.it

Keywords: Patterson method, ab initio structure determination, macromolecular crystallography

Standard tools for the ab-initio structure solution of macromolecules diffracting at atomic or quasi-atomic resolution consist of direct methods combined with direct-space refinement procedures. This strategy, which includes both reciprocal- and directspace techniques, has an effective counterpart represented by Patterson techniques, such as Patterson superposition or vectorsearch methods. They operate uniquely in direct space and, though developed before direct methods, have been basically underutilized. We have recently revisited the Patterson deconvolution method based on the use of the superposition minimum function [1], which has been successfully applied to the automated *ab-initio* crystal structure solution of proteins [2]. An improved version of this procedure, particularly devoted to the *ab-initio* solution of large proteins at atomic and quasiatomic resolution, is presented. It makes use of specific filtering algorithms which:

1) operate on the Patterson map in order to eliminate false peaks and the intensity modulation introduced by the ripples surrounding the origin peak;

2) operate on the electron density map in order to reduce the residual Patterson symmetry (centro-symmetry and semin-variant symmetry).

The tools used for filtering consists on both the superposition of one or more Patterson maps to the actual electron density map and the active use of the synthesis

$FF(\mathbf{u}) = V^{-1} \sum F_{h} F_{h} \exp(-2\pi i \mathbf{h} \mathbf{u})$

which provide information about the presence of an inversion centre. The Patterson method is coupled to an improved directspace refinement, consisting of electron density modification cycles where weight estimates are derived by the method of joint probability distribution functions. The new approach has been implemented in the SIR2006 program and tested on a large set of known protein structures. It proved to be extremely efficient and very rapid in solving proteins containing heavyatoms and diffracting at atomic resolution, even if they have up to 5000 non-hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit. Structures with heavier atom up to Calcium and/or diffracting at resolution up to 1.6Å turn out to be more resistant, even if the results overcome those obtained by the previous direct methods approach. The Patterson approach proved to be nearly independent on the structural complexity and it is able to push further the size limit of the macromolecular structures solvable ab-initio.

Cabaço, M.I., Gaspar, A.M., de Morais, C.M. & Alves Marques, M. (2000). J Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 2623-2635.

^[2] Ondráček, J., Weiss, M.S., Brynda, J., Fiala, J., Jursík, F., Řezáčová, P., Jenner, L.B. & Sedláček, J. (2005) Acta Cryst. D61, 1181-1189.

^[3] Sheldrick, G. M. & Schneider, T. R. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* 277, 319 - 343.

^[1] Burger, M.J. (1959) Vector Space and its application in crystalstructure investigation. New York: John Wiley.

^[2] Burla, M.C., Caliandro, R., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G.L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C. and Polidori, G. (2004) J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 258-264.