Poster Presentations

[MS25-P16] A comparative study of transferable theoretical aspherical pseudoatom data bank and classical force field in predicting the electrostatic interaction in molecular dimers.

<u>Prashant Kumar</u>, Slawomir A. Bojarowski, Katarzyna N. Jarzembska, Slawomir Domagala and Paulina M. Dominiak

Department of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 1, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland Email: pdomin@chem.uw.edu.pl

Accurate and fast evaluation of electrostatic interactions in molecular systems is one of the most challenging tasks in the rapidly advancing field of macromolecular chemistry, including molecular recognition, protein modeling and drug design. Electrostatic interactions are extremely essential in biological system and it is also well known that ab-initio calculations are very expensive and time consuming. In this study we have evaluated electrostatic interaction energy of S66 (1) and JSCH-2005(2) data sets, total 203 molecular complexes. These complexes are representative of a range of chemical and biological systems for which hydrogen bonding, electrostatic, π stacking and van der waals interactions play important role. Reference benchmark energies were obtained directly from wavefunctions at the level of theory (DFT/B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ)(3; 4) using SAPT: Symmetry-Adapted Perturbation Theory (5). Electrostatic energy calculated on the basis of UBDB databank(6)-Exact Potential Multipole Method(EPMM)(7) of aspherical models and force fileds were compared with corresponding reference results. Root mean square error's (RSMEs) and regression coe□cient's were used as a quantitative measure of the quality of the analyzed properties. The energy trends are well consistent ($R2 \approx 0.98$) for the UBDB-EPMM method as compared to AMBER(8) and CHARMM force field methods (9)($R2 \approx 0.95$). RSMEs are about 1-3 and 8-15 Kcal mol-1 for the UBDB and force field methods, respectively.

This study shows that estimation of electrostatic interaction energy using UBDB databank is more accurate and fast when compared to other known methods and open new possibility of applying on macromolecules.

References

[1] Kevin E. Riley Jan Rezac and Pavel Hobza. S66: A well-balanced database of benchmark interaction energies relevant to biomolecular structures. J Chem Theory Comput., 7:2427– 2438., 2011.

[2] Jiri Cerny Petr Jurecka, Jiri Sponer and Pavel Hobza. Benchmark database of accurate (mp2 and ccsd(t) complete basis set limit) interaction energies of small model complexes, dna base pairs, and amino acid pairs. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 8:1985–1993., 2006.

[3] Jansen G.; Hesselmann A. Comment on using kohnsham orbitals in symmetry-adapted perturbation theory to investigate intermolecular interactions. J Phys Chem A, 105:11156–11157., 2001.

[4] Jr. T. H.; Harrison R. J. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning. Electron $a \Box$ nities of the first-row atoms revisited. systematic basis sets and wave functions. J. Chem. Phys., 96:6796–6806, 1992.

[5] HL Williams and CF Chabalowski. Using kohn sham orbitals in symmetry?adapted perturbation theory to investigate intermolecular interactions. J Phys Chem A, 105:646–659., 2001.

[6] Katarzyna N. Jarzembska and Paulina M. Dominiak. New version of the theoretical databank of transferable aspherical pseudoatoms, ubdb2011 -towards nucleic acid modelling. Acta Cryst., A68:139–147, 2011.

[7] T. S. Koritsanszky A. V. Volkov and P. Coppen. Combination of the exact potential and multipole methods (ep/mm) for evaluation of intermolecular electrostatic interaction energies with pseudoatom representations of molecular electron densitie. P. Chem. Phys. Lett., 391:170–175., 2004.

[8] Wolf R. M.; Caldwell J. W.;Kollman P.

A; Case D. A. Wang, J. Development and testing of a general amber force field. Journal of Computational Chemistry., 25:1157–1174., 2004.

[9] C. Acharya S. Kundu S. Zhong J. Shim E. Darian O. Guvench P. Lopes I. Vorobyov A. D. Mackerell Jr.*

K. Vanommeslaeghe, E. Hatcher. Charmm general force field: A force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the charmm allatom additive biological force fields. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 31:671–690., 2010.

Keywords: UBDB Database, Pseduatom model, Charge density, electrostatic interaction energy