Poster Presentation

MS35.P25

'Uncertainty' region of acid-base ΔpKa: Salt versus co-crystal formation

<u>G. Ramon</u>¹, K. Davies¹, L. Nassimbeni¹ ¹University of Cape Town, Chemistry Dept, Cape Town, South Africa

The hydrogen bond is a very important interaction which demonstrates a wide variety in strength and shape and can be held as the central point in the crystal engineering process of a great number of compounds. In the formation of salts versus co-crystals, pH control is necessary in order to obtain one form or the other. In the pharmaceutical industry which is actively developing Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) with desirable properties, such consideration is of major concern. In 2012, Cruz-Cabeza[1] carried out a survey of over 6 000 structures and found a linear relationship between Δp Ka and the probability of proton transfer for the pKa range of -1 to +4. The cross-over point was determined to be at Δp Ka = 1.3. Beyond this point the probability to obtain a salt rather than a co-crystal is higher than 50%. Parallel to this work, Gilli & Gilli[2] have built a sliding rule which predicts the strength of a Donor – Acceptor hydrogen bond based on the Δp Ka of the system. Their device predicts the formation of salts if Δp Ka > 3, and co-crystal structures,[3] which agrees with the findings of Cruz-Cabeza. In this work we discuss the bonding of 22 structures made up of acid-base pairs which either form salts or co-crystals. A series of five substituted benzoic acids with 10 substituted pyridines and quinolines have been crystallized so that their Δp Ka, defined as pKa(base) – pKa(acid), spans the range -1.14 to +4.16. This covers the 'uncertainty' region for the formation of salt versus co-crystals. Although most of our results confirmed that structure formation of co-crystal versus salt parts at Δp Ka \approx 2, we report here a structure that does not follow the general rule and serves as a cautionary tale.

[1] Cruz-Cabeza, A. J. (2012). CrystEngComm. 14, 6362-6365, [2] a) Gilli, P., Pretto, L., Bertolasi, V. & Gilli, G. (2009). Acc. Chem. Res. 42, 33-44. b) Gilli, P. & Gilli, G. (2012). Supramolecular Chemistry, from Molecules to Nanomaterials, edited by P.A. Gale & J.W. Steed, Wiley, Chichester, vol. 6, Chapter 2., [3] Huyskens, P., Sobczyk, L. & Majerz, I. (2002). J. Mol. Struct., 615, 61-72.

