Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

## B—N bond cleavage by cobalt(II) in acetato(3,5-diphenylpyrazole)-[tris(3,5-diphenylpyrazolyl)borato]cobalt(II)

# David J. Harding,<sup>a</sup>\* Harry Adams<sup>b</sup> and Thawatchai Tuntulani<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakorn Si Thammarat 80160, Thailand, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Brook Hill, Sheffield S3 7HF, England, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand Correspondence e-mail: hdavid@wu.ac.th

Received 23 March 2005 Accepted 4 May 2005 Online 20 May 2005

The reaction of cobalt(II) acetate with potassium tris(pyrazolyl)borate (KTp<sup>Ph2</sup>) affords the title complex, [Co(Tp<sup>Ph2</sup>)-(O<sub>2</sub>CMe)(Hpz<sup>Ph2</sup>)] (Hpz<sup>Ph2</sup> is 3,5-diphenylpyrazole) or [Co(C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>34</sub>BN<sub>6</sub>)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)(C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)], as a result of cobaltinduced B–N bond cleavage of the tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligand. The cobalt complex exhibits a distorted CoN<sub>4</sub>O coordination geometry with a  $\kappa^3$ -coordinated Tp<sup>Ph2</sup> ligand and monodentate acetate and pyrazole ligands. In addition, the non-coordinated acetate O atom is involved in a weak intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction with the pyrrole NH group.

#### Comment

The tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligands,  $HB(pz)_3^-$ , first introduced by Trofimenko (1993), have found widespread use in coordination chemistry. Their popularity arises from their ease of preparation and the readiness with which their steric and electronic properties may be varied. The use of tris(pyrazolyl)borates of intermediate steric bulk, namely tris(3,5diphenylpyrazolyl)borate (Tp<sup>Ph2</sup>), is of particular interest to us as these compounds inhibit the formation of chemically inactive sandwich complexes,  $ML_2$  [L = tris(pyrazolyl)borate], without enforcing tetrahedral geometry upon the metal. Tris(3,5-diphenylpyrazolyl)borate was first synthesized by Kitajima et al. (1992) and since then many complexes with Cu<sup>I</sup> and Cu<sup>II</sup> have appeared (Carrier et al., 1993; Halcrow et al., 1997; Chia et al., 2000; Foster et al., 2000). In contrast, CoII (Ruman et al., 2002) and Ni<sup>II</sup> (Guo et al., 1998) complexes remain poorly represented. Indeed, in the case of Co<sup>II</sup>, the only reported complex, [Co(Tp<sup>Ph2</sup>)(NO<sub>3</sub>)], was isolated as a by-product (Ruman et al., 2002). The reaction of cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate with KTp<sup>Ph2</sup> in a 1:1 molar ratio yields deep-purple crystals shown by X-ray analysis to be [Co $(Tp^{Ph2})(O_2CMe)(Hpz^{Ph2})]$   $(Hpz^{Ph2}$  is 3,5-diphenylpyrazole), (I). The high purity of the tris(pyrazolyl)borate reagent, *i.e.*  $KTp^{Ph2}$ , indicates that the source of  $Hpz^{Ph2}$  is not a ligand impurity but the result of metal-mediated B–N bond cleavage. Interestingly, the reaction between  $Co(O_2CMe)_2$  and the related ligand  $KTp^{Ph}$  yields  $[Co(Tp^{Ph})(O_2CMe)]$  as the only product (Kremer-Aach *et al.*, 1997). However, reactions with  $Cu^{II}$  salts (X = Cl and  $O_2CMe$ ) yield B–N-cleaved products,  $[Cu(Tp^{Ph})X(Hpz^{Ph})]$ , apparently as a result of the increased Lewis acidity of  $Cu^{2+}$  compared with  $Co^{2+}$  (Halcrow *et al.*, 1997; Chia *et al.*, 2000). Thus, it appears that the subtle differences between  $Tp^{Ph2}$  and  $Tp^{Ph}$  result in the formation of B–N-cleaved products.



The results of X-ray analysis are supported by the FAB mass spectrum, which shows a strong peak at 787 corresponding to  $[Co(Tp^{Ph2})(O_2CMe)]^+$  and a weaker signal at 1008 suggesting the presence of  $[Co(Tp^{Ph2})(O_2CMe)(Hpz^{Ph2})]^+$ . IR spectroscopy shows a strong B–H stretch at 2627 cm<sup>-1</sup>, indicative of a  $\kappa^3$ -coordinated  $Tp^{Ph2}$  ligand, while an N–H stretch at 3427 cm<sup>-1</sup> confirms the presence of a bound pyrazole group. Moreover, the difference in the symmetric and asymmetric stretch of the acetate ligand  $[\Delta\nu(CO_2) = 149 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$  indicates that the ligand is monodentate (Kremer-Aach *et al.*, 1997). Finally, elemental analysis (see *Experimental*) of the bulk sample was consistent with the formulation  $[Co(Tp^{Ph2})(O_2CMe)(Hpz^{Ph2})]$ , and thus the crystals were considered representative of the sample.

The complex crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$ , with no solvent molecules in the crystal structure. The cobalt ion is five-coordinate (Fig. 1) and adopts a coordination geometry intermediate between trigonal bipyramidal (tbp; with N1 and N7 as the axial atoms, and N5, N3 and O1 as the equatorial atoms) and square pyramidal (with N1, N7, N3 and O1 as the basal atoms, and N5 as the apical atom). Of particular note is the N3-Co1-O1 angle, which is nearly 30° greater than an ideal tbp equatorial angle, and the N3-Co1-N5 and O1-Co1-N5 angles, which are significantly contracted (Table 1). The highly distorted geometry around the metal atom is probably a result of the large steric bulk of the Tp<sup>Ph2</sup> and pyrazole ligands. As expected, the  $Tp^{Ph2}$  ligand is  $\kappa^3$ -coordinated, although in contrast to  $[Co(Tp^{Ph2})(\eta^2-NO_3)]$ , the Co-N<sub>pz</sub> bonds are not all equivalent, with the Co-N1 bond approximately 0.2 Å longer than the Co-N3 and Co-N5 bonds (Ruman et al., 2002). A similar observation has been noted in the structure of [Co(Tp<sup>Ph</sup>)(NCS)(THF)] (THF is



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. The hydrogen-bonding interaction of H8A to O2 is shown by a dashed line. Other H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

tetrahydrofuran), where the  $Co-N_{pz}$  bonds are 2.054 (4), 2.079 (4) and 2.180 (4) Å (Calabrese et al., 1986). The acetate ligand in (I) is bound in a monodentate fashion, with a weak intramolecular N-H···O hydrogen bond between the noncoordinated acetate O atom and the pyrrole NH group (Table 2). An almost identical interaction occurs in the structure of  $[Cu(Tp^{Ph})(O_2CMe)(Hpz^{Ph})]$ , where the O···N distance is 2.612 (5) Å and the O···H−N angle is 149° (Chia et al., 2000).

### **Experimental**

KTp<sup>Ph2</sup> was prepared according to the literature method of Kitajima et al. (1992). Co(O<sub>2</sub>CMe)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (82 mg, 0.33 mmol) was dissolved in a tetrahydrofuran-methanol (5:1 ml) solution.  $\mathrm{KTp}^{\mathrm{Ph2}}$  was then dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (5 ml) and added dropwise to the metal solution, resulting in a colour change from orange to red-brown. The solution was stirred for 4 h and then reduced to dryness in vacuo. The solid was washed with ethanol  $(3 \times 5 \text{ ml})$  and then with diethyl ether (5 ml). The solid was redissolved in dichloromethane (2 ml) and then filtered through celite, yielding a deep-pink-purple solution that was layered with hexanes (10 ml). After 2 d, deep-purple crystals were collected and washed with hexane and ether to give [Co-(Tp<sup>Ph2</sup>)(O<sub>2</sub>CMe)(Hpz<sup>Ph2</sup>)] (yield 131 mg, 44%). Analysis calculated for  $C_{62}H_{49}BCoN_8O_2$  ( $M_r = 1007.83$ ): C 73.89, H 4.90, N 11.12%; found: C 73.85, H 5.16, N 11.06%. MS/FAB (m/e): 1008, 787. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3427 ( $\nu$ NH), 2627 ( $\nu$ BH), 1558 [ $\nu$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>as</sub>], 1409 [ $\nu$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>sym</sub>].

Crysiai aala	
$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{C}_{45}\mathrm{H}_{34}\mathrm{BN}_6)(\mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{O}_2) - \\ & (\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{12}\mathrm{N}_2)] \\ & M_r = 1007.83 \\ & \mathrm{Triclinic}, P\overline{1} \\ & a = 13.5669 \\ & b = 14.0474 \\ & (12) \\ & \dot{A} \\ & c = 15.4195 \\ & (14) \\ & \dot{A} \\ & \alpha = 84.568 \\ & (2)^\circ \\ & \beta = 66.500 \\ & (1)^\circ \\ & \gamma = 67.199 \\ & (1)^\circ \\ & V = 2478.1 \\ & (4) \\ & \dot{A}^3 \end{split}$	Z = 2 $D_x = 1.351 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 7380 reflections $\theta = 4.4-53.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 (2) K Block, purple $0.39 \times 0.21 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART 1000 diffractometer $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 1997) $T_{min} = 0.859, T_{max} = 0.920$ 28 606 measured reflections	11 119 independent reflections 7921 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.041$ $\theta_{max} = 27.6^{\circ}$ $h = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $k = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$ $wR(F^2) = 0.105$ S = 1.03 11 119 reflections 669 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0462P)^{2} + 0.4199P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.36 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.33 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

H-atom parameters constrained

Co1-O1	1.9712 (14)	Co1-N7	2.1443 (16)
Co1-N3	2.0305 (16)	Co1-N1	2.2896 (16)
Co1-N5	2.0347 (16)		
O1-Co1-N3	147.09 (6)	N5-Co1-N7	94.55 (6)
O1-Co1-N5	109.95 (6)	O1-Co1-N1	82.87 (6)
N3-Co1-N5	96.58 (6)	N3-Co1-N1	79.34 (6)
O1-Co1-N7	103.17 (6)	N5-Co1-N1	87.00 (6)
N3-Co1-N7	93.45 (6)	N7-Co1-N1	172.76 (6)

Table	2	

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N8-H8A···O2	0.88	1.78	2.637 (2)	163

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model (including torsional freedom for methyl groups), with C-H distances of 0.95–0.98 Å, and with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$  values constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times  $U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Thailand Research Fund (MRG4680139) for supporting this work and Walailak University for a collaborative research grant (both to DJH). The authors also thank Professor M. D. Ward of the University of Sheffield for the use of laboratory facilities during the course of this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SQ1208). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Bruker (1997). SMART, SAINT, SHELXTL and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Calabrese, J. C., Trofimenko, S. & Thompson, J. S. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1122–1123.
- Carrier, S. M., Ruggiero, C. E., Houser, R. P. & Tolman, W. B. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 4889–4899.
- Chia, L. M. L., Radojevic, S., Scowen, I. J., McPartlin, M. & Halcrow, M. A. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 133–140.

- Foster, C. L., Liu, X., Kilner, C. A., Thornton-Pett, M. & Halcrow, M. A. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 4563–4568.
- Guo, S., Ding, E., Yin, Y. & Yu, K. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 3841-3849.
- Halcrow, M. A., Davies, J. E. & Raithby, P. R. (1997). *Polyhedron*, **16**, 1535–1541.
- Kitajima, N., Fujisawa, K., Fujimoto, C., Moro-oka, Y., Hashimoto, S., Kitagawa, T., Toriumi, K., Tatsumi, K. & Nakamura, A. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 1277–1291.
- Kremer-Aach, A., Kläui, W., Bell, R., Strerath, A., Wunderlich, H. & Mootz, D. (1997). Inorg. Chem. 36, 1552–1563.
- Ruman, T., Ciunik, Z., Mazurek, J. & Wolowiec, S. (2002). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 754–760.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Trofimenko, S. (1993). Chem. Rev. 93, 943-980.