organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ashley T Hulme,^a Philippe Fernandes,^b Alastair Florence,^b* Andrea Johnston^b and Kenneth Shankland^c

^aChristopher Ingold Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, England, ^bSolid-State Research Group, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, 27 Taylor Street, Glasgow G4 0NR, Scotland, and ^cISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, England

Correspondence e-mail: alastair.florence@strath.ac.uk

Key indicators

Powder X-ray study T = 250 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.070

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved _____

Received 12 May 2006

Accepted 19 June 2006

Powder study of 3-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,4-dione form 2

A polycrystalline sample of a new polymorph of the title compound, $C_8H_{11}NO_2$, was produced during a variabletemperature X-ray powder diffraction study. The crystal structure was solved at 1.67 Å resolution by simulated annealing from laboratory powder data collected at 250 K. Subsequent Rietveld refinement yielded an R_{wp} of 0.070 to 1.54 Å resolution. The structure contains two molecules in the asymmetric unit, which form a $C_2^2(8)$ chain motif via N-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Comment

The crystal structure of the title compound, (I), was solved by simulated annealing using laboratory capillary X-ray powder diffraction data. The compound crystallizes in space group $P2_1/c$ with two independent molecules of 3-azabicyclononane-2,4-dione in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1).



The crystal structure of this polymorph (form 2) is approximately a cell-doubled modification of the stable roomtemperature form of 3-azabicyclononane-2,4-dione (form 1) (Howie & Skakle, 2001), with the cell doubling in the *c* direction [18.8867 (4) *versus* 9.3384 (6) Å]. Form 2 is metastable with respect to form 1 at room temperature, with full conversion taking less than 1 h. However, with rapid cooling to 250 K (the data collection temperature), form 2 is kinetically trapped and stable for over 10 h.

The basic hydrogen-bond motif in (I) is a chain [graph set $C_2^2(8)$; Etter, 1990] running parallel to the *a* axis. Each chain contains alternating independent molecules linked by N-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1). Form 2 packs the chains in a manner similar to form 1, with the chains lying side by side to form layers (Fig. 2) parallel to the *ab* plane. The layers are related by the 2_1 screw axis and the stacking of the layers differs between the two forms, with form 1 showing an *AB* repeat packing and form 2 an *ABCD* repeat packing (Fig. 3). This stacking difference can be attributed to form 2 having two symmetry-independent molecules. The stacking in form 2 can be envisaged as related to that of form 1 by a translation of approximately half a unit cell parallel to the *b* axis of the *C* and

D layers. With no strong interactions between the layers, the conversion from form 2 to form 1 would be facile and may account for the rapid conversion at room temperature.

Experimental

A polycrystalline sample of (I) was prepared by heating a sample of the raw material (form 1) to 420 K and subsequently quenching *in situ* to 250 K. The sample was held in a rotating 0.7 mm borosilicate glass capillary and the temperature controlled using an Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream Plus 700 series device. Data were collected over a period of 7.5 h using a variable-counting-time scheme (Shankland *et al.*, 1997; Hill & Madsen, 2002) in the range 7–60° 2θ . The final data set showed no evidence of diffraction associated with the form 1 structure.

 $D_x = 1.338 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

 $12 \times 0.7 \times 0.7$ mm

mass, white

Scan method: step

refinement $w = 1/\sigma(Y_{obs})^2$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.111$

Specimen shape: cylinder

Specimen prepared at 420 K

Absorption correction: none $2\theta_{\min} = 7.0, 2\theta_{\max} = 60.0^{\circ}$

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

Preferred orientation correction: a

preferred orientation correction (Järvinen, 1993) was applied with

TOPAS (Coelho, 2003) during

spherical harmonics-based

the Rietveld refinement.

Increment in $2\theta = 0.017^{\circ}$

Particle morphology: polycrystalline

Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation

 $\mu = 0.79 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 250 K

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_8 H_{11} \text{NO}_2 \\ M_r = 153.18 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P2_1/c \\ a = 7.67102 (18) \text{ Å} \\ b = 10.5483 (2) \text{ Å} \\ c = 18.8867 (4) \text{ Å} \\ \beta = 95.5800 (12)^{\circ} \\ V = 1521.00 (6) \text{ Å}^3 \\ Z = 8 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer Specimen mounting: 0.7 mm borosilicate capillary Specimen mounted in transmission mode

Refinement

 $R_{\rm p} = 0.054$ $R_{\rm wp} = 0.070$ $R_{\rm exp} = 0.016$ $R_{\rm B} = 3.058$ S = 4.31Profile function: Fundamental parameters with axial divergence correction. 142 parameters

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond ge	ometry (Å, °).
------------------	----------------

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\frac{N1-H1\cdots O1w^{i}}{N1w-H1w\cdots O1^{ii}}$	0.90 (1)	2.11 (1)	3.006 (4)	172 (1)
	0.91 (1)	2.03 (1)	2.931 (4)	172 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

The diffraction pattern indexed to a monoclinic cell [M(18) = 28.0, F(18) = 65.9; DICVOL91; Boultif & Louër, 1991] and space group $P2_1/c$ was assigned from volume considerations and a statistical consideration of the systematic absences (Markvardsen *et al.*, 2001). $P2_1/a$ and $P2_1/n$ were discounted as they did not account for the peak attributable to form 2 at 15.63° 2 θ .



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement spheres are shown at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

Four layers, each containing two ribbons, stacking in an ABCD repeat pattern. The view is parallel to the axis of propagation of the ribbons and the *a* axis.

The data were background subtracted and truncated to 54.8° 2θ for Pawley fitting (Pawley, 1981; $\chi^2_{Pawley} = 18.50$) and the structure solved using the simulated annealing (SA) global optimization procedure, described previously (David *et al.*, 1998), that is now implemented in the *DASH* computer program (David *et al.*, 2001). The internal coordinate description (including H atoms) of the molecules was constructed from standard bond lengths, bond angles and bond torsions where appropriate. The structure was solved using data to 54.8° 2θ , comprising 402 reflections. The structure was refined against data in the range 7.0–60.0° 2θ (448 reflections). The restraints were set such that bonds and angles did not deviate more than 0.01 Å and 1°, respectively, from their initial values during the refinement. Atoms C1, C5, C4, C2, O1, N1, O2 and H1 (first molecule) and atoms C1w, C5w, C4w, C1w, O1w, N1w, O2w and H1w (second molecule) of 3-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,4-dione were restrained to be coplanar.

The SA structure solution involved the optimization of two independent molecules totaling 12 degrees of freedom (positional and





Overlay of the layer stacking of form 1 (blue) and form 2 (red). The members of the uppermost layer and two bottom layers coincide, while the remaining two layers do not. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

orientational). All degrees of freedom were assigned random values at the start of the simulated annealing run. The best SA solution had a favourable $\chi^2_{SA}/\chi^2_{Pawley}$ ratio of 4.5, had a chemically reasonable packing arrangement and exhibited no significant misfit to the data.

The solved structure was subsequently refined against data in the range 7.0–60.0° 2θ using a restrained Rietveld (1969) method as implemented in *TOPAS* (Coelho, 2003), with R_{wp} falling to 0.0698 during the refinement. All atomic positions (including H atoms) for the structure of (I) were refined, subject to a series of restraints on bond lengths, bond angles and planarity. A spherical harmonics (8th order) correction of intensities for preferred orientation was applied in the final refinement (Järvinen, 1993). An 8th order correction yielded a significant improvement in R_{wp} compared with lower orders. The need for such a high level of correction is most likely due to the effect of the *in situ* method of sample preparation on particle morphology. The observed and calculated diffraction patterns for the refined crystal structure are shown in Fig. 4.

Data collection: *DIFFRAC plus XRD Commander* (Kienle & Jacob, 2003); cell refinement: *TOPAS* (Coelho, 2003); data reduction: *DASH* (David *et al.*, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: *DASH*; program(s) used to refine structure: *TOPAS*; molecular graphics: *MERCURY* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *enCIFer* (Version 1.1; Allen *et al.*, 2004).



Figure 4

Final observed (points), calculated (line) and difference $[(y_{obs} - y_{calc})/\sigma(y_{obs})]$ profiles for the Rietveld refinement of the title compound.

We thank the Basic Technology programme of the UK Research Councils for funding under the project Control and Prediction of the Organic Solid State (http://www.cposs.org.uk). We also thank the EPSRC for grant GR/N07462/01.

References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.
- Boultif, A. & Louër, D. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 987-993.
- Coelho, A. A. (2003). *TOPAS*. Version 3.1 User Manual. Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- David, W. I. F., Shankland, K., Cole, J., Maginn, S., Motherwell, W. D. S. & Taylor, R. (2001). DASH. Version 3.0 User Manual. Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, England.
- David, W. I. F., Shankland, K. & Shankland, N. (1998). Chem. Commun. pp. 931–932.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Hill, R. J. & Madsen, I. C. (2002). Structure Determination from Powder Diffraction Data, edited by W. I. F. David, K. Shankland, L. B. McCusker & Ch. Baerlocher, pp. 114–116. Oxford University Press.
- Howie, R. A. & Skakle, J. M. S. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0822-0824.
- Järvinen, M. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 525-531.
- Kienle, M. & Jacob, M. (2003). DIFFRAC plus XRD Commander. Version 2.3. Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Markvardsen, A. J., David, W. I. F., Johnson, J. C. & Shankland, K. (2001). Acta Cryst. A57, 47–54.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Pawley, G. S. (1981). J. Appl. Cryst. 14, 357-361.
- Rietveld, H. M. (1969). J. Appl. Cryst. 2, 65-71.
- Shankland, K., David, W. I. F. & Sivia, D. S. (1997). J. Mater. Chem. 7, 569–572. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2006). E62, o3046–o3048 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536806023312]

Powder study of 3-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,4-dione form 2

Ashley T Hulme, Philippe Fernandes, Alastair Florence, Andrea Johnston and Kenneth Shankland

3-Azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,4-dione

Crystal data

C₈H₁₁NO₂ $M_r = 153.18$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 7.67102 (18) Å b = 10.5483 (2) Å c = 18.8867 (4) Å $\beta = 95.5800$ (12)° V = 1521.00 (6) Å³

Data collection

Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometerRadiation source: sealed X-ray tube, Bruker-AXS D8Primary focussing, Ge 111 monochromator*Refinement*

Least-squares matrix: selected elements only $R_{\rm p} = 0.054$ $R_{\rm wp} = 0.070$ $R_{\rm exp} = 0.016$ $R_{\rm Bragg} = 3.058$ 3070 data points Profile function: Fundamental parameters with axial divergence correction. 142 parameters 92 restraints Z = 8 F(000) = 656.0 $D_x = 1.338 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu Ka_1 radiation, $\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ Å}$ $\mu = 0.79 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 250 Kwhite cylinder, $12 \times 0.7 \text{ mm}$ Specimen preparation: Prepared at 420 K

Specimen mounting: 0.7 mm borosilicate capillary Data collection mode: transmission Scan method: step $2\theta_{\min} = 7.0^{\circ}, 2\theta_{\max} = 60.0^{\circ}, 2\theta_{\text{step}} = 0.017^{\circ}$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement Weighting scheme based on measured s.u.'s $1/\sigma(Y_{obs})^2$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.111$ Background function: Chebyshev polynomial Preferred orientation correction: A spherical harmonics-based preferred orientation correction (Järvinen, 1993) was applied with Topas during the Rietveld refinement.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
N1	0.7188 (2)	0.20485 (14)	0.59572 (18)	0.0380*	
H1	0.8105 (12)	0.1517 (6)	0.5942 (4)	0.0760*	
C1	0.5536(2)	0.14964 (16)	0.5933 (2)	0.0380*	
01	0.5397 (5)	0.0342 (2)	0.5915 (3)	0.0380*	
C2	0.3992 (2)	0.23660 (16)	0.59562 (9)	0.0380*	

² constraints

H2	0.3033 (12)	0.1955 (6)	0.5695 (4)	0.0760*
C3	0.4373 (2)	0.36391 (16)	0.56342 (9)	0.0380*
H3A	0.3414 (11)	0.4204 (6)	0.5661 (4)	0.0760*
H3B	0.4527 (10)	0.3553 (6)	0.5143 (5)	0.0760*
C4	0.6000 (2)	0.41980 (16)	0.60445 (9)	0.0380*
H4	0.6326 (12)	0.4984 (6)	0.5846 (4)	0.0760*
C5	0.7548 (2)	0.33355 (17)	0.5989 (2)	0.0380*
O2	0.9060 (3)	0.3689 (3)	0.6007 (3)	0.0380*
C6	0.5709 (2)	0.43895 (15)	0.68362 (8)	0.0380*
H6A	0.4815 (11)	0.5017 (6)	0.6831 (4)	0.0760*
H6B	0.6770 (13)	0.4725 (6)	0.7066 (4)	0.0760*
C7	0.5134 (2)	0.31638 (15)	0.71761 (9)	0.0380*
H7A	0.6069 (11)	0.2563 (6)	0.7206 (5)	0.0760*
H7B	0.4810 (10)	0.3317 (7)	0.7643 (4)	0.0760*
C8	0.3599 (2)	0.25471 (16)	0.67356 (9)	0.0380*
H8A	0.3286 (11)	0.1746 (7)	0.6922 (4)	0.0760*
H8B	0.2564 (12)	0.3047 (6)	0.6726 (4)	0.0760*
N1w	0.2227 (2)	0.62126 (15)	0.0902 (3)	0.0380*
H1w	0.3151 (11)	0.5676 (6)	0.0925 (6)	0.0760*
C1w	0.0572 (2)	0.56668 (17)	0.0834 (3)	0.0380*
O1w	0.0443 (5)	0.4507 (3)	0.0867 (4)	0.0380*
C2w	-0.0968 (2)	0.65429 (15)	0.08651 (9)	0.0380*
H2w	-0.1932 (11)	0.6143 (6)	0.0598 (4)	0.0760*
C3w	-0.0589 (2)	0.78378 (15)	0.05587 (9)	0.0380*
H3Aw	-0.1535 (11)	0.8405 (6)	0.0603 (4)	0.0760*
H3Bw	-0.0449 (10)	0.7782 (6)	0.0064 (4)	0.0760*
C4w	0.1043 (2)	0.83674 (16)	0.09717 (9)	0.0380*
H4w	0.1381 (12)	0.9151 (7)	0.0777 (4)	0.0760*
C5w	0.2583 (2)	0.75006 (17)	0.0912 (2)	0.0380*
O2w	0.4093 (3)	0.7853 (3)	0.0928 (4)	0.0380*
C6w	0.0742 (2)	0.85581 (15)	0.17599 (8)	0.0380*
H6Aw	-0.0119 (11)	0.9206 (7)	0.1752 (4)	0.0760*
H6Bw	0.1815 (12)	0.8864 (7)	0.1993 (4)	0.0760*
C7w	0.0097 (2)	0.73736 (16)	0.21080 (9)	0.0380*
H7Aw	0.1038 (12)	0.6790 (6)	0.2192 (5)	0.0760*
H7Bw	-0.0318 (10)	0.7583 (7)	0.2553 (4)	0.0760*
C8w	-0.1364 (2)	0.66815 (17)	0.16490 (9)	0.0380*
H8Aw	-0.1579 (11)	0.5853 (7)	0.1828 (4)	0.0760*
H8Bw	-0.2463 (12)	0.7112 (6)	0.1643 (4)	0.0760*
	× /			

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.223 (3)	C6—H6B	0.953 (9)
O2—C5	1.216 (3)	С7—Н7В	0.953 (8)
O1W—C1W	1.230 (4)	С7—Н7А	0.955 (8)
O2W—C5W	1.214 (3)	C8—H8B	0.952 (9)
N1C5	1.386 (2)	C8—H8A	0.955 (8)
N1-C1	1.391 (2)	C1W—C2W	1.506 (2)

N1—H1	0.902 (8)	C2W—C8W	1.547 (2)
N1W—C5W	1.386 (2)	C2W—C3W	1.523 (2)
N1W—C1W	1.389 (2)	C3W—C4W	1.516 (2)
N1W—H1W	0.905 (8)	C4W—C5W	1.507 (2)
C1—C2	1.502 (2)	C4W—C6W	1.542 (2)
C2—C3	1.514 (2)	C6W—C7W	1.517 (2)
C2—C8	1.543 (2)	C7W—C8W	1.534 (2)
C3—C4	1.522 (2)	C2W—H2W	0.952 (8)
C4—C6	1.546 (2)	C3W—H3AW	0.951 (8)
C4—C5	1.508 (2)	C3W—H3BW	0.953 (8)
C6—C7	1.528 (2)	C4W—H4W	0.951 (8)
C7—C8	1.520 (2)	C6W—H6AW	0.950 (8)
C2—H2	0.950 (8)	C6W—H6BW	0.951 (9)
С3—Н3В	0.951 (8)	C7W—H7AW	0.950 (8)
С3—НЗА	0.952 (8)	C7W—H7BW	0.953 (8)
C4—H4	0.953 (7)	C8W—H8AW	0.957 (8)
С6—Н6А	0.953 (8)	C8W—H8BW	0.957 (9)
C1—N1—C5	126.06 (15)	С7—С8—Н8А	112.7 (5)
C1—N1—H1	116.7 (5)	C2-C8-H8A	108.8(5)
C5—N1—H1	117.2 (5)	C2-C8-H8B	106.9 (5)
C1W— $N1W$ — $C5W$	125.81 (16)	01W $1W$ $N1W$	119.0(2)
C5W—N1W—H1W	117.4 (5)	N1W—C1W—C2W	117.11 (16)
C1W = N1W = H1W	117.1(5) 1167(5)	01W - C1W - C2W	122.8 (2)
O1-C1-N1	110.7(3) 119.6(2)	C1W - C2W - C8W	122.0(2) 108.9(2)
N1-C1-C2	117.50(2)	C_{3W} C_{2W} C_{8W}	100.9(2) 109.98(14)
01 - C1 - C2	122 8 (2)	C1W - C2W - C3W	110.87 (16)
C1 - C2 - C8	109.34(19)	$C_2W = C_3W = C_4W$	108.28(14)
C_{3}^{-} C_{2}^{-} C_{8}^{-}	109.54(19) 109.67(14)	$C_2W = C_3W = C_4W$	100.20(14) 110.56(13)
$C_{1} - C_{2} - C_{3}$	109.07(14) 110.26(14)	$C_{3W} = C_{4W} = C_{5W}$	110.50 (15)
$C_{1}^{-}C_{2}^{-}C_{3}^{-}C_{4}^{-}$	108.64(13)	C5W - C4W - C6W	110.02(10) 110.10(18)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	100.04(13)	$C_{3}W = C_{4}W = C_{6}W$	1244(2)
$C_3 = C_4 = C_0$	110.70(15) 110.07(16)	02W - C5W - C4W	124.4(2) 116.18(14)
$C_{5} = C_{4} = C_{5}$	100.56 (18)	$O_{2}W = C_{5}W = V_{1}W$	110.18(14)
$C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{0}$	109.30(18) 124.7(2)	$C_{2}W = C_{3}W = N_{1}W$	113.1(2) 113.38(13)
02 - 05 - 04	124.7(2)	C4W = C0W = C7W	113.36(13) 112.47(14)
N1 - C5 - C4	110.00(14) 110.2(2)	$C_0W = C_7W = C_0W$	113.47 (14)
C_{2} C_{5} C_{7}	119.2(2)	$C_2 W = C_8 W = C_7 W$	112.00 (13)
C4 - C0 - C7	111.73(13) 111.95(13)	$C_1 W = C_2 W = H_2 W$	100.2(3)
$C_{0} = C_{1} = C_{0}$	111.83 (13)	$C_{3}W = C_{2}W = H_{2}W$	111.3(4)
$C_2 = C_0 = C_7$	111.24(13) 10(.2(5))	$C_{0}W = C_{2}W = H_{2}W$	109.3 (3)
C1 - C2 - H2	100.2(5)	$C_2 W = C_3 W = H_3 A W$	110.8 (5)
$C_3 - C_2 - H_2$	111.5 (4)	$C_2W = C_3W = H_3BW$	111.2 (4)
$C_2 = C_2 = H_2$	109.8 (5)	C4W - C3W - H3AW	108.8 (5)
	110.7 (5)	U4W - U3W - H3BW	111.2 (5)
нзА—С3—Н3В	106.4 (6)	$H_3AW - C_3W - H_3BW$	106.6 (6)
С2—С3—Н3В	110.8 (4)	$C_{3}W - C_{4}W - H_{4}W$	111.3 (5)
C4—C3—H3A	109.3 (5)	C5W—C4W—H4W	104.7 (6)
C4—C3—H3B	111.1 (5)	C6W—C4W—H4W	109.4 (5)

C3—C4—H4	111.7 (5)	C4W—C6W—H6AW	104.4 (5)
C5—C4—H4	105.0 (5)	C4W—C6W—H6BW	106.7 (5)
C6—C4—H4	109.6 (5)	C7W—C6W—H6AW	110.1 (5)
С7—С6—Н6В	113.1 (4)	C7W—C6W—H6BW	112.5 (5)
H6A—C6—H6B	109.2 (6)	H6AW—C6W—H6BW	109.4 (7)
С4—С6—Н6А	104.6 (5)	C6W—C7W—H7AW	109.4 (5)
С4—С6—Н6В	107.0 (5)	C6W—C7W—H7BW	110.0 (5)
С7—С6—Н6А	110.8 (5)	C8W—C7W—H7AW	106.7 (5)
С6—С7—Н7В	110.9 (5)	C8W—C7W—H7BW	108.7 (5)
H7A—C7—H7B	108.7 (7)	H7AW—C7W—H7BW	108.4 (7)
С8—С7—Н7А	106.6 (5)	C2W—C8W—H8AW	108.0 (5)
С6—С7—Н7А	109.9 (5)	C2W—C8W—H8BW	106.8 (5)
С8—С7—Н7В	108.7 (5)	C7W—C8W—H8AW	112.1 (5)
С7—С8—Н8В	111.9 (5)	C7W—C8W—H8BW	112.1 (5)
H8A—C8—H8B	105.0 (7)	H8AW—C8W—H8BW	104.8 (7)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
0.90(1)	2.11 (1)	3.006 (4)	172 (1)
0.91 (1)	2.03 (1)	2.931 (4)	172 (1)
0.95 (1)	2.56(1)	3.355 (4)	141 (1)
0.95 (1)	2.56 (1)	3.406 (5)	148 (1)
0.95 (1)	2.49 (1)	3.384 (7)	158 (1)
	D—H 0.90 (1) 0.91 (1) 0.95 (1) 0.95 (1) 0.95 (1)	$\begin{array}{c cccc} D & & H & \cdots A \\ \hline 0.90 (1) & 2.11 (1) \\ 0.91 (1) & 2.03 (1) \\ 0.95 (1) & 2.56 (1) \\ 0.95 (1) & 2.56 (1) \\ 0.95 (1) & 2.49 (1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*+1, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (iii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (v) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2.