

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Benzylethyldimethylammonium bromide

Maciej Hodorowicz\* and Katarzyna Stadnicka

Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Ingardena 3, 30-060 Kraków, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: hodorowm@chemia.uj.edu.pl


Received 4 January 2008; accepted 23 January 2008

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.027;  $wR$  factor = 0.065; data-to-parameter ratio = 32.6.

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{18}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{Br}^-$ , has been determined as part of an ongoing study of the influence of the alkyl chain length on amphiphilic activity of quaternary ammonium salts. The title salt forms a three-dimensional network of ionic contacts through weak  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Br}$  hydrogen bonds, with donor–acceptor distances in the range 3.757 (2)–3.959 (2) Å, in which methyl groups serve as donors.

## Related literature

For related literature, see: Ogawa & Kuroda (1997); Hodorowicz *et al.* (2003, 2005); Kwolek *et al.* (2003); Allen *et al.* (1987).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

 $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{18}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{Br}^-$ 
 $M_r = 244.17$ 

 Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ 
 $a = 6.7765$  (1) Å

 $b = 12.5827$  (2) Å

 $c = 13.9433$  (2) Å

 $V = 1188.90$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup>
 $Z = 4$ 

 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $\mu = 3.42$  mm<sup>-1</sup>
 $T = 293$  (2) K

 $0.20 \times 0.19 \times 0.17$  mm

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(DENZO and SCALEPACK)

Otwinowski &amp; Minor, 1997)

 $T_{\min} = 0.548$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.594$ 

(expected range = 0.516–0.559)

18366 measured reflections

3874 independent reflections

 3483 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$ 

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ 
 $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ 
 $S = 1.07$ 

3874 reflections

119 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.49$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

with 1627 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter: 0.002 (9)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

 $Cg1$  is the centroid of the benzene ring.

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$C4-H4A\cdots Br1$	0.97	2.81	3.757 (2)	164
$C2-H2A\cdots Br1^i$	0.96	2.96	3.850 (3)	154
$C4-H4B\cdots Br1^i$	0.97	2.96	3.832 (2)	151
$C3-H3B\cdots Br1^i$	0.97	3.08	3.950 (2)	151
$C3-H3A\cdots Br1^{ii}$	0.97	3.19	3.959 (2)	138
$C1-H1C\cdots Br1^{iii}$	0.96	2.99	3.766 (2)	139
$C2-H2C\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.96	2.69	3.526	145

 Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x + 1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{5}{2}, -z + 2$ .

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors thank the Joint X-ray Laboratory, Faculty of Chemistry, and SLAFiBS, Jagiellonian University, for making the Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer available.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CF2179).

## References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
- Altomare, A., Casciarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **27**, 435–436.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Version 3.1d. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Hodorowicz, M. A., Czapkiewicz, J. & Stadnicka, K. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* **C59**, o547–o549.
- Hodorowicz, M., Stadnicka, K. & Czapkiewicz, J. (2005). *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **290**, 76–82.
- Kwolek, T., Hodorowicz, M., Stadnicka, K. & Czapkiewicz, J. (2003). *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **264**, 14–19.
- Nonius (2000). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ogawa, M. & Kuroda, K. (1997). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 2593–2618.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2008). E64, o601 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808002481]

## Benzylethyldimethylammonium bromide

Maciej Hodorowicz and Katarzyna Stadnicka

### S1. Comment


Quaternary alkylammonium salts are widely used to modify natural clay minerals into hydrophobic organo-clays which exhibit high capability to remove hydrophobic contaminants from aqueous solutions (Ogawa & Kuroda, 1997). From the systematic study of the relation between the crystal structures of chosen homologous benzyldimethylalkylammonium bromides and their cations' ability for sorption on clay minerals (Kwolek *et al.*, 2003; Hodorowicz *et al.*, 2003, 2005), it became obvious that the hydrophobic interactions are responsible for an alkyl-chain bilayer formation when the long-chain ( $n = 8-12$ ) ammonium cations are adsorbed on montmorillonite (Hodorowicz *et al.*, 2005), whereas a different way of cation packing seems to dominate in the case of short-chain ammonium cations (Kwolek *et al.*, 2003). The crystal structure analysis of benzyldimethylethylammonium bromide was performed to find out the influence of molecular geometry, and the length of the alkyl chain in particular, on the packing properties of the ammonium cations. The structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit is composed of a quaternary ammonium cation and a bromide counterion ( $N^+ \cdots Br^- = 4.439(2) \text{ \AA}$ ). The bond lengths and angles indicate the typical tetrahedral arrangement of the substituents at the N atom. The molecular dimensions are comparable with the values reported in the literature (Allen *et al.*, 1987). Methyl and methylene groups of the quaternary ammonium cation as well as C—H of the benzene ring are involved in weak intermolecular interactions of the C—H $\cdots$ Br type (Table 1). There are also relatively strong interactions of the C—H $\cdots$  $\pi$  type observed between the C2 methyl group and the  $\pi$  system of the benzene ring, which result in cation chains along [100] (Fig. 2). The chains are joined into layers parallel to (010) due to C—H $\cdots$ Br interactions (Fig. 3). The interactions are also responsible for packing of the layers along [010], as shown in Fig. 4. Each layer consists of cations inclined to the anionic layer and arranged in a zig—zag 'head-to-tail' system. The thickness of the layer is  $b/2$ . The observed architecture of the short-chain ammonium cation layers, best seen in Figs. 3 and 4, could be considered as a model for the organic cation layers intercalated into the montmorillonite structure (Kwolek *et al.*, 2003).

### S2. Experimental


The title compound was prepared by dissolving a 1:1 mixture of bromoethane and *N,N*-dimethylbenzylamine in acetone at 273 K. The solution was slowly heated to room temperature to give colourless single crystals of the title compound. Recrystallization from acetone afforded crystals suitable for X-ray measurements.

### S3. Refinement


All hydrogen atom positions were observed in a difference Fourier map. Nevertheless, in the refinement procedure the hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with C—H = C—H = 0.97 Å for CH<sub>2</sub> groups, 0.96 Å for CH<sub>3</sub> groups, and 0.93 Å for aromatic CH, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for methyl groups and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for all other H atoms.

**Figure 1**

ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) drawing of the asymmetric unit with atom labels. Displacement ellipsoids of non-H atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level.


**Figure 2**

Chain of benzyltrimethylammonium cations along [100] projected onto (010). The chain is formed due to C—H $\cdots$  $\pi$  interactions (ORTEP-3; Farrugia, 1997).



**Figure 3**

Layers parallel to (010) and built of the ammonium cations, arranged in a zig—zag 'head-to-tail' system, are joined together through Br counterions. View along [100] (*ORTEP-3*; Farrugia, 1997).

**Figure 4**

The sequence of the cationic and anionic layers along [010] in projection onto (100) (*DIAMOND*; Brandenburg, 2006).

### Benzylethyldimethylammonium bromide

#### Crystal data

$C_{11}H_{18}N^+ \cdot Br^-$

$M_r = 244.17$

Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$

Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab

$a = 6.7765$  (1) Å

$b = 12.5827$  (2) Å

$c = 13.9433$  (2) Å

$V = 1188.90$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 504$

$D_x = 1.364$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 2258 reflections

$\theta = 1.0$ – $31.5^\circ$

$\mu = 3.42$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K

Prism, colourless

$0.20 \times 0.19 \times 0.17$  mm

#### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Horizontally mounted graphite crystal  
monochromator

Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>

$\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* Otwinowski &  
Minor, 1997)

$T_{\min} = 0.548$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.594$

18366 measured reflections

3874 independent reflections

3483 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$

$\theta_{\max} = 31.5^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.9^\circ$

$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$

$k = 0 \rightarrow 18$

$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$

$wR(F^2) = 0.065$

$S = 1.07$

3874 reflections

119 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0247P)^2 + 0.2756P]$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick,  
2008),  $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001x F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.045 (2)

Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1627 Friedel  
pairs

Absolute structure parameter: 0.002 (9)

Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.29436 (3)	1.294368 (16)	0.812541 (14)	0.05290 (8)
N1	0.7787 (2)	1.06178 (12)	0.85616 (10)	0.0397 (3)
C1	0.8014 (4)	1.15508 (19)	0.92125 (17)	0.0613 (5)
H1A	0.7328	1.2150	0.8947	0.074*
H1B	0.9389	1.1720	0.9279	0.074*
H1C	0.7471	1.1383	0.9830	0.074*
C2	0.8857 (3)	0.9680 (2)	0.89734 (18)	0.0578 (5)
H2A	0.8706	0.9081	0.8554	0.069*
H2B	0.8317	0.9512	0.9591	0.069*
H2C	1.0232	0.9848	0.9039	0.069*
C31	0.7883 (5)	1.1763 (3)	0.70577 (19)	0.0808 (8)
H31A	0.8569	1.1845	0.6460	0.097*
H31B	0.8025	1.2399	0.7432	0.097*
H31C	0.6509	1.1635	0.6936	0.097*
C3	0.8734 (3)	1.08411 (19)	0.75980 (15)	0.0526 (5)
H3A	1.0130	1.0972	0.7698	0.063*
H3B	0.8617	1.0210	0.7203	0.063*
C4	0.5616 (2)	1.03466 (14)	0.84108 (12)	0.0373 (3)
H4A	0.4933	1.0982	0.8197	0.045*
H4B	0.5518	0.9824	0.7902	0.045*
C41	0.4578 (2)	0.99204 (13)	0.92838 (12)	0.0368 (3)
C42	0.3755 (3)	1.05941 (16)	0.99624 (14)	0.0483 (4)

H42	0.3879	1.1326	0.9892	0.058*
C43	0.2748 (4)	1.0181 (2)	1.07459 (15)	0.0617 (5)
H43	0.2222	1.0636	1.1205	0.074*
C44	0.2528 (3)	0.9094 (2)	1.08438 (16)	0.0645 (6)
H44	0.1860	0.8817	1.1370	0.077*
C45	0.3293 (4)	0.84284 (19)	1.01657 (18)	0.0619 (6)
H45	0.3126	0.7698	1.0229	0.074*
C46	0.4319 (3)	0.88285 (15)	0.93829 (16)	0.0477 (4)
H46	0.4832	0.8367	0.8925	0.057*

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Br1	0.06094 (12)	0.04546 (10)	0.05230 (11)	0.00357 (9)	0.00416 (9)	0.00747 (8)
N1	0.0369 (6)	0.0416 (7)	0.0405 (6)	-0.0039 (6)	-0.0001 (6)	-0.0024 (5)
C1	0.0611 (12)	0.0599 (12)	0.0629 (12)	-0.0199 (11)	0.0056 (12)	-0.0207 (10)
C2	0.0422 (9)	0.0646 (13)	0.0667 (13)	0.0038 (9)	-0.0115 (9)	0.0112 (10)
C31	0.0638 (13)	0.106 (2)	0.0727 (15)	0.0084 (16)	0.0168 (13)	0.0409 (14)
C3	0.0452 (9)	0.0642 (12)	0.0482 (10)	-0.0012 (9)	0.0100 (8)	0.0015 (9)
C4	0.0357 (7)	0.0384 (8)	0.0378 (7)	-0.0008 (6)	-0.0014 (6)	0.0000 (6)
C41	0.0356 (7)	0.0363 (8)	0.0386 (7)	-0.0027 (6)	-0.0026 (6)	0.0018 (6)
C42	0.0509 (9)	0.0470 (10)	0.0470 (9)	-0.0018 (8)	0.0061 (8)	-0.0034 (8)
C43	0.0592 (11)	0.0815 (15)	0.0444 (9)	-0.0056 (12)	0.0091 (10)	-0.0051 (10)
C44	0.0544 (13)	0.0901 (17)	0.0489 (10)	-0.0140 (11)	-0.0012 (8)	0.0251 (11)
C45	0.0603 (13)	0.0531 (11)	0.0722 (14)	-0.0119 (10)	-0.0057 (11)	0.0228 (10)
C46	0.0484 (9)	0.0363 (8)	0.0584 (10)	-0.0033 (7)	-0.0020 (9)	0.0034 (8)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Br1—N1	4.4393 (16)	C3—H3B	0.970
N1—C1	1.492 (2)	C4—C41	1.505 (2)
N1—C2	1.499 (3)	C4—H4A	0.970
N1—C3	1.515 (2)	C4—H4B	0.970
N1—C4	1.525 (2)	C41—C42	1.387 (3)
C1—H1A	0.960	C41—C46	1.392 (2)
C1—H1B	0.960	C42—C43	1.389 (3)
C1—H1C	0.960	C42—H42	0.930
C2—H2A	0.960	C43—C44	1.382 (4)
C2—H2B	0.960	C43—H43	0.930
C2—H2C	0.960	C44—C45	1.365 (4)
C31—C3	1.498 (3)	C44—H44	0.930
C31—H31A	0.960	C45—C46	1.388 (3)
C31—H31B	0.960	C45—H45	0.930
C31—H31C	0.960	C46—H46	0.930
C3—H3A	0.970		
C1—N1—C2	109.66 (17)	C31—C3—H3A	108.5
C1—N1—C3	110.47 (16)	N1—C3—H3A	108.5

C2—N1—C3	106.30 (16)	C31—C3—H3B	108.5
C1—N1—C4	111.07 (16)	N1—C3—H3B	108.5
C2—N1—C4	110.08 (15)	H3A—C3—H3B	107.5
C3—N1—C4	109.15 (14)	C41—C4—N1	114.79 (13)
C1—N1—Br1	68.95 (13)	C41—C4—H4A	108.6
C2—N1—Br1	158.26 (12)	N1—C4—H4A	108.6
C3—N1—Br1	93.99 (11)	C41—C4—H4B	108.6
C4—N1—Br1	54.22 (8)	N1—C4—H4B	108.6
N1—C1—H1A	109.5	H4A—C4—H4B	107.5
N1—C1—H1B	109.5	C42—C41—C46	119.00 (18)
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	C42—C41—C4	121.45 (15)
N1—C1—H1C	109.5	C46—C41—C4	119.40 (16)
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	C41—C42—C43	120.3 (2)
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	C41—C42—H42	119.8
N1—C2—H2A	109.5	C43—C42—H42	119.8
N1—C2—H2B	109.5	C44—C43—C42	120.1 (2)
H2A—C2—H2B	109.5	C44—C43—H43	120.0
N1—C2—H2C	109.5	C42—C43—H43	120.0
H2A—C2—H2C	109.5	C45—C44—C43	119.8 (2)
H2B—C2—H2C	109.5	C45—C44—H44	120.1
C3—C31—H31A	109.5	C43—C44—H44	120.1
C3—C31—H31B	109.5	C44—C45—C46	120.8 (2)
H31A—C31—H31B	109.5	C44—C45—H45	119.6
C3—C31—H31C	109.5	C46—C45—H45	119.6
H31A—C31—H31C	109.5	C45—C46—C41	119.9 (2)
H31B—C31—H31C	109.5	C45—C46—H46	120.0
C31—C3—N1	115.22 (18)	C41—C46—H46	120.0
C1—N1—C3—C31	-60.9 (3)	N1—C4—C41—C46	-98.94 (19)
C2—N1—C3—C31	-179.8 (2)	C46—C41—C42—C43	2.2 (3)
C4—N1—C3—C31	61.5 (2)	C4—C41—C42—C43	177.88 (19)
Br1—N1—C3—C31	8.1 (2)	C41—C42—C43—C44	-1.2 (3)
C1—N1—C4—C41	-68.2 (2)	C42—C43—C44—C45	-0.4 (4)
C2—N1—C4—C41	53.4 (2)	C43—C44—C45—C46	0.9 (4)
C3—N1—C4—C41	169.73 (15)	C44—C45—C46—C41	0.1 (3)
Br1—N1—C4—C41	-109.45 (15)	C42—C41—C46—C45	-1.6 (3)
N1—C4—C41—C42	85.4 (2)	C4—C41—C46—C45	-177.40 (18)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
C1—H1A $\cdots$ Br1	0.96	3.34	4.144 (3)	143
C4—H4A $\cdots$ Br1	0.97	2.81	3.757 (2)	164
C42—H42 $\cdots$ Br1	0.93	3.26	3.950 (2)	132
C31—H31C $\cdots$ Br1	0.96	3.36	3.953 (3)	122
C2—H2A $\cdots$ Br1 <sup>i</sup>	0.96	2.96	3.850 (3)	154
C4—H4B $\cdots$ Br1 <sup>i</sup>	0.97	2.96	3.832 (2)	151
C3—H3B $\cdots$ Br1 <sup>i</sup>	0.97	3.08	3.950 (2)	151



---

C3—H3A···Br1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.97	3.19	3.959 (2)	138
C1—H1C···Br1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.96	2.99	3.766 (2)	139
C2—H2C···Cg1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96	2.69	3.526	145

---

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2$ ; (ii)  $x+1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $x+1/2, -y+5/2, -z+2$ .