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The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, contains one half-molecule; a twofold rotation axis bisects the molecule. The quinoxaline ring is planar, which can be attributed to electron delocalization. In the crystal structure, intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into $R_{2}^{2}(10)$ motifs, leading to layers, which interact via phenylphenyl interactions (C.C. distances in the range 3.2383.521 A).

## Related literature

For general background, see: Zarranz et al. (2004); Chowdhury et al. (2004); Monge et al. (1995); Fuchs et al. (2001); Dance (1996); Bernstein et al. (1995). For related literature, see: Elina \& Tsyrul'nikova (1963); Akkurt et al. (2004); Mustaphi et al. (2001); Oxtoby et al. (2005); Ley \& Seng (1975); For bondlength data, see: Allen et al. (1987);


## Experimental

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
$M_{r}=194.15$
Orthorhombic, $C 222_{1}$
$a=4.2562$ (6) $\AA$
$b=17.630$ (3) $\AA$
$c=10.4775(17) \AA$

## Data collection

Nicolet P3 diffractometer
Absorption correction: none 1004 measured reflections 529 independent reflections 437 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.034$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.071$
$S=1.07$
529 reflections
69 parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=786.2(2) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4 \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \mu=0.14 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=294(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& 0.50 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \\
& \\
& R_{\text {int }}=0.022 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \text { every } 50 \text { reflections } \\
& \text { intensity decay: } 2 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.12 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.15 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.96(3)$ | $1.63(3)$ | $2.584(2)$ | $174(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $x,-y+1,-z$.
Data collection: P3/PC Data Collection Software (Siemens, 1991); cell refinement: P3/PC Data Collection Software; data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTLPlus; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PLATON (Spek, 2003).
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## 1,4-Dihydroxyquinoxaline-2,3(1H,4H)-dione

Rajab Abu-El-Halawah, Basem Fares Ali, Mohammad M. Ibrahim, Jalal A. Zahra and Wolfgang Frey

## S1. Comment

Quinoxalines are of interest owing to their biological activities. They seem to have very interesting anticancer activity (Zarranz et al., 2004). For example, 3-aminoquinoxaline-2-carbonitrile 1,4-dioxide have been studied extensively as bioreductive cytotoxic agent. It was found to be an efficient agent and causes redox-activated DNA damage (Chowdhury et al., 2004), even more active than the first drug clinically used as bioreductive cytotoxic agent (Monge et al., 1995; Fuchs et al., 2001). A nonconvenient synthesis of the title compound, (I), was reported previously (Elina \& Tsyrul' nikova, 1963), via the hydrolysis of 2-amino-3-hydroxyquinoxaline 1,4-dioxide, which results as a side product (4\%) from oxidation of 2-acetamidoquinoxaline with acetic peroxide acid using boiling HCl solution. We report herein a novel simple synthetic method for (I), along with its crystal structure.
The new synthetic strategy for (I), (Fig. 1), is based on the reaction of (1) with NaOH solution, yielding (2) in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction, which upon hydrolysis with boiling HCl solution, via protonation of amine followed by the attack of water molecule, yielded (I) in a good amount ( $90 \%$ ).
The asymmetric unit of the title compound, (I), (Fig. 2) contains one half-molecule. The quinoxaline ring is planar, which can be attributed to a wide range of electron delocalization. Bond lengths and angles are in accordance with the corresponding reported values in 1,4-dihydroquinoxaline -2,3-dione core (Oxtoby et al., 2005) and other similar $N$-alkyl quinoxalines (Akkurt et al., 2004; Mustaphi et al., 2001). The existence of (I) in the dione form is evident from $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ [1.226 (3) Å] bond, being smaller than a pure single bond, which confirms the double bond character (Allen et al., 1987). The $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}\left[1.503\right.$ (4) $\AA$ ] bond has single bond character compared to multiple bond characters in $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{\mathrm{i}}[1.381$ (4) $\AA$ ] , C2-C3 [1.391 (3) $\AA$ ], C3-C4 [1.382 (3) $\AA$ ] and C4—C4 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}[1.372$ (6) $\AA$ ] [symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, 1 / 2-z]$. The N1 - $\mathrm{C} 1[1.345(3) \AA]$ bond is significantly shorter than $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2[1.404$ (3) $\AA]$ and it is an intermediate between those typical for the corresponding single and double bonds, suggesting some degree of delocalization. The N1—C1 bond length is closer to the average $\mathrm{C}_{a r}-\mathrm{N}_{s p}{ }^{2}$ (planar) value of 1.353 (7) $\AA$ rather than the $\mathrm{C}_{a r}-\mathrm{N}_{s p}{ }^{3}$ (pyramidal) value of 1.419 (17) Å (Allen et al., 1987), with the sum of the bond angles around atom N 1 [359.81 (18) ${ }^{\circ}$ ], indicating $s p^{2}$ hybridization.
In the crystal structure, intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into $R_{2}{ }^{2}(10)$ motifs (Fig. 3) (Bernstein et al., 1995) leading to layers running along the $c$ axis (Fig. 4). Molecules within layers are further interacting via phenyl $\cdots$ phenyl interactions (Dance, 1996), where the layers parallel to $a$ axis interact in an offset stacking motif ( $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ distances in the range of $3.238-3.521 \AA$ ).

## S2. Experimental

For the preparation of (I), to a suspension solution of (1) ( $2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (Ley \& Seng, 1975) in ethanol ( 20 ml ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{ml}, 10 \%)$ was added to give a deep blue solution. After refluxing for 5 h , the brown solution was allowed to cool to room temperature. The resulting mixture was then treated with $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{ml}, 10 \%)$, refluxed for another 5 h and then allowed to stand undisturbed. The resulting residual brown solid was filtered off, washed with cold water ( 5 ml ) and then by cold ethanol ( 5 ml ). The title compound, (I), was recrystallized from ethanol solution (yield; $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$, m.p. $535-536 \mathrm{~K}$ decomposition). Analysis found: C 49.45 , H 3.27, N $14.41 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires: C $49.49, \mathrm{H} 3.12, \mathrm{~N} 14.43 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta=7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H} 4 / \mathrm{H} 7\right.$ ), $7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H} 5 / \mathrm{H} 6) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta=$ 111.6 (C4/C7), 123.3 (C5/C6), $124.0(\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}), 150.4(\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 3)$ p.p.m.. ESI: $\mathrm{m} / z=217.02\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}\right)$.

## S3. Refinement

H atom (for OH) was located in a difference synthesis and refined isotropically $\left[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.96(3) \AA ; U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=0.072(10)\right.$ $\left.\AA^{2}\right]$. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$ for aromatic H and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

$10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$


## Figure 1

Schematic representation for the steps through which reaction proceeds.


Figure 2
The molecular structure of the title molecule, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level.


Figure 3
Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of $R_{2}{ }^{2}(10)$ motifs.


Figure 4
A packing diagram of (I), showing the layers of molecules parallel to $c$ axis. All hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines [symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y,-z+1 / 2$, (ii) $x,-y+1,-z$ ].

## 1,4-Dihydroxyquinoxaline-2,3(1H,4H)-dione

## Crystal data

## $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$

$M_{r}=194.15$
Orthorhombic, $C 222_{1}$
Hall symbol: C 2c 2
$a=4.2562$ (6) $\AA$
$b=17.630$ ( 3 ) $\AA$
$c=10.4775(17) \AA$
$V=786.2(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Nicolet P3
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Wyckoff scan
$F(000)=400$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.640 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 20 reflections
$\theta=14-16^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.14 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294 \mathrm{~K}$
Plates, colourless
$0.50 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

1004 measured reflections
529 independent reflections
437 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.022$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.3^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 5$
$k=0 \rightarrow 22$
$l=-13 \rightarrow 13$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.034$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.071$
$S=1.07$
529 reflections
69 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

3 standard reflections every 50 reflections intensity decay: $2 \%$

```
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
    neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
    and constrained refinement
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0208 P)^{2}+0.4073 P\right]\)
    where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.12\) e \(\AA^{-3}\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.15 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\)
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
    2008), \(\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}\)
Extinction coefficient: 0.013 (2)
```


## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.2116(4)$ | $0.41727(11)$ | $0.15388(16)$ | $0.0350(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.1130(6)$ | $0.48556(11)$ | $0.1948(2)$ | $0.0364(6)$ |
| O1 | $0.4527(4)$ | $0.41624(10)$ | $0.06569(15)$ | $0.0456(5)$ |
| H1 | $0.357(7)$ | $0.4338(15)$ | $-0.012(3)$ | $0.072(10)^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.1068(5)$ | $0.34702(11)$ | $0.2004(2)$ | $0.0332(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.1965(5)$ | $0.54622(9)$ | $0.14878(15)$ | $0.0531(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.2131(7)$ | $0.27901(13)$ | $0.1487(2)$ | $0.0456(7)$ |
| H3 | 0.3541 | 0.2788 | 0.0808 | $0.055^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.1046(7)$ | $0.21177(13)$ | $0.2002(2)$ | $0.0557(8)$ |
| H4 | 0.1745 | 0.1659 | 0.1669 | $0.067^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.0369(10)$ | $0.0401(9)$ | $0.0279(8)$ | $0.0022(10)$ | $0.0011(9)$ | $-0.0011(8)$ |
| C1 | $0.0452(15)$ | $0.0371(12)$ | $0.0269(10)$ | $-0.0036(11)$ | $-0.0014(13)$ | $-0.0019(9)$ |
| O1 | $0.0416(9)$ | $0.0631(10)$ | $0.0320(8)$ | $0.0113(10)$ | $0.0045(9)$ | $0.0052(9)$ |
| C2 | $0.0354(14)$ | $0.0334(10)$ | $0.0309(10)$ | $0.0004(10)$ | $-0.0096(12)$ | $-0.0007(8)$ |
| O2 | $0.0823(16)$ | $0.0374(8)$ | $0.0397(9)$ | $-0.0123(10)$ | $0.0136(13)$ | $0.0017(7)$ |
| C3 | $0.0519(16)$ | $0.0435(13)$ | $0.0415(13)$ | $0.0099(13)$ | $-0.0118(16)$ | $-0.0077(10)$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 0.072(2) \quad 0.0336(11) \quad 0.0615(16) \quad 0.0086(13) \quad-0.0242(18) \quad-0.0079(11) \quad$.

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| N1-C1 | 1.345 (3) | C2-C2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.381 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1-O1 | 1.381 (2) | C2-C3 | 1.391 (3) |
| N1-C2 | 1.404 (3) | C3-C4 | 1.382 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.226 (3) | C3-H3 | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {i }}$ | 1.503 (4) | C4-C4 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.372 (6) |
| O1-H1 | 0.96 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 0.9300 |
| C1-N1-O1 | 117.21 (19) | $\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 118.08 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 125.42 (18) | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 121.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 117.18 (18) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 118.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 124.4 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 119.22 (14) | C2-C3-H3 | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {i }}$ | 116.41 (12) | C4- 4 - $4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 120.93 (16) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 104.2 (17) | C 4 - $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 119.5 |
| C2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $22-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 120.47 (15) | C3-C4-H4 | 119.5 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 8.8 (3) | C1-N1-C2-C3 | 177.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | -176.4 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -7.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | -170.8 (2) | C2- ${ }^{\text {i }} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -1.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 4.1 (4) | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 178.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {i }}$ | -2.5 (4) | C2-C3-C4-C4 | 0.3 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 172.3 (2) |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y,-z+1 / 2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $0.96(3)$ | $1.63(3)$ | $2.584(2)$ | $174(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (ii) $x,-y+1,-z$.

