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In the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$, the Cu atom is coordinated by the N atoms of two ammonia molecules and four O atoms from three different succinate ligands in a highly distorted octahedral geometry. The Cu atom and the C and O atoms of the succinate ligands lie on a mirror plane. Two adjacent $\mathrm{CuO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ octahedra share one common $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edge, forming a $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ bioctahedron with a $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ separation of 3.524 (2) $\AA$. Neighboring bioctahedra are connected by bisunidentate succinate anions in the $a$-axis direction, while in the $c$-axis direction bioctahedra are connected by bis-bidentate succinate anions, leading to an infinite two-dimensional network structure. These networks are further connected along the $a$-axis direction by hydrogen bonds between ammonia ligands and carboxylate O atoms of neighboring network layers, forming a three-dimensional lamellar structure.

## Related literature

For related literature, see: Halcrow (2001); Holm et al. (1996); Jin \& Chen (2007a,b); Jin et al. (2007); Kato \& Muto (1988); Lassahn et al. (2004); Mehrotra \& Bohra (1983); Park et al. (2001); Rao et al. (2004); Zheng et al. $(2000,2001)$.


## Experimental

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=213.68$
Monoclinic, C2/ m
$V=728.2(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$a=13.761$ (6) A
$b=7.374$ (3) $\AA$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=2.97 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$c=8.709$ (4) $\AA$
$T=293$ (2) K
$\beta=124.515$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min }=0.501, T_{\max }=0.776$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.026 \quad 64$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.072$
$S=1.14$
694 reflections

H -atom parameters constrained
1874 measured reflections 694 independent reflections 641 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.036$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.37 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.58 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.86 | 2.44 | $3.272(3)$ | 164 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.32 | $3.133(3)$ | 159 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{3 i i}$ | 0.86 | 2.48 | $3.331(3)$ | 169 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{4 i i}$ | 0.86 | 2.41 | $3.085(3)$ | 136 |
| Symmetry codes: | (i) | $x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z ;$ | (ii) | $-x+\frac{3}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2},-z+1 ;$ |
| $-x+\frac{3}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2},-z+2$. |  |  |  |  |

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank the Zhejiang Forestry University Science Foundation for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IM2043).
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# Poly[ $\mu_{4}$-succinato- $\mu_{2}$-succinato-bis[diamminecopper(II)]] 

Shouwen Jin, Daqi Wang, Yan-lin Yu, Guan-min Luo and Yan-yan Ye

## S1. Comment

Carboxylate complexes have been intensively investigated in recent years due to their interesting coordination chemistry allowing for unusual structural features and leading to various physical and chemical properties and practical applications in fields such as dyes, extractants, drugs, pesticides and catalysts (Mehrotra \& Bohra, 1983; Rao et al., 2004; Lassahn et al., 2004; Park et al., 2001). Among them copper(II) carboxylates are of special interest as they are easily obtained as polynuclear units having relevance to magnetic materials (Kato \& Muto, 1988) and biology (Holm et al., 1996; Halcrow, 2001) As an extension of our research on carboxylate coordination compounds (Jin \& Chen, 2007a; Jin \& Chen, 2007b; Jin et al., 2007), we herein report the synthesis and crystal structure of copper succinate diammonia.
The compound of the formula $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ was obtained by reacting copper(II) chloride dihydrate with succinic acid in basic solution in the presence of bis( $N$-benzimidazolyl)methane. However, bis( $N$-benzimidazolyl)methane does not appear in the title compound. Single crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis cannot be obtained by evaporating an appropriate solution of the title compound in water or organic solvents. We found that it can be dissolved in a concentrated solution of ammonia obviously substituting bis( $N$-benzimidazolyl)methane ligands bound to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ cations against ammonia. The title compound is stable in air, insoluble in water and common organic solvents. The basic building blocks in the title compound are the edge-shared $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ bioctahedra. The Cu atoms are each coordinated by four oxygen atoms of three different succinato ligands and two ammonia nitrogen atoms to complete $\mathrm{CuO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ octahedral geometry (Fig. 1). Two of the coordinated succinate ions act as bis-tridentate bridging ligands. The other succinate ions function as bis-monodentate bridging ligands. Four succinate ions and four copper atoms form 28membered rings. One oxygen atom of the succinate ions acts as bidentate ligand bridging two copper atoms. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances (varying in the range of 1.978 (3)-2.001 (3) $\AA$ ), and $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond distances (1.993 (3) $\AA$ ), are comparable with some known Cu dicarboxylates (Zheng et al., 2000). The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1), 176.92$ (9) degree) bond angles exhibit significant close to 180 degree, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond angles are close to 90 degree also, which implies the $\mathrm{CuO}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ octahedra to be slightly distorted. Two adjacent octahedra are condensed via two carboxylate O atoms to form $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ bioctahedra. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ separation within the bioctahedra is of $3.035 \AA$, much shorter than those reported earlier (Zheng et al., 2001). Obviously such large $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance along the acute $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(75.7$ degree), and obtuse $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}$ angles (104.3 degree) subtended at the Cu and the bridging O atoms implies that there is no or just a very weak interaction between the paired Cu atoms.

Neighboring bioctahedras are additionally connected by bis-unidentate succinate ions in $a$ axis direction, while in $c$ axis direction bioctahedra are connected by bis-tridentate succinate ions, leading to a two-dimensional network structure. Within the network, the closest intra-bioctahedra $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance of 3.035 (1) $\AA$ is substantially smaller than the nearest inter-bioctahedra $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance of 8.350 (1) $\AA$. The resulting infinite layers are further connected through hydrogen bonds between ammonia molecules and carboxylate O atoms of neighboring network layers to form three-dimensional lamellar structure, as demonstrated in Fig. 2.

## S2. Experimental

All reagents and solvents were used as obtained without further purification. The CHN elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer model 2400 elemental analyzer.
A mixture of copper chloride dihydrate ( $34.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, succinic acid ( $23.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and bis( $N$-benzimidazolyl)methane ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in methanol ( 10 ml ) was refluxed for 1 h . The resulted blue precipitate was collected and dissolved in a minimum amount of concentrated ammonia. Blue single crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of the ammonia solution at ambient temperature. Yield: $32 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$. Anal. Calcd for ${ }_{C} 4_{H} 10_{\mathrm{Cu}} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 22.46; H, 4.68; N 13.10. Found: C, 22.41; H, 4.63; N 13.07.

## S3. Refinement

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.86 \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$, and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$. Hydrogen atoms bound to water molecules were located in the Fourier difference map, and their distances were fixed.


## Figure 1

The molecular structure of one repeating unit the title coordination polymer, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level.


Figure 2
Three dimensional network structure connected via hydrogen bonds.

## Poly $\left[\mu_{4}\right.$-succinato- $\mu_{2}$-succinato-bis[diamminecopper(II)]]

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]} \\
& M_{r}=213.68 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } C 2 / m \\
& \text { Hall symbol: }-\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{y} \\
& a=13.761(6) \AA \\
& b=7.374(3) \AA \\
& c=8.709(4) \AA \\
& \beta=124.515(4)^{\circ} \\
& V=728.2(5) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator

## $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.501, T_{\max }=0.776$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.026$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.072$
$S=1.14$
694 reflections
64 parameters
0 restraints
$F(000)=436$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.949 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 1618 reflections
$\theta=2.8-28.2^{\circ}$
$\mu=2.97 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, blue
$0.27 \times 0.15 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}$

1874 measured reflections
694 independent reflections
641 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.036$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.8^{\circ}$
$h=-15 \rightarrow 16$
$k=-8 \rightarrow 8$
$l=-9 \rightarrow 10$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained

```
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0361 P)^{2}+0.9127 P\right]\)
    where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.37 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.58 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1 | $0.84469(3)$ | 0.5000 | $0.85475(5)$ | $0.0211(2)$ |
| O1 | $0.9788(2)$ | 0.5000 | $0.8255(3)$ | $0.0239(6)$ |
| O2 | $0.8291(2)$ | 0.5000 | $0.5275(4)$ | $0.0387(8)$ |
| O3 | $0.7184(2)$ | 0.5000 | $0.8984(4)$ | $0.0280(6)$ |
| O4 | $0.5330(2)$ | 0.5000 | $0.8099(4)$ | $0.0381(7)$ |
| N1 | $0.83799(18)$ | $0.7693(4)$ | $0.8316(3)$ | $0.0280(5)$ |
| H1 | 0.8335 | 0.8263 | 0.9134 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| H2 | 0.7797 | 0.8073 | 0.7251 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| H3 | 0.8990 | 0.8131 | 0.8407 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.9363(3)$ | 0.5000 | $0.6510(5)$ | $0.0223(8)$ |
| C2 | $1.0265(3)$ | 0.5000 | $0.6041(5)$ | $0.0275(9)$ |
| H2A | 1.0763 | 0.3939 | 0.6599 | $0.033^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.6069(3)$ | 0.5000 | $0.7718(5)$ | $0.0241(8)$ |
| C4 | $0.5663(3)$ | 0.5000 | $0.5694(5)$ | $0.0261(8)$ |
| H4 | 0.5987 | 0.3939 | 0.5480 | $0.031^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1 | $0.0203(3)$ | $0.0245(3)$ | $0.0180(3)$ | 0.000 | $0.0106(2)$ | 0.000 |
| O1 | $0.0217(13)$ | $0.0359(16)$ | $0.0144(12)$ | 0.000 | $0.0104(11)$ | 0.000 |
| O2 | $0.0267(15)$ | $0.068(2)$ | $0.0187(13)$ | 0.000 | $0.0111(12)$ | 0.000 |
| O3 | $0.0188(13)$ | $0.0446(17)$ | $0.0191(12)$ | 0.000 | $0.0097(11)$ | 0.000 |
| O4 | $0.0252(15)$ | $0.066(2)$ | $0.0255(14)$ | 0.000 | $0.0159(12)$ | 0.000 |
| N1 | $0.0283(12)$ | $0.0266(14)$ | $0.0233(11)$ | $0.0018(10)$ | $0.0111(10)$ | $-0.0004(10)$ |
| C1 | $0.0249(18)$ | $0.024(2)$ | $0.0183(16)$ | 0.000 | $0.0127(15)$ | 0.000 |
| C2 | $0.0256(19)$ | $0.039(2)$ | $0.0200(19)$ | 0.000 | $0.0139(16)$ | 0.000 |
| C3 | $0.0256(19)$ | $0.0239(19)$ | $0.0215(17)$ | 0.000 | $0.0126(16)$ | 0.000 |
| C4 | $0.028(2)$ | $0.031(2)$ | $0.0179(17)$ | 0.000 | $0.0118(17)$ | 0.000 |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.978 (3) | N1-H2 | 0.8599 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 1.993 (3) | N1-H3 | 0.8599 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.993 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.510 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 2.001 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 1.524 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.282 (4) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9698 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.240 (5) | C3-C4 | 1.517 (5) |
| O3-C3 | 1.286 (5) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.514 (7) |
| O4-C3 | 1.236 (5) | C4-H4 | 0.9696 |
| N1-H1 | 0.8599 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 91.38 (6) | $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 104.0 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 91.38 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 123.2 (3) |
| N1- ${ }^{\text {i }} \mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 170.34 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 121.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 176.92 (9) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 115.3 (3) |
| N 1 - $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 88.87 (6) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 114.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 88.87 (6) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | 108.5 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 108.8 |
| C3-O3-Cu1 | 125.9 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 122.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 115.1 | O4-C3-C4 | 119.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 113.3 | O3-C3-C4 | 118.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 105.8 | C4iii- ${ }^{\text {iii } 4-\mathrm{C} 3}$ | 114.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 112.2 | $\mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 108.9 |
| H1-N1-H3 | 105.4 | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 108.5 |
| O3-Cu1-O1-C1 | 180.000 (10) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 180.000 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 85.31 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.000 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | -85.31 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 180.000 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -85.37 (6) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 180.000 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 85.37 (6) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 0.000 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 180.000 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 180.000 (2) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+1, z$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$; (iii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.86 | 2.44 | $3.272(3)$ | 164 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 2 \cdots 2^{v}$ | 0.86 | 2.32 | $3.133(3)$ | 159 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.86 | 2.48 | $3.331(3)$ | 169 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots 4^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.86 | 2.41 | $3.085(3)$ | 136 |

[^0]
[^0]:    Symmetry codes: (iv) $x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z$; (v) $-x+3 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1$; (vi) $-x+3 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+2$.

