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The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, contains one half-molecule of butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid and a water molecule, with the complete tetra-acid generated by crystallographic inversion symmetry. Intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds form an extensive three-dimensional network, which consolidates the crystal packing.

## Related literature

For applications of butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid in metal -organic coordination polymers, see: Delgado et al. (2007); Liu et al. (2008). For related crystal structures, see: McKee et al. (2007); Najafpour et al. (2008).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& M_{r}=270.19 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{2} / c \\
& a=7.4668(15) \AA \\
& b=9.3385(19) \AA \\
& c=8.8406(18) \AA \\
& \beta=109.60(3)^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995) $T_{\text {min }}=0.921, T_{\text {max }}=0.965$

5478 measured reflections 1327 independent reflections 960 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.027$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039 \quad 82$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.116 \quad \mathrm{H}$-atom parameters constrained
$S=1.17$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.26$ e $\AA^{-3}$
1327 reflections
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.23$ e $\AA^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{C} \cdots 5^{\text {i }}$ | 0.85 | 1.87 | 2.707 (2) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.86 | 1.83 | 2.689 (2) | 178 |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 A \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 0.83 | 1.93 | 2.754 (2) | 172 |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.81 | 2.01 | 2.814 (2) | 170 |

Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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## Butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid dihydrate

Yu Cheng, Jiang Wu, Hong-Lin Zhu and Jian-li Lin

## S1. Comment

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.30, February 2009) showed that most of literature dealing with butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid mainly concentrated in the metal organic coordination polymers (Delgado et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008). In this paper, we report the crystal structure of butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid dihydrate (Fig. 1).

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains a half of the butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid molecule and one water molecule. The carboxylic acid group with C 1 and C 4 atoms are gauche with the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ torsion angle being $62.13(1)^{\circ}$, which match well with that in the reported structures (McKee et al., 2007; Najafpour et al., 2008).
Intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) form an extensive three-dimensional hydrogen-bonding network, which consolidate the crystal packing.

## S2. Experimental

$\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1461 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred aqueous solution of butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid $(0.1176 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $15 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min and then was filtered out. Colorless crystals were obtained from the filtrate $(\mathrm{pH}=2.80)$ after standing at room temperature for three months.

## S3. Refinement

H atoms bonded to C atoms were palced in geometrically calculated position and were refined using a riding model, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C}) . \mathrm{H}$ atoms attached to O atoms were found in a difference Fourier synthesis and were refined using a riding model, with the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances fixed as initially found and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values set at $1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{O})$.


## Figure 1

View of the title compound showing the atomic numbering and $45 \%$ probability dispalcement ellipsoids [symmetry code:
(i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z]$. H atoms omitted for clarity.

## Butane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid dihydrate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=270.19$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
$a=7.4668(15) \AA$
$b=9.3385$ (19) $\AA$
$c=8.8406(18) \AA$
$\beta=109.60(3)^{\circ}$
$V=580.7(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$

$$
F(000)=284
$$

$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.545 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 5478 reflections
$\theta=3.3-27.4^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Platelet, colorless
$0.55 \times 0.46 \times 0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 0 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.921, T_{\text {max }}=0.965$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.116$
$S=1.17$
1327 reflections
82 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

> 5478 measured reflections
> 1327 independent reflections
> 960 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.027$
> $\theta_{\max }=27.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=3.3^{\circ}$
> $h=-9 \rightarrow 9$
> $k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
> $l=-11 \rightarrow 11$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0345 P)^{2}+0.3695 P\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.26$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.23$ e $\AA^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}}{ }^{*} / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.1296(3)$ | $0.38628(19)$ | $0.1509(2)$ | $0.0561(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.0636(2)$ | $0.20225(18)$ | $-0.0167(2)$ | $0.0494(5)$ |
| H2C | -0.0132 | 0.1808 | 0.0327 | $0.074^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.1546(3)$ | $0.3207(2)$ | $0.0422(2)$ | $0.0319(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.2916(3)$ | $0.3636(2)$ | $-0.0416(2)$ | $0.0371(5)$ |
| H2A | 0.2201 | 0.3991 | -0.1479 | $0.044^{*}$ |
| H2B | 0.3613 | 0.2795 | -0.0547 | $0.044^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.4336(3)$ | $0.4784(2)$ | $0.0479(2)$ | $0.0294(4)$ |
| H3A | 0.3640 | 0.5630 | 0.0633 | $0.035^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.5554(3)$ | $0.4210(2)$ | $0.2107(2)$ | $0.0299(4)$ |
| O3 | $0.6322(3)$ | $0.30568(17)$ | $0.23027(19)$ | $0.0510(5)$ |
| O4 | $0.5724(2)$ | $0.50976(17)$ | $0.33017(16)$ | $0.0454(4)$ |
| H4A | 0.6470 | 0.4737 | 0.4186 | $0.068^{*}$ |
| O5 | $0.8064(2)$ | $0.09678(15)$ | $0.10900(16)$ | $0.0369(4)$ |
| H5A | 0.7639 | 0.1616 | 0.1518 | $0.055^{*}$ |
| H5B | 0.8321 | 0.0309 | 0.1725 | $0.055^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0693(12)$ | $0.0600(11)$ | $0.0536(10)$ | $-0.0267(9)$ | $0.0398(9)$ | $-0.0219(8)$ |
| O2 | $0.0483(9)$ | $0.0517(10)$ | $0.0578(10)$ | $-0.0243(8)$ | $0.0303(8)$ | $-0.0182(8)$ |
| C1 | $0.0289(10)$ | $0.0377(11)$ | $0.0272(9)$ | $-0.0048(8)$ | $0.0069(8)$ | $0.0003(8)$ |
| C2 | $0.0337(10)$ | $0.0477(13)$ | $0.0309(10)$ | $-0.0117(9)$ | $0.0124(8)$ | $-0.0073(9)$ |
| C3 | $0.0267(9)$ | $0.0347(11)$ | $0.0286(9)$ | $-0.0025(8)$ | $0.0116(8)$ | $-0.0012(8)$ |
| C4 | $0.0275(9)$ | $0.0348(11)$ | $0.0294(9)$ | $-0.0040(8)$ | $0.0122(8)$ | $-0.0023(8)$ |
| O3 | $0.0669(11)$ | $0.0366(9)$ | $0.0451(9)$ | $0.0151(8)$ | $0.0126(8)$ | $-0.0019(7)$ |
| O4 | $0.0549(10)$ | $0.0470(9)$ | $0.0278(7)$ | $0.0170(7)$ | $0.0054(7)$ | $-0.0057(6)$ |
| O5 | $0.0434(8)$ | $0.0352(8)$ | $0.0358(7)$ | $-0.0005(6)$ | $0.0182(6)$ | $0.0018(6)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| O1-C1 | 1.206 (2) | C3-C3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.559 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.311 (2) | C3-H3A | 0.9800 |
| O2-H2C | 0.8523 | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.205 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.505 (3) | C4-O4 | 1.315 (2) |
| C2-C3 | 1.528 (3) | O4-H4A | 0.8618 |
| C2-H2A | 0.9700 | O5-H5A | 0.8314 |
| C2-H2B | 0.9700 | O5-H5B | 0.8111 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 1.518 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{C}$ | 110.1 | C4-C3-C3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 108.55 (18) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 123.13 (18) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 3^{\text {i }}$ | 110.94 (19) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 124.71 (18) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 112.15 (17) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 113.57 (16) | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.3 |
| C1-C2-H2A | 108.9 | O3-C4-O4 | 122.30 (18) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 108.9 | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 123.80 (18) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 108.9 | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 113.89 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 108.9 | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.0 |
| $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 107.7 | H5A-O5-H5B | 105.9 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 109.54 (16) |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D — \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 C \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.85 | 1.87 | $2.707(2)$ | 167 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4 — \mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots 5^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.86 | 1.83 | $2.689(2)$ | 178 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iin}} \mathrm{H} 5 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | 0.83 | 1.93 | $2.754(2)$ | 172 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} 5 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 0.81 | 2.01 | $2.814(2)$ | 170 |

[^0]
[^0]:    Symmetry codes: (ii) $x-1, y, z$; (iii) $x,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$; (iv) $-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.

