

# Poly[ $\mu$ -chlorido-[ $\mu_4$ -5-(4-pyridyl)tetrazolato]dicopper(I)]

 Cun-Kuan Wang<sup>a\*</sup> and Xiao-Yan Li<sup>b</sup>
<sup>a</sup>Yangming School, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Biological Engineering, Zibo Vocational Institute, Zibo, Shandong 255314, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: wcklx@nbu.edu.cn


Received 25 December 2008; accepted 23 February 2009

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 298$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.009$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.027;  $wR$  factor = 0.092; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.3.

The title three-dimensional coordination polymer,  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}_5)]_n$ , is the product of the hydrothermal reaction of  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 5-(4-pyridyl)-1H-tetrazole (4-Hptz). The two independent  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  ions are coordinated in distorted tetrahedral and distorted trigonal coordination environments. In the unique 5-(4-pyridyl)-1H-tetrazolate ligand, the dihedral angle between the pyridine and tetrazole rings is  $17.3$  ( $2^\circ$ ).

## Related literature

For related transition metals complexes of 5-(4-pyridyl)-1H-tetrazole, see: Xue *et al.* (2002); Jiang *et al.* (2004); Luo *et al.* (2005); Lin *et al.* (2005); Chen *et al.* (2008). For the applications of tetrazoles, see: Butler (1996).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

 $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}_5)]_n$ 
 $M_r = 308.67$ 

 Monoclinic,  $Cc$ 
 $a = 19.6899$  (7) Å

 $b = 3.64790$  (10) Å

 $c = 11.6337$  (3) Å

 $\beta = 102.923$  ( $2^\circ$ )

 $V = 814.45$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup>
 $Z = 4$ 

 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $\mu = 5.50$  mm<sup>-1</sup>
 $T = 298$  K

 $0.30 \times 0.26 \times 0.24$  mm

### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII

diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.230$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.269$ 

3752 measured reflections

1572 independent reflections

 1415 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.027$ 

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ 
 $wR(F^2) = 0.092$ 
 $S = 1.11$ 

1572 reflections

128 parameters

2 restraints

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.71$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.71$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

621 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter: 0.19 (3)

**Table 1**

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	1.958 (5)	Cu2—N2 <sup>iii</sup>	1.921 (5)
Cu1—N1	2.038 (5)	Cu2—N5 <sup>iv</sup>	1.931 (4)
Cu1—Cl1	2.4422 (15)	Cu2—Cl1	2.4923 (18)
Cu1—Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.5090 (16)		
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	133.4 (2)	N2 <sup>iii</sup> —Cu2—N5 <sup>iv</sup>	152.3 (2)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—Cl1	116.27 (15)	N2 <sup>iii</sup> —Cu2—Cl1	101.13 (17)
N1—Cu1—Cl1	97.70 (14)	N5 <sup>iv</sup> —Cu2—Cl1	106.30 (16)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	106.89 (15)	Cu1—Cl1—Cu2	123.48 (7)
N1—Cu1—Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	100.51 (13)	Cu1—Cl1—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	94.90 (6)
Cl1—Cu1—Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	94.90 (6)	Cu2—Cl1—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	78.17 (5)

 Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 2, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, y + 1, z$ ; (iii)  $x, y - 1, z$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This work was supported by the K. C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2752).

## References

- Bruker (2003). APEX2 and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Butler, R. N. (1996). *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Vol. 4, edited by A. R. Katritzky, C. W. Rees & E. F. V. Scriven. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Chen, Y., Ren, Z.-G., Li, H.-X., Tang, X.-Y., Zhang, W.-H., Zhang, Y. & Lang, J.-P. (2008). *J. Mol. Struct.* **875**, 339–345.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Jiang, C., Yu, Z., Wang, S., Jiao, C., Li, J., Wang, Z. & Cui, Y. (2004). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 3662–3667.
- Lin, P., Clegg, W., Harrington, R. W. & Henderson, R. A. (2005). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2388–2394.
- Luo, T.-T., Tsai, H.-L., Yang, S.-L., Liu, Y.-H., Yadav, R. D., Su, C.-C., Ueng, C.-H., Lin, L.-G. & Lu, K.-L. (2005). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**, 6063–6067.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Xue, X., Wang, X.-S., Wang, L.-Z., Xiong, R.-G., Abrahams, B. F., You, X.-Z., Xue, Z.-L. & Che, C.-M. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* **41**, 6544–6546.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, m363 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809006564]

**Poly[ $\mu$ -chlorido- $[\mu_4$ -5-(4-pyridyl)tetrazolato]dicopper(I)]****Cun-Kuan Wang and Xiao-Yan Li****S1. Comment**

Tetrazoles have found a wide range of applications in areas as diverse as coordination chemistry, medicinal chemistry and materials science (Butler, 1996). The study of complexes containing substituted tetrazole ligands is of interest to delineate the ways in which tetrazoles bind to metal centres. Recently, a series of 5-(4-pyridyl)-1*H*-tetrazole complexes of transition metals have been reported in which a range of coordination modes for the ligand were observed and extended two-dimensional and three-dimensional structures identified (Xue *et al.*, 2002; Jiang *et al.*, 2004; Luo *et al.*, 2005; Lin *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2008). Herein, we report the crystal structure of a three-dimensional coordination polymer,  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}(\text{4-ptz})]_n$ , derived from 5-(4-pyridyl)-1*H*-tetrazole and  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  under hydrothermal reaction.


The asymmetric unit of the title complex contains of two independent  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  ions, one  $\text{Cl}^-$ , and one 4-ptz ligand. As shown in Fig. 1, atom Cu1 adopts distorted tetrahedral geometry with a  $\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2$  donor set and atom Cu2 is in a distorted trigonal coordination geometry with an  $\text{N}_2\text{Cl}$  donor set. Atom Cl1 is bonded to three  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  atoms, and the 4-ptz ligand coordinates to four  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  ions. It is noteworthy that atoms N1, N2, and N3 bond to three  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  atoms, respectively, forming a  $\mu_3$ -1,2,3-tetrazolyl coordination mode. The overall structure of title complex is a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2).

**S2. Experimental**


A mixture of  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.172 g, 1 mmol), 5-(4-pyridyl)-1*H*-tetrazole (0.074 g, 0.5 mmol) in 8 ml deionized water was homogenized at room temperature for 30 minutes. Then the final solution was sealed in a 20 mL stainless-steel autoclave at 433 K for 72 h. A quantity of crystals was obtained after the solution was cooled to room temperature. The crystals were filtered, washed with deionized water and dried at room temperature. The yield is *ca* 64% based on  $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

**S3. Refinement**

All H atoms on C atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms, with  $\text{C}-\text{H} = 0.93$  and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ . The crystal is an inversion twin with the ratio of twin components 0.81 (3):0.19 (3).

**Figure 1**

View of the coordination environment around the  $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}$  ions and 4-ptz ligand of title complex with labeling scheme and 30% thermal ellipsoids. Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 2, z - 1/2$ ; (ii)  $x, y + 1, z$ ; (iii)  $x, y - 1, z$ ; (iv)  $x - 1/2, y - 1/2, z$ ; (v)  $x, -y + 2, z + 1/2$ ; (vi)  $x + 1/2, y + 1/2, z$ .

**Figure 2**

Part of the crystal structure of the title complex.

Poly[ $\mu$ -chlorido- $[\mu_4$ -5-(4-pyridyl)tetrazolato]dicopper(I)]

## Crystal data

[Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N<sub>5</sub>)] $M_r = 308.67$ Monoclinic, *Cc*

Hall symbol: C -2yc

 $a = 19.6899$  (7) Å $b = 3.6479$  (1) Å $c = 11.6337$  (3) Å $\beta = 102.923$  (2)° $V = 814.45$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$  $F(000) = 600$  $D_x = 2.517$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 1367 reflections

 $\theta = 2.1$ – $27.8$ ° $\mu = 5.50$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 298$  K

Block, yellow

 $0.30 \times 0.26 \times 0.24$  mm

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD APEXII

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 8.40 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

 $T_{\min} = 0.230$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.269$ 

3752 measured reflections

1572 independent reflections

1415 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.027$  $\theta_{\max} = 27.8$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.1$ ° $h = -21 \rightarrow 25$  $k = -4 \rightarrow 4$  $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$  $wR(F^2) = 0.092$  $S = 1.11$ 

1572 reflections

128 parameters

2 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier

map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from

neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0547P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.71$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.71$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 621 Friedel

pairs

Absolute structure parameter: 0.19 (3)

## Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.17283 (4)	0.9867 (2)	0.16168 (5)	0.0302 (2)
Cu2	0.07924 (5)	0.1504 (3)	0.34304 (8)	0.0341 (2)

Cu1	0.08796 (8)	0.4991 (4)	0.16267 (13)	0.0264 (3)
N1	0.2207 (2)	0.9667 (13)	0.3361 (4)	0.0188 (9)
N2	0.1753 (3)	1.0294 (14)	0.4064 (5)	0.0209 (9)
N3	0.2072 (3)	0.9615 (14)	0.5171 (4)	0.0219 (10)
N4	0.2723 (3)	0.8552 (15)	0.5223 (4)	0.0232 (10)
N5	0.4827 (2)	0.6742 (14)	0.3528 (4)	0.0215 (10)
C1	0.4640 (3)	0.5674 (16)	0.4514 (6)	0.0234 (12)
H1A	0.4971	0.4535	0.5101	0.028*
C2	0.3980 (3)	0.6184 (16)	0.4700 (5)	0.0203 (11)
H2A	0.3876	0.5450	0.5407	0.024*
C3	0.4326 (3)	0.8253 (16)	0.2677 (5)	0.0230 (11)
H3A	0.4446	0.9003	0.1985	0.028*
C4	0.3644 (3)	0.8755 (16)	0.2771 (5)	0.0203 (11)
H4A	0.3312	0.9704	0.2145	0.024*
C5	0.3469 (3)	0.7798 (14)	0.3829 (5)	0.0176 (10)
C6	0.2791 (3)	0.8611 (16)	0.4091 (5)	0.0173 (10)

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0279 (4)	0.0496 (4)	0.0144 (3)	−0.0021 (4)	0.0076 (3)	0.0018 (3)
Cu2	0.0125 (3)	0.0561 (5)	0.0334 (4)	0.0066 (4)	0.0042 (3)	0.0033 (4)
Cu1	0.0223 (7)	0.0274 (6)	0.0276 (8)	−0.0018 (5)	0.0016 (6)	0.0035 (5)
N1	0.012 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.0020 (18)	0.0023 (17)	0.0003 (18)
N2	0.013 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.014 (2)	0.0013 (19)	0.0042 (16)	−0.001 (2)
N3	0.017 (2)	0.038 (3)	0.011 (2)	0.0014 (19)	0.0038 (18)	−0.0001 (18)
N4	0.018 (2)	0.040 (3)	0.011 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.0035 (18)	0.001 (2)
N5	0.014 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.022 (2)	0.0037 (19)	0.0043 (19)	−0.0005 (19)
C1	0.016 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.025 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.006 (2)
C2	0.019 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.002 (2)
C3	0.017 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.018 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.005 (2)	−0.001 (2)
C4	0.021 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.004 (2)	0.002 (2)	−0.002 (2)
C5	0.012 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.017 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.002 (2)	−0.0028 (19)
C6	0.014 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.0001 (19)	−0.002 (2)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Cu1—N3 <sup>i</sup>	1.958 (5)	N4—C6	1.354 (7)
Cu1—N1	2.038 (5)	N5—C1	1.339 (8)
Cu1—C11	2.4422 (15)	N5—C3	1.349 (7)
Cu1—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	2.5090 (16)	N5—Cu2 <sup>vi</sup>	1.931 (4)
Cu2—N2 <sup>iii</sup>	1.921 (5)	C1—C2	1.377 (8)
Cu2—N5 <sup>iv</sup>	1.931 (4)	C1—H1A	0.9300
Cu2—C11	2.4923 (18)	C2—C5	1.389 (8)
C11—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.5090 (16)	C2—H2A	0.9300
N1—C6	1.325 (7)	C3—C4	1.383 (8)
N1—N2	1.360 (7)	C3—H3A	0.9300
N2—N3	1.323 (7)	C4—C5	1.395 (8)

N2—Cu2 <sup>ii</sup>	1.921 (5)	C4—H4A	0.9300
N3—N4	1.327 (7)	C5—C6	1.464 (7)
N3—Cu1 <sup>v</sup>	1.958 (5)		
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	133.4 (2)	C1—N5—C3	116.8 (5)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—C11	116.27 (15)	C1—N5—Cu2 <sup>vi</sup>	120.1 (4)
N1—Cu1—C11	97.70 (14)	C3—N5—Cu2 <sup>vi</sup>	122.9 (4)
N3 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	106.89 (15)	N5—C1—C2	123.1 (5)
N1—Cu1—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	100.51 (13)	N5—C1—H1A	118.5
C11—Cu1—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	94.90 (6)	C2—C1—H1A	118.5
N2 <sup>iii</sup> —Cu2—N5 <sup>iv</sup>	152.3 (2)	C1—C2—C5	119.8 (5)
N2 <sup>iii</sup> —Cu2—C11	101.13 (17)	C1—C2—H2A	120.1
N5 <sup>iv</sup> —Cu2—C11	106.30 (16)	C5—C2—H2A	120.1
Cu1—C11—Cu2	123.48 (7)	N5—C3—C4	124.0 (5)
Cu1—C11—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	94.90 (6)	N5—C3—H3A	118.0
Cu2—C11—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	78.17 (5)	C4—C3—H3A	118.0
C6—N1—N2	104.9 (5)	C3—C4—C5	118.2 (5)
C6—N1—Cu1	142.2 (4)	C3—C4—H4A	120.9
N2—N1—Cu1	111.9 (4)	C5—C4—H4A	120.9
N3—N2—N1	108.7 (5)	C2—C5—C4	117.9 (5)
N3—N2—Cu2 <sup>ii</sup>	128.9 (4)	C2—C5—C6	118.6 (5)
N1—N2—Cu2 <sup>ii</sup>	122.1 (4)	C4—C5—C6	123.4 (5)
N2—N3—N4	110.1 (4)	N1—C6—N4	111.5 (5)
N2—N3—Cu1 <sup>v</sup>	129.6 (4)	N1—C6—C5	128.8 (5)
N4—N3—Cu1 <sup>v</sup>	120.3 (4)	N4—C6—C5	119.6 (5)
N3—N4—C6	104.8 (4)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y+2, z-1/2$ ; (ii)  $x, y+1, z$ ; (iii)  $x, y-1, z$ ; (iv)  $x-1/2, y-1/2, z$ ; (v)  $x, -y+2, z+1/2$ ; (vi)  $x+1/2, y+1/2, z$ .