Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-(2H-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium nitrate

Li-Jing Cui* and Miao-Jia Yu

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: fudavid88@yahoo.com.cn

Received 10 April 2009; accepted 13 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.081; wR factor = 0.209; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.7.

In the cation of the title compound, $C_6H_6N_5^+\cdot NO_3^-$, the dihedral angle between the pyridinium and tetrazole rings is 8.2 (2)°. The constituent ions of the compound are linked *via* $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, forming helical chains running along the *b* axis. $C-H\cdots N$ and $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds are also observed.

Related literature

For the use of tetrazole derivatives in coordination chemistry, see: Xiong *et al.* (2002); Fu *et al.* (2008); Wang *et al.* (2005). For the crystal structures of related compounds, see: Dai & Fu (2008); Wen (2008).



Experimental

$C_6H_6N_5^+ \cdot NO_3^-$	b = 4.8981 (10) Å
$M_r = 210.17$	c = 19.135 (4) Å
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	$\beta = 114.77 \ (3)^{\circ}$
a = 20.400 (4) Å	V = 1736.1 (7) Å ³

Z = 8Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrystalClear, Rigaku, 2005)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.976, T_{\rm max} = 0.980$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.081$	136 parameters
$vR(F^2) = 0.209$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.04	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
999 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H1···O3 ⁱ	0.86	1.92	2.772 (4)	172
$N4 - H4A \cdots O3$	0.86	1.87	2.717 (4)	170
$C2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot N2^{ii}$	0.93	2.58	3.507 (5)	173
C3−H3···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.52	3.435 (5)	171
$C5-H5\cdots O2^{i}$	0.93	2.54	3.218 (5)	130
$C5-H5\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.93	2.36	3.223 (5)	155
0	(1)	1 - 1 3. (3		1 . 1. (!!)

T = 298 K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.123$

 $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15~\text{mm}$

8427 measured reflections 1999 independent reflections

1033 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 1$; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{5}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by a start-up grant from Southeast University to Professor Ren-Gen Xiong.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CI2780).

References

- Dai, W. & Fu, D.-W. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 01444.
- Fu, D.-W., Zhang, W. & Xiong, R.-G. (2008). Cryst. Growth Des. 8, 3461–3464. Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Wang, X.-S., Tang, Y.-Z., Huang, X.-F., Qu, Z.-R., Che, C.-M., Chan, C. W. H. & Xiong, R.-G. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* 44, 5278–5285.
- Wen, X.-C. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m768.
- Xiong, R.-G., Xue, X., Zhao, H., You, X.-Z., Abrahams, B. F. & Xue, Z.-L. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 3800–3803.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1318 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809018078]

2-(2H-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium nitrate

Li-Jing Cui and Miao-Jia Yu

S1. Comment

In the past few years, more and more people have focused on the chemistry of tetrazole derivatives because of their multiple coordination modes as ligands to metal ions and for the construction of novel metal-organic frameworks (Fu *et al.*, 2008; Wang, *et al.* 2005; Xiong, *et al.* 2002; Wen 2008). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, 2-(2*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium nitrate.

In the title compound (Fig.1), the N atom (N1) of the pyridine ring is protonated. The pyridine and tetrazole rings are nearly coplanar and are twisted from each other by a dihedral angle of 8.2 (2)°. The geometric parameters of the tetrazole ring are comparable to those observed in related structures (Wang *et al.* 2005; Dai & Fu 2008).

The crystal packing is stabilized by N—H···O hydrogen bonds which link the molecules into a helical chain running along the *b* axis (Table 1 and Fig.2). In addition, C—H···N and C—H···O hydrogen bonds are observed.

S2. Experimental

Picolinonitrile (30 mmol), NaN₃ (45 mmol), NH₄Cl (33 mmol) and DMF (50 ml) were added in a flask under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture stirred at 110°C for 20 h. The resulting solution was then poured into ice-water (100 ml), and a white solid was obtained after adding HCl (6 *M*) at pH = 6. The precipitate was filtered and washed with distilled water. Colourless block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from the crude product by slow evaporation of an ethanol-HNO₃ (50:1 ν/ν) solution.

S3. Refinement

All H atoms attached to C and N atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding, with C–H = 0.93 Å, N–H = 0.86 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C \text{ or } N)$.



Figure 1

A view of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

Partial packing view of the title compound showing the formation of chains along the *b* axis. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding (dashed lines) have been omitted for clarity.

2-(2*H*-Tetrazol-5-yl)pyridinium nitrate

Crystal data	
$C_6H_6N_5^+\cdot NO_3^-$	F(000) = 864
$M_r = 210.17$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.608 { m Mg} { m m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 1999 reflections
a = 20.400 (4) Å	$\theta = 3.8 - 27.5^{\circ}$
b = 4.8981 (10) Å	$\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 19.135 (4) Å	T = 298 K
$\beta = 114.77 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
$V = 1736.1 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ mm
Z = 8	

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm ⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> , Rigaku, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.976, T_{\max} = 0.980$	8427 measured reflections 1999 independent reflections 1033 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.123$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.8^{\circ}$ $h = -26 \rightarrow 26$ $k = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 24$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.081$ $wR(F^2) = 0.209$ S = 1.04 1999 reflections 136 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0838P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.36$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
N1	0.11658 (15)	0.9420 (6)	0.51774 (16)	0.0383 (7)	
H1	0.1239	1.0055	0.5623	0.046*	
C6	0.21176 (18)	0.6182 (7)	0.58420 (19)	0.0362 (8)	
N2	0.24979 (17)	0.3908 (6)	0.58539 (17)	0.0485 (8)	
C1	0.15732 (18)	0.7297 (7)	0.51387 (19)	0.0364 (8)	
N5	0.23230 (16)	0.7307 (6)	0.65302 (17)	0.0447 (8)	
C3	0.0917 (2)	0.7442 (8)	0.3768 (2)	0.0506 (10)	
Н3	0.0831	0.6771	0.3283	0.061*	
N4	0.28398 (16)	0.5624 (6)	0.69580 (17)	0.0463 (8)	
H4A	0.3082	0.5846	0.7445	0.056*	
N3	0.29538 (17)	0.3572 (7)	0.65769 (18)	0.0518 (9)	
C4	0.0518 (2)	0.9615 (9)	0.3841 (2)	0.0520 (11)	
H4	0.0161	1.0411	0.3408	0.062*	
C2	0.14442 (19)	0.6270 (8)	0.4418 (2)	0.0436 (9)	
H2	0.1711	0.4798	0.4371	0.052*	
C5	0.0657 (2)	1.0571 (8)	0.4558 (2)	0.0446 (9)	

supporting information

Н5	0.0395	1.2039	0.4615	0.053*
N6	0.41263 (16)	0.8821 (6)	0.85439 (18)	0.0434 (8)
O3	0.37035 (14)	0.6773 (5)	0.84530 (13)	0.0533 (8)
O2	0.44457 (14)	0.9758 (6)	0.91958 (15)	0.0570 (8)
01	0.41958 (16)	0.9759 (6)	0.79835 (16)	0.0672 (9)

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0387 (17)	0.0438 (18)	0.0341 (16)	-0.0043 (14)	0.0169 (14)	-0.0018 (14)
C6	0.0362 (19)	0.037 (2)	0.038 (2)	-0.0036 (17)	0.0179 (16)	-0.0003 (16)
N2	0.0487 (19)	0.054 (2)	0.0364 (18)	0.0079 (16)	0.0115 (15)	-0.0008 (15)
C1	0.0372 (19)	0.039 (2)	0.036 (2)	-0.0052 (16)	0.0181 (17)	-0.0005 (16)
N5	0.0421 (18)	0.0480 (19)	0.0379 (18)	0.0021 (15)	0.0108 (14)	-0.0026 (15)
C3	0.057 (3)	0.058 (3)	0.038 (2)	-0.015 (2)	0.020 (2)	-0.0046 (19)
N4	0.0434 (18)	0.0510 (19)	0.0352 (17)	-0.0010 (16)	0.0073 (14)	-0.0014 (16)
N3	0.047 (2)	0.055 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.0072 (17)	0.0154 (17)	-0.0032 (16)
C4	0.041 (2)	0.068 (3)	0.038 (2)	-0.009(2)	0.0078 (18)	0.009(2)
C2	0.042 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.043 (2)	-0.0064 (18)	0.0212 (18)	-0.0027 (18)
C5	0.040 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.045 (2)	-0.0021 (17)	0.0155 (19)	0.0074 (18)
N6	0.0382 (18)	0.049 (2)	0.0414 (19)	0.0006 (15)	0.0156 (15)	-0.0023 (16)
O3	0.0543 (17)	0.0578 (17)	0.0413 (16)	-0.0174 (14)	0.0136 (13)	-0.0034 (13)
02	0.0527 (18)	0.070 (2)	0.0460 (17)	-0.0192 (14)	0.0180 (14)	-0.0189 (14)
01	0.077 (2)	0.080 (2)	0.0499 (17)	-0.0107 (17)	0.0314 (16)	0.0090 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C5	1.329 (4)	С3—Н3	0.93	
N1-C1	1.352 (4)	N4—N3	1.318 (4)	
N1—H1	0.86	N4—H4A	0.86	
C6—N5	1.323 (4)	C4—C5	1.363 (5)	
C6—N2	1.352 (4)	C4—H4	0.93	
C6—C1	1.446 (5)	C2—H2	0.93	
N2—N3	1.314 (4)	C5—H5	0.93	
C1—C2	1.386 (5)	N6—O1	1.228 (3)	
N5—N4	1.318 (4)	N6—O2	1.229 (4)	
C3—C4	1.382 (5)	N6—O3	1.286 (4)	
C3—C2	1.383 (5)			
C5—N1—C1	123.0 (3)	N5—N4—H4A	122.8	
C5—N1—H1	118.5	N3—N4—H4A	122.8	
C1—N1—H1	118.5	N2—N3—N4	106.0 (3)	
N5-C6-N2	112.7 (3)	C5—C4—C3	118.9 (4)	
N5-C6-C1	124.7 (3)	C5—C4—H4	120.5	
N2-C6-C1	122.6 (3)	C3—C4—H4	120.5	
N3—N2—C6	105.6 (3)	C3—C2—C1	119.8 (4)	
N1—C1—C2	117.9 (3)	C3—C2—H2	120.1	
N1-C1-C6	119.3 (3)	C1—C2—H2	120.1	

supporting information

C2-C1-C6	122.8 (3)	N1—C5—C4	120.5 (4)
N4—N5—C6	101.3 (3)	N1—C5—H5	119.8
C4—C3—C2	119.8 (4)	С4—С5—Н5	119.8
С4—С3—Н3	120.1	O1—N6—O2	122.7 (3)
С2—С3—Н3	120.1	O1—N6—O3	119.3 (3)
N5—N4—N3	114.4 (3)	O2—N6—O3	118.0 (3)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A	
N1—H1····O3 ⁱ	0.86	1.92	2.772 (4)	172	
N4—H4 <i>A</i> ···O3	0.86	1.87	2.717 (4)	170	
C2—H2···N2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.58	3.507 (5)	173	
С3—Н3…О1 ^{ііі}	0.93	2.52	3.435 (5)	171	
C5—H5…O2 ⁱ	0.93	2.54	3.218 (5)	130	
C5—H5…O2 ^{iv}	0.93	2.36	3.223 (5)	155	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (iii) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1; (iv) x-1/2, -y+5/2, z-1/2.