

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

An intermolecular dative B←N bond in 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole

Jana Sopková-de Oliveira Santos,^{a*} Nicolas Primas,^a Jean-François Lohier,^b Alexandre Bouillon^c and Sylvain Rault^a

^aCentre d'Études et de Recherche sur le Médicament de Normandie (CERMN), UPRES EA-4258, FR CNRS INC3M, Université de Caen, bv becquerel, 14032 Caen, France,

^bLaboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et Thio-organique, UMR CNRS 6507, UPRES EA-4258, FR CNRS 3038 INC3M, ENSICAEN - Université de Caen, 14050 Caen, France, and ^cBoroChem S.A.S., Immeuble Emergence, 7 rue Alfred Kastler, 14000 Caen, France

Correspondence e-mail: jana.sopkova@unicaen.fr


Received 29 October 2009; accepted 8 December 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 296$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.046; wR factor = 0.159; data-to-parameter ratio = 38.8.

The title compound, $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{14}\text{BNO}_2\text{S}$, is in an unusual bend conformation and the B atom of one molecule within the crystal forms an intermolecular dative bond with the N atom of a neighbouring molecule, an infrequent phenomenon in boronic derivative crystals.

Related literature

For related natural compounds, see: Dondoni & Merino (1996); Faulkner (1998); Hutchinson *et al.* (2000); Kalgutkar *et al.* (1996); Ogino *et al.* (1996); Williams & Jacobs (1993). For boronic esters, see: Allen (2002); Höpfl (1999); Hall (2005); Rettig & Trotter (1975); Sopková-de Oliveira Santos *et al.* (2003a,b). For details of the synthesis, see: Primas *et al.* (2008, 2009).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{14}\text{BNO}_2\text{S}$ $M_r = 211.08$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ $a = 12.6169$ (3) Å $b = 7.9845$ (2) Å $c = 12.6679$ (3) Å $\beta = 119.064$ (1)° $V = 1115.46$ (5) Å³ $Z = 4$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.26$ mm⁻¹ $T = 296$ K $0.53 \times 0.36 \times 0.32$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer
42058 measured reflections

5399 independent reflections
3895 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ $wR(F^2) = 0.159$ $S = 1.04$

5399 reflections

139 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.87$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.68$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{C2}-\text{H2}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.94 (2)	2.36 (2)	3.2446 (14)	157.5 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

For the molecular modelling software, we thank the CRIHAN, the 'Région Haute-Normandie' and the European Community (FEDER).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2507).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
- Bruker (2006). *APEX2* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dondoni, A. & Merino, P. (1996). *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II*, edited by I. Shinkai, Vol. 3, pp. 373–474. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Faulkner, D. J. (1998). *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **15**, 113–158.
- Hall, D. G. (2005). In *Boronic Acids*. New York: VCH.
- Höpfl, H. (1999). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **581**, 129–149.
- Hutchinson, I., Stevens, M. F. G. & Westwell, A. D. (2000). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **41**, 425–428.
- Kalgutkar, A. S., Crews, B. C. & Marnett, L. J. (1996). *Biochemistry*, **35**, 9076–9082.
- Ogino, J., Moore, R. E., Patterson, G. M. & Smith, C. D. J. (1996). *J. Nat. Prod.* **59**, 581–586.
- Primas, N., Bouillon, A., Lancelot, J. C., El-Kashef, H. & Rault, S. (2009). *Tetrahedron*, **65**, 5739–5746.
- Primas, N., Mahatsekake, C., Bouillon, A., Lancelot, J. C., Sopková-de Oliveira Santos, J., Lohier, J. F. & Rault, S. (2008). *Tetrahedron*, **64**, 4596–4601.
- Rettig, S. V. & Trotter, J. (1975). *Can. J. Chem.* **53**, 1393–1401.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Sopková-de Oliveira Santos, J., Bouillon, A., Lancelot, J.-C. & Rault, S. (2003a). *Acta Cryst.* **C59**, o596–o597.
- Sopková-de Oliveira Santos, J., Lancelot, J.-C., Bouillon, A. & Rault, S. (2003b). *Acta Cryst.* **C59**, o111–o113.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
- Williams, A. B. & Jacobs, R. S. (1993). *Cancer Lett.* **71**, 97–102.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o156 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809052775]

An intermolecular dative B←N bond in 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole

Jana Sopková-de Oliveira Santos, Nicolas Primas, Jean-François Lohier, Alexandre Bouillon and Sylvain Rault

S1. Comment

The thiazole ring is a widespread heterocycle found in various biologically active natural products like vitamin B1 and penicillins (Dondoni & Merino, 1996; Kalgutkar *et al.*, 1996; Hutchinson *et al.*, 2000), and also in many peptides and peptolides isolated from marine organisms (Ogino *et al.*, 1996; Williams & Jacobs, 1993; Faulkner, 1998). The search of new regioselective methods for the preparation of new thiazole derivatives is always a matter of interest.

As a part of our study of 1,3-azolylboronic derivatives, we are focused on the synthesis of the new thiazol-5-ylboronic acid pinacol ester (Primas *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, this new boronic ester permits a facile synthetic route to 5-(het)arylthiazoles *via* a Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction with various (het)halides. This boronic ester was stable under aqueous conditions in the Suzuki process (Primas *et al.*, 2008). To date only a few crystallographic studies have been published on such heterocyclic compounds. We carried out this study with the aim to confirm the structure of the title compound and to find an explanation to its greater stability than its imidazole analogue (Primas *et al.*, 2008).

The structure shows that the molecule is in an unusual bent conformation, thus the thiazole cycle and the dioxaborolane ring of the boronic ester forms an angle of about 55.0(0.05)° (Fig. 1). Usually, in the boronic esters deposited in Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version; Allen 2002) as well as in the ones solved previously in our laboratory, the ester ring is coplanar to the aromatic ring (Sopková-de Oliveira Santos *et al.*, 2003a,b).

In the crystal structure the boron atom is the peak bending, and it is committed to the B←N dative bond with N of the neighbouring molecule ($-x, y - 1/2, -z + 1/2$), which leads to a tetracoordinated B atom in the crystal. As it was already published (Hall, 2005), the formation of tetracoordinate B influences all bond lengths in the boron vicinity. The observed B—O bond lengths, 1.4351 (13) Å and 1.4447 (13) Å, are in agreement with the ones reported when B is tetracoordinated (Hall, 2005), between 1.43–1.47 Å. The observed C—B distance is about 1.6207 (15) Å which is closed to the usually observed value for tetracoordinate boron, 1.613 Å (Rettig & Trotter, 1975). However, the B←N dative bond observed in the crystal is shorter with respect to the published value, its length is about 1.6354 (14) Å. Furthermore, the calculated parameter describing the tetrahedral character of boron (THC_{DA}; Höpfl, 1999) is in the title compound of 81% which is a high value and shows that the formed B←N dative bond is a strong one. The existence of this strong B←N dative bond could explain the stability of this boronic ester even not only in the solid state but also in the solution. Further studies concerning this phenomenon are currently in progress.

The dioxaborolane ring of the boronic ester is in a half-chair conformation with an O1—C6—C7—O2 torsion angle of about -37.74(0.10)°.

The crucial element of the crystal packing is of course this intermolecular dative B←N bond. The interacting neighbouring molecules form a strand along the *b* axis (Fig. 2). Some electrostatic interactions occur between these

strands, the strongest seems to be an electrostatic interaction between O1 and H2—C2 of symmetrically related molecule (Table 2, Fig. 3).

S2. Experimental

The title compound was synthesized from 2-trimethylsilylthiazole using the method described by Primas *et al.* (2009). Crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from diethyl ether at room temperature.

S3. Refinement

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All H atoms were determined *via* difference Fourier map and refined with isotropic atomic displacement parameters with exception on H atoms on methyl groups which were calculated and fixed on the atoms in the ideal geometry (distance 0.96 Å).





Figure 1

View of the title compound showing the labelling scheme of the non-hydrogen atoms. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability levels; hydrogen atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

**Figure 2**

Partial packing view showing the chain formed by intermolecular dative B←N bonds. [Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y - 1/2, -z + 1/2$]




Figure 3

Packing view showing the C-H...O hydrogen bonds connecting the chain. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bondings have been omitted for clarity. H bonds are shown as dashed lines.

5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole

Crystal data

$C_9H_{14}BNO_2S$

$M_r = 211.08$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 2_1/c$

$a = 12.6169\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 7.9845\ (2)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 12.6679\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$\beta = 119.064\ (1)^\circ$

$V = 1115.46\ (5)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 448$

$D_x = 1.257\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Melting point: 371 K

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 9543 reflections

$\theta = 3.2\text{--}35.8^\circ$

$\mu = 0.26 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 296 \text{ K}$

Plate, colourless
 $0.53 \times 0.36 \times 0.32 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector
 diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Graphite monochromator
 φ and ω scans
 42058 measured reflections
 5399 independent reflections

3895 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 36.4^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.9^\circ$
 $h = -21 \rightarrow 21$
 $k = -10 \rightarrow 13$
 $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.159$
 $S = 1.04$
 5399 reflections
 139 parameters
 0 restraints
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
 and constrained refinement
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0814P)^2 + 0.2917P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.87 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.68 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.16573 (3)	0.62779 (5)	0.44438 (3)	0.04720 (12)
C2	0.06243 (12)	0.77776 (17)	0.42356 (10)	0.0386 (3)
H2	0.0698 (18)	0.848 (3)	0.4859 (19)	0.057 (5)*
N3	-0.02480 (8)	0.78577 (10)	0.31137 (8)	0.02725 (16)
C4	-0.00940 (10)	0.67047 (14)	0.23876 (10)	0.0305 (2)
H4	-0.0704 (14)	0.667 (2)	0.1570 (15)	0.040 (4)*
C5	0.08968 (9)	0.57082 (12)	0.29535 (9)	0.02743 (18)
B1	0.13725 (10)	0.41927 (13)	0.24402 (10)	0.02591 (19)
O2	0.24223 (7)	0.34057 (10)	0.33978 (7)	0.02967 (16)
O1	0.16342 (7)	0.46160 (10)	0.14816 (7)	0.03061 (16)
C6	0.29217 (10)	0.43640 (17)	0.19662 (10)	0.0343 (2)
C8	0.31785 (16)	0.3921 (3)	0.09484 (14)	0.0580 (4)
H8A	0.4032	0.3733	0.1271	0.087*
H8B	0.2925	0.4827	0.0378	0.087*

H8C	0.2741	0.2924	0.0551	0.087*
C9	0.35543 (14)	0.6010 (2)	0.25586 (15)	0.0492 (3)
H9A	0.3213	0.6906	0.1985	0.074*
H9B	0.4405	0.5919	0.2821	0.074*
H9C	0.3441	0.6238	0.3241	0.074*
C7	0.31999 (10)	0.29495 (15)	0.29088 (10)	0.0332 (2)
C10	0.44987 (12)	0.2890 (2)	0.39326 (14)	0.0501 (3)
H10A	0.4674	0.3891	0.4408	0.075*
H10B	0.5041	0.2807	0.3605	0.075*
H10C	0.4602	0.1933	0.4433	0.075*
C11	0.28385 (15)	0.12147 (19)	0.23354 (16)	0.0519 (4)
H11A	0.2824	0.0443	0.2909	0.078*
H11B	0.3416	0.0842	0.2099	0.078*
H11C	0.2048	0.1269	0.1638	0.078*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.04648 (19)	0.0520 (2)	0.02922 (15)	0.02133 (14)	0.00746 (12)	-0.00218 (12)
C2	0.0423 (6)	0.0395 (6)	0.0296 (5)	0.0097 (5)	0.0139 (4)	-0.0041 (4)
N3	0.0284 (4)	0.0240 (3)	0.0294 (4)	0.0009 (3)	0.0141 (3)	-0.0013 (3)
C4	0.0298 (4)	0.0294 (4)	0.0292 (4)	0.0042 (3)	0.0119 (4)	-0.0028 (3)
C5	0.0281 (4)	0.0246 (4)	0.0301 (4)	0.0011 (3)	0.0146 (3)	0.0003 (3)
B1	0.0258 (4)	0.0243 (4)	0.0283 (4)	0.0008 (3)	0.0136 (4)	0.0013 (3)
O2	0.0293 (3)	0.0315 (3)	0.0278 (3)	0.0057 (3)	0.0136 (3)	0.0029 (3)
O1	0.0275 (3)	0.0366 (4)	0.0288 (3)	-0.0002 (3)	0.0145 (3)	0.0041 (3)
C6	0.0288 (4)	0.0471 (6)	0.0304 (4)	-0.0017 (4)	0.0171 (4)	-0.0033 (4)
C8	0.0505 (8)	0.0937 (13)	0.0402 (7)	0.0083 (8)	0.0303 (6)	-0.0025 (7)
C9	0.0424 (7)	0.0543 (8)	0.0497 (7)	-0.0174 (6)	0.0214 (6)	-0.0024 (6)
C7	0.0279 (4)	0.0376 (5)	0.0312 (5)	0.0053 (4)	0.0120 (4)	-0.0054 (4)
C10	0.0312 (5)	0.0667 (9)	0.0426 (6)	0.0123 (6)	0.0103 (5)	-0.0041 (6)
C11	0.0541 (8)	0.0399 (6)	0.0550 (8)	0.0094 (6)	0.0212 (7)	-0.0123 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C2	1.6936 (12)	C6—C7	1.5548 (17)
S1—C5	1.7122 (11)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C2—N3	1.3097 (15)	C8—H8B	0.9600
C2—H2	0.94 (2)	C8—H8C	0.9600
N3—C4	1.3803 (13)	C9—H9A	0.9600
N3—B1 ⁱ	1.6354 (14)	C9—H9B	0.9600
C4—C5	1.3561 (14)	C9—H9C	0.9600
C4—H4	0.945 (16)	C7—C10	1.5182 (17)
C5—B1	1.6207 (15)	C7—C11	1.5273 (18)
B1—O2	1.4351 (13)	C10—H10A	0.9600
B1—O1	1.4447 (13)	C10—H10B	0.9600
B1—N3 ⁱⁱ	1.6354 (14)	C10—H10C	0.9600
O2—C7	1.4386 (13)	C11—H11A	0.9600

O1—C6	1.4448 (13)	C11—H11B	0.9600
C6—C8	1.5159 (17)	C11—H11C	0.9600
C6—C9	1.5318 (19)		
C2—S1—C5	92.26 (5)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
N3—C2—S1	112.39 (8)	C6—C8—H8C	109.5
N3—C2—H2	125.0 (13)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
S1—C2—H2	122.6 (13)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C2—N3—C4	111.99 (9)	C6—C9—H9A	109.5
C2—N3—B1 ⁱ	126.44 (9)	C6—C9—H9B	109.5
C4—N3—B1 ⁱ	121.50 (8)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—C4—N3	115.54 (10)	C6—C9—H9C	109.5
C5—C4—H4	127.7 (11)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
N3—C4—H4	116.7 (11)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C4—C5—B1	130.68 (9)	O2—C7—C10	108.61 (10)
C4—C5—S1	107.81 (8)	O2—C7—C11	109.02 (11)
B1—C5—S1	121.49 (7)	C10—C7—C11	109.07 (12)
O2—B1—O1	108.61 (8)	O2—C7—C6	101.54 (8)
O2—B1—C5	110.94 (8)	C10—C7—C6	115.23 (12)
O1—B1—C5	116.29 (8)	C11—C7—C6	112.94 (11)
O2—B1—N3 ⁱⁱ	109.23 (8)	C7—C10—H10A	109.5
O1—B1—N3 ⁱⁱ	107.26 (8)	C7—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—B1—N3 ⁱⁱ	104.22 (8)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
B1—O2—C7	106.82 (8)	C7—C10—H10C	109.5
B1—O1—C6	106.21 (8)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
O1—C6—C8	109.38 (10)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
O1—C6—C9	107.41 (11)	C7—C11—H11A	109.5
C8—C6—C9	109.94 (12)	C7—C11—H11B	109.5
O1—C6—C7	102.43 (8)	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5
C8—C6—C7	114.97 (12)	C7—C11—H11C	109.5
C9—C6—C7	112.19 (10)	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5
C6—C8—H8A	109.5	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
C6—C8—H8B	109.5		
O1—C6—C7—O2	-37.74 (10)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (ii) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C2—H2 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.94 (2)	2.36 (2)	3.2446 (14)	157.5 (17)

Symmetry code: (iii) $x, -y+3/2, z+1/2$.