

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[[dianilinedichloridocopper(II)]- μ_2 -2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4oxadiazole]

Qinglong Meng,^a Yiming Wu^a and Chi Zhang^{b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, 301 Xuefu Road, Zhenjiang 212013, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China, and ^bResearch Center for Advanced Molecular Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Scientific Research Academy, Jiangsu University, 301 Xuefu Road, Zhenjiang 212013, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: chizhang@ujs.edu.cn

Received 2 December 2009; accepted 16 December 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.033; wR factor = 0.085; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3.

In the title compound, $[CuCl_2(C_6H_7N)_2(C_{12}H_8N_4O)]_n$, the Cu atom, located on an inversion center, is coordinated by four N atoms from two aniline ligands and two 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole ligands. Two Cl atoms lying above and below the plane formed by these four N atoms interact weakly with the Cu atom [Cu-Cl = 2.7870 (7) Å]. The *trans* 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole ligands act as bridging ligands, linking adjacent Cu atoms and forming a one-dimensional coordination polymer. Two anilines coordinate with each Cu atom as terminal groups. The structure contains two classical $N-H\cdots$ Cl and two non-classical $C-H\cdots$ Cl hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

Unsymmetric organic bridging ligands can play different roles in the construction of metal-organic frameworks, see: Du *et al.* (2004); Dong *et al.* (2005). For Cu–Cl distances, see: Handley *et al.* (2001).



V = 2307.1 (8) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

5331 measured reflections

2233 independent reflections

2106 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 1.21 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$

Z = 4

Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} CuCl_2(C_6H_7N)_2(C_{12}H_8N_4O) \end{bmatrix}$ $M_r = 544.93$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 27.028 (5) Å b = 12.618 (3) Å c = 6.7904 (14) Å $\beta = 94.96$ (3)°

Data collection

Rigaku CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995) $T_{\rm min} = 0.329, T_{\rm max} = 0.463$

Refinement

I v

2

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$ $R(F^2) = 0.085$	156 parameters H-atom parameters constrained
233 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.33 \text{ e A}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1A\cdots Cl1^{i}$ $N1-H1B\cdots Cl1^{ii}$ $C9-H9A\cdots Cl1^{iii}$	0.90 0.90 0.93	2.53 2.56 2.70	3.406 (2) 3.393 (2) 3.285 (2)	165 154 121
$C2-H2C\cdots Cl1$	0.93	2.66	3.328 (2)	129
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z.$	$-x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2},$	$-z - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii)	$-x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -$	-z - 1; (iii)

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2008); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 50472048).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PV2245).

References

Dong, Y. B., Ma, J. P., Mark, D. S., Huang, R. Q., Tang, B., Chen, D. Z. & Loye, H. C. (2005). Solid State Sci. 4, 1313–1320.

Du, M., Lam, C. K., Bu, X. H. & Mak, T. C. K. (2004). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 8, 315–318.

Handley, D. A., Hitchcock, P. B., Lee, T. H. & Leigh, G. J. (2001). *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, **316**, 59–64.

Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Rigaku (2008). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m97 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809054191]

catena-Poly[[dianilinedichloridocopper(II)]-µ₂-2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxa-diazole]

Qinglong Meng, Yiming Wu and Chi Zhang

S1. Comment

The unsymmetric organic bridging ligands can play different roles in constructing metal-organic frameworks (Du *et al.*, 2004; Dong *et al.*, 2005). Recently, we have synthesized a new one-dimensional polymer with unsymmetric organic 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole as bridging ligand. In this paper, the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), is presented.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, each Cu coordinates with four N atoms from two anilines and two 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole ligands, and two Cl atoms lying above and below the plane formed by the N atoms around Cu interact with Cu atom to form an octahedral geometry. The Cu—Cl bonds (2.7870 (7) Å) are longer than normal value (Handley *et al.*, 2001). 2,5-Bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazoles act as bridging ligands to connect adjacent two Cu atoms to construct a unique one-dimensional chain. The crystal structure shows a range of classical N—H…Cl and non-classical C—H…Cl hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

S2. Experimental

2,5-Bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (1 mmol) and copper chloride (1 mmol) were added into *N*,*N*'-dimethylformamide (5 ml) with thorough stirring for 5 minutes. The solution underwent an additional stir for one minute after aniline (2 ml) was added. After filtration, 10 ml i-PrOH was successively laid on the surface of above filtrate. Black block crystals were obtained after ten days.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined with riding model, with C—H = 0.93 Å and N—H = 0.90 Å and U_{iso} = 1.2 U_{eq} (parent atom) for all H atoms.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with atom labels and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids; H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Symmetry code: (i) -x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z.

F(000) = 1116

 $\theta = 3.0 - 28.9^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 1.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K

Prism, black

 $0.20\times0.20\times0.20~mm$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.569 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 4915 reflections

catena-Poly[[dianilinedichloridocopper(II)]-µ2-2,5- bis(4-pyridyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole]

Crystal data [CuCl₂(C₆H₇N)₂(C₁₂H₈N₄O)] $M_r = 544.93$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 27.028 (5) Å b = 12.618 (3) Å c = 6.7904 (14) Å $\beta = 94.96$ (3)° V = 2307.1 (8) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku CCD area-detector	5331 measured reflections	
diffractometer	2233 independent reflections	
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2106 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$	
Detector resolution: 28.5714 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.0^{\circ}$	
phi and ω scans	$h = -28 \rightarrow 33$	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -15 \rightarrow 13$	
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)	$l = -8 \longrightarrow 8$	
$T_{\min} = 0.329, \ T_{\max} = 0.463$		

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$ $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.042233 reflections 156 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0436P)^2 + 2.9828P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.33$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.31$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Cul	-0.2500	0.2500	0.0000	0.03226 (14)
Cl1	-0.25356 (2)	0.38364 (4)	-0.32770 (8)	0.03830 (16)
O1	-0.5000	0.13053 (16)	-0.2500	0.0314 (4)
N1	-0.21455 (6)	0.14463 (14)	-0.1808 (3)	0.0307 (4)
H1A	-0.2260	0.0793	-0.1575	0.037*
H1B	-0.2248	0.1606	-0.3069	0.037*
N2	-0.47412 (6)	-0.03453 (15)	-0.2314 (3)	0.0439 (5)
N3	-0.31537 (6)	0.18313 (14)	-0.1116 (2)	0.0302 (4)
C1	-0.16136 (8)	0.13839 (16)	-0.1674 (3)	0.0297 (4)
C2	-0.35055 (8)	0.24122 (16)	-0.2121 (3)	0.0318 (5)
H2C	-0.3421	0.3077	-0.2578	0.038*
C3	-0.13423 (9)	0.21652 (19)	-0.2524 (3)	0.0384 (5)
H3A	-0.1504	0.2698	-0.3277	0.046*
C4	-0.37354 (7)	0.04244 (17)	-0.0989 (3)	0.0327 (5)
H4A	-0.3801	-0.0273	-0.0650	0.039*
C5	-0.41057 (7)	0.10551 (16)	-0.1900 (3)	0.0294 (4)
C6	-0.13728 (9)	0.05767 (19)	-0.0619 (3)	0.0393 (5)
H6A	-0.1554	0.0039	-0.0081	0.047*
C7	-0.46097 (7)	0.06337 (17)	-0.2226 (3)	0.0316 (4)
C8	-0.08592 (10)	0.0568 (2)	-0.0361 (4)	0.0526 (7)
H8A	-0.0696	0.0023	0.0355	0.063*
C9	-0.32679 (7)	0.08464 (17)	-0.0592 (3)	0.0315 (4)
H9A	-0.3022	0.0430	0.0065	0.038*
C10	-0.39873 (8)	0.20621 (17)	-0.2503 (3)	0.0324 (4)
H10A	-0.4227	0.2495	-0.3153	0.039*
C11	-0.05886 (9)	0.1359 (2)	-0.1157 (4)	0.0546 (7)
H11A	-0.0244	0.1357	-0.0958	0.066*
C12	-0.08290 (9)	0.2150 (2)	-0.2247 (4)	0.0489 (6)
H12A	-0.0646	0.2678	-0.2804	0.059*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0197 (2)	0.0341 (2)	0.0427 (2)	-0.00195 (14)	0.00072 (15)	-0.00980 (15)
Cl1	0.0389 (3)	0.0335 (3)	0.0414 (3)	-0.0028 (2)	-0.0027 (2)	0.0045 (2)
O1	0.0211 (9)	0.0319 (10)	0.0406 (11)	0.000	-0.0006 (8)	0.000

N1	0.0295 (9)	0.0312 (9)	0.0313 (9)	-0.0004 (7)	0.0024 (7)	0.0004 (7)
N2	0.0222 (9)	0.0345 (10)	0.0735 (14)	0.0003 (8)	-0.0040 (9)	0.0004 (9)
N3	0.0229 (8)	0.0341 (9)	0.0336 (9)	0.0001 (7)	0.0016 (7)	-0.0058 (7)
C1	0.0298 (10)	0.0310 (10)	0.0287 (10)	0.0015 (8)	0.0055 (8)	-0.0039 (8)
C2	0.0296 (11)	0.0328 (11)	0.0331 (11)	-0.0014 (8)	0.0034 (9)	0.0006 (8)
C3	0.0409 (13)	0.0385 (12)	0.0368 (12)	-0.0018 (10)	0.0094 (10)	0.0013 (9)
C4	0.0268 (10)	0.0313 (10)	0.0395 (11)	0.0012 (9)	0.0004 (8)	-0.0019 (9)
C5	0.0225 (10)	0.0345 (11)	0.0310 (10)	-0.0015 (8)	0.0010 (8)	-0.0046 (8)
C6	0.0396 (12)	0.0385 (12)	0.0405 (12)	0.0028 (10)	0.0072 (10)	0.0022 (10)
C7	0.0230 (9)	0.0337 (11)	0.0375 (11)	0.0034 (9)	-0.0005 (8)	-0.0008 (9)
C8	0.0423 (14)	0.0611 (17)	0.0538 (15)	0.0199 (13)	0.0007 (11)	0.0028 (13)
C9	0.0245 (10)	0.0323 (10)	0.0371 (11)	0.0027 (9)	-0.0009(8)	-0.0031 (9)
C10	0.0261 (10)	0.0364 (11)	0.0341 (11)	0.0035 (9)	-0.0018 (8)	0.0016 (9)
C11	0.0289 (12)	0.0780 (19)	0.0577 (16)	0.0022 (13)	0.0085 (11)	-0.0111 (14)
C12	0.0421 (13)	0.0549 (15)	0.0522 (15)	-0.0115 (12)	0.0191 (12)	-0.0065 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—N3 ⁱ	2.0436 (17)	C2—H2C	0.9300	
Cu1—N3	2.0436 (17)	C3—C12	1.384 (3)	
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	2.0966 (17)	С3—НЗА	0.9300	
Cu1—N1	2.0966 (17)	C4—C9	1.376 (3)	
Cu1—Cl1	2.7870 (7)	C4—C5	1.383 (3)	
Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	2.7870 (7)	C4—H4A	0.9300	
O1—C7	1.353 (2)	C5—C10	1.381 (3)	
O1—C7 ⁱⁱ	1.353 (2)	С5—С7	1.461 (3)	
N1-C1	1.435 (3)	C6—C8	1.384 (3)	
N1—H1A	0.9000	С6—Н6А	0.9300	
N1—H1B	0.9000	C8—C11	1.375 (4)	
N2C7	1.285 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9300	
N2—N2 ⁱⁱ	1.400 (3)	С9—Н9А	0.9300	
N3—C9	1.336 (3)	C10—H10A	0.9300	
N3—C2	1.340 (3)	C11—C12	1.372 (4)	
C1—C6	1.376 (3)	C11—H11A	0.9300	
C1—C3	1.384 (3)	C12—H12A	0.9300	
C2—C10	1.378 (3)			
N3 ⁱ —Cu1—N3	180.00	C10—C2—H2C	118.7	
N3 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	86.87 (7)	C12—C3—C1	119.6 (2)	
N3—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	93.13 (7)	С12—С3—НЗА	120.2	
N3 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	93.13 (7)	C1—C3—H3A	120.2	
N3—Cu1—N1	86.87 (7)	C9—C4—C5	118.8 (2)	
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	180.00	C9—C4—H4A	120.6	
N3 ⁱ —Cu1—Cl1	90.87 (6)	C5—C4—H4A	120.6	
N3—Cu1—Cl1	89.13 (6)	C10—C5—C4	119.00 (19)	
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—Cl1	95.61 (5)	C10—C5—C7	121.81 (19)	
N1—Cu1—Cl1	84.39 (5)	C4—C5—C7	119.19 (19)	
N3 ⁱ —Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	89.13 (6)	C1—C6—C8	119.7 (2)	

N3—Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	90.87 (6)	С1—С6—Н6А	120.1
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	84.39 (5)	С8—С6—Н6А	120.1
N1—Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	95.61 (5)	N2-C7-O1	112.73 (18)
Cl1—Cu1—Cl1 ⁱ	180.00	N2—C7—C5	127.37 (19)
C7—O1—C7 ⁱⁱ	102.5 (2)	O1—C7—C5	119.89 (18)
C1—N1—Cu1	120.26 (13)	C11—C8—C6	120.4 (2)
C1—N1—H1A	107.3	C11—C8—H8A	119.8
Cu1—N1—H1A	107.3	С6—С8—Н8А	119.8
C1—N1—H1B	107.3	N3—C9—C4	122.48 (19)
Cu1—N1—H1B	107.3	N3—C9—H9A	118.8
H1A—N1—H1B	106.9	С4—С9—Н9А	118.8
C7—N2—N2 ⁱⁱ	106.03 (12)	C2—C10—C5	118.60 (19)
C9—N3—C2	118.33 (18)	C2-C10-H10A	120.7
C9—N3—Cu1	119.83 (14)	C5-C10-H10A	120.7
C2—N3—Cu1	121.05 (14)	C12—C11—C8	119.8 (2)
C6—C1—C3	120.0 (2)	C12—C11—H11A	120.1
C6—C1—N1	119.99 (19)	C8—C11—H11A	120.1
C3—C1—N1	119.90 (19)	C11—C12—C3	120.4 (2)
N3—C2—C10	122.5 (2)	C11—C12—H12A	119.8
N3—C2—H2C	118.7	C3—C12—H12A	119.8

Symmetry codes: (i) -x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z; (ii) -x-1, y, -z-1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D···· A	D—H··· A
N1—H1A····Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.90	2.53	3.406 (2)	165
N1—H1B····Cl1 ^{iv}	0.90	2.56	3.393 (2)	154
C9—H9A···Cl1 ⁱ	0.93	2.70	3.285 (2)	121
C2—H2 <i>C</i> ···Cl1	0.93	2.66	3.328 (2)	129

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*; (iii) -*x*-1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*-1/2; (iv) -*x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*-1.