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The title molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, has crystallographic $m 2 m$ site symmetry. As a result, all atoms, except for those of the methyl groups, are exactly coplanar. In the crystal structure, there are weak $\pi-\pi$ interactions with a centroid-centroid distance of 3.8409 (15) $\AA$ between symmetry-related molecules, which stack along the $c$ axis.

## Related literature

For applications of fluorene derivatives, see: Holder et al. (2005); Kulkarni et al. (2004); Padmaperuma et al. (2006); Seneclauze et al. (2007); Tsuboyama et al. (2003). For the properties of fluorene-based molecules, see: Scherf \& List (2002). For the synthesis of the title compound, see: Belfield et al. (2000).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
$M_{r}=352.07$
Orthorhombic, Cmcm
$a=17.097$ (4) £
$b=11.161$ (3) $\AA$
$c=6.9120(17) \AA$
$V=1319.0(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=6.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.38 \times 0.36 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.083, T_{\text {max }}=1.000$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.032 \quad 54$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.085 \quad \mathrm{H}$-atom parameters constrained
$S=1.05$
662 reflections

3295 measured reflections 662 independent reflections 499 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.047$

Data collection: SMART-NT (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-NT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT-NT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg \& Berndt, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors acknowledge the Tianjin Binhai Hi-tech Industry Park Management Committee, Huayuan Industrial Park and Haitai Green Industrial Base for support of this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5021).
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## 2,7-Dibromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluorene

Xiao Chen, Xinliang Fu, Yongkuan Qiu and Jialong Yuan

## S1. Comment

Because of their good thermal and chemical stability along with high emission efficiency, fluorene derivatives have shown many applications as electronic materials, especially for organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) (Holder et al., 2005; Kulkarni et al., 2004; Seneclauze et al., 2007; Padmaperuma et al., 2006; Tsuboyama et al., 2003). In this regard, small molecules, oligomers, or polymers with the 9,9-dialkylfluorene subunit possess interesting emissive properties. The quality and efficiency of such OLEDs have been shown to depend crucially on the stacking mode of the fluorene motif. On the other hand, the selected alkyl groups with different lengths or branched alkyl chains have a deep influence on the property and the packing mode of fluorene-based molecules (Scherf \& List, 2002). During our study on such OLEDs crystalline materials, the crystal structure of the title compound has been determined in order to elucidate its molecular conformation and packing mode, which may be useful for further understanding its properties.
The molecular structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. The complete molecule is generated two mirror planes which intersect each other [ crystallographic m 2 m site symmetry]. As a result, all the carbon atoms [except for those of the methyl groups] and the bromide atoms are exactly co-planar. In the crystal structure, weak $\pi-\pi$ interactions between symmetry related benzene rings [C1-C6] with a centroid to centroid distance of 3.8409 (15) $\AA \AA$ and perpendicular distance of 3.456 (1) $\AA$ form a one-dimensional chain along the $c$ axis (see Fig. 2).

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature method (Belfield et al., 2000). Single crystals suitable for Xray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization of a solution of the title compound in a mixture of ethyl acetate and petroleum ether.

## S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl H atoms, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for all aromatic H atoms


Figure 1
Molecular structure of title compound with the atom labeling of the asymmetric unit, showing displacement ellipsoids at the $30 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
Part of the crystal structure with $\pi-\pi$ interactions shown as red dashed lines.

## 2,7-Dibromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluorene

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
$M_{r}=352.07$
Orthorhombic, Cmcm
Hall symbol: -C 2c 2
$a=17.097$ (4) $\AA$
$b=11.161$ (3) $\AA$
$c=6.9120(17) \AA$
$V=1319.0(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

## Bruker SMART CCD

diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.083, T_{\text {max }}=1.000$

## Refinement

## Refinement on $F^{2}$

Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.032$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.085$
$S=1.05$
662 reflections
54 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(000)=688 \\
& D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.773 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation, } \lambda=0.71073 \AA \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 958 \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2.4-24.1^{\circ} \\
& \mu=6.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=296 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { Block, colourless } \\
& 0.38 \times 0.36 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

3295 measured reflections
662 independent reflections
499 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.047$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.2^{\circ}$
$h=-18 \rightarrow 20$
$k=-13 \rightarrow 11$
$l=-7 \rightarrow 8$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0321 P)^{2}+2.5078 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.42$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.38$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.0097 (9)

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor wR and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ | Occ. $(<1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br 1 | $0.19165(3)$ | $0.13056(5)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0696(4)$ |  |
| C 1 | $0.3008(3)$ | $0.0990(4)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0416(11)$ |  |
| C 2 | $0.3248(3)$ | $-0.0185(4)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0407(12)$ |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | 0.2883 | -0.0804 | 0.2500 | $0.049^{*}$ |  |
| C3 | $0.4037(3)$ | $-0.0430(4)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0373(11)$ |  |
| H3 | 0.4210 | -0.1220 | 0.2500 | $0.045^{*}$ |  |
| C4 | $0.4574(3)$ | $0.0500(3)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0318(10)$ |  |
| C5 | $0.4314(3)$ | $0.1684(4)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0332(10)$ |  |
| C6 | $0.3527(3)$ | $0.1946(4)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0392(11)$ |  |
| H6 | 0.3350 | 0.2734 | 0.2500 | $0.047^{*}$ |  |
| C7 | 0.5000 | $0.2560(5)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0373(15)$ |  |
| C8 | 0.5000 | $0.3344(4)$ | $0.0682(8)$ | $0.0533(14)$ |  |
| H8A | 0.5000 | 0.2845 | -0.0442 | $0.080^{*}$ |  |
| H8B | 0.5481 | 0.3785 | 0.0613 | $0.080^{*}$ | 0.50 |
| H8C | 0.4569 | 0.3894 | 0.0736 | $0.080^{*}$ | 0.50 |

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.0319(4)$ | $0.0648(5)$ | $0.1121(6)$ | $0.0034(3)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C1 | $0.026(2)$ | $0.048(3)$ | $0.051(3)$ | $0.001(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C2 | $0.038(3)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.046(3)$ | $-0.006(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C3 | $0.043(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $0.043(3)$ | $-0.0029(19)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C4 | $0.034(2)$ | $0.029(2)$ | $0.033(2)$ | $0.0008(18)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C5 | $0.036(3)$ | $0.028(2)$ | $0.036(2)$ | $-0.0029(19)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C6 | $0.036(3)$ | $0.031(2)$ | $0.050(3)$ | $0.006(2)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C7 | $0.032(3)$ | $0.027(3)$ | $0.053(4)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| C8 | $0.047(3)$ | $0.042(2)$ | $0.071(4)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | $0.017(3)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| Br1-C1 | 1.899 (4) | C5-C6 | 1.377 (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-C2 | 1.374 (7) | C5-C7 | 1.528 (6) |
| C1-C6 | 1.388 (7) | C6-H6 | 0.9300 |
| C2-C3 | 1.377 (6) | C7- $\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 1.528 (6) |
| C2-H2 | 0.9300 | C7-C8ii | 1.531 (6) |
| C3-C4 | 1.386 (6) | C7-C8 | 1.531 (6) |
| C3-H3 | 0.9300 | C8-H8A | 0.9561 |
| C4-C5 | 1.394 (6) | C8-H8B | 0.9600 |
| C4- $4^{\text {i }}$ | 1.457 (9) | C8-H8C | 0.9600 |
| C2-C1-C6 | 122.9 (4) | C5-C6-C1 | 117.5 (4) |
| C2-C1-Br1 | 118.1 (4) | C5-C6-H6 | 121.2 |
| C6-C1-Br1 | 119.1 (4) | C1-C6-H6 | 121.2 |
| C1-C2-C3 | 118.8 (4) | C5-C7- $5^{\text {i }}$ | 100.3 (5) |
| C1-C2-H2 | 120.6 | C5-C7-C88i | 111.47 (14) |
| C3-C2-H2 | 120.6 | C5i-C7-C8 ${ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 111.47 (14) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 120.0 (4) | C5-C7-C8 | 111.47 (14) |
| C2-C3-H3 | 120.0 | C5i-C7-C8 | 111.47 (14) |
| C4-C3-H3 | 120.0 | C8 8- $^{\text {- } 7-\mathrm{C} 88}$ | 110.3 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 119.9 (4) | C7-C8-H8A | 109.5 |


| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| i |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $131.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $108.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $120.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $127.9(4)$ |
|  | $111.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 0.0 |


| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~B}$ | 104.9 |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C}$ | 113.8 |
| $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 5^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 5^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $61.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $-118.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $-61.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $118.1(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2$; (ii) $x, y,-z+1 / 2$.

