organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

o-Benzoquinone dioxime

Giuliana Gervasio,* Domenica Marabello and Federica Bertolotti

Dipartimento di Chimica I.F.M., University of Turin, Via P. Giuria 7, 10125, Torino, Italy

Correspondence e-mail: giuliana.gervasio@unito.it

Received 14 September 2010; accepted 4 October 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.082; data-to-parameter ratio = 4.7.

The title compound, $C_6H_6N_2O_2$, was obtained as a product of an *in vitro* study of the metabolism of benzofuroxan. The molecule exhibits a *amphi* configuration of the oxime groups C=N-OH. One oxime group is involved in the formation of a strong intramolecular $O-H \cdots N$ hydrogen bond, while another links molecules into zigzag chains along the *c* axis *via* intermolecular $O-H \cdots N$ hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For details of the synthesis, see: Grosa *et al.* (2004). For a related structure, see: Mégnamisi-Bélombé & Endres (1985).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_6H_6N_2O_2$ $M_r = 138.13$

Orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$ a = 15.009 (5) Å b = 3.8181 (13) Å c = 10.694 (3) Å $V = 612.8 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

Data collection

Siemens–Bruker APEX diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995) $T_{min} = 0.856, T_{max} = 1.000$ 2330 measured reflections

Refinement

 $R[F^{2} > 2\sigma(F^{2})] = 0.034$ $wR(F^{2}) = 0.082$ S = 1.01468 reflections 99 parameters 1 restraint T = 293 K $0.24 \times 0.12 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

468 independent reflections 418 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.055$ $\theta_{max} = 23.3^{\circ}$ 11 standard reflections every 60 min intensity decay: none

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.19$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13$ e Å⁻³

Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond geometry (A	Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
	0.85 (7)	1.92 (7)	2.745 (4)	162 (6)
	1.06 (8)	1.57 (8)	2.532 (4)	147 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank Professor A. Gasco for supplying crystals of the title compound.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV2766).

References

Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.

Bruker (2007). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Grosa, G., Galli, U., Rolando, B., Fruttero, R., Gervasio, G. & Gasco, A. (2004). Xenobiotica, 34, 345–352.

Mégnamisi-Bélombé, M. & Endres, H. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 513-515.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2764 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810039619]

o-Benzoquinone dioxime

Giuliana Gervasio, Domenica Marabello and Federica Bertolotti

S1. Comment

The title compound, o-benzoquinone dioxime, has been obtained according to Grosa *et al.* (2004). In the C1—C6 ring the C3-C4 and C5-C6 bond distances correspond to formal double bonds (1.336 (5) Å av.). Also the C1-N1 and C2-N2 distances agree with a double bond character (1.304 (5) Å av.). Noteworthy is the presence of a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond O2-H2···N2 that probably stabilize the syn form of the dioxime. A further intermolecular hydrogen bond O1-H1..N2 forms chains of molecules. O-benzoquinone dioxime is known as an excellent ligand which forms bischelated transition metal complexes especially with the dipositive metal ions of the Ni triad (cf. Mégnamisi-Bélombé & Endres, 1985).

S2. Experimental

The o-benzoquinone dioxime has been otained according to Grosa et al. (2004)

S3. Refinement

A very small and poorly diffracting crystal has been used; it was not possible to obtain a better crystal because it is a product of a metabolism. C-bound H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (C—H = 0.93 Å), and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Two O-bound H atoms were located on a difference map and refined isotropically. A restraint has been imposed on the planarity of the hexagonal ring. In the absence of any significant anomalous scatterers in the molecule, 368 Friedel pairs were merged before the final refinement.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of trhe title compound showing the atomic numbering and 50% of probability displacements ellipsoids.

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.497 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

 $\theta = 2.7 - 23.3^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Prism, orange

 $0.24 \times 0.12 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

T = 293 K

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 500 reflections

o-Benzoquinone dioxime

Crystal data

 $C_6H_6N_2O_2$ $M_r = 138.13$ Orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$ a = 15.009 (5) Å b = 3.8181 (13) Å c = 10.694 (3) Å V = 612.8 (4) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 288

Data collection

Siemens–Bruker APEX	468 independent reflections
diffractometer	418 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.055$
Graphite monochromator	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 23.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.7^{\circ}$
φ scans	$h = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -4 \rightarrow 3$
(Blessing, 1995)	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.856, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	11 standard reflections every 60 min
2330 measured reflections	intensity decay: none

Refinement

0	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.01	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
468 reflections	and constrained refinement
99 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0605P)^2]$
1 restraint	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	r		7	IT */IT	
	λ	У	2	$U_{\rm iso} / U_{\rm eq}$	
C1	0.6109 (3)	0.3317 (8)	0.4796 (3)	0.0377 (8)	
C2	0.5481 (2)	0.2457 (9)	0.5795 (3)	0.0377 (8)	
C3	0.5843 (3)	0.0751 (9)	0.6896 (3)	0.0479 (10)	
H3A	0.5461	0.0119	0.7543	0.057*	
C4	0.6706 (3)	0.0072 (9)	0.6998 (3)	0.0520 (12)	
H4A	0.6919	-0.1043	0.7711	0.062*	
C5	0.7312 (3)	0.1027 (10)	0.6031 (3)	0.0532 (10)	
H5A	0.7916	0.0552	0.6128	0.064*	
C6	0.7028 (3)	0.2599 (9)	0.4981 (3)	0.0461 (9)	
H6A	0.7437	0.3225	0.4368	0.055*	
N1	0.5761 (2)	0.4735 (7)	0.3794 (3)	0.0426 (8)	
N2	0.4629 (2)	0.3068 (8)	0.5828 (3)	0.0489 (8)	
01	0.6388 (2)	0.5573 (8)	0.2905 (2)	0.0561 (8)	
H1	0.603 (4)	0.638 (17)	0.236 (6)	0.11 (2)*	
O2	0.4235 (2)	0.4598 (7)	0.4801 (2)	0.0584 (9)	
H2	0.477 (5)	0.541 (17)	0.422 (6)	0.13 (2)*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.045 (2)	0.0412 (18)	0.0267 (15)	-0.0013 (15)	-0.0039 (15)	-0.0047 (13)
C2	0.043 (2)	0.0426 (19)	0.0278 (15)	-0.0044 (16)	0.0022 (16)	-0.0053 (14)
C3	0.067 (3)	0.046 (2)	0.0306 (17)	-0.0030 (17)	0.0005 (18)	-0.0007 (18)
C4	0.072 (3)	0.050 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.018 (2)	0.0031 (14)

supporting information

C5	0.054 (3)	0.055 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.0067 (19)	-0.012 (2)	-0.0059 (17)
C6	0.047 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.0382 (18)	0.0039 (18)	-0.0018 (17)	-0.0051 (17)
N1	0.041 (2)	0.0576 (19)	0.0294 (14)	-0.0035 (13)	0.0044 (16)	-0.0011 (12)
N2	0.051 (2)	0.0646 (18)	0.0306 (14)	0.0003 (17)	0.0034 (15)	-0.0015 (16)
01	0.0470 (18)	0.091 (2)	0.0303 (12)	-0.0017 (14)	0.0032 (14)	0.0104 (13)
O2	0.046 (2)	0.090 (2)	0.0393 (14)	0.0042 (14)	-0.0021 (14)	0.0018 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.309 (5)	C4—H4A	0.9300
C1—C6	1.420 (5)	C5—C6	1.342 (5)
C1—C2	1.462 (5)	C5—H5A	0.9300
C2—N2	1.299 (4)	C6—H6A	0.9300
С2—С3	1.450 (5)	N1	1.375 (4)
C3—C4	1.326 (6)	N2—O2	1.377 (4)
С3—НЗА	0.9300	O1—H1	0.85 (7)
C4—C5	1.425 (6)	O2—H2	1.06 (8)
N1-C1-C6	125.5 (3)	С5—С4—Н4А	119.5
N1-C1-C2	115.8 (3)	C6—C5—C4	121.2 (4)
C6—C1—C2	118.7 (3)	C6—C5—H5A	119.4
N2—C2—C3	115.3 (3)	C4—C5—H5A	119.4
N2-C2-C1	127.8 (3)	C5—C6—C1	120.8 (4)
C3—C2—C1	116.9 (3)	С5—С6—Н6А	119.6
C4—C3—C2	121.4 (4)	C1—C6—H6A	119.6
С4—С3—Н3А	119.3	C1—N1—O1	112.9 (3)
С2—С3—НЗА	119.3	C2—N2—O2	118.5 (3)
C3—C4—C5	120.9 (3)	N1	97 (4)
С3—С4—Н4А	119.5	N2—O2—H2	105 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	D—H···A
O1—H1···N2 ⁱ	0.85 (7)	1.92 (7)	2.745 (4)	162 (6)
O2—H2…N1	1.06 (8)	1.57 (8)	2.532 (4)	147 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) –*x*+1, –*y*+1, *z*–1/2.