

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 5-(2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorophenyl)-1,3,4thiadiazol-2-amine

# Peng Wang, Rong Wan,\* Jianqiang Zhang, Peng Yu and Qiu He

Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Science, Nanjing University of Technology, No. 5 Xinmofan Road, Nanjing, Nanjing 210009, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: rwan@njut.edu.cn

Received 6 August 2010; accepted 5 October 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.043; wR factor = 0.128; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.1.

The title compound,  $C_8H_2F_5N_3S$ , was synthesized by the reaction of perfluorobenzoic acid and thiosemicarbazide. The dihedral angle between the thiadiazole and perfluorophenyl ring is 35.41 (6)°. In the crystal, intermolecular N-H···N hydrogen bonds link the molecules, forming a three-dimensional network.

### **Related literature**

For the fungicidal and herbicidal activity of thiadiazole derivatives, see: Chen *et al.* (2000); Kidwai *et al.* (2000); Vicentini *et al.* (1998) and for their insecticidal activity, see: Arun *et al.* (1999); Wasfy *et al.* (1996). For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987).



b = 7.0680 (14) Å

c = 11.553 (2) Å

V = 939.8 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>

 $\beta = 104.66 \ (3)^{\circ}$ 

### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $C_8H_2F_5N_3S$   $M_r = 267.19$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 11.897 (2) Å

Z = 4
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

#### Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\min} = 0.889, T_{\max} = 0.961$
3428 measured reflections

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  $wR(F^2) = 0.128$ S = 1.001709 reflections

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond	geometry (	[A, °]	).
---------------	------------	--------	----

$D - H \cdots A$	<i>D</i> -H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1A \cdots N2^{i}$ N1 - H1B \cdots N3^{ii}	0.86 0.86	2.18 2.19	3.001 (4) 3.013 (3)	160 161
		(**)	1 . 1	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z; (ii) x,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors would like to thank Professor Hua-qin Wang of the Analysis Centre, Nanjing University, for carrying out the X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ER2081).

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Arun, K. P., Nag, V. L. & Panda, C. S. (1999). Indian J. Chem. Sect. B, 38, 998– 1001.
- Chen, H. S., Li, Z. M. & Han, Y. F. (2000). J. Agric. Food Chem. 48, 5312–5315. Enraf–Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Kidwai, M., Negi, N. & Misra, P. (2000). J. Indian Chem. Soc. 77, 46-48.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Vicentini, C. B., Manfrini, M., Veronese, A. C. & Guarneri, M. (1998). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 35, 29–36.
- Wasfy, A. A., Nassar, S. A. & Eissa, A. M. (1996). Indian J. Chem. Sect B, 35, 1218–1220.

## organic compounds

 $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

1709 independent reflections 1283 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

3 standard reflections every 200

H-atom parameters constrained

intensity decay: 1%

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.064$ 

reflections

154 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^-$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

# supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, o2765 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810039723] 5-(2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine

## Peng Wang, Rong Wan, Jianqiang Zhang, Peng Yu and Qiu He

## S1. Comment

Thiadiazole derivatives containing the thiazolidinone unit are of great interest because of their chemical and pharmaceutical properties. Some derivatives have fungicidal and herbicidal activities (Chen *et al.*, 2000; Kidwai *et al.*, 2000; Vicentini *et al.*, 1998); some show insecticidal activities (Arun *et al.*, 1999; Wasfy *et al.*, 1996).

We report here the crystal structure of the title compound,(I). The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig.1, in which the bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are generally within normal ranges. Ring(C1/S/C2/N3/N2) is planar, and the mean deviation from plane is 0.0012 Angstroms. The dihedral angle between the thiadiazole and perfluorophenyl ring is 35.41 (6)°. In the crystal structure, intermolecular N—H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules to form a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2), in which they may be effective in the stabilization of the structure.

## **S2. Experimental**

Perfluorobenzoic acid (5 mmol) and thiosemicarbazide (5 mmol) were added in toluene (50 ml), which is heated under reflux for 4 h. The reaction mixture was left to cool to room temperature, poured into ice water, filtered, and the filter cake was crystallized from acetone to give pure compound (I) (m.p. 523–525 K). Crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an acetone solution.

## **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms bonded to the C atoms were placed geometrically at the distances of 0.93–0.97 Å and included in the refinement in riding motion approximation with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$  or  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom.



Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

Partial packing view showing the hydrogen-bonded network. Dashed lines indicate intermolecular N—H…N hydrogen bonds.

5-(2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine

Crystal data

$C_8H_2F_5N_3S$
$M_r = 267.19$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
<i>a</i> = 11.897 (2) Å
b = 7.0680 (14)  Å
c = 11.553 (2) Å
$\beta = 104.66 \ (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 939.8 (3) Å^3$
Z = 4

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\omega/2\theta$  scans F(000) = 528  $D_x = 1.888 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 523–525 K Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 9-13^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 KBlock, colorless  $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (North *et al.*, 1968)  $T_{\min} = 0.889, T_{\max} = 0.961$ 3428 measured reflections 1709 independent reflections 1283 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.064$   $\theta_{max} = 25.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 1.8^{\circ}$   $h = -14 \rightarrow 0$  $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Least-squares matrix: full map  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$ Hydrogen site location: inferred from  $wR(F^2) = 0.128$ neighbouring sites S = 1.00H-atom parameters constrained 1709 reflections  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.080P)^2]$ 154 parameters where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 0 restraints  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ direct methods

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

 $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 

intensity decay: 1%

3 standard reflections every 200 reflections

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

_	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
S	0.82940 (7)	0.06662 (10)	0.05893 (6)	0.0461 (3)	
F1	0.78775 (15)	-0.3360 (2)	-0.00245 (14)	0.0569 (5)	
C1	0.9155 (2)	0.2602 (4)	0.0479 (2)	0.0404 (6)	
N1	0.9659 (2)	0.3683 (4)	0.1416 (2)	0.0524 (6)	
H1A	1.0105	0.4601	0.1330	0.063*	
H1B	0.9537	0.3459	0.2106	0.063*	
C2	0.8165 (2)	0.0294 (4)	-0.0925 (2)	0.0389 (6)	
F2	0.65099 (17)	-0.6042 (2)	-0.12921 (18)	0.0685 (6)	
N2	0.9298 (2)	0.2855 (4)	-0.06011 (19)	0.0472 (6)	
F3	0.53266 (17)	-0.5342 (3)	-0.35867 (18)	0.0747 (6)	
N3	0.8725 (2)	0.1519 (3)	-0.13946 (19)	0.0451 (6)	
C3	0.7437 (2)	-0.1198 (4)	-0.1630 (2)	0.0387 (6)	
F4	0.55308 (16)	-0.1942 (3)	-0.45890 (14)	0.0689 (6)	
C4	0.7312 (2)	-0.2972 (4)	-0.1154 (2)	0.0429 (6)	
F5	0.68393 (17)	0.0780 (2)	-0.33282 (15)	0.0609 (5)	
C5	0.6609 (3)	-0.4358 (4)	-0.1800 (3)	0.0489 (7)	
C6	0.6009 (2)	-0.4016 (4)	-0.2954 (3)	0.0502 (7)	
C7	0.6116 (2)	-0.2282 (4)	-0.3459 (2)	0.0482 (7)	
C8	0.6812 (2)	-0.0900 (4)	-0.2800 (2)	0.0453 (7)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

## supporting information

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
S	0.0633 (5)	0.0429 (4)	0.0347 (4)	-0.0129 (3)	0.0171 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
F1	0.0665 (10)	0.0469 (10)	0.0521 (10)	-0.0049 (8)	0.0052 (8)	0.0130 (8)
C1	0.0472 (14)	0.0406 (15)	0.0352 (13)	-0.0044 (12)	0.0139 (12)	-0.0027 (11)
N1	0.0725 (16)	0.0530 (15)	0.0339 (12)	-0.0221 (13)	0.0176 (11)	-0.0081 (10)
C2	0.0477 (14)	0.0358 (14)	0.0354 (13)	-0.0023 (11)	0.0147 (12)	-0.0012 (11)
F2	0.0801 (13)	0.0418 (10)	0.0824 (14)	-0.0148 (9)	0.0184 (11)	0.0058 (9)
N2	0.0600 (14)	0.0494 (14)	0.0368 (12)	-0.0185 (11)	0.0206 (11)	-0.0092 (10)
F3	0.0815 (13)	0.0659 (13)	0.0740 (13)	-0.0330 (10)	0.0145 (11)	-0.0233 (10)
N3	0.0586 (14)	0.0442 (13)	0.0362 (12)	-0.0136 (11)	0.0191 (11)	-0.0087 (10)
C3	0.0450 (14)	0.0351 (14)	0.0378 (13)	-0.0027 (11)	0.0139 (11)	-0.0040 (11)
F4	0.0762 (12)	0.0821 (14)	0.0411 (9)	-0.0162 (11)	0.0012 (8)	-0.0056 (9)
C4	0.0458 (15)	0.0405 (15)	0.0426 (15)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0114 (12)	0.0025 (12)
F5	0.0840 (12)	0.0443 (10)	0.0477 (10)	-0.0082 (9)	0.0041 (9)	0.0086 (7)
C5	0.0553 (16)	0.0349 (15)	0.0603 (18)	-0.0060 (13)	0.0217 (14)	-0.0005 (13)
C6	0.0508 (16)	0.0486 (17)	0.0531 (17)	-0.0159 (14)	0.0165 (14)	-0.0172 (13)
C7	0.0492 (16)	0.0547 (18)	0.0406 (15)	-0.0051 (13)	0.0108 (13)	-0.0087 (13)
C8	0.0542 (16)	0.0404 (15)	0.0429 (15)	-0.0015 (12)	0.0155 (13)	-0.0008 (11)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

SC1	1.733 (3)	N2—N3	1.372 (3)	
S—C2	1.737 (3)	F3—C6	1.331 (3)	
F1—C4	1.336 (3)	C3—C8	1.383 (4)	
C1—N2	1.313 (3)	C3—C4	1.392 (4)	
C1—N1	1.337 (3)	F4—C7	1.336 (3)	
N1—H1A	0.8600	C4—C5	1.379 (4)	
N1—H1B	0.8600	F5—C8	1.339 (3)	
C2—N3	1.292 (3)	C5—C6	1.365 (5)	
C2—C3	1.473 (4)	C6—C7	1.377 (4)	
F2—C5	1.345 (3)	C7—C8	1.379 (4)	
C1—S—C2	87.05 (12)	F1—C4—C5	118.0 (3)	
N2—C1—N1	123.5 (2)	F1C4C3	119.5 (2)	
N2—C1—S	113.5 (2)	C5—C4—C3	122.4 (3)	
N1—C1—S	122.92 (19)	F2—C5—C6	120.1 (3)	
C1—N1—H1A	120.0	F2C5C4	120.0 (3)	
C1—N1—H1B	120.0	C6—C5—C4	119.9 (3)	
H1A—N1—H1B	120.0	F3—C6—C5	120.5 (3)	
N3—C2—C3	122.8 (2)	F3—C6—C7	120.2 (3)	
N3—C2—S	113.4 (2)	C5—C6—C7	119.3 (2)	
C3—C2—S	123.72 (19)	F4—C7—C6	119.5 (2)	
C1—N2—N3	112.3 (2)	F4—C7—C8	120.3 (3)	
C2—N3—N2	113.8 (2)	C6—C7—C8	120.2 (3)	
C8—C3—C4	116.0 (2)	F5—C8—C7	117.2 (2)	
C8—C3—C2	121.8 (2)	F5—C8—C3	120.8 (2)	

# supporting information

C4—C3—C2	122.2 (2)	C7—C8—C3	122.1 (3)
C2—S—C1—N2	-0.2 (2)	F1—C4—C5—C6	179.5 (3)
C2—S—C1—N1	-177.7 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (4)
C1—S—C2—N3	0.2 (2)	F2—C5—C6—F3	-0.4 (4)
C1—S—C2—C3	-176.3 (2)	C4—C5—C6—F3	-179.9 (3)
N1-C1-N2-N3	177.7 (3)	F2C5C7	179.7 (2)
S-C1-N2-N3	0.2 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	0.2 (4)
C3—C2—N3—N2	176.4 (2)	F3—C6—C7—F4	0.0 (4)
S-C2-N3-N2	-0.2 (3)	C5—C6—C7—F4	179.9 (3)
C1—N2—N3—C2	0.0 (4)	F3—C6—C7—C8	179.3 (3)
N3—C2—C3—C8	-34.3 (4)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-0.8 (4)
S-C2-C3-C8	141.9 (2)	F4—C7—C8—F5	2.1 (4)
N3—C2—C3—C4	147.3 (3)	C6—C7—C8—F5	-177.2 (3)
S-C2-C3-C4	-36.4 (4)	F4—C7—C8—C3	-179.6 (2)
C8—C3—C4—F1	-179.2 (2)	C6—C7—C8—C3	1.1 (4)
C2-C3-C4-F1	-0.8 (4)	C4—C3—C8—F5	177.6 (2)
C8—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (4)	C2—C3—C8—F5	-0.8 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	178.4 (3)	C4—C3—C8—C7	-0.6 (4)
F1—C4—C5—F2	-0.1 (4)	C2—C3—C8—C7	-179.1 (3)
C3—C4—C5—F2	-179.3 (2)		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1A···N2 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	2.18	3.001 (4)	160
N1—H1 <i>B</i> ···N3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.19	3.013 (3)	161

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2.