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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, both the urea moiety [maximum deviation 0.003 (2) $\AA$ ] and the benzene ring are essentially planar [maximum deviation 0.003 (2) $\AA$ ] but are rotated with respect to each other by a dihedral angle of $47.8(1)^{\circ}$. The crystal assembly is stabilized by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between all NH protons as conventional hydrogen bond donors and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ oxygen as a trifurcated hydrogen-bond acceptor. Both the overall molecular geometry and the crystal packing of the title compound are very similar to those of $N$-phenylurea, which is underscored by a practically isostructural relationship between these two urea derivatives.

## Related literature

For the crystal structure of $N$-phenylurea, see: Kashino \& Haisa (1977); Bott et al. (2000). For the crystal structure of $N$ -(4-tolyl)urea, see: Ciajolo et al. (1982). For the structure of a molecular 1:1 adduct of $N$-(4-bromophenyl)urea with N -(4-bromophenyl)-2-\{2-[2-(((4-bromophenyl)carbamoyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl]cyclohex-1-en-1-yl\}-2-cyanoacetamide, see: Zhang et al. (2009).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$c=15.9444(8) \AA$
$\beta=97.994$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$M_{r}=215.06$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{6}$
$a=4.6033$ (2) A
$b=5.3915$ (2) $\AA$
$V=391.87(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =5.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
T & =150 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: gaussian (Coppens, 1970)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.247, T_{\text {max }}=0.475$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.023$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.056$
$S=1.05$
1771 reflections
103 parameters
1 restraint
$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

5026 measured reflections
1771 independent reflections
1704 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.037$

H -atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.30 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.30 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 792 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter: -0.010 (11)

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.90 | 2.11 | $2.904(3)$ | 146 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 N \cdots 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.90 | 2.12 | $2.979(3)$ | 158 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 3 N \cdots 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.12 | $2.865(3)$ | 137 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, y, z$; (ii) $-x+1, y-\frac{1}{2},-z$.
Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: HKL SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: $H K L$ DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) and SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON.

Financial support from the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic (project No. MSM0021620857) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2219).
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## $N$-(4-Bromophenyl)urea

## Petr Štěpnička and Ivana Císařová

## S1. Comment

The title compound crystallized with the symmetry of the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1}$. Its molecular structure (Fig. 1) compares well to those reported earlier for $N$-phenylurea (Kashino \& Haisa 1977; Bott et al., 2000), $N$-(4-tolyl)urea (Ciajolo et al., 1982), and mainly to the structure of $N$-(4-bromophenyl)urea as recently established in the molecular adduct, $N$-(4-bromophenyl)-2-\{2-[2-(((4-bromophenyl)carbamoyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl] cyclohex-1-en-1-yl\}-2-cyano-acetamide- $N$-(4-bromophenyl)urea (1/1) (Zhang et al., 2009).
The four non-hydrogen atoms constituting the urea moiety in the title molecule are coplanar within 0.003 (2) $\AA$, whilst the atoms forming the benzene ring (C1-C6) depart from their mean plane by only 0.002 (3) $\AA$. The Br1 and N1 atoms are displaced from the latter plane by 0.016 (1) $\AA$ and 0.053 (2) $\AA$, respectively. Whereas the bromine atoms binds symmetrically to the aromatic ring (the difference in the $\mathrm{C}(3 / 5)-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Br} 1$ angles is less than $0.1^{\circ}$ ), the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond connecting both functional parts is slightly twisted ( $c f$. $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=121.5(2)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6=118.8(3){ }^{\circ}$ ). More importantly, the benzene ring and the urea moiety are mutually rotated with a dihedral angle of their mean planes of $47.8(1)^{\circ}$, which is considerably more than in the afore mentioned adduct (ca $16.5^{\circ}$ ), but practically identical with the value reported for $N$-phenylurea [ 46.4 and $47.6^{\circ}$ depending on the study (Kashino \& Haisa, 1977; Bott et al., 2000)].
In the crystal, the individual molecules of $N$-(4-bromophenyl)urea associate predominantly by means of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1). However, because of the pronounced imbalance in the number of conventional hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, the carbonyl oxygen O 1 behaves as a trifurcated hydrogen bond acceptor, interacting with two proximal molecules (Fig. 2a) related by elemental translation along the $a$-axis and a crystallographic twofold screw axis, respectively. This leads to the formation of layers oriented parallel to the $a b$ plane (Fig. 2b). Notably, the same array is preserved also for $N$-phenylurea, resulting in similar metrical parameters and the same non-centrosymmetric space group. For $N$-(4-tolyl)urea, on the other hand, similar hydrogen bonded layers related via a crystallographic inversion centre, leading to the space group $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ and a doubling of the $c$ axis length.

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was obtained from the reaction of sodium cyanate with 4-bromoaniline as described in the literature (Pandeya et al., 2000), and was crystallized from hot $90 \%$ ethanol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.95 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{dmso}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $7.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.58 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, dmso- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 112.22\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 119.52(2 \mathrm{CH}$ of $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 131.18\left(2 \mathrm{CH}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 139.89\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 155.70(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

## S3. Refinement

The C-bound H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding atoms: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$ with $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. The NH and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{H}$-atoms were located in a difference electron density map and were refined as riding atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title molecule as viewed perpendicularly to the benzene ring. Displacement ellipsoids for the non-H atoms are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are presented as spheres with an arbitrary radius.



Figure 2
(a) Hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) generated by the molecules of the title compound (see Table 1 for details). (b) Section of the crystal array of the title compound as viewed along the $b$ axis (hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines).

## $N$-(4-Bromophenyl)urea

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=215.06$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
Hall symbol: P 2yb
$a=4.6033$ (2) Å
$b=5.3915$ (2) $\AA$
$c=15.9444(8) \AA$
$\beta=97.994$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=391.87(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$F(000)=212$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.823 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 4819 reflections
$\theta=1.0-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=5.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Bar, colourless
$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Horizontally mounted graphite crystal monochromator
Detector resolution: 9.091 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans to fill the Ewald sphere
Absorption correction: gaussian
(Coppens, 1970)

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.023$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.056$
$S=1.05$
1771 reflections
103 parameters
1 restraint
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
$T_{\text {min }}=0.247, T_{\text {max }}=0.475$
5026 measured reflections
1771 independent reflections
1704 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.037$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.6^{\circ}$
$h=-5 \rightarrow 5$
$k=-6 \rightarrow 6$
$l=-20 \rightarrow 20$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0282 P)^{2}+0.0852 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.30$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.30$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 792 Friedel pairs
Absolute structure parameter: - 0.010 (11)

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two least-squares planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving least-squares planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against all diffractions. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on all data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{*} U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.54956(5)$ | $1.31775(8)$ | $0.426555(13)$ | $0.03508(9)$ |
| O1 | $0.4501(4)$ | $0.5276(3)$ | $0.08842(11)$ | $0.0251(4)$ |
| N1 | $0.9049(5)$ | $0.6438(4)$ | $0.15212(14)$ | $0.0268(4)$ |
| H1N | 1.1016 | 0.6285 | 0.1545 | $0.039(9)^{*}$ |
| N2 | $0.8539(5)$ | $0.3758(4)$ | $0.03989(15)$ | $0.0288(6)$ |
| H2N | 0.7282 | 0.3042 | -0.0015 | $0.042(8)^{*}$ |
| H3N | 1.0526 | 0.3368 | 0.0491 | $0.046(8)^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.8105(5)$ | $0.7986(7)$ | $0.21549(13)$ | $0.0240(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.5960(6)$ | $0.9794(5)$ | $0.19521(16)$ | $0.0291(6)$ |
| H2 | 0.5049 | 0.9971 | 0.1397 | $0.035^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.5192(7)$ | $1.1329(5)$ | $0.25844(16)$ | $0.0313(6)$ |
| H3 | 0.3768 | 1.2546 | 0.2454 | $0.038^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.6548(6)$ | $1.1045(5)$ | $0.34067(16)$ | $0.0267(5)$ |


| C5 | $0.8670(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| H5 | 0.9570 |
| C6 | $0.9445(6)$ |
| H6 | 1.0880 |
| C7 | $0.7229(6)$ |

$0.9267(5)$
0.9095
$0.7738(5)$
0.6532
$0.5154(4)$
$0.36161(17)$
0.4172
$0.29848(16)$
0.3119
$0.09379(15)$

```
0.0316 (6)
0.038*
0.0329 (7)
0.039*
0.0219 (5)
```

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $0.04673(17)$ | $0.03249(14)$ | $0.02688(12)$ | $0.00234(17)$ | $0.00808(9)$ | $-0.00465(14)$ |
| O1 | $0.0148(9)$ | $0.0297(10)$ | $0.0307(9)$ | $0.0013(7)$ | $0.0031(7)$ | $-0.0010(7)$ |
| N1 | $0.0152(11)$ | $0.0337(11)$ | $0.0315(11)$ | $0.0002(8)$ | $0.0035(8)$ | $-0.0076(9)$ |
| N2 | $0.0182(10)$ | $0.0353(16)$ | $0.0335(11)$ | $-0.0008(9)$ | $0.0057(9)$ | $-0.0104(9)$ |
| C1 | $0.0215(11)$ | $0.0243(13)$ | $0.0269(10)$ | $-0.0023(14)$ | $0.0063(8)$ | $-0.0016(13)$ |
| C2 | $0.0344(15)$ | $0.0267(13)$ | $0.0253(12)$ | $0.0053(11)$ | $0.0013(10)$ | $0.0022(10)$ |
| C3 | $0.0391(16)$ | $0.0250(12)$ | $0.0299(13)$ | $0.0075(12)$ | $0.0051(12)$ | $0.0010(11)$ |
| C4 | $0.0304(14)$ | $0.0250(12)$ | $0.0263(12)$ | $-0.0044(11)$ | $0.0092(11)$ | $-0.0033(10)$ |
| C5 | $0.0319(15)$ | $0.0357(12)$ | $0.0256(12)$ | $0.0017(12)$ | $-0.0018(11)$ | $0.0001(10)$ |
| C6 | $0.0268(13)$ | $0.037(2)$ | $0.0330(12)$ | $0.0058(12)$ | $-0.0016(10)$ | $-0.0023(11)$ |
| C7 | $0.0189(12)$ | $0.0221(11)$ | $0.0246(11)$ | $0.0009(9)$ | $0.0025(9)$ | $0.0012(9)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 1.901 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.393 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-C7 | 1.249 (3) | C2-C3 | 1.388 (4) |
| N1-C7 | 1.353 (3) | C2-H2 | 0.9300 |
| N1-C1 | 1.424 (4) | C3-C4 | 1.380 (4) |
| N1-H1N | 0.9044 | C3-H3 | 0.9300 |
| N2-C7 | 1.346 (3) | C4-C5 | 1.376 (4) |
| N2-H2N | 0.9014 | C5-C6 | 1.386 (4) |
| N2-H3N | 0.9301 | C5-H5 | 0.9300 |
| C1-C6 | 1.386 (3) | C6-H6 | 0.9300 |
| C7-N1-C1 | 124.5 (2) | C2-C3-H3 | 120.1 |
| C7-N1-H1N | 120.2 | C5-C4-C3 | 121.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N}$ | 115.2 | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | 119.3 (2) |
| C7-N2-H2N | 114.1 | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | 119.37 (19) |
| C7-N2-H3N | 122.9 | C4-C5-C6 | 118.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~N}$ | 122.4 | C4-C5-H5 | 120.5 |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 119.7 (3) | C6-C5-H5 | 120.5 |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 118.8 (3) | C5-C6-C1 | 120.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 121.4 (2) | C5-C6-H6 | 119.7 |
| C3-C2-C1 | 119.5 (2) | C1-C6-H6 | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.2 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 121.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.2 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 122.8 (2) |
| C4-C3-C2 | 119.8 (2) | N2-C7-N1 | 115.8 (2) |
| C4-C3-H3 | 120.1 |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $132.2(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $-50.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $0.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $-177.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-0.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $179.5(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-0.1(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-179.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $-0.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $177.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $-179.0(3)$ |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.90 | 2.11 | $2.904(3)$ | 146 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 N \cdots 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.90 | 2.12 | $2.979(3)$ | 158 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 3 N \cdots \mathrm{Ol}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.12 | $2.865(3)$ | 137 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, y, z$; (ii) $-x+1, y-1 / 2,-z$.

