organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4,7,13,18-Tetraoxa-1,10-diazoniabicyclo[8.5.5]icosane hexafluoridosilicate

Nalinava Sen Gupta,^a* David S. Wragg,^b Mats Tilset^c and Jon Petter Omtvedt^a

^aCentre for Accelerator Based Research and Energy Physics (SAFE), Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1038 Blindern, Oslo 0318, Norway, ^binGAP Centre for Research Based Innovation, Center for Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, Oslo 0315, Norway, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, Oslo 0315, Norway Correspondence e-mail: n.s.gupta@kjemi.uio.no

Received 29 March 2011; accepted 30 June 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.116; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.7.

The asymmetric unit of the title molecular salt, $C_{14}H_{30}N_2O_4^{2+}\cdot SiF_6^{2-}$, contains half of both the anion and the cation, both ions being completed by a crystallographic twofold axis passing through the Si atom. The cation has a cage structure with the ammonium H atoms pointing into the cage. These H atoms are shielded from intermolecular interactions and form only intramolecular contacts. There are short intermolecular $C-H\cdots$ F interactions in the structure, but no conventional intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Cos *et al.* (1982); Rehder & Wang (2003); Luger *et al.* (1991); Sen Gupta *et al.* (2011); Anderson *et al.* (2006); Braband *et al.* (2003); Llusar *et al.* (2001). For discussion of a cryptand as a molecular automatic titrator, see: Alibrandi *et al.* (2009). For NMR data, see: Macchioni *et al.* (2001); Christe & Wilson (1990).



 $V = 1874.0 (15) \text{ Å}^3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.11 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \; \rm mm$

9809 measured reflections

2305 independent reflections

1467 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.028$

123 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{14}H_{30}N_{2}O_{4}^{2+}\cdot\mathrm{SiF_{6}}^{2-}\\ M_{r}=432.49\\ Orthorhombic, Pbcn\\ a=10.050 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}\\ b=23.218 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}\\ c=8.031 \ (5) \ \text{\AA} \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001) $T_{\rm min} = 0.977, T_{\rm max} = 0.990$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.116$ S = 1.022305 reflections

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1-H1···O2	0.91	2.19	2.701 (2)	115
$N1 - H1 \cdots O1$	0.91	2.30	2.813 (2)	115
$N1 - H1 \cdots O1^{i}$	0.91	2.37	2.826 (2)	111
$C1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot F004^{ii}$	0.97	2.37	3.277 (3)	156
$C011 - H01B \cdot \cdot \cdot F3^{iii}$	0.97	2.50	3.381 (3)	151
$C2-H2A\cdots F005^{iv}$	0.97	2.39	3.289 (3)	155
C014−H01C···F005	0.97	2.41	3.257 (3)	146
$C3-H3A\cdots F004^{v}$	0.97	2.41	3.189 (3)	137
$C3-H3B\cdots F3^{iii}$	0.97	2.17	3.129 (3)	169
C014-H01D···F3	0.97	2.50	3.039 (3)	115
$C4 - H4B \cdots F005^{v}$	0.97	2.52	3.368 (3)	147

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, y, $-z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) -x + 1, y, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) x, -y, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) x, y, z + 1.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Berndt, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors thank the Norwegian Research Council for financial support (project No. 177538).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FY2006).

References

- Alibrandi, G., Lo Vecchio, C. & Lando, G. (2009). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48, 6332–6334.
- Anderson, K. M., Goeta, A. E., Hancock, K. S. B. & Steed, J. W. (2006). Chem. Commun. pp. 2138–2140.
- Braband, H., Zahn, T. I. & Abram, U. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 6160-6162.
- Brandenburg, K. & Berndt, M. (1999). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

- Bruker (2001). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Christe, K. O. & Wilson, W. W. (1990). J. Fluorine Chem. 46, 339-342.
- Cos, B. G., Murray-Rust, J., Murray-Rust, P., van Truong, N. & Schneider, H. (1982). Chem. Commun. pp. 377–379.
- Llusar, R., Uriel, S. & Vicent, C. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2813–2818.
- Luger, P., Buschmann, J., Knöchel, A., Tiemann, D. & Patz, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1860–1863.
- Macchioni, A., Zuccaccia, C., Clot, E., Gruet, K. & Crabtree, R. H. (2001). Organometallics, 20, 2367–2373.
- Rehder, D. & Wang, D. (2003). Private Communication.
- Sen Gupta, N., Wragg, D. S., Tilset, M. & Omtvedt, J. P. (2011). Acta Cryst., E67, 1929–1930.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o1958-o1959 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811026006]

4,7,13,18-Tetraoxa-1,10-diazoniabicyclo[8.5.5]icosane hexafluoridosilicate

Nalinava Sen Gupta, David S. Wragg, Mats Tilset and Jon Petter Omtvedt

S1. Comment

Compound (I) was obtained unintentionally as the product of the attempted synthesis of a metal-encrypted tungsten(VI) complex with the [2.1.1]cryptand, 4,7,13,18-tetraoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.5.5]icosane. We suspect that WCl₆, being susceptable to hydrolysis, reacted with water that was present as a contaminant. Compound (I) was obtained by recrystallization of the crude reaction product from acetone. When the same product was recrystalized from methanol, a similar diprotonated cryptand salt with PF_6^- as the anion formed (Sen Gupta *et al.*, 2011). The solvent used for recrystallization was the only difference between the methods to obtain the two different crystals.

This structure was originally solved as a hexafluorophosphate salt, but the P—F bond lengths appeared unusually long and corresponded to typical Si—F rather than P—F bonds. The highest difference peak was close to H—N⁺, indicating that the occupancy of the H atom was higher than 0.5, which would be required to ensure charge neutrality in a PF₆⁻ salt. The most negative difference density was observed near the central P atom, showing that in reality there are less electrons there. Furthermore, refinement of the data with Si gave slightly lower *R* factors than with P. Though similar long P—F bonds are not unprecedented (Braband *et al.*, 2003; Llusar *et al.*, 2001), the above observations very strongly suggested that this was a diprotonated SiF₆²⁻ salt rather than a monoprotonated PF₆⁻ salt.

The presence of both anions in the reaction product was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR data collected in a CD₃OD solution. The presence of the PF₆⁻ anion was indicated by a doublet at $\delta = -74.7$ p.p.m. with ¹J(¹⁹F–³¹P) = 754 Hz (Macchioni *et al.*, 2001). A small singlet peak at $\delta = -130.6$ p.p.m. and a heteronuclear coupling constant ¹J(²⁹Si–¹⁹F) = 109 Hz were also observed and correspond to the SiF₆²⁻ anion (Christe & Wilson, 1990).

 SiF_{6}^{2-} is assumed to be generated by the formation of HF upon the hydrolysis of PF_{6}^{-} and by the consequent reaction of HF with the silica of the glass. A smilar case was reported by Anderson *et al.* (2006).

In the crystal of compound (I), the two ammonium hydrogen atoms of the diprotonated cryptand cage are pointing inwards. Cryptands are known to form proton crypts, in which the protons are very efficiently concealed inside a tight molecular cavity. No exception is observed here: the ammonium hydrogen atoms are not involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding. They only form intramolecular contacts with the oxygen atoms of the cryptand.

S2. Experimental

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. Reactions were carried out under inert conditions by Schlenk-line techniques. The metal chloride (WCl₆, 100 mg, 0.25 mmol) was allowed to stir for a minute in 10 ml toluene and then was reacted with a small excess of of AgPF₆ (381 mg, 1.51 mmol) to give AgCl as a precipitate and W(PF₆)₆ dissolved in solution. After 30 minutes stirring, the precipitate was allowed to settle. The solution was transferred under inert conditions by cannula technique and treated with the solution of [2.1.1]cryptand (66 μ l, 0.25 mmol) in 5 ml toluene for 30 minutes. The crude reaction product was obtained as dirty yellow mass after drying the solvent. Portions of the product were recrystallized from acetone which produced crystal (I).

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen U_{iso} 's were set at 1.2 times the U_{eq} of the heavy atom to which the hydrogen was attached and refined in riding mode. C—H distances were fixed at 0.97 Å and the N—H distance at 0.91 Å.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), with atom labels and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms. The hydrogen atoms attached to the carbons are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2; (ii) -x+2, y, -z+3/2.



Figure 2

Packing diagram for (I) viewed along the *a* axis. H atoms omitted for clarity.

4,7,13,18-Tetraoxa-1,10-diazoniabicyclo[8.5.5]icosane hexafluoridosilicate

Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_{30}N_2O_4^{2+}SiF_6^{2-}$ $M_r = 432.49$ Orthorhombic, *Pbcn* Hall symbol: -P 2n 2ab a = 10.050 (5) Å b = 23.218 (5) Å c = 8.031 (5) Å V = 1874.0 (15) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001) $T_{\min} = 0.977, T_{\max} = 0.990$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.116$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.02	H-atom parameters constrained
2305 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0509P)^2 + 0.6523P]$
123 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 912

 $\theta = 2.2 - 28.0^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Block, colourless

 $0.11 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

9809 measured reflections

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.8^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^{\circ}$

2305 independent reflections

1467 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.028$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 13$

 $k = -29 \rightarrow 31$

 $l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.533 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 2312 reflections

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Si1	0.5000	0.14596 (3)	0.2500	0.02931 (19)	
F3	0.61848 (13)	0.19715 (5)	0.25800 (17)	0.0524 (3)	
O2	0.86157 (15)	0.02390 (6)	0.76498 (17)	0.0421 (4)	
F004	0.50972 (13)	0.14736 (7)	0.04208 (16)	0.0729 (5)	
F005	0.61920 (12)	0.09635 (5)	0.2602 (2)	0.0668 (4)	

01	0.97909 (13)	0.14163 (5)	0.97904 (18)	0.0391 (3)
N1	0.79018 (16)	0.13502 (6)	0.72125 (19)	0.0341 (4)
H1	0.8702	0.1174	0.7367	0.041*
C5	0.9322 (2)	-0.02623 (8)	0.7128 (3)	0.0403 (5)
H5A	0.9389	-0.0267	0.5923	0.048*
H5B	0.8844	-0.0605	0.7477	0.048*
C1	0.6852 (2)	0.08871 (8)	0.7376 (3)	0.0450 (5)
H1A	0.6581	0.0853	0.8531	0.054*
H1B	0.6076	0.0991	0.6722	0.054*
C3	0.7786 (2)	0.18006 (8)	0.8537 (3)	0.0394 (5)
H3A	0.6854	0.1879	0.8757	0.047*
H3B	0.8200	0.2155	0.8160	0.047*
C011	0.9254 (2)	0.18663 (9)	0.5104 (2)	0.0412 (5)
H01A	0.9253	0.2032	0.3996	0.049*
H01B	0.9459	0.2168	0.5901	0.049*
C4	0.8453 (2)	0.15967 (10)	1.0105 (3)	0.0429 (5)
H4A	0.8456	0.1906	1.0917	0.051*
H4B	0.7952	0.1278	1.0569	0.051*
C2	0.7402 (2)	0.03213 (9)	0.6775 (3)	0.0483 (6)
H2A	0.6785	0.0011	0.7013	0.058*
H2B	0.7560	0.0333	0.5584	0.058*
C014	0.7927 (2)	0.16036 (9)	0.5484 (2)	0.0424 (5)
H01C	0.7736	0.1304	0.4677	0.051*
H01D	0.7241	0.1896	0.5391	0.051*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Si1	0.0274 (4)	0.0258 (3)	0.0347 (4)	0.000	0.0017 (3)	0.000
F3	0.0491 (8)	0.0408 (6)	0.0671 (8)	-0.0155 (6)	-0.0126 (6)	0.0053 (6)
O2	0.0468 (8)	0.0332 (7)	0.0463 (8)	0.0034 (6)	-0.0072 (7)	-0.0068 (6)
F004	0.0457 (8)	0.1356 (14)	0.0373 (7)	-0.0124 (8)	0.0033 (6)	-0.0186 (8)
F005	0.0401 (7)	0.0406 (7)	0.1199 (13)	0.0122 (6)	-0.0051 (8)	-0.0097 (8)
01	0.0357 (8)	0.0381 (8)	0.0435 (8)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0016 (6)	0.0001 (6)
N1	0.0268 (8)	0.0330 (8)	0.0426 (9)	0.0010 (6)	0.0007 (7)	0.0030(7)
C5	0.0537 (13)	0.0267 (9)	0.0406 (11)	-0.0044 (9)	0.0065 (9)	-0.0041 (7)
C1	0.0293 (10)	0.0426 (12)	0.0632 (14)	-0.0069 (9)	-0.0008 (10)	0.0046 (11)
C3	0.0383 (11)	0.0346 (10)	0.0454 (11)	0.0042 (9)	0.0074 (9)	0.0007 (8)
C011	0.0448 (12)	0.0429 (11)	0.0358 (10)	0.0049 (9)	0.0023 (9)	0.0081 (9)
C4	0.0388 (11)	0.0510(13)	0.0390 (11)	0.0033 (9)	0.0084 (9)	0.0014 (9)
C2	0.0457 (13)	0.0414 (12)	0.0578 (13)	-0.0097 (10)	-0.0078 (11)	-0.0001 (10)
C014	0.0380 (11)	0.0505 (12)	0.0386 (11)	0.0045 (9)	-0.0064 (9)	0.0067 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Si1—F005 ⁱ	1.6640 (13)	C1—C2	1.505 (3)
Si1—F005	1.6640 (13)	C1—H1A	0.9700
Si1—F004 ⁱ	1.6730 (17)	C1—H1B	0.9700

Si1—F004	1.6730 (17)	C3—C4	1.503 (3)
Si1—F3	1.6836 (13)	С3—НЗА	0.9700
Si1—F3 ⁱ	1.6836 (13)	С3—Н3В	0.9700
O2—C2	1.421 (3)	C011—O1 ⁱⁱ	1.421 (2)
O2—C5	1.426 (2)	C011—C014	1.498 (3)
O1—C011 ⁱⁱ	1.421 (2)	C011—H01A	0.9700
O1—C4	1.431 (2)	C011—H01B	0.9700
N1—C3	1.496 (2)	C4—H4A	0.9700
N1—C014	1.508 (2)	C4—H4B	0.9700
N1—C1	1.512 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9700
N1—H1	0.9100	C2—H2B	0.9700
C5—C5 ⁱⁱ	1.488 (4)	C014—H01C	0.9700
С5—Н5А	0.9700	C014—H01D	0.9700
C5—H5B	0.9700		
	0.9700		
F005 ⁱ —Si1—F005	92.38 (10)	N1—C1—H1B	109.7
F005 ⁱ —Si1—F004 ⁱ	91.18 (8)	H1A—C1—H1B	108.2
F005—Si1—F004 ⁱ	90.36 (8)	N1—C3—C4	109.91 (16)
F005 ⁱ —Si1—F004	90.36 (8)	N1—C3—H3A	109.7
F005—Si1—F004	91.18 (8)	C4—C3—H3A	109.7
F004 ⁱ —Si1—F004	177.77 (13)	N1—C3—H3B	109.7
F005 ⁱ —Si1—F3	178.75 (7)	C4—C3—H3B	109.7
F005—Si1—F3	88.72 (7)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	108.2
F004 ⁱ —Si1—F3	89.40 (7)	$O1^{ii}$ — $C011$ — $C014$	106.85 (16)
F004—Si1—F3	89.03 (7)	O1 ⁱⁱ —C011—H01A	110.4
F005 ⁱ —Si1—F3 ⁱ	88.72 (7)	C014—C011—H01A	110.4
F005—Si1—F3 ⁱ	178.75 (7)	O1 ⁱⁱ —C011—H01B	110.4
$F004^{i}$ Si1 $-F3^{i}$	89.03 (7)	C014—C011—H01B	110.4
$F004$ — $Si1$ — $F3^{i}$	89.40 (7)	H01A—C011—H01B	108.6
$F3$ — $Si1$ — $F3^i$	90.18 (10)	01-C4-C3	111.31 (16)
$C_{2} - C_{2} - C_{5}$	113.08 (16)	01—C4—H4A	109.4
$C011^{ii}$ $O1$ $C4$	114.14 (15)	C3—C4—H4A	109.4
$C_3 - N_1 - C_{014}$	112.51 (15)	01—C4—H4B	109.4
C3-N1-C1	112.39 (16)	C3-C4-H4B	109.4
C014 N1 $C1$	111.66 (16)	H4A - C4 - H4B	108.0
C3—N1—H1	106.6	$0^{2}-C^{2}-C^{1}$	105.91 (17)
C014—N1—H1	106.6	02—C2—H2A	110.6
C1—N1—H1	106.6	С1—С2—Н2А	110.6
O2—C5—C5 ⁱⁱ	109.75 (13)	O2—C2—H2B	110.6
O2—C5—H5A	109.7	C1—C2—H2B	110.6
С5 ^{іі} —С5—Н5А	109.7	H2A—C2—H2B	108.7
O2—C5—H5B	109.7	C011—C014—N1	111.18 (16)
С5 ^{іі} —С5—Н5В	109.7	C011—C014—H01C	109.4
H5A—C5—H5B	108.2	N1—C014—H01C	109.4
C2—C1—N1	109.68 (17)	C011—C014—H01D	109.4
С2—С1—Н1А	109.7	N1—C014—H01D	109.4
N1—C1—H1A	109.7	H01C—C014—H01D	108.0
С2—С1—Н1В	109.7		

C2—O2—C5—C5 ⁱⁱ	169.93 (19)	N1—C3—C4—O1	53.0 (2)
C3—N1—C1—C2	-148.05 (17)	C5	-175.52 (16)
C014—N1—C1—C2	84.4 (2)	N1—C1—C2—O2	52.4 (2)
C014—N1—C3—C4	-150.55 (16)	O1 ⁱⁱ —C011—C014—N1	60.8 (2)
C1—N1—C3—C4	82.4 (2)	C3—N1—C014—C011	76.0 (2)
C011 ⁱⁱ —O1—C4—C3	88.0 (2)	C1-N1-C014-C011	-156.55 (16)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2; (ii) -x+2, y, -z+3/2.

Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å.	0)
11yurogen-oonu	geomeny	(л,	

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H···A
N1—H1…O2	0.91	2.19	2.701 (2)	115
N1—H1…O1	0.91	2.30	2.813 (2)	115
N1—H1····O1 ⁱⁱ	0.91	2.37	2.826 (2)	111
C1—H1 <i>B</i> ···F004 ⁱ	0.97	2.37	3.277 (3)	156
C011—H01 <i>B</i> …F3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.50	3.381 (3)	151
C2—H2A…F005 ^{iv}	0.97	2.39	3.289 (3)	155
C014—H01C···F005	0.97	2.41	3.257 (3)	146
C3—H3 <i>A</i> …F004 ^v	0.97	2.41	3.189 (3)	137
C3—H3 <i>B</i> ···F3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.17	3.129 (3)	169
C014—H01D····F3	0.97	2.50	3.039 (3)	115
C4—H4 <i>B</i> …F005 ^v	0.97	2.52	3.368 (3)	147

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*+2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (iii) -*x*+3/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) *x*, -*y*, *z*+1/2; (v) *x*, *y*, *z*+1.