

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$ 

refinement  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

8374 measured reflections 2443 independent reflections

2381 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

3 standard frames every 30 min intensity decay: none

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# **Redetermination of diaguatetrakis-** $(dimethylformamide-\kappa O)$ magnesium dichloride

#### Guido J. Reiss, Ishtvan Boldog and Christoph Janiak\*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany Correspondence e-mail: janiak@uni-duesseldorf.de

Received 17 June 2011; accepted 6 July 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 113 K; mean  $\sigma(N-C) = 0.001$  Å; R factor = 0.021; wR factor = 0.048; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.0.

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $[Mg(C_3H_7NO)_4-$ (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>, in which the Mg ion lies on a crystallographic inversion centre, confirms that of the previous roomtemperature study [Pavanello et al. (1995). Main Group Met. Chem. 18, 9-19]. This redetermination at 113 K has improved geometry precision by almost an order of magnitude [e.g. Mg-O(w) (w = water) distances = 2.094 (4) and 2.0899 (7) Å in the old and new structures, respectively] and allowed the water H atoms to be located and their positions refined. In the crystal, O-H···Cl hydrogen bonds between the two aqua ligands of the complex molecule and neighboring chloride counter-anions generate supramolecular chains propagating along [010]. The dicationic  $[Mg(DMF)_4(H_2O)_2]$  unit (DMF is dimethylformamide) adopts a slightly distorted octahedral geometry in which the Mg atom is coordinated by four DMF O atoms in a pseudo-tetragonal arrangement and two trans aqua ligands.

#### **Related literature**

For the previous structure determination, see: Pavanello et al. (1995). For related structures, see: Lebioda & Lewiński (1980); Castro et al. (2010). For discussion of hydrogen bonds, see: Etter et al. (1990); Janiak et al. (1996). Dorn et al. (2005); Aakeröy et al. (2010).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data	
$[Mg(C_{3}H_{7}NO)_{4}(H_{2}O)_{2}]Cl_{2}$ $M_{r} = 423.62$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 8.0284 (3) Å b = 8.0748 (3) Å c = 8.8373 (4) Å $\alpha = 90.803$ (3)° $\beta = 91.330$ (3)°	$\gamma = 111.563 (4)^{\circ}$ $V = 532.51 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 1 Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 113  K $0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

#### Data collection . . . . . . .

Oxford Diffraction Xealibur Eos
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford
Diffraction, 2010)
$T_{\min} = 0.683, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.048$	
S = 1.07	
2443 reflections	
136 parameters	

#### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).	

Mg-O1	2.0221 (6)	Mg-O3	2.0899 (7)
Mg-O2	2.0839 (7)		

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O3-H31···Cl	0.810 (16)	2.348 (16)	3.1528 (8)	172.6 (14)
03-H32···Cl	0.817 (17)	2.326 (18)	3.1408 (8)	1/5.4 (15)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y - 1, -z.

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Crystal Impact, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5919).

#### References

Aakeröy, C., Champness, N. R. & Janiak, C. (2010). CrystEngComm, 12, 22–43. Castro, L. F., Almeida, T. C., Soares Júnior, A. L., Yoshida, M. I., Machado, F. C., Diniz, R. & de Oliveira, L. F. C. (2010). Vib. Spectrosc. 54, 112–117.

Crystal Impact (2009). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

Dorn, T., Janiak, C. & Abu-Shandi, K. (2005). CrystEngComm, 7, 633-641.

 Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256–262.
 Janiak, C., Scharmann, T. G., Günther, W., Hinrichs, W. & Lentz, D. (1996). Chem. Ber. 129, 991–995.

- Lebioda, Ł. & Lewiński, K. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 693-695.
- Oxford Diffraction (2010). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Pavanello, L., Marigo, A., Bresadola, S. & Valle, G. (1995). Main Group Met. Chem. 18, 9–19.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1109-m1110 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811027073]

# Redetermination of diaquatetrakis(dimethylformamide-*kO*)magnesium dichloride

## Guido J. Reiss, Ishtvan Boldog and Christoph Janiak

#### S1. Comment

In the structure of the title compound the complex cation *trans*- $[Mg(H_2O)_2(DMF)_4]^{2+}$  (DMF = HCON(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) is located on a center of symmetry. Two DMF ligands show significantly shorter Mg—O bond lengths with 2.0221 (6) Å than the two other DMF ligands and the two aqua ligands (2.0839 (7), 2.0899 (7) Å, respectively).

This distortion is in accord with the reported room temperature structure of the title compound [2.021 (5), 2.094 (5) and 2.094 (4) Å, respectively] (Pavanello *et al.*, 1995) and the structure of  $[MgK_2(croconate violet)(H_2O)_4]$  where one of the two Mg—O(croconate) bond distances is significantly longer (2.128 Å) than the other one or the Mg—O(H<sub>2</sub>O) bond distance (2.072 (1), 2.053 (2) Å, respectively) (Castro *et al.*, 2010). Whereas the structure of  $[Mg(H_2O)_2\{OC(NH_2)_2\}_2]Br_2$  has similar Mg—O(urea) bond distances of 2.050 (1) and 2.078 (1) Å and a longer Mg—O(H<sub>2</sub>O) contact (2.108 (2) Å) (Lebioda & Lewiński, 1980).

Hydrogen bonding as a primary interaction in crytal engineering and supramolecular chemistry is of continous interest (Aakeröy *et al.*, 2010). The hydrogen bonding between the aqua ligands and the chloride counter anions is in the typical range (Dorn *et al.*, 2005; Janiak *et al.*, 1996). The cyclic hydrogen bond motif (Fig.1) which is formed by two aqua ligands of neigboring complexes and two chloride anions features the well known  $R_2^4(8)$  motif (Etter *et al.*, 1990).

Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure with the hydrogen bonding from the aqua ligands to the chloride ions which leads to the supramolecular chain.

#### S2. Experimental

#### Synthesis

42 mg (0.44 mmol) of anhydrous MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Merck, >98%) and 500  $\mu$ L of DMF (ACS, H<sub>2</sub>O content max. 0.1%) in a 1.5 ml vial were shaken at r.t. until complete dissolution of the solid. 16 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O (0.88 mmol) were added to the formed solution at once, the solution was homogenized and the vial was placed in an oven preheated at 70°C. After one day the vial was cooled down to r.t. with a rate of 2 K/h to yield large and thick plates of perfect optical quality with dimensions significantly outreaching the millimeter scale. Rapid cooling in an alternative experiment resulted in complete crystallization within one hour. Yet, the formed crystals were of lower quality and their opaqueness indicated some solvent occlusion. The crystalline product redissolves readily in the mother liquor under heating.

The isolated crystals deliquesce quickly in air, whereby hindering the exact determination of the yield. A repeated experiment was performed and the crystals were separated by decanting-off the mother solution, washing the residue with  $3 \times 1$ ml of diethyl ether and drying it in an argon stream during a few minutes thus yielding 140 mg (75%) of product.

For IR (ATR) measurements a few transparent crystals were separated directly from the mother solution, dried on a filter paper, ground and measured immediately allowing less then one minute contact with air. IR (ATR): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 3226(s, br, sh), 2933(m), 1649(vs), 1501(m), 1445(m), 1433(m), 1417(m), 1394(s), 1252(m), 1116(s), 1063(m), 867(w),

679(s). The ether washed product had the same spectrum but with an additional weak line at 805 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **S3. Refinement**

A single-crystal suitable for structure determination was harvested from the mother liquor and was directly transferred into the cooling stream of an Oxford-Xcalibur diffractometer equipped with an EOS-CCD detector at 113 K. In the final stages of the refinement the anisotropic displacement parameters of all non-hydrogen atoms were refined.

The hydrogen atoms of the the C—H group of the DMF ligand and the hydrogen atoms of the water ligand were refined freely with individual  $U_{iso}$  values. The hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups were introduced using a riding model (*SHELXL*; AFIX 137).



#### Figure 1

Molecular structure of  $[Mg(H_2O)_2\{(CH_3)_2NCHO\}_4]Cl_2$ . Hydrogen bonds are indicated as dashed lines (see Table for bond distances and angles). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 60% probability level; H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radii.

#### Diaquatetrakis(dimethylformamide-*kO*)magnesium dichloride

Hall symbol: -P 1
a = 8.0284 (3) Å
<i>b</i> = 8.0748 (3) Å

c = 8.8373 (4) Å  $\alpha = 90.803 (3)^{\circ}$   $\beta = 91.330 (3)^{\circ}$   $\gamma = 111.563 (4)^{\circ}$   $V = 532.51 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$  Z = 1 F(000) = 226 $D_{x} = 1.321 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford Diffraction, 2010)  $T_{\min} = 0.683, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 8374 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$  $wR(F^2) = 0.048$ S = 1.072443 reflections 136 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å Cell parameters from 9519 reflections  $\theta = 3.1-31.8^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 113 KPlate, colourless  $0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

2443 independent reflections 2381 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.017$   $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 5.1^{\circ}$   $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$   $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$   $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 3 standard reflections every 30 min intensity decay: none

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.01P)^2 + 0.25P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.29$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.18$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.058 (3)

#### Special details

**Experimental**. CrysAlisPro, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.34.44 Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm (Oxford Diffraction, 2010).

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Mg	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00919 (10)	
Cl	0.24638 (3)	-0.39591 (3)	0.17847 (3)	0.01591 (8)	
01	0.24626 (9)	0.07858 (9)	0.10106 (8)	0.01376 (14)	
C11	0.37264 (12)	0.22376 (12)	0.12339 (10)	0.01210 (18)	
H11	0.3638 (16)	0.3329 (16)	0.0934 (13)	0.014 (3)*	

N1	0.52502 (10)	0.23979 (10)	0.19295 (9)	0.01220 (16)
C12	0.66252 (13)	0.41472 (13)	0.22648 (12)	0.0181 (2)
H121	0.6217	0.5055	0.1910	0.027*
H122	0.7705	0.4241	0.1767	0.027*
H123	0.6858	0.4296	0.3338	0.027*
C13	0.56012 (13)	0.08489 (13)	0.24367 (13)	0.0190 (2)
H131	0.4636	-0.0216	0.2097	0.029*
H132	0.5696	0.0877	0.3522	0.029*
H133	0.6702	0.0862	0.2026	0.029*
O2	0.11438 (9)	0.09777 (9)	-0.20529 (7)	0.01321 (14)
C21	0.04046 (12)	0.16098 (12)	-0.30109 (10)	0.01128 (18)
H21	-0.0740 (16)	0.1740 (15)	-0.2843 (13)	0.012 (3)*
N2	0.10760 (11)	0.21837 (10)	-0.43429 (9)	0.01286 (17)
C22	0.00617 (15)	0.27866 (13)	-0.54511 (11)	0.0188 (2)
H221	-0.0977	0.2868	-0.4989	0.028*
H222	-0.0306	0.1953	-0.6292	0.028*
H223	0.0801	0.3936	-0.5802	0.028*
C23	0.28056 (14)	0.21630 (14)	-0.47797 (12)	0.0186 (2)
H231	0.3473	0.2065	-0.3893	0.028*
H232	0.3459	0.3246	-0.5282	0.028*
H233	0.2625	0.1164	-0.5453	0.028*
O3	0.02957 (10)	-0.24245 (9)	-0.04443 (8)	0.01385 (15)
H31	0.094 (2)	-0.2735 (19)	0.0112 (17)	0.030 (4)*
H32	-0.046 (2)	-0.333 (2)	-0.0815 (19)	0.039 (4)*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Mg	0.0095 (2)	0.0089 (2)	0.0092 (2)	0.00346 (16)	-0.00043 (15)	0.00063 (15)
Cl	0.01812 (12)	0.01091 (11)	0.01905 (13)	0.00586 (9)	-0.00115 (8)	-0.00009 (8)
O1	0.0110 (3)	0.0130 (3)	0.0159 (3)	0.0029 (3)	-0.0024 (2)	0.0007 (3)
C11	0.0140 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0107 (4)	0.0057 (4)	0.0016 (3)	0.0010 (3)
N1	0.0102 (4)	0.0101 (4)	0.0154 (4)	0.0026 (3)	0.0004 (3)	-0.0009(3)
C12	0.0130 (4)	0.0135 (4)	0.0240 (5)	0.0008 (4)	0.0002 (4)	-0.0050 (4)
C13	0.0130 (4)	0.0159 (5)	0.0294 (6)	0.0071 (4)	-0.0036 (4)	0.0018 (4)
O2	0.0143 (3)	0.0143 (3)	0.0119 (3)	0.0063 (3)	0.0014 (2)	0.0027 (2)
C21	0.0127 (4)	0.0081 (4)	0.0122 (4)	0.0030 (3)	0.0005 (3)	-0.0013 (3)
N2	0.0161 (4)	0.0115 (4)	0.0108 (4)	0.0048 (3)	0.0011 (3)	0.0006 (3)
C22	0.0289 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0131 (5)	0.0099 (4)	-0.0020 (4)	0.0022 (4)
C23	0.0185 (5)	0.0189 (5)	0.0171 (5)	0.0050 (4)	0.0074 (4)	0.0003 (4)
03	0.0161 (3)	0.0106 (3)	0.0154 (3)	0.0058 (3)	-0.0029 (3)	-0.0009(3)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mg-Oli	2.0221 (6)	C13—H132	0.9600
Mg—O1	2.0221 (6)	C13—H133	0.9600
Mg—O2	2.0839 (7)	O2—C21	1.2413 (11)
Mg—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.0839 (7)	C21—N2	1.3237 (12)

Mg—O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.0899 (7)	C21—H21	0.977 (12)
Mg—O3	2.0899 (7)	N2—C23	1.4557 (12)
01—C11	1.2461 (11)	N2—C22	1.4584 (12)
C11—N1	1.3182 (12)	C22—H221	0.9600
C11—H11	0.951 (12)	С22—Н222	0.9600
N1—C13	1.4539 (12)	С22—Н223	0.9600
N1—C12	1.4589 (12)	C23—H231	0.9600
C12—H121	0.9600	С23—Н232	0.9600
C12—H122	0.9600	С23—Н233	0.9600
С12—Н123	0.9600	O3—H31	0.810 (16)
C13—H131	0.9600	O3—H32	0.817 (17)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O1	180.00 (2)	H122—C12—H123	109.5
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O2	89.71 (3)	N1—C13—H131	109.5
O1—Mg—O2	90.29 (3)	N1—C13—H132	109.5
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O2 <sup>i</sup>	90.29 (3)	H131—C13—H132	109.5
O1—Mg—O2 <sup>i</sup>	89.71 (3)	N1—C13—H133	109.5
O2—Mg—O2 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (6)	H131—C13—H133	109.5
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O3 <sup>i</sup>	86.14 (3)	H132—C13—H133	109.5
O1—Mg—O3 <sup>i</sup>	93.86 (3)	C21—O2—Mg	123.01 (6)
O2—Mg—O3 <sup>i</sup>	89.34 (3)	O2—C21—N2	124.11 (9)
$O2^{i}$ —Mg— $O3^{i}$	90.66 (3)	O2—C21—H21	122.6 (7)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O3	93.86 (3)	N2—C21—H21	113.3 (7)
O1—Mg—O3	86.14 (3)	C21—N2—C23	121.50 (8)
O2—Mg—O3	90.66 (3)	C21—N2—C22	120.72 (8)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O3	89.34 (3)	C23—N2—C22	117.73 (8)
O3 <sup>i</sup> —Mg—O3	180.00 (4)	N2—C22—H221	109.5
C11—O1—Mg	135.31 (6)	N2—C22—H222	109.5
O1—C11—N1	123.58 (9)	H221—C22—H222	109.5
O1—C11—H11	121.5 (7)	N2—C22—H223	109.5
N1-C11-H11	114.9 (7)	H221—C22—H223	109.5
C11—N1—C13	121.47 (8)	H222—C22—H223	109.5
C11—N1—C12	121.02 (8)	N2—C23—H231	109.5
C13—N1—C12	117.48 (8)	N2—C23—H232	109.5
N1—C12—H121	109.5	H231—C23—H232	109.5
N1—C12—H122	109.5	N2—C23—H233	109.5
H121—C12—H122	109.5	H231—C23—H233	109.5
N1—C12—H123	109.5	H232—C23—H233	109.5
H121—C12—H123	109.5	H31—O3—H32	106.7 (15)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O3—H31…Cl	0.810 (16)	2.348 (16)	3.1528 (8)	172.6 (14)
O3—H32···Cl <sup>ii</sup>	0.817 (17)	2.326 (18)	3.1408 (8)	175.4 (15)

Symmetry code: (ii) -x, -y-1, -z.